## Neo-clerodane Diterpenes from Ajuga decumbens

Hiroko Shimomura, Yutaka Sashida\* and Kazunori Ogawa

Tokyo College of Pharmacy, 1432-1 Horinouchi, Hachioji, Tokyo 192-03, Japan. Received September 7, 1988

From the whole plants of *Ajuga decumbens*, four new neo-clerodane diterpenes, ajugamarins A2, G1, H1 and F4, and a previously known ajugamarin B2 have been isolated. The structures were elucidated on the basis of spectral data and chemical correlations.

Keywords neo-clerodane; diterpene; bitter principle; Ajuga decumbens Labiatae; adjugamarin

Ajuga decumbens THUNB. is a common perennial plant growing in China, Korea and Japan. It is used as a folk medicine for treatment of inflammation and infectious disease in China and Japan. As a part of a continuing study on the chemical constituents of the Ajuga species growing in Japan, we have now investigated the whole plants of A. decumbens. The bitter taste of the leaves suggested the existence of neo-clerodane diterpenes in view of the known chemotaxonomy. As a result, we have isolated four new neo-clerodanes, ajugamarins A2 (1), G1 (2), H1 (3) and F4 (4), and one previously known neo-clerodane ajugamarin B2 (5), the plant. The structures of these compounds have been elucidated on the basis of the combined spectral and physical evidence, and chemical correlation.

Chart 1

TABLE I. 1H-NMR Spectral Data<sup>a)</sup>

	1	2	3	4
H-lα	5.71 (ddd, 11.0, 11.0, 4.8)	5.73 (ddd, 10.8, 10.8, 4.8)	5.55 (ddd, 10.8, 10.8, 4.8)	1.70—1.50 <sup>b)</sup>
Η-1β			_	1.87 (br d, 13)
Η-2α	$2.16^{b_1}$	2.16 (dddd, 12.4, 5.2, 4.8, 2.4)	2.17 (dddd, 12.4, 5.2, 4.8, 2.4)	1.98 (br d, 13)
$H-2\beta$	1.47 <sup>b)</sup>	b) .		1.37 (ddddd, 13.0, 13.5, 13.5, 4.8, 4.8)
Η-3α		2.41 (dddd, 13.6, 12.4, 10.8, 5.2)		
$H-3\beta$	1.15 (ddd, 14.0, 4.4, 2.8)	1.14 (ddd, 13.6, 5.2, 2.4)	1.08 (ddd, 13.6, 5.2, 2.4)	1.05 (br d, 13.5)
Η-6β	5.67 (dd, 10.8, 4.0)	4.67 (dd, 11.2, 4.8)	4.61 (dd, 11.2, 4.2)	4.71 (dd, 10.4, 4.8)
Η-7α	1.71 (ddd, 12, 12, 11)	1.72 (ddd, 13.2, 11.2, 12)	1.69 (ddd, 13.2, 11.2, 12)	
Η-7β	$1.54^{b}$	b)	b)	$1.70-1.50^{b}$
$H-8\beta$	$1.79^{b)}$	b)	1.80 (m)	
H-10	2.13 (d, 11.0)	2.15 (d, 10.8)	2.18 (d, 10.8)	1.57 (dd, 12.5, 2.8)
$H_{A}-11$	2.69 (dd, 16.0, 9.2)	2.74 (dd, 16.0, 10.8)	2.80 (dd, 16.0, 10.8)	2.12 (dd, 16.4, 9.4)
	1.50 (dd, 16.0, 2.4)	1.45 (dd, 16.0)	b)	1.53 (br d, 16.4)
H-12	5.85 (br d, 9.2)	5.75 (br d, 10.8)	5.99 (br d, 10.8)	5.62 (br d, 9.4)
H-14	5.88 (ddd, 1.8, 1.8, 1.2)	5.85 (ddd, 1.8, 1.8, 1.2)	5.93 (ddd, 1.8, 1.8, 1.2)	5.93 (ddd, 1.8, 1.8, 1.8)
H <sub>4</sub> -16	4.78 (dd, 17.7, 1.8)	4.79 (dd, 17.6, 1.8)	4.82 (dd, 17.6, 1.8)	4.86 (dd, 17.6, 1.8)
H <sub>B</sub> -16	4.72 (dd, 17.7, 1.8)	4.69 (dd, 17.6, 1.8)	4.75 (dd, 17.6, 1.8)	4.71 (dd, 17.6, 1.8)
	0.85 (d, 6.1)	0.85 (d, 6.2)	0.87 (d, 6.2)	0.83 (d, 5.9)
-	3.00 (dd, 3.8, 2.3)	2.98 (dd, 4.0, 2.2)	2.89 (dd, 4.0, 2.2)	2.94 (dd, 3.8, 2.4)
	2.28 (d, 3.8)	2.24 (d, 4.0)	2.11 (d, 4.0)	2.16 (d, 3.8)
	4.97 (d, 12.7)	4.97 (d, 12.5)	4.93 (d, 12.5)	4.82 (d, 12.1)
	4.44 (br d, 12.7)	4.44 (br d, 12.5)	4.38 (br d, 12.5)	4.35 (d, 12.1)
	0.79 (s)	0.80 (s)	0.79 (s)	0.75 (s)
H-3'	6.95 (qq, 7.1, 1.4)	6.96 (qq, 7.1, 1.4)	7.06 (qq, 7.1, 1.4)	_
H <sub>3</sub> -4'	1.80 (dd, 7.1, 1.2)	1.80 (dd, 7.1, 1.2)	1.86 (dd, 7.1, 1.2)	_
H <sub>3</sub> -5'	1.87 (dd, 1.4, 1.2)	1.88 (dd, 1.4, 1.2)	1.92 (dd, 1.4, 1.2)	
H-2′′	_	2.45 (qdd, 6.9, 6.9, 6.9)	2.29 (qdd, 6.9, 6.9, 6.9)	2.37 (qdd, 6.9, 6.9, 6.9)
H <sub>2</sub> -3''	_	$1.70 - 1.50^{b}$	$1.70 - 1.50^{b}$	$1.70-1.50^{b}$
H <sub>3</sub> -4′′	_	0.96 (t, 7.5)	0.90 (t, 7.5)	0.91 (t, 7.5)
H <sub>3</sub> -5′′		1.23 (d, 6.9)	1.14 (d, 6.9)	1.16 (d, 6.9)
Ac	2.18	2.13	2.12	2.10
	2.13	1.95	1.94	1.95
	1.96	•		

a) Spectra were measured in  $CDCl_3$  at 400.1 MHz. Chemical shifts (in  $\delta$  values) and coupling constants were obtained by first-order approximation. b) Chemical shifts or parameters of these protons were unidentified.

April 1989 997

TABLE II. <sup>13</sup>C-NMR Spectral Data<sup>a)</sup>

	1	2	3	4
C-1	70.3 <sup>b)</sup>	70.3 <sup>b)</sup>	70.6 <sup>b)</sup>	21.5
C-2	32.1	32.3	31.6	24.8
C-3	30.4	30.5	30.4	$32.5^{b)}$
C-4	64.3	64.2	64.1	64.9
C-5	46.1	46.2	46.0	45,5
C-6	$71.4^{b)}$	$71.5^{b}$	$71.3^{b}$	71.8
C-7	32.6	32.7	32.6	$32.9^{b)}$
C-8	35.2	35.3	34.9	35.6
C-9	39.1	39.2	39.2	39.5
C-10	51.2	51.5	50.4	48.2
C-11	40.5	40.7	40.9	41.1
C-12	66.8	66.8	66.6	66.2
C-13	168.4	168.8	169.2	168.6
C-14	116.1	116.0	115.8	116.0
C-15	172.3	172.3	173.0	172.4
C-16	70.6	70.8	70.7	70.5
C-17	15.4	15.4	15.4	15.5
C-18	48.6	48.9	48.6	49.2
C-19	61.6	61.9	61.7	61.6
C-20	16.9	17.1	17.2	17.0
C-1'c)	166.7	166.7	166.4	
C-2′	129.4	129.6	128.3	
C-3′	138.2	138.1	139.6	
C-4'	12.3	12.3	12.2	
C-5′	14.5	14.5	14.6	
C-1′′c)		175.6	175.6	175.6
C-2''		41.1	41.7	40.8
C-3′′		27.0	27.1	26.9
C-4''		11.6	11.5	11.5
C-5''		15.8	16.2	15.8
Ac	170.4	170.3	170.4	170.9
	169.7	169.7	169.7	169.9
	169.5	21.2	21.2	21.2
	21.2	21.1	21.1	21.1
	21.1			
	21.0			

a) Spectral data were measured in CDCl<sub>3</sub> at  $100.6\,\mathrm{MHz}$ . Chemical shifts are given in  $\delta$  values. b) These assignments may be interchanged. c) C-1'—5' tigloyl moiety; C-1''—5'', 2-methylbutanoyl moiety.

Ajugamarin A2 (1),  $C_{31}H_{42}O_{11}$ , showed an [M]<sup>+</sup> peak at m/z 590 in the electron impact mass spectrum (EI-MS). The clerodane structure<sup>4)</sup> of 1 was concluded from the <sup>1</sup>H-nuclear magnetic resonance (<sup>1</sup>H-NMR) spectral data to resemble closely that of ajugamarin A1 (6) isolated from *A. nipponensis*.<sup>3a,b)</sup> An additional acetyl group and the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR signal of H-12 in 6 were different from those of 1. The identity of 1 with the 12-acetate of 6 was assumed from the above, and was confirmed. Thus, the structure of 1 was (12S)-6 $\alpha$ ,12,19-triacetoxy-1 $\beta$ -tigloyl-4,18-epoxyneo-clerod-13(14)-en-15,16-olide.

Ajugamarin G1 (2),  $C_{34}H_{48}O_{11}$ , showed the [M]<sup>+</sup> peak at m/z 632 in the EI-MS. Its <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra were closely related to those of 1 and 6, suggesting that 2 had a neo-clerodane structure corresponding to that of 1 except for the positions of four acyl groups, one tigloyl, one 2-methylbutanoyl and two acetyls. The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR signal of H-1 $\alpha$  ( $\delta$  5.71) in 1 appeared at lower field than that ( $\delta$ 5.55) in 5. The H-12 signals of 1 and 5 were observed at  $\delta$ 5.85, whereas that of the 1,19-diacetate of ajugamarin E1 (7)<sup>3c)</sup> appeared at  $\delta$ 5.75. By analogy with the above, the locations of the 1 $\beta$ -tigloyloxyl and the 12-(2-methylbutanoyloxyl) groups in 2 were deduced. To obtain the complete structure of 2, the 12-[(2S)-2-methylbutanoate] of 6 was prepared

(see Experimental). The identity between the derivative and **2** demonstrated that **2** was (12S)- $6\alpha$ ,19-diacetoxy-12-[(2S)-2-methylbutanoyloxy]-1 $\beta$ -tigloyloxy-4,18-epoxyneo-clerod-13(14)-en-15,16-olide.

Ajugamarin H1 (3),  $C_{34}H_{48}O_{11}$ , showed the same [M]<sup>+</sup> peak as 2 at m/z 632 in the EI-MS. The <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectral data of 3, which closely resembled those of 2, suggested that 3 contained the neo-clerodane structure of 2 and the same ester moieties. The difference between 2 and 3 was attributable to positional changes of the esters. Significant differences of the H-1 $\alpha$  and H-12 signals in the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra between 2 and 3 suggested the 1-position for the 2-methylbutanoyloxyl group and the 12-position for the tigloyl group in 3. Confirmation of the structure of 3 was obtained by chemical correlation with the 12-tiglate of ajugamarin B1 (8)<sup>3a,b)</sup> (see Experimental). The structure of 3 was (12S)-6 $\alpha$ ,19-diacetoxy-1 $\beta$ -[(2S)-2-methylbutanoyloxyl]-12-tigloyloxy-4,18-epoxyneo-clerod-13(14)-en-15,16-olide.

Ajugamarin F4 (4),  $C_{29}H_{42}O_9$ , showed an  $[M+H]^+$  peak at m/z 535 in the EI-MS. In the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of 4, the major signals showed almost identical chemical shifts and couplings with those of ajugamarin F1 (9) previously isolated from A. ciliata var. villosior<sup>3c)</sup> except for two acetoxyl groups at the  $6\alpha$ - and 19-positions. The diacetate of 9 was identical with 4. Thus, the structure of 4 was (12S)- $6\alpha$ ,19-diacetoxy-12-[(2S)-2-methylbutanoyloxy]-4,18-epoxyneo-clerod-13(14)-en-15,16-olide.

Three Japanese species, A. nipponensis,  $^{3a,b)}$  A. ciliata var. villosior<sup>3c)</sup> and A. decumbens, contain neo-clerodanes having the  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated  $\gamma$ -lactone structure. Neo-clerodanes having the  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated  $\gamma$ -lactone structure were also isolated from an African species, A. remota.<sup>5)</sup> European Ajuga species<sup>6)</sup> contain neo-clerodanes characterized by furofuran structure, except for two minor constituents from A. reptans.<sup>7)</sup> Thus, it appears that Ajuga species containing neo-clerodanes can probably be divided into those containing furofuran type neo-clerodanes and those containing  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated  $\gamma$ -lactone type neo-clerodanes.

## Experimental

Melting points are uncorrected. The  $^{1}$ H- and  $^{13}$ C-NMR spectra were measured on a Bruker AM400 spectrometer. Chemical shifts are given in  $\delta$  values (tetramethylsilane as an internal standard). Optical rotations were measured with a Jasco DIP-360 polarimeter. Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded with a Perkin-Elmer 1710 FT-IR instrument. Mass spectrum (MS) measurements were recorded with a Hitachi M-80 spectrometer.

Extraction and Isolation The dried whole plants (500 g) of A. decumbens (crude drug purchased from Tochimoto-tenkaido, Osaka) were extracted with hot EtOAc. Repeated column chromatography of the concentrated EtOAc extract on silica gel (Fuji gel BW300) with CHCl<sub>3</sub>–Me<sub>2</sub>CO (8:2) and  $C_6H_{12}$ –EtOAc (5:5) systems afforded four new neo-clerodanes, ajugamarins A2 (1, 28.7 mg), G1 (2, 13.1 mg), H1 (3, 4.0 mg) and F<sub>4</sub> (4, 5.2 mg), together with a known neo-clerodane ajugamarin B2 (5, 9.8 mg). The previously known ajugamarin B2 was shown to be identical with an authentic sample by spectroscopic (<sup>1</sup>H-NMR, <sup>13</sup>C-NMR, IR and MS) and physical (thin layer chromatography (TLC), melting point, mixed melting point and [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub>) comparisons.

**Ajugamarin A2 (1)** Amorphous solid. [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub><sup>25</sup> -38 ° (c = 2.8, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). IR  $\nu$  <sup>KBr</sup><sub>max</sub> cm<sup>-1</sup>: 2970, 2930, 1780, 1740, 1640, 1370, 1250, 1230, 1150, 1130, 1080, 1060, 1040, 1020. EI-MS m/z(%): 590 [M]<sup>+</sup> (3), 560 (3), 547 (2), 530 (1), 517 (4), 475 (10), 375 (14), 371 (10), 345 (12), 311 (12), 201 (25), 187 (25), 171 (20), 83 (100), 55 (100). CI-MS m/z(%): 591 [M + H]<sup>+</sup> (3), 560 (1), 531 (1), 517 (1), 490 (1), 475 (3), 449 (2), 431 (3), 371 (10), 311 (15), 201 (20), 83 (100).

Preparation of 1 from Ajugamarin A1 Acetylation of ajugamarin A1

998 Vol. 37, No. 4

 $(53.0\,\mathrm{mg})$  with  $\mathrm{Ac_2O}$  and pyridine in the usual manner afforded the 12-acetate  $(57.5\,\mathrm{mg})$ . This was identical with naturally occurring 1.

**Ajugamarin G1 (2)** Colorless crystals from EtOH. mp 165—167 °C. *Anal.* Calcd for  $C_{34}H_{48}O_{11} \cdot 1/2$   $C_2H_5OH$ : C, 64.10; H, 7.84. Found: C, 64.10; H, 7.64.  $[\alpha]_D^{15} - 37$  °  $(c=1.1, CHCl_3)$ . IR  $v_{max}^{Rax}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 2970, 2930, 2860, 1780, 1760, 1730, 1700, 1640, 1460, 1370, 1250, 1130, 1080, 1060, 1030. EI-MS m/z(%): 632 [M]+ (0.2), 602 (0.3), 589 (0.2), 559 (0.4), 517 (2), 431 (10), 417 (2), 311 (6), 201 (11), 187 (10), 85 (13), 83 (100), 57 (30), 55 (35). CI-MS m/z(%): 633 [M+H]+ (23), 573 (8), 533 (12), 473 (15), 431 (25), 433 (28), 395 (30), 371 (23), 329 (32), 311 (100), 201 (86), 83.

**Preparation of 2 from Ajugamarin A1** Ajugamarin A1 (28.0 mg) was added to (2S)-2-methylbutanoyl chloride (50 μl) and 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP, 4 mg) in pyridine (0.2 ml). The mixture was refluxed for 10 h, then the solvent was removed from the reaction mixture *in vacuo*. The residue was subjected to silica gel column chromatography. Elution with  $C_6H_{12}$ -EtOAc (6:4) gave the chlorohydrin of the 12-[(2S)-2-methylbutanoate] of ajugamarin A1 (13.6 mg). The chlorohydrin (6.0 mg in 1 ml of MeOH) was treated with 1% aqueous sodium bicarbonate (0.5 ml). The mixture was left at room temperature for 10 min. The CHCl<sub>3</sub> extract of the mixture was chromatographed over silica gel with  $C_6H_{12}$ -EtOAc (6:4), affording the 12-[(2S)-2-methylbutanoate] of ajugamarin A1 (3.2 mg). The ester was identical ( $^1$ H-NMR,  $^1$ <sup>3</sup>C-NMR, IR, MS, melting point, mixed melting point, [α]<sub>D</sub> and TLC) with natural 2.

**Ajugamarin H1 (3)** Colorless needles from  $C_6H_{12}$ –Me $_2$ CO. mp 75—79 °C. [ $\alpha$ ] $_2^{D5}$  –11 ° (c = 1.2, CHCl $_3$ ). IR  $v_{max}^{KBr}$  cm $^{-1}$ : 2970, 2930, 2850, 1780, 1750, 1730, 1640, 1450, 1370, 1250, 1170, 1150, 1130, 1080, 1030, 880. EI-MS m/z(%): 632 [M] $^+$  (0.1), 602 (1), 589 (1), 559 (1), 517 (7), 415 (3), 297 (9), 201 (15), 187 (23), 85 (40), 83 (100), 57 (90), 55 (45). CI-MS m/z(%): 633 [M+H] $^+$  (6), 602 (3), 589 (2), 559 (4), 531 (3), 517 (10), 449 (4), 430 (3), 415 (4), 311 (15), 201 (30), 83 (100).

**Preparation of 3 from Ajugamarin B1** Ajugamarin B1 (52.0 mg) was added to tigloyl chloride (50  $\mu$ l) and DMAP (4 mg) in pyridine (0.5 ml). After being refluxed for 24 h, the reaction mixture was concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was subjected to silica gel column chromatography. Elution with  $C_6H_{12}$ -EtOAc (6:4) gave the chlorohydrin of the 12-tiglate of ajugamarin B1 (10.6 mg). The chlorohydrin (10.6 mg in 1 ml of MeOH) was treated with 1% aqueous sodium bicarbonate (1 ml). The mixture was left at room temperature for 10 min. The CHCl<sub>3</sub> extract of the mixture was chromatographed over silica gel with  $C_6H_{12}$ -EtOAc (6:4), yielding the 12-tiglate of ajugamarin B1 (2.8 mg). The ester was identical ( $^1$ H-NMR,  $^{13}$ C-NMR, IR, MS, melting point, mixed melting point, [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> and TLC) with natural 3.

Ajugamarin F4 (4) Colorless crystals from C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>12</sub>-Me<sub>2</sub>CO. mp 160—

163 °C.  $[\alpha]_{25}^{25}$  – 32 °  $(c=0.9, \text{CHCl}_3)$ . IR  $\nu_{\text{max}}^{\text{KBr}}$  cm  $^{-1}$ : 2980, 2950, 2890, 1780, 1730, 1640, 1460, 1390, 1380, 1370, 1260, 1240, 1370, 1090, 1040, 900, 880, 870, 860, 800. EI-MS m/z (%): 504 [M – CH<sub>2</sub>O] + (3), 491 (12), 461 (13), 419 (100), 389 (10), 299 (20), 203 (58). CI-MS m/z (%): 535 [M + H] + (4), 490 (5), 475 (12), 461 (7), 419 (25), 313 (48), 203 (100).

Preparation of 4 from Ajugamarin F1 Acetylation of ajugamarin F1 (35.2 mg) with Ac<sub>2</sub>O and pyridine in the usual manner afforded the 6,19-diacetate (7.7 mg), the 6-acetate (3.8 mg) and the 19-acetate (7.7 mg). The 6,19-diacetate was identical with naturally occurring 4.

Acknowledgement We thank Dr. Y. Shida and Ms. Y. Kaneko of the Analytical Center of Tokyo College of Pharmacy for MS measurements.

## References and Notes

- J. Ohwi and M. Kitagawa, "New Flora of Japan," Shibundo, Tokyo, 1983.
- a) M. Konoshima, S. Shibata, T. Shimomura and J. Azuma (eds.) "Yakuyo Shokubutu Daijiten," Hirokawa Publishing, Tokyo, 1963, p. 111; b) Zhongguo Kexue Yuan Zhiowu Xanjiu Suo (ed.) "Yaoxong Zhiwu Zhi," Vol. 2, J. Pei and T. Zhou, Kexue Cuban She, Baijing, 1951; c) Jiangsu Xinyixue Yuan (ed.) "Zhongyao Dacidian," Shanghai Kexue Jishu Cuban She, Shanghai, 1986, p. 2405; d) Luteolin was isolated from A. decumbense as a constituent having antitussive and expectorant effects. H. Peng, S. Xiang and Z. Bi, Yaoxue Tongbao, 16, 11 (1981).
- a) H. Shimomura, Y. Sashida, K. Ogawa and Y. Iitaka, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 31, 2192 (1983); b) H. Shimomura, Y. Sashida and K. Ogawa, ibid., 37, 354 (1989); c) Idem, ibid., 37, 988 (1989).
- D. Rogers, G. G. Unal, D. J. Williams and S. V. Lay, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1979, 97.
- a) I. Kubo, Y.-W. Lee, V. Balogh-Nair, K. Nakanishi and A. Chapya, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1976, 949; b) I. Kubo, J. A. Klocke, I. Miura and Y. Fukuyama, ibid., 1982, 618; c) I. Kubo, Y. Fukuyama and A. Chapya, Chem. Lett., 1983, 223.
- 6) a) F. Camps, J. Coll, A. Cortel and A. Messeguer, Tetrahedron Lett., 1979, 1709; b) A. Hernandez, C. Pascual, J. Sanz and B. Rodriguez, Phytochemistry, 21, 2909 (1982); c) F. Camps, J. Coll and O. Dargallo, ibid., 25, 2577 (1984); d) F. Camps, J. Coll, O. Dargallo, J. Rius and C. Miravitlles, ibid., 26, 1475 (1987); e) F. Camps, J. Coll and A. Cortel, Chem. Lett., 1982, 1053; f) F. Camps, J. Coll and O. Dargallo, Phytochemistry, 23, 387 (1984).
- 7) F. Camps, J. Coll and A. Cortel, Chem. Lett., 1981, 1093.