

Catalytic performance of Pt/ZrO₂ and Pt/Ce-ZrO₂ catalysts on CO₂ reforming of CH₄ coupled with steam reforming or under high pressure

F.B. Noronha^a, A. Shamsi^b, C. Taylor^b, E.C. Fendley^c, S. Stagg-Williams^c, and D.E. Resasco^{c,*}

^aInstituto Nacional de Tecnologia—Laboratório de Catalise Av. Venezuela 82, CEP 20081–310, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

^bU.S. Department of Energy, National Energy Technology Laboratory, P.O. Box 880 Morgantown, WV 2650-0880

^cSchool of Chemical Engineering and Materials Science, University of Oklahoma, 100 E. Boyd Street, Norman, OK, 73019

Received 6 June 2002; accepted 17 July 2003

CO₂ reforming of methane was performed on Pt/ZrO₂ and Pt/Ce-ZrO₂ catalysts at 1073 K under different reactions conditions: (i) atmospheric pressure and CH₄:CO₂ ratio of 1:1 and 2:1; (ii) in the presence of water and CH₄:CO₂ ratio of 2:1; (iii) under pressure (105 and 190 psig) and CH₄:CO₂ ratio of 2:1. The Pt supported on ceria-promoted ZrO₂ catalyst was more stable than the Pt/ZrO₂ catalyst under all reaction conditions. We ascribe this higher stability to the higher density of oxygen vacancies on the promoted support, which favors the cleaning mechanism of the metal particle. The increase of either the CH₄:CO₂ ratio or total pressure causes a decrease in activity for both catalysts, because under either case the rate of methane decomposition becomes higher than the rate of oxygen transfer. The Pt/Ce-ZrO₂ catalyst was always more stable than the Pt/ZrO₂ catalyst, demonstrating the important role of the support on this reaction.

KEY WORDS: CO₂ reforming of methane; Pt/ZrO₂ and Pt/Ce-ZrO₂ catalysts.

1. Introduction

The CO₂ reforming of methane is a potential route to produce synthesis gas from natural gas. One advantage of dry reforming is that the synthesis gas produced has a H₂/CO ratio of 1:1, which is particularly attractive for the production of oxo-alcohols, polycarbonates, formaldehyde, acetic acid and pure CO [1]. Another positive aspect is the high CO purity that may be obtained [2]. Perhaps the most important advantage of dry reforming is the simultaneous availability of both reactants, CH₄ and CO₂. Some natural gas reservoirs have significant concentrations of CO₂, which minimize the cost of concentrating CO₂ [1].

In spite of these advantages, the major problem preventing commercialization of this process is the formation of coke, which deactivates the catalyst [1]. The problem of coke deposition can be overcome (i) by developing catalysts that minimize the rate of methane decomposition and/or CO disproportion [3]; (ii) by adding water or oxygen to the feed gas stream [4].

It has been demonstrated that the support plays an important role on the suppression of carbon formation on supported group VIII metals during CO₂ reforming of CH₄ [5–8]. Several studies have shown that Pt/ZrO₂ catalysts exhibit high activity and stability, even under severely deactivating conditions [9–14]. It has been

proposed that the mechanism for the CO₂ reforming on Pt/ZrO₂ catalyst involves two independent paths [14–16]. Methane decomposition occurs on the metal, resulting in the formation of hydrogen and carbonaceous deposits. The carbon species react with lattice oxygen from the ZrO₂ near the metal particle to produce CO. The role of the support is to adsorb CO₂ at the oxygen vacancies and facilitate the dissociation at the metal-support interface.

Recently, we showed that the addition of promoters such as lanthanum and cerium improved catalyst activity and stability [17–19]. The addition of the lanthanum and cerium decreased the sintering of ZrO₂ during high-temperature calcinations, resulting in higher surface areas for the promoted catalysts. In addition, the addition of cerium lead to an increase in the oxygen vacancies necessary for CO₂ dissociation, which enhanced the cleaning capacity and catalyst stability. A correlation between support reducibility and catalytic activity was established, supporting the two-path mechanism.

Another strategy to decrease the coke formation is to couple CO₂ reforming with steam reforming [4,20]. Furthermore, the combination of these two reactions allows the control of the H₂/CO ratio through the variation of CO₂/H₂O ratio. Hegarty *et al.* [20] conducted CO₂ reforming of methane on a Pt/ZrO₂ catalyst in the presence of different water contents. In that study, it was observed that the water vapor addition caused an increase in both activity and H₂/CO ratio. However, the stability of the catalyst was not studied.

*To whom correspondence should be addressed.
E-mail: resasco@ou.edu

The aim of the present work was to evaluate the activity and stability of Pt/ZrO₂ and Pt/Ce-ZrO₂ catalysts on CO₂ reforming coupled with steam reforming. In addition, the catalytic behavior of these catalysts for the dry reforming at high pressures was investigated. Temperature-programmed oxidation was used after reaction to study the nature of the coke deposits.

2. Experimental

2.1. Catalyst preparation

Zirconium hydroxide and the ceria-doped zirconia (18% CeO₂) used as supports were obtained from Magnesium Electron Inc. (MEI). The supports were calcined at 1073 K for 4 h in flowing air. The catalysts were prepared by incipient wetness impregnation of the supports with an aqueous solution of H₂PtCl₆ · 6H₂O (Johnson Matthey). After impregnation and drying, the samples were calcined in air (30 cm³/min) at 673 K for 2 h. The Pt/ZrO₂ and Pt/Ce-ZrO₂ catalysts had a Pt loading of 1.5 wt%.

2.2. Catalytic activity

The reactions at atmospheric pressure were performed in a quartz flow reactor at 1073 K. The samples (10 mg) were reduced under H₂ (30 cm³/min) at 773 K for 1 h, and then heated to the reaction temperature in He (30 cm³/min). Ratios of CH₄ : CO₂ that varied between 1 : 1 and 2 : 1 and a fixed total flow rate of 150 cm³/min were used in each run. For the experiments of combined CO₂ and steam reforming, water was injected continuously through a syringe pump (SARGE instruments) at a flow rate of 15 cm³/min. During the steam-reforming reaction, argon was added in the place of CO₂ in order to keep the same space velocity. The exit gases were analyzed on line by a Hewlett Packard GC equipped with a thermal conductivity detector and a Supelco Carboxen 1006 PLOT fused silica capillary column (30 m, 0.53 mm ID).

The high-pressure runs ($p = 105\text{--}190$ psig) were carried out in a stainless steel reactor (at 1073 K) with a back-pressure regulator valve (TESCOM). The samples (10 mg catalyst + 30 mg SiO₂) were pretreated under the same conditions as described above. The reaction feed contained a 2 : 1 ratio of CH₄ : CO₂ and a total flow rate of 120 cm³/min. The reaction products were analyzed on line by a Hewlett Packard GC equipped with a thermal conductivity detector and a Haysep C column. A common problem found in many papers reporting methane reforming results is that the data have been obtained under conditions in which equilibrium or mass and heat transfer limitations mask the real trends of activity and stability. All of the experiments obtained in this work were performed at

low conversions and far from the equilibrium in order to minimize the mass and heat transfer limitations.

2.3. Temperature-programmed oxidation (TPO)

TPO experiments were carried out in a tubular cell coupled to a quadrupole mass spectrometer (MKS, PPT 4.24). The TPO analyses of carbonaceous deposits were used to determine the amount of carbon that was deposited under reaction conditions. These experiments were also used to provide information about the location of carbon. After reaction, the samples were cooled to room temperature in He, then heated at a rate of 8 K/min in a 5% O₂/He mixture (30 cm³/min) up to 1073 K. After the system reached 1073 K, 100 μ L of CO₂ pulses were injected in order to calculate the amount of coke formed on the catalysts under the various reaction conditions.

3. Results and discussion

The CH₄ and CO₂ conversions for the Pt/ZrO₂ and Pt/Ce-ZrO₂ catalysts for the CO₂ reforming reaction at atmospheric pressure under different conditions are summarized in table 1 and figures 1 to 3.

3.1. CO₂ reforming of methane

The conversions of CH₄ and CO₂ on the CO₂ reforming of methane using a CH₄ : CO₂ ratio of 1 : 1 on Pt/ZrO₂ and Pt/Ce-ZrO₂ catalysts are shown in figure 1. The Pt/ZrO₂ catalyst exhibited a strong deactivation (figure 1(a)). After 22 h of reaction, the CH₄ and CO₂ conversions decreased from 37 and 43% to 22 and 29% respectively. By contrast, the Pt/Ce-ZrO₂ catalyst was very stable at any conversion level (figure 1(b)). In fact, the CH₄ and CO₂ final conversions were higher than the ones on the Pt/ZrO₂ catalyst. Hegarty *et al.* [20] only observed a small deactivation of the Pt/ZrO₂ catalyst on the CO₂ reforming of methane at 1073 K and CH₄ : CO₂ ratio of 1 : 1. According to them, the deactivation was due to carbon deposition [9,20]. However, they used a larger amount of catalyst (50 mg) and the conversions were very near to the equilibrium conditions. The dry reforming reaction is very fast and involves a very high heat of reaction. Working close to the equilibrium at the oven temperature may be misleading since the temperature of the catalyst bed and more so inside the catalyst pores may be much lower than the set point of the temperature controller. Therefore, only data taken at low conversion can be safely used to compare catalyst stability.

Figure 2 displays the results of activity for the CO₂ reforming of methane on Pt/ZrO₂ (figure 2(a)) and Pt/Ce-ZrO₂ (figure 2(b)) catalysts under higher

Table 1
CH₄ and CO₂ conversions and H₂/CO ratio on the CO₂ reforming of methane under different reactions conditions

Catalyst	Total pressure (atm)	Feed	Methane reaction rate (gmol/g/min)			H ₂ /CO ratio		
			0	8	22	0	8	22
Pt/ZrO ₂	1	CH ₄ /CO ₂ (1 : 1)	0.1135	0.0859	0.0675	0.58	0.49	0.44
Pt/ZrO ₂	1	CH ₄ /CO ₂ (2 : 1)	0.1350	0.0614	0.0532	0.52	0.42	0.37
Pt/ZrO ₂	1	CH ₄ /CO ₂ (2 : 1)/H ₂ O	0.1718	0.0532	0.0409	0.93	0.82	0.45
Pt/ZrO ₂	1	CH ₄ /H ₂ O	0.0573	0.0532	–	2.80	2.80	–
Pt/ZrO ₂	8	CH ₄ /CO ₂ (2 : 1)	0.6215	0.2290	–	0.49	0.44	–
Pt/ZrO ₂	14	CH ₄ /CO ₂ (2 : 1)	1.374	0.2863	–	0.61	0.37	–
Pt/Ce-ZrO ₂	1	CH ₄ /CO ₂ (1 : 1)	0.0920	0.0920	0.0951	0.41	0.41	0.41
Pt/Ce-ZrO ₂	1	CH ₄ /CO ₂ (2 : 1)	0.0695	0.0777	0.0777	0.46	0.44	0.45
Pt/Ce-ZrO ₂	1	CH ₄ /CO ₂ (2 : 1)/H ₂ O	0.1145	0.1145	0.1063	0.69	0.68	0.49
Pt/Ce-ZrO ₂	8	CH ₄ /CO ₂ (2 : 1)	0.7196	0.2290	–	0.51	0.49	–
Pt/Ce-ZrO ₂	14	CH ₄ /CO ₂ (2 : 1)	1.202	0.115	–	0.46	0.36	–

CH₄:CO₂ ratio (2 : 1). It can be seen that the conversions of CH₄ and CO₂ slightly decreased on the Pt/ZrO₂ catalysts. After 22 h of reaction, the H₂/CO ratio was around 0.42.

As it was observed for the reaction with a CH₄:CO₂ ratio of 1 : 1, the Pt/Ce-ZrO₂ catalyst was more active and did not deactivate during the 22 h (figure 2(b)), while the H₂/CO ratio was approximately the same (0.45).

These results are in agreement with previous studies of Stagg and Resasco [17] using a CH₄ : CO₂ ratio of

2 : 1. They observed that Pt supported on Ce-promoted ZrO₂ containing around 5% Ce was much more stable than the unpromoted Pt/ZrO₂ catalyst. According to them, the higher stability of the promoted catalyst was due to the higher CO₂ adsorption capacity, which increased the dissociation and cleaning ability. Although it is known that the addition of Ce has an effect on surface area, the 5 wt% Ce-promoted support presented approximately the same surface area as that of the unpromoted support. Therefore, it was concluded that




Figure 1. (□) CH₄ and (●) CO₂ conversions on the CO₂ reforming of methane in the absence of water ($T = 1073$ K; $p = 1$ atm; CH₄:CO₂ = 1 : 1) on (a) Pt/ZrO₂ and (b) Pt/Ce-ZrO₂.




Figure 2. (□) CH₄ and (●) CO₂ conversions on the CO₂ reforming of methane in the absence of water ($T = 1073$ K; $p = 1$ atm; CH₄:CO₂ = 2:1) on (a) Pt/ZrO₂ and (b) Pt/Ce-ZrO₂.




Figure 3. (□) CH₄ and (●) CO₂ conversions on the CO₂ reforming of methane in the presence of water ($T = 1073$ K; $p = 1$ atm; CH₄:CO₂ = 2:1; 15 mL/min H₂O) on (a) Pt/ZrO₂ and (b) Pt/Ce-ZrO₂. (▲) CH₄ conversion on the steam reforming of methane on the Pt/ZrO₂ catalyst.

the most important effect of the incorporation of CeO₂ to ZrO₂ lattice is to increase the oxygen vacancies of the support due to a higher oxygen mobility [19]. Increasing the weight percent of Ce to 17.5% resulted in an increase in the surface area for the Ce-promoted support (48 m²/g) compared to the unpromoted support (25 m²/g). The XRD analysis indicated that the support maintained the tetragonal form of ZrO₂ with the addition of 17.5 wt% Ce, but formed the cubic structure at a Ce : Zr ratio of 1.0. At the same time, EXAFS analysis revealed that the addition of the Ce and La promoters decreased the metal particle growth under reaction conditions. Sintering not only would reduce the area of active metal but also decrease the metal–support interaction, limiting the removal of carbon from the metal.

An increase in the CH₄ : CO₂ ratio had a negative effect on the activity of both promoted and unpromoted catalysts. Similar behavior has been observed by van Keulen *et al.* [8] on a 0.82% Pt/ZrO₂ catalyst when the CH₄ : CO₂ ratio was increased from 0.5 to 1.0. The activity decrease is most probably due to a higher rate of carbon deposition that quickly reduces the catalyst activity, reaching a more stable activity at a lower rate.

3.2. Combined CO₂ reforming and steam reforming

In the presence of water, the initial conversions of CH₄ and CO₂ were high on the ZrO₂-supported catalyst (43% and 68% respectively) (figure 3(a)). However, the CO₂ conversion decreased quickly and continuously while water was present. The higher methane conversion is due to the reaction with steam, which also explains the higher H₂/CO ratio obtained (table 1). Moreover, it is possible that a fraction of excess H₂ reacts with more CO₂ through the reverse water-gas shift reaction as found by Hegarty *et al.* [20] on the Pt/ZrO₂ catalyst. The observed deactivation could be ascribed to the formation of carbon deposits on the metal, which decreases the methane decomposition. This suggests that the cleaning capacity of the support decreased in the presence of water. It appears that water plays a major role in the deactivation of the Pt/ZrO₂ catalyst under combined dry reforming and steam reforming. In fact, Otsuka *et al.* [21] have shown that water can reoxidize reduced cerium oxide. Then, the lower stability of the Pt/ZrO₂ catalyst could be explained by the oxidation of the partially reduced zirconium oxide species by the water, thus decreasing the density of oxygen vacancies on the support. The disappearance of these vacancies could inhibit the CO₂ dissociation and therefore the metal-cleaning rate.

Hegarty *et al.* [20] performed the CO₂ reforming of methane (CH₄ : CO₂ ratio of 1 : 1; reaction temperature: 800 °C) on a Pt/ZrO₂ catalyst in the presence of different levels of water content. They observed that

the addition of water increased the activity, which was attributed to the steam reforming reaction. But, they did not evaluate the catalytic behavior during long reaction times. According to our results, the Pt/ZrO₂ catalyst, which generally presents a good stability on the dry reforming, can suffer a strong deactivation in the presence of water.

Interestingly, when water was removed, the CH₄ and CO₂ conversions stayed approximately constant at the value left after the reaction with water (figure 3(a)). A more drastic reduction was observed on the H₂/CO ratio, which decreased from 0.82 to 0.45 after 22 h. The recovery of the catalyst stability after water removal gives further support to the mechanism described above.

The Pt/ZrO₂ catalyst was much more stable for the steam-reforming reaction in the absence of CO₂ than when CO₂ was present (figure 3(a)). It is possible that without the presence of CO₂, the reaction between methane and water only occurs on the metal particle, without the participation of the support [22]. Therefore, deactivation of the catalyst is not observed even when the oxidation of the support by the water takes place. However, the support participates in the reaction mechanism when CO₂ is present and consequently, the oxidation of the ZrO₂ leads to a decrease of the catalyst stability.

Figure 3(b) shows the results of exposing the Pt/Ce-ZrO₂ catalyst to water. The catalytic behavior of the promoted catalyst for the combined dry reforming was completely different from the one observed on the unpromoted catalyst. The promoted catalyst was significantly more stable. The higher stability of the Pt/Ce-ZrO₂ catalyst supports the mechanism previously proposed by Stagg and Resasco [17]. Since the Ce-ZrO₂ support has a higher amount of oxygen vacancies, the role of the water on the oxidation of partially reduced support is not as important. This could explain the higher stability of the Pt/Ce-ZrO₂ catalyst even in the presence of water.

3.3. CO₂ reforming of methane under pressure

The CH₄ and CO₂ conversions during the CO₂ reforming of methane under 105 psig are displayed in figure 4. On both catalysts, the activity decreased during the reaction. Both CH₄ and CO₂ conversions dropped, but that of CO₂ decreased more markedly. Figure 5 shows the results of the CO₂ reforming of methane under $p = 190$ psig on the Pt/ZrO₂ and Pt/Ce-ZrO₂ catalysts. Although at 190 psig, both catalysts deactivate, the deactivation is more rapid on the unpromoted Pt/ZrO₂ catalyst than on the Ce-containing catalyst. The increase of pressure to 190 psig produced a higher deactivation of both catalysts, which correlates with a more thermodynamically favored carbon deposition [23].




Figure 4. (□) CH₄ and (●) CO₂ conversions on the CO₂ reforming of methane under pressure ($T = 1073\text{ K}$; $p = 8\text{ atm}$; $\text{CH}_4:\text{CO}_2 = 2:1$) on (a) Pt/ZrO₂ and (b) Pt/Ce-ZrO₂.




Figure 5. (□) CH₄ and (●) CO₂ conversions on the CO₂ reforming of methane under pressure ($T = 1073\text{ K}$; $p = 14\text{ atm}$; $\text{CH}_4:\text{CO}_2 = 2:1$) on (a) Pt/ZrO₂ and (b) Pt/Ce-ZrO₂.

3.4. TPO analysis

The TPO profiles of the Pt/ZrO₂ and Pt/Ce-ZrO₂ catalysts and the amount of coke formed after different reaction conditions are presented in figures 6 and 7 and table 2.

The CO₂ curves obtained during TPO of the Pt/ZrO₂ catalyst after dry reforming (CH₄:CO₂ = 1:1) exhibited a small, broad peak at 746 K and a large one at 964 K (figure 6(a)). The TPO profile of the Pt/Ce-ZrO₂ catalyst was similar to the one of the Pt/ZrO₂ catalyst, presenting two peaks at 738 and 967 K (figure 6(b)). The amount of carbon formed on the Pt/ZrO₂ catalyst was significantly higher than on the Pt/Ce-ZrO₂ catalyst.

Recently, we studied the stability of the Pt/ZrO₂, Pt/CeO₂ and Pt/Ce-ZrO₂ catalysts on the CO₂ reforming of methane and its relationship with the nature and location of carbon deposits [19].

TPO measurements revealed that there is no correlation between the amount of carbon deposited and stability. TPO profiles of all catalysts exhibited two peaks in the low-temperature region (623–723 K) and high-temperature region (873–973 K). The first was more important in the unpromoted catalysts, whereas the second one was dominant in the Pt/Ce-ZrO₂ catalysts. XPS and TPO analysis showed that the




Figure 6. CO₂ signal during TPO analysis after: CO₂ reforming of methane with CH₄:CO₂ = 1:1 on (a) Pt/ZrO₂, (b) Pt/Ce-ZrO₂, CO₂ reforming of methane with CH₄:CO₂ = 2:1 on (c) Pt/ZrO₂, (d) Pt/Ce-ZrO₂, CO₂ reforming of methane with CH₄:CO₂ = 2:1 in the presence of water, (e) Pt/ZrO₂ and (f) Pt/Ce-ZrO₂.




Figure 7. CO₂ signal during TPO analysis after CO₂ reforming of methane under 14 atm (100 mg of catalyst) on (a) Pt/ZrO₂ and (b) Pt/Ce-ZrO₂.

different peaks observed in TPO profile are due to carbon in different locations on the catalyst surface. On the Pt/Ce-ZrO₂ catalyst, the low-temperature TPO peak was ascribed to carbon near the metal particle since this catalyst did not deactivate. The high-temperature peak was attributed to carbon on the support.

The CO₂ signal during oxidation on the Pt/ZrO₂ catalyst after dry reforming (CH₄:CO₂ = 2:1) (figure 6(c)) was very similar to the one obtained under CH₄:CO₂ ratio of 1:1. On the Pt/Ce-ZrO₂ catalyst, the CO₂ signal during TPO showed just one peak at low temperature (688 K) (figure 6(d)). Under these reaction conditions, the amount of carbon deposited on both catalysts was higher than under a CH₄:CO₂ ratio of 1:1 and was in agreement with the lower activity observed of these catalysts. The lower activity of the catalysts in the presence of CH₄:CO₂ = 2:1 can be explained by the two-path mechanism proposed [17–19]. This mechanism is based on the balance resulting from the methane decomposition and the oxygen transfer rate. When the CH₄:CO₂ ratio is increased to 2:1, the rate of methane decomposition is faster than the oxygen transfer and carbon deposition occurs until a balance is achieved. Under the CH₄:CO₂ ratio of 1:1, the balance is obtained faster since the methane concentration on the metal surface is lower and the activity stabilizes at a high rate.

After CO₂ reforming in the presence of water, the CO₂ curve of the Pt/ZrO₂ catalyst displayed two peaks at 804 and 960 K and a new one at high temperature

Table 2
TPO results of the amount of coke formed after different reaction conditions on Pt/ZrO₂ and Pt/Ce-ZrO₂ catalysts

Catalyst	Total pressure (atm)	Feed	Amount of carbon (gmol C/g _{cat}) × 10 ⁻³	C/Pt ratio
Pt/ZrO ₂	1	CH ₄ /CO ₂ (1 : 1)	1.08	14.0
Pt/ZrO ₂	1	CH ₄ /CO ₂	1.60	20.8
Pt/ZrO ₂	1	CH ₄ /CO ₂ /H ₂ O	2.60	33.8
Pt/ZrO ₂	1	CH ₄ /H ₂ O	2.76	35.9
Pt/ZrO ₂	14	CH ₄ /CO ₂	7.27	94.5
Pt/Ce-ZrO ₂	1	CH ₄ /CO ₂ (1 : 1)	0.61	7.91
Pt/Ce-ZrO ₂	1	CH ₄ /CO ₂	2.09	27.2
Pt/Ce-ZrO ₂	1	CH ₄ /CO ₂ /H ₂ O	2.90	37.7
Pt/Ce-ZrO ₂	14	CH ₄ /CO ₂	5.55	72.2

(1012 K) (figure 6(e)). The same behavior was observed on the Pt/Ce-ZrO₂ catalyst with the appearance of a peak at 1019 K (figure 6(f)). Moreover, the addition of water to CO₂ reforming of methane increased the amount of deposited coke (table 2). These results support the proposal that water reduces the amount of vacancies on the support, inhibiting its cleaning capacity, which results in a higher accumulation of carbon.

The CO₂ profiles during TPO after reaction under 190 psig (100 mg catalyst : 22 h of reaction) are displayed on figure 7. The CO₂ curve of the Pt/ZrO₂ catalyst exhibited a wide peak at 692 K and a shoulder around 865 K. On the Pt/Ce-ZrO₂ catalyst, the CO₂ signal showed two peaks, one at 645 K and another at 961 K. The amount of carbon deposited on both catalysts strongly increased. Furthermore, it is noted that the intensity of the low-temperature peak strongly increased. This result indicates that under high pressure, the rate of oxygen transfer is not enough to keep the proximity of the metal-support interface free of coke and the catalyst deactivates.

4. Conclusions

The Pt/Ce-ZrO₂ catalyst was very stable on the CO₂ reforming of methane using CH₄:CO₂ ratio of 1 : 1 or 2 : 1. The increase of the CH₄:CO₂ ratio decreased the activity of Pt/ZrO₂ and Pt/Ce-ZrO₂ catalyst owing to the higher carbon deposition as demonstrated by TPO analysis. In agreement with the two-step mechanism, at higher CH₄:CO₂ ratios, the rate of methane decomposition is faster than the oxygen transfer, and carbon deposition occurs until a balance is achieved.

The CO₂ reforming of methane in the presence of water proceeded differently on both catalysts. The addition of water strongly decreased the CH₄ and CO₂ conversion during the reaction on the Pt/ZrO₂ catalysts. TPO analysis showed that the addition of water increased the amount of deposited carbon. The lower stability of the Pt/ZrO₂ catalyst was due to the

oxidation and decrease of the amount of oxygen vacancies by the water, inhibiting the cleaning mechanism. On the other hand, Pt/Ce-ZrO₂ catalyst was quite stable in the presence of water. This stability was ascribed to the higher amount of oxygen vacancies of the support. Both catalysts were relatively stable on the CO₂ reforming of methane under 105 psig, however, both catalysts deactivated quickly under high pressure (190 psig). TPO analysis revealed that the amount of carbon deposited on both catalysts strongly increased.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the DoE/EPSCOR program of the Department of Energy (DE-FG02-99ER45759). We acknowledge the International Division of the National Science Foundation for partial support and the NATO Program for a collaborative research grant (CRG No. 971062).

References

- [1] J.A. Lercher, J.H. Bitter, A.G. Steghuis, J.A. van Ommen and K. Seshan, in *Environmental Catalysis*, Vol. 1, eds. F.J.J. Janssen and R.A. van Santen, Catalytic Science Series (Imperial College Press, London, 1999) p. 103.
- [2] S. Teuner, *Hydrocarbon Process.* 106 (1985).
- [3] J.R. Rostrup-Nielsen, *J. Catal.* 27 (1972) 343.
- [4] N.R. Udengard, J.B. Hansen, D.C. Hanson and J.A. Stal, *Oil Gas J.* 62 (1992) 9.
- [5] M.C. Bradford and M.A. Vannice, *Appl. Catal., A* 142 (1996) 73.
- [6] M.C. Bradford and M.A. Vannice, *Catal. Today* 50 (1999) 87.
- [7] E. Ruckenstein and Y.H. Hu, *Catal. Lett.* 51 (1998) 183.
- [8] E. Ruckenstein and Y.H. Hu, *Appl. Catal. A* 133 (1995) 149.
- [9] K. Seshan, H.W. ten Barge, W. Hally, A.N.J. van Keulen and J.R.H. Ross, *Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal.* 81 (1994) 285.
- [10] J.R.H. Ross, A.N.J. van Keulen, M.E.S. Hegarty and K. Seshan, *Catal. Today* 30 (1996) 193.
- [11] J.A. Lercher, J.H. Bitter, W. Hally, W. Niessen and K. Seshan, *Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal.* 101 (1996) 463.
- [12] A.N.J. van Keulen, M.E.S. Hegarty, J.R.H. Ross and P.F. Oosterkamp van den, *Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal.* 107 (1997) 537.
- [13] J.H. Biter, W. Hally, K. Seshan, J.G. van Ommen and J.A. Lercher, *Catal. Today* 29 (1996) 349.

- [14] S.M. Stagg, E. Romeo, C. Padro and D.E. Resasco, *J. Catal.* 178 (1998) 139.
- [15] J.H. Biter, K. Seshan and J.A. Lercher, *J. Catal.* 171 (1997) 279.
- [16] A.M. O'Connor, F.C. Meunier and J.R.H. Ross, *Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal.* 119 (1998) 819.
- [17] S.M. Stagg and D.E. Resasco, *Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal.* 119 (1998) 813.
- [18] S.M. Stagg-Williams, F.B. Noronha, E.G. Fendley and D.E. Resasco, *J. Catal.* 194 (2000) 240.
- [19] F.B. Noronha, E.G. Fendley, R.R. Soares, W.E. Alvarez and D.E. Resasco, *Chem. Eng. J.* 82 (2001) 21.
- [20] M.E.S. Hegarty, A.M. O'Connor and J.R.H. Ross, *Catal. Today* 42 (1998) 225.
- [21] K. Otsuka, E. Sunada, T. Ushiyama and I. Yamanaka, *Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal.* 107 (1997) 531.
- [22] D.L. Trimm, *Catal. Today* 37 (1997) 233.
- [23] J.H. Edwards and A.M. Maitra, *Fuel Process. Tech.* 42 (1995) 269.