Chem. Pharm. Bull. 31(8)2887—2891(1983)

## Reactivity of 2-Methylene-1,3-dicarbonyl Compounds. Syntheses of 2,2,3-Trisubstituted-3,4-dihydro-2*H*-pyrano[3,2-*c*][1]benzopyran-5-ones

MASASHIGE YAMAUCHI,\* SADAMU KATAYAMA, and TOSHIO WATANABE

Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Josai University, 1–1, Keyakidai, Sakado, Saitama 350–02, Japan

(Received January 19, 1983)

Michael condensation of 2-methylsulfinylmethyl-1,3-dicarbonyl compounds (3) or 2-methylene-1,3-dicarbonyl compounds (4) with 4-hydroxycoumarin (5) at ambient temperature gave 2-[(4-hydroxycoumarin-3-yl)methyl]-1,3-dicarbonyl compounds (6). Treatment of 6 with methanolic hydrogen chloride resulted in the regioselective formation of 2,2,3-trisubstituted-3,4-dihydro-2H-pyrano[3,2-c][1]benzopyran-5-ones.

**Keywords**—2-methylene-1,3-dicarbonyl compound; 2-methylsulfinylmethyl-1,3-dicarbonyl compound; 2,2,3-trisubstituted-3,4-dihydro-2*H*-pyrano[3,2-*c*][1]benzopyran-5-one; regioselective cyclization; Michael condensation

Recently we reported a method for the methylenation of 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds by using methylthiomethyl piperidine hydrochloride (Chart 1).<sup>1)</sup> Although only a few reports have been published<sup>2,3)</sup> on the preparation of 2-methylene-1,3-dicarbonyl compounds (4), they seem to be reactive synthetic intermediates as dienophiles<sup>3)</sup> or heterodienes<sup>4)</sup> in the Diels–Alder reaction and as electrophiles in various kind of carbon–carbon bond-forming reactions. We report herein that Michael condensation of 4 with 4-hydroxycoumarin (5)<sup>5)</sup> followed by acid treatment gave 2,2,3-trisubstituted-3,4-dihydro-2*H*-pyrano[3,2-*c*] [1]-benzopyran-5-ones regioselectively but not stereoselectively.

2-Methylene-1,3-dicarbonyl compounds (4) were treated with 4-hydroxycoumarin (5) in pyridine to give polycarbonyl compounds (6) (Table I). The structure of 6 was clearly confirmed by the appearance of the pair of methine (triplet) and methylene (doublet) signals in the proton magnetic resonance (PMR) spectra. The poly carbonyl compounds (6) were also

Chart 1

TABLE I. Yields, Analytical Data, and Physical Properties of 6

Compd.	Yield (%)		mp (°C) Recrystn.	Analysis (%) Calcd (Found)		IR v <sub>max</sub>	PMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> )
	from 3	from 4	solvent	С	Н	cm <sup>-1</sup>	$\delta$
6a	81	62	174—176 C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>6</sub>	71.41 (71.16	4.80 4.97)	1710, 1630, 1600	2.32 (3H, s, CH <sub>3</sub> ), 3.04 (2H, d, J=7 Hz, =C-CH <sub>2</sub> -), 5.05 (1H, t, J=7 Hz, -CH(CO) <sub>2</sub> ), 7.20—8.43 (9H, m, aromatic H)
6b	82	· 76	192—193.5 C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>6</sub> / <i>n</i> -hexane	75.36 (75.28	4.56 4.73)	1690, 1675, 1625, 1600	3.24 (2H, d, $J=7$ Hz, $=C-CH_2-$ ), 5.83 (1H, t, $J=7$ Hz, $-CH(CO)_2$ ), 7.22—8.42 (14H, m, aromatic H)
6с	74		91—92 C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>6</sub> / <i>n</i> -hexane	65.87 (65.88	6.41 6.23)	1720, 1650	0.92 (6H, d, $J=6$ Hz, $-CH(CH_3)_2$ ), 1.42—1.88 (3H, m, $-CH_2CH(CH_3)_2$ ), 2.42 (3H, s, $COCH_3$ ), 2.99 (2H, d, $J=7$ Hz, $=C-CH_2-$ ), 4.03 (1H, t, $J=7$ Hz, $-CH(CO)_2$ ), 4.22 (2H, t, $J=6$ Hz, $-OCH_2-$ )
6d	72	70	111—113 C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>6</sub> / <i>n</i> -hexane	68.84 (69.02	4.96 5.05)	1708, 1685, 1628, 1610	1.20 (3H, t, $J=8$ Hz, $CH_3$ ), 3.13 (2H, d, $J=7$ Hz, $=C-CH_2-$ ), 4.23 (2H, q, $J=8$ Hz, $-OCH_2-$ ), 4.87 (1H, t, $J=7$ Hz, $-CH(CO)_2$ ), 7.17—8.50 (9H, m, aromatic H), 9.65 (1H, s, enolic OH)

TABLE II.	Cyclization of 2-[(4-Hydroxycoumarin-3-yl)methyl]-
	1.3-dicarbonyl Compounds (6)

Cult atmata	Products		
Substrate	Yield <sup>a)</sup>	(7:8)	
ба	85	$(68:32)^{b)}$	
6b	68	$(49:51)^{b}$	
6c	98	(68:32) <sup>b)</sup> (49:51) <sup>b)</sup> (45:55) <sup>c)</sup>	

- a) Isolated yields.
- b) Based on the isolated diastereomeric isomers.
- c) Determined from the C-2 methoxyl signals in the PMR spectrum.

TABLE III. Analytical Data and Physical Properties of 7 and 8

Compd.	mp (°C) Recrystn.	Analys Calcd (		IR v KBr cm -1	PMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) $\delta$
	solvent	C	Н		0
7a	153—154 C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>6</sub>	72.00 (72.08	5.20 5.18)	1700, 1682, 1637, 1610, 1598	1.75 (3H, s, CH <sub>3</sub> ), 2.81 (1H, q, $J_{4a,4e}$ = 17.1 Hz, $J_{4a,3}$ = 12.2 Hz, H-4a), 3.04 (1H, q, $J_{4e,4a}$ = 17.1 Hz, $J_{4e,3}$ = 5.4 Hz, H-4e), 3.46 (3H, s, OCH <sub>3</sub> ), 3.94 (1H, q, $J_{3,4a}$ = 12.2 Hz, $J_{3,4e}$ = 5.4 Hz, H-3), 7.30—8.15 (9H, m, aromatic H)
7b	224—225.5 C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>6</sub>	75.71 (75.90	4.90 5.11)	1697, 1683, 1635, 1610, 1597	2.68 (1H, q, $J_{4a,4e} = 17.5 \text{ Hz}$ , $J_{4a,3} = 11.3 \text{ Hz}$ , H-4a), 3.33 (1H, q, $J_{4e,4a} = 17.5 \text{ Hz}$ , $J_{4e,3} = 5.3 \text{ Hz}$ , H-4e), 3.38 (3H, s, OCH <sub>3</sub> ), 4.11 (1H, q, $J_{3,4a} = 11.3 \text{ Hz}$ , $J_{3,4e} = 5.3 \text{ Hz}$ , H-3), 7.26—8.25 (14H, m, aromatic H)
8a	165.5—167.5 C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>6</sub>	72.00 (71.85	5.20 5.34)	1695, 1675, 1628, 1610, 1597	1.70 (3H, s, CH <sub>3</sub> ), 2.83 (1H, q, $J_{4a,4e}$ = 17.8 Hz, $J_{4a,3}$ = 7.2 Hz, H-4a), 3.02 (1H, q, $J_{4e,4a}$ = 17.8 Hz, $J_{4e,3}$ = 5.2 Hz, H-4e), 3.50 (3H, s, OCH <sub>3</sub> ), 4.17 (1H, q, $J_{3,4a}$ = 7.2 Hz, $J_{3,4e}$ = 5.2 Hz, H-3), 7.31—8.18 9H, m, aromatic H)
8b	222—225 C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>6</sub>	75.71 (75.74	4.90 5.05)	1720, 1685, 1642, 1615, 1595	2.82 (1H, q, $J_{4a,4e} = 17.7 \text{ Hz}$ , $J_{4a,3} = 7.9 \text{ Hz}$ , H-4a), 3.20 (1H, q, $J_{4e,4a} = 17.7 \text{ Hz}$ , $J_{4e,3} = 1.1 \text{ Hz}$ , H-4e), 3.21 (3H, s, OCH <sub>3</sub> ), 4.49 (1H, q, $J_{3,4a} = 7.9 \text{ Hz}$ , $J_{3,4e} = 1.1 \text{ Hz}$ , H-3), 7.23—8.25 (14H, m, aromatic H)
7c and 8c	High resol. MS, Calcd for $C_{20}H_{24}O_6$ (M <sup>+</sup> ): 360.1571. Found: 360.1555.				

obtained by the reaction of 2-methylsulfinylmethyl-1,3-dicarbonyl compounds (3) with 5 in somewhat better yields (Table I). It is noteworthy that 6c was only obtainable from 3c, since pyrolysis of 3c gave complex products from which 4c was not isolated.

Treatment of the poly carbonyl compounds (6) with dry hydrogen chloride in absolute

methanol at ambient temperature afforded a mixture of diastereomeric 2,2,3-trisubstituted-3,4-dihydro-2H-pyrano[3,2-c] [1]benzopyran-5-ones (7 and 8) (Table II). Compound (6d) was not converted to the corresponding pyranobenzopyran under the same reaction conditions as 6a—c, and gave unidentified complex products at higher temperature. In the cases of 7a, 8a and 7b, 8b, the diastereomeric isomers were separated by means of preparative thin layer chromatography (TLC); 7c and 8c could not be separated by preparative TLC or preparative centrifugally accelerated chromatography (Chromatotron) or medium pressure column chromatography. The regioselective cyclization of 6a, c was confirmed by the appearance of the methyl signals at ca. 1.7—1.9 ppm instead of the disappearance of the acetyl group in the PMR spectra of 7a, c and 8a, c. The relative stereochemistry at the two chiral centers of 7a and 8a was supported by the observance of about 15% nuclear Overhauser effect (NOE) between the  $C_2$ -methyl and  $C_3$ -proton in 7a but not in 8a. Furthermore, the signal of the  $C_3$ -proton in 8a appeared at lower field than that in 7a due to the anisotropy of the cis-oriented C<sub>2</sub>methoxyl group (Table III). The two diastereomeric isomers from cyclization of 6b, having the structures 7b and 8b, are characterized by the appearance of the  $C_3$ -proton centered at  $\delta 4.11$ in 7b and  $\delta$  4.49 in 8b, identical in pattern with the C<sub>3</sub>-protons in 7a and 8a.

## Experimental<sup>6)</sup>

General Procedure for the Preparation of 2-[(4-Hydroxycoumarin-3-yl)methyl]-1,3-dicarbonyl Compounds (6)—a) From 2-Methylsulfinylmethyl-1,3-dicarbonyl Compounds (3): A solution of 4-hydroxycoumarin (4.0 mmol) in pyridine (12 ml) was added to a solution of 2-methylsulfinylmethyl-1,3-dicarbonyl compound (3; 2.0 mmol) in benzene (25 ml). Stirring was continued at ambient temperature for 18 h, then the reaction mixture was poured into cold 15% hydrochloric acid (60 ml) and extracted with ethyl acetate (3 × 30 ml). The ethyl acetate layer was washed with cold 15% hydrochloric acid (2 × 20 ml) and water (3 × 20 ml), then dried, and concentrated. The residue was subjected to column chromatography (Kieselgel 60, 70—230 mesh, Merck) using benzene as the eluent to afford the corresponding 2-[(4-hydroxycoumarin-3-yl)methyl]-1,3-dicarbonyl compound (6).

b) From 2-Methylene-1,3-dicarbonyl Compounds (4): A mixture of 2-methylene-1,3-dicarbonyl compound (4; 0.25 mmol) and 4-hydroxycoumarin (5; 0.29 mmol) in pyridine (5 ml) was stirred at ambient temperature for 24 h. The reaction mixture was poured into cold 5% hydrochloric acid (50 ml) and extracted with ethyl acetate (3 × 15 ml). The ethyl acetate layer was washed with cold 5% hydrochloric acid (2 × 10 ml) and water (3 × 10 ml), then dried, and concentrated. The resulting residue was subjected to column chromatography (Kieselgel 60, 70—230 mesh, Merck) using benzene as the eluent to afford the corresponding 2-[(4-hydroxycoumarin-3-yl)methyl]-1,3-dicarbonyl compound (6). The yeilds and the physical data are summarized in Table I.

General Procedure for the Preparation of 2,2,3-Trisubstituted-3,4-dihydro-2H-pyrano[3,2-c][1]benzopyran-5-ones (7 and 8)—A suspension of 2-[(4-hydroxycoumarin-3-yl)methyl-1,3-dicarbonyl compound (6; 1.0 mmol) in absolute methanol (50 ml) was treated with 8 ml of 32 w/w% hydrogen chloride in absolute methanol at 0 °C. Stirring was continued at the same temperature for 3 h (the precipitate disappeared within 30 min), then the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in  $C_6H_6/Et_2O$  (1:5) mixture (50 ml), washed with saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution (2×20 ml) and water (3×20 ml), and dried. Evaporation of the solvent gave a diastereomeric mixture of 3,4-dihydro-2H-pyrano[3,2-c] [1]benzopyran-5-ones (7 and 8). The diastereomers, 7a, 8a or 7b, 8b, were separated by preparative TLC (Kieselgel 60 PF<sub>254</sub>, Merck) with n-hexane/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1:9). These results are summarized in Tables II and III.

## References and Notes

- 1) M. Yamauchi, S. Katayama, and T. Watanabe, Synthesis, 1982, 935.
- 2) W. S. Trahanovsky and P. W. Mullen, J. Am Chem. Soc., 94, 5086 (1972); H. J. Reich, J. M. Renga, and I. L. Reich, ibid., 97, 5434 (1975).
- 3) T. R. Hoye, A. J. Caruso, and A. S. Magee, J. Org. Chem., 47, 4152 (1982).
- 4) M. Yamauchi, S. Katayama, O. Baba, and T. Watanabe, submitted for publication; H. K. Hall, Jr., H. A. A. Rasoul, M. Gillard, M. Abdelkader, P. Nogues, and R. C. Sentman, Tetrahedron Lett., 23, 603 (1982); For β-substituted analogues as heterodienes see: B. B. Snider, D. M. Roush, and T. A. Killinger, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 101, 6023 (1979); B. B. Snider, Tetrahedron Lett., 21, 1133 (1980); J. Bitter, J. Leitich, H. Partale, O. E. Polansky, W. Riemer, U. Ritter-Thomas, B. Schlamann, and B. Stilkerieg, Chem. Ber., 113, 1020 (1980); R. R. Schmidt and M. Maier, Tetrahedron Lett., 23, 1789 (1982); D. Dvořák and Z. Arnorld, ibid., 23, 4401 (1982).

- 5) M. Ikawa, M. A. Stahmann, and K. P. Link, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 66, 902 (1944).
- 6) All melting points are uncorrected. The infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on a Hitachi 215 spectrometer, high resolution mass spectra with a JEOL JMS-01SG instrument. The PMR spectra were determined with a JEOL PS-100 spectrometer, with tetramethylsilane as an internal reference. Extracts were dried over anhyd. MgSO<sub>4</sub>.