The nature of the blue species formed when 1,1-diphenylethylene chemisorbs and reacts on acidic solids

Barry R.T. Garrett and John J. Rooney *

School of Chemistry, The Queen's University of Belfast, Belfast BT9 5AG, Northern Ireland

Received 17 December 1998; accepted 6 January 1999

The blue species obtained when 1,1-diphenylethylene chemisorbs on highly acidic solids arises from a very minor dimerization reaction where a less stable canonical form of the initial carbenium ion adds to $\Phi_2C=CH_2$ ($\Phi=$ phenyl). Proton loss followed by H⁻ ion abstraction from the resulting olefin then affords a highly conjugated ion as the blue species.

Keywords: dimerization of 1,1-diphenylethylene, acidic solids and liquids, carbenium ions, role of different forms of resonance hybrids

When $\Phi_2C=CH_2$ is absorbed on activated silicaalumina or highly acidic zeolites, a yellow complex is first formed (λ_{max} , 423 nm) followed by the rapid development of a bright blue species (λ_{max} , 605 nm) [1]. The yellow and blue species are also formed in a variety of strong Brønsted liquid acids, e.g., concentrated sulphuric acid/glacial acetic acid mixtures [2]. Furthermore, ESR analysis [3] revealed that the silica–alumina/ Φ_2 C=CH₂ system also contained a small concentration of cation-radicals. The yellow species is the carbenium ion Φ_2C^+ -CH₃ formed by protonation of Φ₂C=CH₂, but the nature of the blue species was a puzzle and the subject of intense investigation by many groups during the past half century. It was clearly not the cationradical [1], since the yellow species and the cation-radical are both bleached and eliminated by addition of controlled amounts of water to the silica-alumina system [4] leaving the diamagnetic (ESR check) blue species as intense as ever. Addition of excess water eventually bleaches the blue species as well. At this stage extraction of the surface by ether followed by drying and solvent removal left minute traces of a colourless solid which on addition in dry benzene solution to anhydrous Mg(ClO₄)₂ immediately gave back the blue species. Mg(ClO₄)₂ is a Lewis acid and the test is specific for the presence of indicator alcohols such as Φ_3 COH [5], so the blue species is washed off the silica-alumina as an alcohol.

In sulphuric/acetic acid mixtures Φ_2C =CH₂ also gives the yellow and blue carbenium ions, but the main catalytic reaction is dimerization via the initial carbenium ion in the canonical form Φ_2C^+ -CH₃ with the precipitation of 1,1,3,3-tetraphenylbut-1-ene in almost 100% yield (scheme 1). On silica-alumina the dimeric ion also undergoes an intramolecular alkylation reaction giving the corresponding indane product (scheme 2) [6]. All of this evidence led to the suggestion [4] that the blue species is also a dimeric ion formed from the initial one by the steps

shown in scheme 3. The completely conjugated 1,1,3,3-tetraphenyl-2-methylpropenyl ion would be expected to absorb light at longer wavelengths. The final H- ion removal step is well known [4,5] to occur for compounds of the type Φ_3 CH on acidic solids. However, subsequent work in the late 1960's [7], but only briefly mentioned later in a review paper [6] showed that the idea in scheme 3 is also incorrect. We found that 1,1,3,3-tetraphenylpropene is very easily prepared by the following convenient one-step procedure [6]. When an equimolar mixture of Φ_2 CHOH and Φ_2 C=CH₂ is dissolved in glacial acetic acid at 0 °C and concentrated sulphuric acid gradually added, the cross dimer, Φ_2 CH–CH=C Φ_2 , precipitates out quantitatively without formation of the dimer of Φ_2 C=CH₂ shown in scheme 1. Clearly Φ_2 CHOH is much more easily protonated than $\Phi_2C=CH_2$ even though the Φ₂C⁺-CH₃ ion should be intrinsically more stable than the Φ_2 CH⁺ ion, so the energetics of the elimination of water in the acid makes the steps shown in scheme 4 greatly preferred. When Φ_2 CH–CH=C Φ_2 is added to activated silica-alumina, a variety of species including cationradicals are observed with the UV spectrum showing bands at 370, 417, 453, and 563 nm, but none in the 600 nm region as might have been expected if scheme 3 is correct. We then synthesized 1,1,3,3-tetraphenylpropene-3-ol by a simple Grignard reaction involving 1,1-diphenyl-2bromoethene and benzophenone. The former compound was easily prepared [8] by bromination of $\Phi_2C=CH_2$ followed by dehydrobromination. Addition of 1,1,3,3-tetraphenylpropene-3-ol to silica-alumina gave a strong UV spectrum with three intense bands at 370, 453, and 563 nm, which can be assigned to the 1,1,3,3-tetraphenylpropenyl

Although this work has been briefly published [6], the idea in scheme 3 as the source of the blue species has been strongly supported in a very recent review [1], with the alternative phenyl shift also suggested (scheme 5).

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed.

Scheme 5.

The authors [1] are obviously unaware of our evidence and also that the structure of the blue ion has been elucidated [6]. We remained puzzled for several years until it suddenly dawned on us that the representation Φ_3^+ –CH₃, of the initial ion is only one canonical form. Suppose that the dimerization ultimately affording the blue complex proceeds via the less stable canonical form, as shown in scheme 6 [7]. The final H⁻ ion elimination should be very rapid yielding the highly conjugated product ion as the blue species.

In order to test scheme 6, we carried out the synthesis shown in scheme 7.

The acylation step in scheme 7 is fortunately very selective [9], so we obtained the final alcohol in good yield. When this compound is added to silica–alumina, weak liquid acids, or to anhydrous $Mg(ClO_4)_2$, the blue carbenium

ion is immediately formed. This alcohol is therefore an excellent indicator for weak acids, but is not specific as it responds to both Lewis and Brønsted sites.

The chemistry reported here illustrates several important points. Thus the blue ion is a significant species from the point of view of chemisorption since it is abundant on the acidic surfaces, but it is trivial as far as catalysis is concerned. The major catalytic sequences which are almost quantitative are those shown in schemes 1 and 2, but here it is the less stable chemisorbed carbenium ions which are involved.

The second important point is that reaction may occur via less stable canonical forms of resonance hybrids. This has also been shown by several related examples, the most famous being that of the dimerization of the Φ_3C free radical (Gomberg free radical) which is now known to occur

Scheme 10.

completely via the less stable canonical form, as shown in scheme 8 [10]. We have also shown that triphenylamine on silica-alumina dimerizes via the cation-radical of the monomer [11], as shown in scheme 9, and that the initial dimer loses two H atoms and then converts to a

very stable cation-radical, blue-black in colour (λ_{max} , 650–700 nm).

The reaction depicted in scheme 9 using ESR analysis is a very good test for even weakly electron-deficient sites on solids. Thus ionic Pt centres on reduced Pt/MgO prepared from chloroplatinic acid and still containing traces of Cl⁻ions give a positive response; PtO₂ *per se* is also active, but not when it is reduced in H₂.

A very interesting and recent example of reaction via less stable canonical forms of carbenium ions is one where there is a 1,2-intramolecular shift of an aryl group, as shown in scheme 10 [12]. Again, a methylene-1,4-cyclohexadiene central feature is of key significance.

References

- V. Ramamurthy, P. Lakshminarasimhan, C.P. Grey and L.J. Johnston, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. (1998) 2411, and references therein.
- [2] A.G. Evans, P.M.S. Jones and J.H. Thomas, J. Chem. Soc. (1957) 1632.
- [3] J.J. Rooney and R.C. Pink, Trans. Faraday Soc. 58 (1962) 1632.

- [4] J.J. Rooney and B.J. Hathaway, J. Catal. 3 (1964) 447.
- [5] B.R.T. Garrett and J.J. Rooney, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin II (1974) 960.
- [6] J.J. Rooney, in: *Elementary Reaction Steps in Heterogeneous Catalysis* (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1993) p. 51.
- [7] B.R.T. Garrett, Ph.D. thesis, The Queen's University of Belfast (1969).
- [8] P. Lipp, Chem. Ber. 56 (1923) 367.
- [9] Ng.Ph. Buu-hoi and R. Royer, J. Chem. Soc. (1948) 1078.
- [10] H. Takeuchi, T. Nagai and N. Tokura, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 43 (1970) 1747, 44 (1971) 753;
 - H.A. Staab, H. Brettschneider and H. Brummer, Chem. Ber. 103 (1970) 1101;
 - H. Volzz, W. Lotsch and H.-W. Schnell, Tetrahedron 26 (1970) 5343.
- [11] D.N. Stranmires and J. Tuckevich, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 85 (1963) 2557
- [12] M. Waada, W.-h. Wei, K. Kirishima, S. Natsume and T. Erabi, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 70 (1979) 1413.