## Communications to the Editor

[Chem. Pharm. Bull.] 32(1) 369 -- 372 (1984)

## ERICACEOUS CONSTITUENTS: SEVENTEEN TRITERPENOIDS ISOLATED FROM THE BUDS OF RHODODENDRON MACROCEPALUM

Hiroyuki Ageta\* and Tomoko Ageta

Shôwa College of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 5-1-8 Tsurumaki, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo 154, Japan

From the bursting buds of <u>Rhododendron macrocepalum</u>, four triterpenoid mono-ols (1, 4-6) and nine diols (7-10, 13-17) including four new compounds were isolated and characterized together with 2, 3 and 11, 12. The structures of two  $2\beta$ ,  $3\beta$ -diols (motidiol and germanidiol) hitherto reported are revised to  $2\alpha$ ,  $3\alpha$ -diols.

KEYWORDS—triterpenoid; olean-18-ene-2 $\alpha$ ,3 $\alpha$ -diol; fern-7-ene-2 $\alpha$ ,3 $\alpha$ -diol; olean-12-ene-2 $\alpha$ ,3 $\alpha$ -diol; neohop-12-ene-2 $\alpha$ ,3 $\alpha$ -diol; olean-12-ene-2 $\alpha$ ,3 $\alpha$ -diol; lup-20(29)-ene-2 $\alpha$ ,3 $\alpha$ -diol; adian-5-ene-2 $\alpha$ ,3 $\alpha$ -diol;  $\alpha$ -diol;

A Japanese wild azalea, Rhododendron macrocepalum Maxim. (R. linearifolium Sieb. et Zucc. var. macrocepalum Makino, Japanese name "Mochi-tsutsuji", Ericaceae) is one of the most common species in the central part of Honshû and Shikoku. The calyx of the bursting buds is very rich in mucilaginous hair. The triterpenoid components of the buds were first reported by Kariyone et al. (motiol, motic acid and ursolic acid) and then by Hirata et al. (motiol, neomotiol, germanidiol, motidiol, adianenediol, fernenediol, epigermanidiol) with their structural elucidation. This paper concerns reinvestigation of the same materials resulting in the isolation and characterization of seventeen compounds including six 3 $\beta$ -ols (1-6), two 3 $\beta$ -ol acids (11, 12), five 2 $\alpha$ ,3 $\alpha$ -diols (7-10, 17) and four 2 $\alpha$ ,3 $\beta$ -diols (13-16) belonging to migrated hopane, oleanane, ursane and lupane groups as shown in Table I.

Table I. Triterpenoids Isolated from the Buds of Rhododendron macrocepalum

Compounds	Common name	Alcoh mp°C	10]ς [α] <sub>D</sub>	lit. mp°C	[α] <sub>D</sub>		Deriv Rt <sub>R</sub> a	ed perace ) mp°C	tates [α] <sub>D</sub>	lit. mp°C	[ a ] <sub>D</sub>	Ref.
friedelan-3ß-ol(1)	epifriedelanol	281-283	+23.5	265	+27	la	4.97	>290	+40.5	299	+28	6)
olean-12-en-3ß-ol( <b>2</b> ) ursan-12-en-3ß-ol( <b>3</b> )	β-amyrin α-amyrin	} identif	ied by	GC-MS	and <sup>1</sup> H-	NMR s	pectru	m				
fern-7-en-36-ol(4)	motiol	221-223	-28.7	218	-44	4 a	5.32	262-263	-6.6	247	-3	2)
neohop-12-en-3ß-ol( <b>5</b> )	neomotiol	227-228	+35.5	228	-24	5a	5.29	212-214	+35.8	234	-24	2,5)
fern-9(11)-en-3ß-ol(6)	fernenol	193-194	-24.0	193	-19.4	6 a	4.69	218-220	-9.4	223	-8.9	7)
olean-18-ene- $2\alpha$ , $3\alpha$ -diol(7)	germanidiol	275-277	+15.4	275	+36.8	7 a	4.72	260-261	-6.0	264	+36.8	2,11)
olean-12-ene-2α,3α-diol( <b>8=A</b>	)	286-288	+74.3			Aa	4.83	> 290	+40.0			
fern-7-ene-2α,3α-diol( <b>9</b> )	motidiol	234-236	-23.7	233	-22.3	9a	7.09	259-260	-22.9	252	-12.2	2)
neohop-12-ene-2α,3α-dio1( <b>10</b>	)	244-245	+30.8			10a	7.06	233-235	+4.6			
3B-hydroxyolean-12-en- 28-oic acid(11) 3B-hydroxyursan-12-en- 28-oic acid(12)	oleanolic acid $\left. \right\}$ identified by GC-MS and $^1$ H-NMR spectrum ursolic acid											
olean-12-ene-2 $\alpha$ ,3 $\beta$ -diol(13)		210-211	+79.4			13a	6.19	183-184	+29.9			
fern-9(11)-ene-2α,3β-dio1(1	4) fernenediol	202-204	-36.9	204	-34	14a	7.65	242-244	-52.6	240	-65	3)
lup-20(29)-ene-2α,3β-diol(1	5)	232-233	+18.6			15 a	6.51	128-130	+5.4			
olean-18-ene-2α,3β-diol( <b>16</b> )	epigermanidiol	220-222	-2.0	221	-1.4	16 a	6.25	171-172	-17.5	171	-13	3)
adian-5-ene-2 $\alpha$ ,3 $\alpha$ -diol(17)	adianenediol	234-236	+2.3	231	+3	17a	7.32	222-223	-21.5	211	-30	2)

a) GC were run with a Hitachi 163 on Chromosorb G HP coated with SE-30 (1.4%) at  $260^{\circ}$ C in a flow of nitrogen. Cholestane was used as reference and its retention time was set at 3.5 min.

Vol. 32 (1984)

Hexane extraction of the fresh bursting buds (1.69 kg) collected at Higashiyama, Kyoto city, on May gave an extract (20.3 g) with water (420 g). The extract was separated by silica gel chromatography into 18 fractions using a hexane-benzene-ether solvent system. The main part of Frac. 8 (benzene, 0.37 g) was friedelan-3 $\beta$ -ol (epifriedelanol, 1), which as well as the derived acetate were identified by mp and IR and  $^{1}$ H-NMR spectra with samples obtained from friedelin. Frac. 9 (benzene-ether 8:2, 2.07 g) was a mixture (2:1) of  $\beta$ -amyrin (2) and  $\alpha$ -amyrin (3), which was determined by GC-MS and the  $^{1}$ H-NMR spectrum applied to a mixture of samples. Frac. 10 (hexane-benzene 7:3, 2.44 g) was separated into three components by recrystallization and 20% AgNO<sub>3</sub>-silical gel chromatography after acetylation. Compounds 4 and 5 were identified with the authentic samples of motiol and neomotiol,  $^{2}$  respectively, by mp and IR and  $^{1}$ H-NMR spectra of the alcohols and their corresponding acetates. Compound 6 was the most soluble one of the three, and identified with an authentic sample of fernenol by method similar to that used for 4 or 5. All the three alcohols and their acetates gave  $^{1}$ H-NMR spectra (Table II) reasonably comparable with those of the corresponding hydrocarbons.

Next, two diols (7, 8) obtained from Frac. 14 (benzene-ether 1:1, 2.48 g) and another two (9, 10) from Frac. 15 (benzene-ether 1:1, 1.99 g) appeared to be the same type of 2,3-diols because they demonstrated similar patterns of vicinal protons signals attached to the C-2 and C-3 of the diols and also of the derived diacetates. To clarify the structures of these diols, olean-12-ene-2 $\alpha$ ,3 $\alpha$ -diol (A) and olean-12-ene-2 $\beta$ ,3 $\beta$ -diol (B) were synthesized from  $\beta$ -amyrin via olean-2,12-diene according to the method for the oleanolic acid derivatives. The coupling patterns of two protons attached to C-2 and C-3 demonstrated their structures as shown in Table II [C-2 $\beta$  (axial) and C-3 $\beta$  (equatorial) for A, and C-2 $\alpha$  (equatorial) and C-3 $\alpha$  (axial) for B], while the methyl signals of C-24 and 25 were observed at remarkably lower fields in B by the effect of the C-2 $\beta$  (axial) hydroxyl group. All the four natural diols gave patterns similar to those of A to exhibit the 2 $\alpha$ ,3 $\alpha$ -diol structure. Incidentally, compounds 7 and 9 were proved to be identical with germanidiol and motidiol by comparing the mp, [ $\alpha$ ], and their IR, H-NMR and MS spectra with those of the authentic samples of diols and derived diacetates. Thus the structures of germanidiol and motidiol must be revised to olean-18-ene-2 $\alpha$ ,3 $\alpha$ -diol (7) and fern-7-ene-2 $\alpha$ ,3 $\alpha$ -diol (9), respectively. Although 8

Table II. H-Chemical Shifts (6) in CDCl<sub>3</sub> Solution (JEOL FX 100)

	23	24	Methyl 25	Signals 26	of C- 27	28	29	30	Proton attacl	hed to C- 3	Olefinic proton	Acetyl methyl
4	0.966	0.856	0.746	0.990	0.892	0.732	0.828d,			3.24dd	[7] 5.37ddd	
4a	0.848	0.926	0.770	0.990	0.894	0.736	.6) 0.828d,	0.896d		(5.2,10.4 4.51dd	[7] 5.36ddd	2.046
5	0.990	0.786	0.882	0.732	1.110	0.754	.6) 0.846d,	0.932d		3.23dd	(3.4,3.4,4.0) [12] 5.05ddd	
5 a	0.866	0.866	0.910	0.738	1.118	0.758	.6) 0.846d,	0.934d		(5.8,9.9 4.50dd	[12] 5.05ddd	2.042
6	0.964	0.870	1.068	0.732	0.816	0.760	.6) 0.828d,	0.890d		(6.6,9.1 3.21dd	[11] 5.30ddd	
6 a	0.848	0.942	1.086	0.730	0.806	0.760	0.830d,	0.890d		(6.1,9.1 4.48dd	[11] Ś.30ddd	2.044
7	1.004	0.848	0.938	1.066	0.742	1.014	(6. 0.938	0.938	3.99ddd	(6.6,8.6 3.41d	[19] 4.85d	
7 a	0.876	0.978	1.000	1.086	0.786	1.020	0.944	0.944	(2.9,4.0,12.6 5.24ddd	4.95d	(1.5) [19] 4.85d	1.962
8=A	1.028	0.870	0.986	0.956	1.140	0.828	0.870	0.870	(2.8,4.2,12.0 4.00ddd	3.43d	(1.4) [12] 5.19dd	2.112
Aa=8a	0.878	1.000	1.066	0.976	1.184	0.838	0.878	0.878	(2.5,4.6,11.) 5.25ddd (2.8,4.6,11.)	4.97d	(3.0,3.0) [12] 5.19dd	1.960 2.108
В	1.020	1.020	1.260	0.988	1.128	0.834	0.870	0.870	4.09ddd	3.22d	(3.0,3.0) [12] 5.20dd	2.108
Ba	0.912	1.066	1.218	1.000	1.128	0.830	0.872	0.872	(3.2,3.7,3.7 5.32ddd (3.9,3.9,4.0	4.63d	(3.5,3.5) [12] 5.18dd (3.0,3.0)	2.030 2.044
9	0.992	0.898	0.804	0.992	0.928	0.732		,0.892d .5)	4.01ddd (2.5,6.5,11.	3.46d	[7] 5.38ddd (2.9,2.9,3.2)	
9a	0.874	1.066	0.874	1.000	0.934	0.744	0.832d	0.902d .7)	5.23ddd (2.6,6.6,10.	5.01d	[7] 5.39bd (3.0)	1.972
10	1.024	0.870	0.936	0.726	1.116	0.752	0.844d	0.934d .1)	4.00ddd (2.6,5.2,11.	3.43d	[12] 5.06ddd (2.4,2.4,4.1)	
10 a	0.876	0.996	0.996	0.742	1.152	0.764	0.846d	0.934d .0)	5.24ddd (2.6,4.8,12.	4.97d	[12] 5.04ddd (2.0,2.0,4.2)	1.966
13	1.042	0.836	1.018	0.968	1.134	0.836	0.876	0.876	3.70ddd (4.2,9.1,9.1	3.01d	[12] 5.19dd (3.4,3.4)	
13a	0.916	0.916	1.084	0.966	1.126	0.828	0.872	0.872	5.12ddd (4.7,10.3,10	4.74d	[12] 5.17dd (3.6.3.6)	1.976 2.052
14	1.004	0.906	1.132	0.734	0.808	0.756		,0.882d .6)	3.68ddd (3.9,9.8,10.	2.98d	[11] 5.34ddd (2.4,3.0,4.2)	
14a	0.862	0.992	1.198	0.726	0.798	0.758	0.826d	,0.886d .0)	5.11ddd (4.3,10.3,11	4.71d	[11] 5.31ddd (2.4,2.7,3.7)	1.990 2.054
15	1.004	0.794	0.942	1.026	0.942	0.794		1.678	3.66ddd (4.4.9.6.11.	2.96d	[29] 4.57dd,4.69 (1.2,2.3)(2.3)	
15 a	0.880	0.880	0.976	1.030	0.934	0.782		1.670	5.10ddd (4.9,10.3,10	4.71d	[29] 4.56dd,4.68 (1.2,2.3)(2.3)	d 1.960 2.044
16	1.014	0.810	0.940	1.072	0.734	1.014	0.940	0.940	3.70ddd (4.7,9.8,10.	2.98d	[19] 4.85d (1.5)	
16 a	0.882	0.894	0.942	1.076	0.730	1.014	0.942	0.942	5.14ddd (4.5,10.3,11	4.73d	[19] 4.85d (1.7)	1.986 2.050
17	1.160	0.980	0.798	0.918	0.980	0.776		,0.886d .4)	3.87ddd (2.2,6.6,6.9	3.42d	[6] 5.63ddd (2.9,3.3,3.7)	
17a	1.080	1.026	0.808	0.938	0.990	0.790	0.824d	,0.888d .2)	5.02dd (2.0,3.5)	4.99bs	[6] 5.63ddd (2.2,3.4,3.7)	2.050 2.050

 $\hbox{ Compounds with $\bf a$ added to the symbol are the corresponding peracetates. } \hbox{ Signals are singlet unless otherwise stated.}$ 

was not obtained as pure crystals because of contamination of 7, the presence of olean-12-ene- $2\alpha$ ,  $3\alpha$ -diol in Frac. 14 was proved by comparison of the  $[\alpha]_D$ ,  $^1$ H-NMR and MS spectra with those of **A** and **7**. The fourth <u>cis</u> diol (10) was a new compound and its structure, neohop-12-ene- $2\alpha$ ,  $3\alpha$ -diol, was established by comparing its MS (m/z 218) and  $^1$ H-NMR (Table II) spectra with those of neohop-12-ene. Moreover, the methyl signals assignable to C-23, 24 and 25 of the four  $2\alpha$ ,  $3\alpha$ -diols (7, 8, 9, 10) and their diacetates (7a, 8a, 9a, 10a) exhibited almost the same shift values as those of the corresponding hydrocarbons. The acidic part of Frac. 15 was proved to be a mixture of oleanolic (11) and ursolic acids (12) (1:2) by GC-MS and  $^1$ H-NMR spectrum.

Frac. 16 (benzene-ether 4:1, 6.50 g) after acetylation was separated into acetates of three diols (13, 14, 15) by 20%  $AgNO_3$ -silica gel chromatography and HPLC (Bondapak A, methanol-chloroform-water 74:16:10). Frac. 17 (ether, 0.94 g) was also separated into two diols (16, 17) by HPLC (Bondapak A, methanol-chloroform-water 85:5:10). The four natural diols (13, 14, 15, 16) appeared to be the same type as  $2\alpha,3\beta$ -diols because the diols and their diacetates (13a, 14a, 15a, 16a) demonstrated similar patterns of C-2 $\beta$  and C-3 $\alpha$  diaxial protons<sup>12,13)</sup> as shown in Table II. The carbon skeletons of each compound were determined as follows. Olean-12-ene-2 $\alpha,3\beta$ -diol (13) as well as its diacetate (13a) gave a characteristic MS fragment

(m/z 218), and a triplet signal of C-12 olefinic proton and methyl signals of C-26 - 30 corresponding to olean-12-ene. Fern-9(11)-ene-2 $\alpha$ ,3 $\beta$ -diol (14) showed a characteristic MS fragment (m/z 275 for 14, 239 for 14a) and ddd signal of C-11 olefinic proton as well as five methyl signals of fern-9(11)-ene. 14 was identified with fernenediol by comparison of mp, [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub>, and IR and H-NMR spectra with those of the authentic sample. Lup-20(29)-ene-2 $\alpha$ ,3 $\beta$ -diol (15) and its diacetate (15a) demonstrated characteristic H-NMR signals of lup-20(29)-ene (two proton signals of endo-methylene, one olefinic methyl and three methyl singlets of C-26, 27, 28). Both olean-18-ene-2 $\alpha$ ,3 $\beta$ -diol (16) and its diacetate (16a) gave the MS fragments (m/z 204, 189, 177, 218), and the H-NMR signals of 18-olefinic proton and five methyls of C-26-30. Compound 16 was proved to be identical to epigermanidiol by methods similar to those used for 14. The H methyl signals of C-23, 24 and 25 of the four 2 $\alpha$ ,3 $\beta$ -diols and their diacetates also gave very reasonable shift values compared with those of the corresponding hydrocarbons.

Finally compound 17 gave a peculiar pattern of vicinal proton signals in the  $^1$ H-NMR spectrum. The MS fragmentation pattern indicated that the compound is a derivative of adian-5-ene. The olefinic proton signal and six methyl signals (C-25 - 30) of 17 and its acetate (17a) also suggested that the compound is the 2,3-diol of adian-5-ene. Although direct comparison was not successful because the authentic sample had deteriorated, the physical constants of 17 and 17a were very similar to those of adianenediol and its diacetate. The stereochemistry of 2,3-diol was established as follows: 1) The coupling pattern of the vicinal protons attached to C-2 and C-3 suggested that ring A had a deformed chair conformation with  $2\beta$ ,  $3\beta$  protons. 2) The fact that the two hydroxyls in 17 or the two acetoxyls in 17a did not affect the chemical shift of C-25 methyl (9 $\beta$ ) indicated that the hydroxyls or the acetoxyls have  $\alpha$ -configurations. 3) Diacetate (17a) was treated with  $4N-H_2SO_4$  in benzene-acetic acid at  $20^{\circ}$ C for a few days to give  $2\alpha$ ,  $3\alpha$ -diol diacetate having the same vicinal proton pattern as those of 7a - 10a (the main product was supposed to be fern-8-ene- $2\alpha$ ,  $3\alpha$ -diol diacetate). Thus compound 17 was established to be adian-5-ene- $2\alpha$ ,  $3\alpha$ -diol.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS We are greatly indebted to Professor Y. Hirata in Meijô University and his collaborators in Nagoya University for their kind gifts of the various authentic samples described above.

## REFERENCES AND NOTES

- 1) T. Kariyone, Y. Hashimoto, and S. Tobinaga, Pharm. Bull., 5, 369 (1957).
- S. Nakamura, T. Yamada, H. Wada, Y. Inoue, T. Goto, and Y. Hirata, <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u>, <u>1965</u>, 2017;
  S. Nakamura, T. Yamada, T. Goto, and Y. Hirata, <u>Nippon Kagaku Kaishi</u>, <u>86</u>, 1308 (1965);
  S. Nakamura, Y. Inoue, T. Goto, and Y. Hirata, <u>ibid.</u>, <u>86</u>, 1310;
  T. Yamada, S. Nakamura, T. Goto, Y. Hirata, <u>ibid.</u>,
- 3) H. Wada, G. Goto, T. Goto, and Y. Hirata, Tetrahedron Lett., 1966, 3461.
- 4) All compounds listed in the table gave successful data of high MS to prove their molecular formulae.
- 5) The reported  $[\alpha]_D$ s of neomotiol and its acetate were different from our results, but the authentic sample of neomotiol gave  $[\alpha]_D$  +35.0° in our laboratory.
- 6) M. Takahashi, W. Kamisako, S. Ishimasa, and K. Miyamura, Yakugaku Zasshi, 79, 1281 (1959).
- 7) K. Nishimoto, M. Ito, S. Natori, and T. Ohmoto, Tetrahedron, 24, 735 (1968).
- 8) H. Ageta, and Y. Arai, Phytochemistry, 22, 1801 (1983).
- 9) H. T. Cheung, and T. C. Yan, Chem. Commun., 1970, 369.
- 10) B. F. Bowden, R. C. Cambie, and J. C. Parnell, Aust. J. Chem., 28, 91 (1975).
- 11) Although the reported  $[\alpha]_D$ s of germanidiol and its diacetate were different from ours, the authentic sample of germanidiol diacetate showed a  $[\alpha]_D$  of -4.2° in our laboratory.
- 12) C. H. Brieskorn, and W. Krause, Arch. Pharmaz., 307, 602 (1974).
- 13) M. Ogura, G. A. Cordell, and N. R. Farnsworth, Lloydia, 40, 157 (1977).

(Received November 7, 1983)