## REGIO- AND STEREOSELECTIVE FUNCTIONALIZATIONS OF THE WIELAND-MIESCHER KETONE DERIVATIVES AT THE C-8 POSITION

Takeshi SHIMIZU, Sayoko HIRANUMA, and Hirosuke YOSHIOKA RIKEN (The Institute of Physical and Chemical Research), Wako, Saitama, 351-01, Japan

New efficient methods for stereoselective hydroxymethylation, hydroxylation, and thiophenylation at the C-8 position of the Wieland-Miescher ketone derivatives  $(\underline{2}-\underline{4})$  have been developed by diverse modifications from the Kirk-Petrow reaction, which involve autoxidation for the hydroxylation.

KEYWORDS Wieland-Miescher ketone; Kirk-Petrow reaction; phenylthio-methylation; hydroxylation; hydroxymethylation; thiophenylation

Since the Wieland-Miescher ketone  $(\underline{1})$  is a convenient substrate for the synthesis of the natural products of middle or higher terpenoids, simple procedures for the regio- and stereoselective introduction of various functional groups at the specific positions of its decalin ring are essentially important. Among these, the oxidative functionalization at the C-8 position is particularly important, as there are many bioactive terpenoids containing condensed carbocyclic rings whose C-6 positions, which correspond to the C-8 of the decalin ring system, are specifically oxygenated. Typical examples are  $6\beta$ -hydroxygrindelic acid<sup>1)</sup> and a number of insect antifeedants,<sup>2)</sup> or scoparic acid A,<sup>3)</sup> forskolin,<sup>4)</sup> and nagilactones<sup>5)</sup> as cytotoxic substances.

In connection with our series of studies on biorational designs and synthesis of bioactive terpenoids, we initially detected trace amounts of several new useful derivatives, whose C-8 is stereoselectively functionalized, among the products from the Kirk-Petrow reaction<sup>6 a)</sup> applied to the ethylene ketal (2). We now report new procedures that afford the new derivatives in fair to good yields under mild conditions. This is the first report of a functionalization at the C-8 which is completely stereoselective for  $\beta$ -orientation.

The ethylene ketal(2) was primarily converted in 80% yield to the 1-phenylthiomethylated ketone (3) with HCHO, thiophenol and Et<sub>3</sub>N in EtOH according to the protocol of Kirk-Petrow, but we also found a trace of the new derivative (5) which was hydroxymethylated at C-8 in addition to phenylthiomethylation at C-1. Moreover, when 2 was treated with a large excess (6 eq) of HCHO in the presence of KOAc (1.2 eq), in addition to Et<sub>3</sub>N and thiophenol in DMF for 3 h, the 8 $\beta$  derivative (5) was the major product in 66% yield accompanied with a small percentage of 3.5 was also obtained (68%) from 3 under similar conditions. The presence of the hydroxymethyl group at the C-8 in  $\beta$ -orientation was deduced from NMR data<sup>9</sup>) of its new derivatives (9), (10), and (11) obtained by the procedures shown in Fig. 2, and this was confirmed by X-ray crystallography.

More interestingly, treatment of 3 with an excess of thiophenol or diphenyl disufide in the presence of Et<sub>3</sub>N and KOAc in DMF under air for 3-6 hr gave two new derivatives  $(\underline{6})^9$ ) (37%) and  $(7)^9$ ) (46%). These were selectively hydroxylated or thiophenylated at the C-8 in the  $\beta$ -orientation with concurrent formation of the dimeric compounds (15) (Table I, entry 1 and 2).  $\underline{6}$  and  $\underline{7}$  were also prepared from  $\underline{2}$  in similar yields by a one-pot procedure (entry 4). The structure of  $\underline{6}$  was determined on the basis of the NMR data and by the chemical conversions of  $\underline{6}$  to the diol ( $\underline{12}$ ), the acetate ( $\underline{13}$ ) and the diketone ( $\underline{14}$ ).

Table I. Hydroxylation and Thiophenylation of 2 and 3

						Y	)	
Entry	SM	CH <sub>2</sub> O(eq)	PhSH(eq)	(PhS) <sub>2</sub> (eq)		6	7	15
1	3		10	_	Air	37	18	40
2	3	_	3	6	Air	20	46	30
3	3	_	_	2	Air	15	19	62
4	2	1.4	1.4+10*	_	N <sub>2</sub> — Air	31	20	45

The reaction was carried out in DMF at  $100^{\circ}$ C in the presence of  $\text{Et}_{3}\text{N}(1.3\text{eq})$  and KOAc(1.2eq). \*1.4 eq of PhSH was used under  $\text{N}_{2}$  for 6 h ,then 10 eq of PhSH was added and air was introduced.

Fig. 4 
$$\stackrel{60}{\longrightarrow}$$
  $\stackrel{60}{\longrightarrow}$   $\stackrel{$ 

Table II. Oxidation of 4 under Air

Entry	Base (eq)	Additive(eq)	Solvent	Temp.(°C)	Time (day)	8	Yield 16	(%) <u>17</u>	18
1	Et <sub>3</sub> N(1.3),KOAc(1.2)	_	DMF	100	4	36	15		_
2	Et <sub>3</sub> N(1.3), KOAc(1.2)	$\text{Et}_3 \text{N} \rightarrow \text{O(3.0)}$	DMF	100	4	45	9		_
3	кон		MeOH-H <sub>2</sub> O	65	1	70		_	11
4	$Na_2O_2$	<del>-</del>	EtOH-H2O	20	0.1	49		8	3

July 1989 1965

Furthermore, the 1-methyl analog ( $\underline{4}$ ) of  $\underline{2}$  was converted in a moderate yield(45-49%) to the 8β-hydroxyl derivative (8)<sup>7</sup> with Et<sub>3</sub>N and KOAc in the presence of Et<sub>3</sub>N+O under air or with Na<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O . A better yield (70%) for 8 was attained on heating with KOH in H<sub>2</sub>O -MeOH under air accompanied with the acid (18) (11%). None of the  $8\alpha$ -hyroxyl derivatives were detected in these cases (Fig.4 and Table II).

The high steric control for  $\beta$ -orientation of these functional groups at the C-8 of 5, 6,  $\overline{7}$ , or  $\underline{8}$  may be explained in analogy with the mechanism proposed by Holland and Auret, $\overline{8}$ ) where the C-1H analog gives a mixture of the  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -substituted derivatives. We assume We assume in our cases that an axial electrophilic attack at the thermodynamically more stable  $\Delta^{2,9}$ enolate takes place under stereo-electronic control giving the intermediate(s) A and/or B (see Fig. 5); A, with a  $\beta$ -E group, is apparently preferred to B with an  $\alpha$ -E group if a nonbonding interaction between the groups E and R exceeds the 1,3-diaxial interaction resulting from the E and Me group at the C-10.

In this connection, we found that the MCPBA oxidation of the dienol acetate of  $\underline{4}$  gave a mixture of the  $8\alpha$ - and  $8\beta$ -hydroxyl derivatives ( $\underline{8}$ ') and ( $\underline{8}$ ) in a 1 : 2.5 ratio,  $^8$ ) whereas only the  $8\beta$  derivatives were produced by the current procedures involving air autoxidation. The mechanism of these functionalizations in perfect steric control has not yet been clarified.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS Authors are thankful to Miss. K. Kobayashi of RIKEN for X-ray analysis of 3, and to Du Pont de Nemours Co. Ltd. for financial support.

## REFERENCES AND NOTES

- A. F. Rose, K. C. Jones, W. F. Haddon, and D. D. Dreyer, *Phytochemistry*, 20, 2249 (1981).
  H. Yoshioka, "Designs of Bioactive Molecules", ed. by H. Yoshioka and K. Shudo, Soft Science Tokyo, 1987, 182.
- 3) T. Hayashi, M. Kishi, M. Kawasaki, M. Arisawa, M. Shimizu, S. Suzuki, N. Morita, Y. Tezuka, T. Kikuchi, L. H. Berganza, E. Ferro, and I. Basualdo, Tetrahedron Lett., 28, 3693 (1987).
- 4) N. J. de Souza, A. N. Dohadwalla, and J. Reden, Med. Res. Rev., 3, 201(1983).
- 5) Y. Hayashi, T. Matsumoto, Y. Yuki, and T. Sakan, Tetraheron Lett., 1977,4215.
- 6) a) D. N. Kirk and V. Petrow, J. Chem. Soc., 1962, 1091; b) A. B. Smith III and R. Mershaw, J. Org. Chem., 49, 3685 (1984).
- 7) The 2,5-diketone, the  $5\beta$ -hydroxy-2-ketone, and the  $5\beta$ -benzoyloxy-2-ketone derivatives were similarly converted in moderate (up to 40%)yields to the corresponding 8β-hydroxyl derivatives respectively under the same conditions shown in entry 2 (Table II).
- 8) H. L. Holland and B. J. Auret, Can. J. Chem., 53, 2041 (1975).
- 9) For another reference to moderate stereoselective oxidation with MCPBA, see J. B. P. A. Wijnberg, J. Vader, and A. de Groot, J. Org. Chem., 48, 4380 (1983).
- 10) All the new compounds (5 through 18) gave the following NMR spectra (CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ ). 5: <sup>1</sup>H-NMR 1.31 (s, 3 H), 3.09 (m, 1 H), 3.6 - 4.0 (m, 4 H).  $^{13}$  C-NMR 29.15 (t), 41.07(d),65.18 (t), 132.58 (s), 164.81 (s).  $6: ^{1}$  H-NMR 1.55 (s,3 H), 3.61 (d,1H, J = 11.9 Hz), 4.28(d, 1H, J = 11.9 Hz), 4.80 (bt,1H, J = 2.9 Hz, H-8).  $^{13}$  C-NMR 28.61 (t),65.56 (d), 132.53 (s), 162.60 (s). 7: 1H-NMR 1.56 (s, 3H), 3.49 (d, 1H, J = 11.9 Hz), 4.14 (d, 1H, J = 11.9Hz), 4.40 (bt,1H,  $W_{1/2} = 7$  Hz). <sup>13</sup>C NMR 29.15 (t), 46.27 (d), 133.55 (s), 161.89 (s). 8: <sup>1</sup>H-NMR 1.53 (s, 3H), 1.88 (s, 3H), 4.93 (bt, 1H, J = 2.6 Hz, H-8). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR 65.61(d). 9: <sup>1</sup>H-NMR 5.20 (bs, 1H), 5.33 (bs, 1H).  $\frac{10}{10}$ : <sup>1</sup>H-NMR 3.34 (dddd, 1 H, J = 9.2, 6.5, 6.5 Hz, H-8).  $\frac{11}{10}$ : <sup>1</sup>H-NMR 3.66 (d, 1 H, J = 5.9 Hz, H-8).  $\frac{12}{10}$ : <sup>1</sup>H-NMR 1.45 (s, 3 H), 4.26 (dd, 1 H, J = 5.0 Hz, H-8).  $\frac{11}{10}$ : <sup>1</sup>H-NMR 1.45 (s, 3 H), 4.26 (dd, 1 H, J = 5.0 Hz, H-8).  $\frac{11}{10}$ : <sup>1</sup>H-NMR 1.45 (s, 3 H), 4.26 (dd, 1 H, J = 5.0 Hz, H-8).  $\frac{11}{10}$ : <sup>1</sup>H-NMR 1.45 (s, 3 H), 4.26 (dd, 1 H, J = 5.0 Hz, H-8).  $\frac{11}{10}$ : <sup>1</sup>H-NMR 1.45 (s, 3 H), 4.26 (dd, 1 H, J = 5.0 Hz, H-8).  $\frac{11}{10}$ : <sup>1</sup>H-NMR 1.45 (s, 3 H), 4.26 (dd, 1 H, J = 5.0 Hz, H-8).  $\frac{11}{10}$ : <sup>1</sup>H-NMR 1.45 (s, 3 H), 4.26 (dd, 1 H, J = 5.0 Hz, H-8).  $\frac{11}{10}$ : <sup>1</sup>H-NMR 1.45 (s, 3 H), 4.26 (dd, 1 H, J = 5.0 Hz, H-8).  $\frac{11}{10}$ : <sup>1</sup>H-NMR 1.45 (s, 3 H), 4.26 (dd, 1 H, J = 5.0 Hz, H-8).  $\frac{11}{10}$ : <sup>1</sup>H-NMR 1.45 (s, 3 H), 4.26 (dd, 1 H, J = 5.0 Hz, H-8).  $\frac{11}{10}$ : <sup>1</sup>H-NMR 1.45 (s, 3 H), 4.26 (dd, 1 H, J = 5.0 Hz, H-8).  $\frac{11}{10}$ : <sup>1</sup>H-NMR 1.45 (s, 3 H), 4.26 (dd, 1 H, J = 5.0 Hz, H-8).  $\frac{11}{10}$ : <sup>1</sup>H-NMR 1.45 (s, 3 H), 4.26 (dd, 1 H, J = 5.0 Hz, H-8).  $\frac{11}{10}$ : <sup>1</sup>H-NMR 1.45 (s, 3 H), 4.26 (dd, 1 H, J = 5.0 Hz, H-8).  $\frac{11}{10}$ : <sup>1</sup>H-NMR 1.45 (s, 3 H), 4.26 (dd, 1 H, J = 5.0 Hz, H-8).  $\frac{11}{10}$ : <sup>1</sup>H-NMR 1.45 (s, 3 Hz, H-8).  $\frac{11}{10}$ : <sup>1</sup>H-NMR 1.45 (s, 3 Hz, H-8). 1H, J =  $13.\overline{3}$ , 7.0 Hz, H-3), 4.66 (bt, 1H, H-8). $\underline{13}$ : <sup>1</sup>H-NMR 1.45 (s, 3H), 5.85 (t, 1H, J = 3.1 Hz, H-8).  $\underline{14}$ : <sup>1</sup>H-NMR 1.23 (s, 3H).  $\underline{16}$ : <sup>1</sup>H-NMR 1.28 (s, 3H) 1.82 (s, 3H).  $\underline{17}$ : <sup>1</sup> H-NMR 1.45 (s, 3H), 5.13 (bt, 1H, J=2.6 Hz). <sup>13</sup> C-NMR 79.00 (d). 18: <sup>1</sup> H-NMR 1.27( $\overline{s}$ , 3H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 6.85 (t, 1H, J=3.5Hz).

(Received May 12, 1989)