DOI: 10.1002/cbic.200900130

GilR, an Unusual Lactone-Forming Enzyme Involved in Gilvocarcin Biosynthesis

Madan Kumar Kharel, Pallab Pahari, Hui Lian, and Jürgen Rohr*[a]

Lactones are common structural moieties found in various important secondary metabolite natural products from microorganisms, fungi, or plants. These include gilvocarcin V (GV, 1), lovastatin (2), artemisinin (3), pentalenolactone (4), erythromycin A (5), novobiocin (6), camptothecin (7), and mycolactone A Figure 1). In many cases lactones modulate the biological activity of molecules directly by interacting with target sites (as in camptothecin)[1,2] or indirectly by providing structural rigidity to the molecule (as in erythromycin). Lactones have also been found or proposed as intermediates of biosynthetic pathways, for example, in jadomycin, gilvocarcin, mithramycin, and urdamycin biosynthesis, in which interim lactone formation is crucial for the installation of polar residues of the final structures.[3-5] Interestingly, the ways through which nature installs lactones in such secondary metabolites are found to be limited to two general routes, namely: 1) through the intramolecular condensation between a hydroxyl group and a carboxylic acid or an (Scheme 1, route A), 2) through Baeyer-Villiger oxidacyclic of ketone (Scheme 1, route B). The vast majority of the macrolide lac-

Figure 1. Representative natural products with a lactone moiety.

tones are generated through thioesterase (TE) domain mediated intramolecular condensation of thioester and alcohol, ^[6–8] whereas lovastatin lactone formation is truly pH dependent. ^[9] Literature on Baeyer–Villiger monooxygenases (BVMO)-cata-

lyzed lactone formation in natural products is rapidly emerging. [4,10] In contrast, lactone generation through the oxidation of a hemiacetal moiety (Scheme 1, route C) was only found in primary metabolism, particularly the pentose phosphate pathway. We report here this rare lactone formation for the first time as a step in the biosynthetic pathway of a secondary metabolite, gilvocarcin V (GV).

GV, a potent antitumor drug is the principal product of *Streptomyces griseoflavus* Gö3592. It exhibits high potency against various tumor cell lines at a low concentration with low in vivo cytotoxicity.^[11,12] Gilvocarcin has an UV-fluorescent

[[]a] Dr. M. K. Kharel, Dr. P. Pahari, H. Lian, Prof. Dr. J. Rohr University of Kentucky, Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences College of Pharmacy, 725 Rose Street, Lexington, KY 40536-0082 (USA) Fax: (+1)859-257-7564 E-mail: jrohr2@email.uky.edu

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cbic.200900130.

X = OH, OR, SR

Scheme 1. General routes for lactone formation in a variety of secondary metabolite natural products.

coumarin-based benzo[d]naptho-[1,2b]pyran-6-one backbone that is linked through a C-glycosidic bond to the rare sugar D-fucofuranose.[13] The antitumor activity of GV is achieved through a light-mediated [2+2]-cycloadduct formation of its vinyl side chain with thymidine residues of the DNA.[14-16] An additional unique component of GV's antitumor mechanism-of-action is the selective GV-mediated crosslinking of histone H3 DNA.[17] The formation of the lactone moiety of GV is particularly important because it establishes the third ring, thereby fixing the aromatic naphthol moiety and the styrene moiety of the molecule in the same plane and locking up their free rotation. In-

depth understanding of the mechanism of lactone formation and its occurrence in the sequence of events of GV biosynthesis is crucial for the generation of GV analogues through combinatorial biosynthesis.

Recently, the GV gene cluster has been isolated, and the roles of various gene products in GV biosynthesis have been determined through heterologous expression, gene inactivation, gene complementation, and feeding of pathway intermediates.[3,13,18-20] Sequence analysis revealed four candidate oxygenases (GilOI, GilOII, GilOIV) that could potentially be involved in the lactone formation.^[13] The individual gene deletion results showed that GilOIII is responsible for the formation of the vinyl side chain, and the remaining three oxygenases partake in a post-polyketide synthase (post-PKS) oxidative cascade prior to lactone formation, which includes the 5,6-bond cleavage of an angucyclinone intermediate (Scheme 3).[18-20] Previous hypotheses proposed that the oxidative cleavage product was a di-acid, like 19 (Scheme 3), which then undergoes C-C bond rotation and eventually establishes GV lactone by following route A of Scheme 1.[18,21-23] Surprisingly, the inactivation of one of the putative oxidoreductase genes, *gilR*, led to the accumulation of pregilvocarcin V (PreGV, 11; Scheme 2), the hemiacetal stereocenter of which was found in an *R,S*-mixture; this reflects an equilibrium between the hemiacetal and aldehyde/alcohol forms and suggests a direct role for GilR in GV lactone formation, but it remains unclear when exactly the GilR reaction occurs during GV biosynthesis. ^[3] We herein describe a detailed biochemical characterization of oxidoreductase GilR, and demonstrate that the purified protein catalyzes the dehydrogenation of 11 as the final step of the GV pathway. We also explored the substrate specificity of GilR for its potential application in combinatorial biosynthesis.

$$R^{1} = \begin{array}{c} HO \\ H_{3}C \\ HO \end{array}$$
: gilvocarcin V (1)
$$R^{2} = \begin{array}{c} HO \\ H_{3}C \\ HO \end{array}$$
: pregilvocarcin V (11)

R1 = H : defuco-gilvocarcin V (9)

R² = H : defuco-pregilvocarcin V (12)

$$R^{1} = \overset{\text{HO}}{\underset{\text{OH}}{\text{CH}_{3}}} \overset{\text{HO}}{\underset{\text{OH}}{\text{CH}_{3}}} : \text{chrysomycin A (10)} \qquad R^{2} = \overset{\text{HO}}{\underset{\text{OH}}{\text{CH}_{3}}} \overset{\text{HO}}{\underset{\text{OH}}{\text{CH}_{3}}} : \text{prechrysomycin A (13)}$$

Scheme 2. Generation of PreGV and analogues through chemical reduction of the respective lactone compounds.

GilR (498 aa) belongs to an oxidoreductase family enzyme, whose amino acid sequence displays identity/similarity to putative dehydrogenases from various species: 43/57% to StfE (CAJ42334) from Streptomyces steffisburgensis, 41/55% to a dehydrogenase (ZP 00377889) from Brevibacterium linens BL2, an FAD-binding protein (CAC22143) from Streptomyces coelicolor, and 41/58% to BusJ and SpnJ from butenyl spinosyn and spinosyn producer Saccharopolyspora pogona and Saccharopolyspora spinosa, respectively. Through an in vitro enzyme assay, SpnJ has been shown to be an oxidase that requires FAD to convert a secondary alcohol into a ketone in spinosyn biosynthesis. [24] Similarly, StfE has been proposed to serve as a dehydrogenase to generate a ketone from a secondary alcohol during the biosynthesis of steffimycin. [25] Other homologues of GilR were reported from the genome sequencing project, and have not been characterized yet. The conserved domain analysis revealed two hits: the N-terminal FAD-binding domain (pfam01565) and the C-terminal berberine-like domain (pfam08031). Pfam01565 family proteins belong to plant enzymes that utilize FAD as a cofactor and catalyze oxidation re-

Scheme 3. Proposed pathway for the GV biosynthesis. GilR catalyzes the very last step of the pathway.

actions, whereas pfam08031 family enzymes oxidize the N-methyl group of (*S*)-reticuline into the C8 methylene bridge carbon of (*S*)-scoulerine in presence of FAD. To deduce the exact function of *gilR*, the gene was cloned into the pET28a vector, expressed in *E. coli*, and the generated N-terminal Histagged protein was purified by using IMAC. The intense yellow color of the purified enzyme along with its typical FAD-like UV spectrum were a clear indication of bound FAD. Further analysis indicated that GilR exists in a monomeric form and FAD in fact is covalently bound to the enzyme (see the Supporting Information).

To test the activity of GilR, the enzyme was incubated with 11 in presence or absence of FAD. The HPLC-MS analysis of the assay mixture revealed the dramatic decrease of substrate 11 and the concomitant formation of 1 (see the Supporting Information). The addition of FAD did not alter the GilR catalysis; this confirms the saturation of GilR with covalently bound FAD. The results proved that GilR catalyzes the oxidation of the hemiacetal moiety of 11 to the lactone that is found in 1. The accumulation of significant amount of defuco-GV 9 by both the GilGT (C-glycosyltransferase)- and GilU (deoxysugar 4-ketoreductase)-deletion mutants clearly indicated that GilR can also oxidize defuco-preGV 12 to shunt product 9, and the tethered defucofuranose residue is not necessarily required for the substrate binding.[19,26] Whereas the accumulation of 11 by the GilR-deletion mutant suggested that the C-glycosylation step occurs prior to the lactone formation, the possibility that the GilR reaction precedes the GilGT reaction could not be excluded, because GilGT might be flexible enough to glycosylate either 9 or 12. To address this ambiguity over the true substrate of GilR and the biosynthetic sequence, 12 was prepared semi-synthetically through a one-step diisobutyl aluminium hydride (DIBALH) mediated reduction of 9, and the kinetics of GilR catalysis were compared for both substrates. The K_m values of GilR were determined to be 117.0 and 93.4 µм for 11 and 12, respectively (Table 1). However, the k_{cat} for 11 was found to be more than fourfold higher than for 12, and the ratio of k_{cat} and $K_{\rm m}$ for 11 appeared to be 3.5fold higher than for 12; this clarified the former compound to be the true substrate of GilR. The kinetic data reveal that both the sugar-free substrate 12 and the sugar-containing substrate 11 bind equally well to the active site, but the sugar-containing product 1 can more

Table 1. Kinetic parameters of GilR.				
Substrate	<i>K</i> _m [μм]	$V_{\sf max} \ [\mu$ м min $^{-1}$]	$k_{\text{cat}}^{[a]}$ [min $^{-1}$]	sp. activity [U mg ⁻¹ min ⁻¹]
11 12 13	117.0 ± 24.8 93.4 ± 12.73 n.d.	$268.31 \pm 40.77 \\ 61.24 \pm 6.28 \\ \text{n.d.}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.29 \pm 0.03 \\ 0.65 \pm 0.086 \\ \text{n.d.} \end{array}$	3.62 ± 0.88 0.84 ± 0.10 1.4×10^{-3}
[a] One active site was assumed per enzyme; n.d.: not determined.				

easily leave the enzyme, possibly due to repulsion of activesite residues with sugar moiety O-atoms.

One of the major objectives of biosynthetic pathway studies is to generate natural product analogues through the exploitation of the substrate flexibility of pathway enzymes. Given the potential importance of the sugar moiety for the biological activity of the gilvocarcin-type drugs, alteration of the deoxysugar moiety of GV is a major emphasis to generate GV analogues by combinatorial biosynthesis. This requires relaxed substrate specificity of both GilGT and GilR. To test the flexibility of GilR regarding the C-glycosidically linked deoxysugar moiety, prechrysomycin A (13) was prepared through chemical reduction of chrysomycin A (10). The incubation of 13 with GilR under identical assay conditions barely generated 10, and this limited the complete kinetic study of the reaction. However, the specific activity of the enzyme for 13 was determined to be 2500 and 600-fold lower than for 11 and 12, respectively, thus indicating its poor specificity for a substrate analogue with a branched pyranose sugar moiety. However, we were previously able to generate a GV analogue with an extra hydroxyl group at the 4' position of the p-fucofuranose through targeted inactivation of ketoreductase gene *gilU*. This analogue, however, maintained a five-membered sugar residue. ^[26] The poor conversion of **13** is caused by the branched pyranose, which likely imposes some steric hindrance in the binding pocket of GilR, which appears to be optimal for substrates with a 5-membered sugar residue.

In summary, the above results have clearly established that GilR, which is an FAD-dependent oxidoreductase, is responsible for oxidizing hemiacetal 11 to lactone 1. Because preGV exists as an equilibrium mixture of two diastereomers (R and S configuration at the hemiacetal carbon), one could argue that through ring opening/closing GilR oxidizes the aldehyde to an acid, and the lactone forms spontaneously (via route A). However, this would require a more complex reaction, namely addition of water followed by dehydrogenation. The sequence of the gilR gene shows no hint for such a dual activity of its product, and an opened lactone form of GV has never been detected, not even in traces. Thus, the above-discussed direct dehydrogenation of the hemiacetal to the lactone is far more likely. In the primary metabolic pentose phosphate pathway, glucose-6-phoshate (G6P) dehydrogenase catalyzes a similar reaction by converting G6P to 6-phospho-p-gluconolactone. However, unlike GilR, this enzyme utilizes free $\mathsf{NADP}^{+,[27]}$ Thus, GilR represents a new class of oxidoreductase in that it uses covalently bound FAD. Interestingly, no other cofactor was needed for the in vitro assay, and we assume that FADH2 reacts with dissolved oxygen to regenerate FAD. The results also clarify that lactone generation is the last step in GV (1) biosynthesis, and the enzyme is flexible enough to handle both sugar-tethered and sugar-free substrates, but its flexibility is limited by the nature of the linked deoxysugar. The unusual oxidative lactone generation found for the GV pathway might also play a role in the biosyntheses of other naturally occurring lactones, the pathways for which are yet to be elucidated. Intriguingly, the sequence of events for GV biosynthesis also requires another (intermediate) lactone 16 formation, here suggested to be a result of a Baeyer-Villiger oxidation, en route to 11 and 1, when the polyketide synthase product undergoes a series of dehydrations and oxidations, a decarboxylation and O-methylations (Scheme 3). GilGT-mediated glycosylation (12 to 11) followed by the GilR-catalyzed dehydrogenation finish the biosynthesis of 1. In the absence of GilGT, GilR catalyzes dehydrogenation of 12 to yield shunt product 9. Understanding the substrate-binding mode of GilR and widening its substrate specificity through site-directed mutagenesis might be necessary to generate further GV analogues by combinatorial biosynthesis.

Acknowledgements

The US National Institute of Health (grantCA 102102) is acknowledged for financial support of this work.

Keywords: biosynthesis • *C*-glycosides • dehydrogenases • gilvocarcins • lactones

- [1] R. P. Hertzberg, M. J. Caranfa, S. M. Hecht, Biochemistry 1989, 28, 4629.
- [2] C. Jaxel, K. W. Kohn, M. C. Wani, M. E. Wall, Y. Pommier, Cancer Res. 1989, 49, 1465.
- [3] M. K. Kharel, L. Zhu, T. Liu, J. Rohr, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 3780.
- [4] M. Gibson, M. Nur-e-Alam, F. Lipata, M. A. Oliveira, J. Rohr, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 17594.
- [5] U. Rix, L. L. Remsing, D. Hoffmeister, A. Bechthold, J. Rohr, ChemBioChem 2003, 4, 109.
- [6] Y. Ogasawara, K. Katayama, A. Minami, M. Otsuka, T. Eguchi, K. Kakinuma, Chem. Biol. 2004, 11, 79.
- [7] Y. Q. Xue, D. Wilson, D. H. Sherman, Gene 2000, 245, 203.
- [8] S. C. Tsai, L. J. W. Miercke, J. Krucinski, R. Gokhale, J. C. H. Chen, P. G. Foster, D. E. Cane, C. Khosla, R. M. Stroud, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2001, 98, 14808.
- [9] A. S. Kearney, L. F. Crawford, S. C. Mehta, G. W. Radebaugh, *Pharm. Res.* 1993, 10, 1461.
- [10] Z. You, S. Omura, H. Ikeda, D. E. Cane, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 6566.
- [11] C. Fischer, F. Lipata, J. Rohr, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 7818.
- [12] K. Krohn, J. Rohr, Bioorg. Chem. Deoxysugars, Polyketides Relat Classes: Synth. Biosynth. Enzym. 1997, 188, 127.
- [13] C. Fischer, F. Lipata, J. Rohr, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 7818.
- [14] R. M. Knobler, F. B. Radlwimmer, M. J. Lane, *Nucleic Acids Res.* 1993, 21, 3920.
- [15] R. M. Knobler, F. B. Radlwimmer, M. J. Lane, *Nucleic Acid Res.* 1992, 20, 4553.
- [16] R. Oyola, R. Arce, A. E. Alegria, C. Garcia, Photochem. Photobiol. 1997, 65, 802.
- [17] A. Matsumoto, P. C. Hanawalt, Cancer Res. 2000, 60, 3921.
- [18] T. Liu, C. Fischer, C. Beninga, J. Rohr, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 12262.
- [19] T. Liu, M. K. Kharel, C. Fischer, A. McCormick, J. Rohr, ChemBioChem 2006. 7, 1070.
- [20] T. Liu, M. K. Kharel, L. Zhu, S. Bright, J. Rohr, ChemBioChem 2009, 10, 278.
- [21] K. Takahashi, F. Tomita, J. Antibiot. 1983, 36, 1531.
- [22] G. T. Carter, A. A. Fantini, J. C. James, D. B. Borders, R. J. White, J. Antibiot. 1985, 38, 242.
- [23] G. T. Carter, A. A. Fantini, J. C. James, D. B. Borders, R. J. White, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1984, 25, 255.
- [24] H. J. Kim, R. Pongdee, Q. Q. Wu, L. Hong, H. W. Liu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 14582.
- [25] S. Gullon, C. Olano, M. S. Abdelfattah, A. F. Brana, J. Rohr, C. Mendez, J. A. Salas, Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 2006, 72, 4172.
- [26] T. Liu, M. K. Kharel, L. Zhu, S. A. Bright, C. Mattingly, V. R. Adams, J. Rohr, ChemBioChem 2009, 10, 278.
- [27] D. Voet, J. G. Voet, C. W. Pratt, Fundamentals of Biochemistry: Life at the Molecular Level, 2nd ed., Wiley, New York, 2006, pp. 520.

Received: March 10, 2009 Published online on April 22, 2009