SYNTHETIC DUAL INHIBITORS OF DNA TOPOISOMERASE I AND II

Hajime KATAYAMA,*,^a Yusuke KAWADA,^a Takamitu OSHIYAMA,^a and Akio EJIMA^b Niigata College of Pharmacy, ^a 5-13-2 Kamishin'ei-cho, Niigata, 950-21,New Product Research Laboratories IV, Daiichi Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.,^b 1-16-13 Kitakasai, Edogawa-Ku, Tokyo, 134, Japan

A new type of synthetic dual inhibitor of DNA topoisomerase I and II was explored. This series of compounds shows high antineoplastic activities against cancer cells, but *in vivo* activity against P388 was not as high as *in vitro* activities.

KEY WORDS dual inhibitor; DNA topoisomerase I; DNA topoisomerase II; pyrazolo[1,5-a]indole derivatives; antineoplastic activity

Among large numbers of antitumor agents with various activities, agents targeting topoisomerase I and II have attracted particular interest¹⁾ and some potential anticancer agents have been explored.²⁾ These agents are selective, but there have been few studies on dual inhibitors of these enzymes due to poor chemical sources, which are mostly restricted to natural products.³⁾ We have been researching the chemistry of pyrazolo[1,5-a]indoles with the hope of discovering biologically active compounds. In this context, we have prepared a series of compounds and found that some derivatives have fairly strong dual inhibitory activity against DNA topoisomerase I and II. Active compounds 4-9 are summarized in Chart 1. These compounds were prepared by coupling pyrazolium salts 14) and 2 with benzladehyde derivatives 3 in acetic acid.⁵⁾ The structures were characterized by ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectra. Characteristic signals of 3-H and 10-H were observed in 4-9 at δ 7.2-7.6 and 8.2-8.6 ppm as distinct singlet signals, respectively. Also the signals for 3-C were detected at δ 102-104 and those for 10-C at 135-140 ppm, both in isolated areas except 7 which shows a 3-C signal at δ 111.9 and 10-C at 140 ppm. The stereochemistry of the phenylmethylene substituent at C-4 was determined by positive NOE observations between 3-H and o-protons of the phenyl group. When the formation of 5 was incomplete, contamination of the geometrical isomer was detected in the ¹H-NMR spectrum by the signals of 3-H and 10-H at δ 7.49 and 8.28 ppm, respectively. A longer reaction period, however, allowed transformation of this isomer to 5 and 5 was obtained as the sole product.

Inhibition assay of 4-9 against human DNA topoisomerase I and II (TopoGen, U.S.A.) was carried out by measuring the relaxation of superhelical DNA.⁶⁾ The activity was evaluated as IC50 (µg/mL) and summarized in Table 1. Selective inhibitors SN-38 and VP-16 were employed as references. The listed compounds except for 10 showed quite strong activities. Among them, 5 was the strongest and was unique in its accessibility. Thus these compounds constitute novel synthetic dual inhibitors of topoisomerase I and II among the compounds with the ammonium nitrogen atom.⁷⁾ Information available from this table is as follows: a) the tricyclic system is important for high activity

June 1996 1277

Table 1. Inhibition of Human DNA Topoisomerase I and II (IC₅₀; μg/mL)^{a)} and Cytotoxicity toward Cultured Cancer Cells (GI₅₀: ng/mL)^{b)} of **4-10**

Comp.	Top I	Top II	P388	PC-6	NUGC-3	SW-620	MCF-7
5-FU	-	-	48.8	240	1290	1250	732
CDDP	-	-	13	105	76.5	403	1510
SN-38	8.9	•	1.79	0.43	0.8	1.11	4.27
VP-16	~	6.99	8.12	99	343	292	414
4	>10	3.98	197	211	962	967	506
5	0.54	0.38	8.6	37.6	153	141	62.9
6	4.76	3.5	668	828	1224	2060	720
7	5.52	0.54	11.5	21	69.5	95.5	29.3
8	1.46	4.74	10	35.2	216	234	77.8
9	0.5	0.39	293	164	577	1280	329
10	>10	9.04	0.85	28.7	292	399	98.5

a) SN-38, 11-ethyl-9-hydroxycamptothecin; VP-16, etoposide.

as exemplified in 10; b) substitution at position C-10 with nitrogen (cf. 9) does not affect the activity; c) selectivity ranges from 10 to 0.3 in the ratios of topoisomerase I over topoisomerase II.

Antineoplastic activities of these compounds were tested by measuring the proliferation of cancer cells by the microculture tetrazolium method⁸). The results are shown in Table 1. As references, 5-

b) Activities against five cell lines (P388, murine leukemia; PC-6, human lung; NUGC-3, gastric; SW-620, colon; MCF-7, breast) obtained⁸⁾ were measured by MTT assay after 3 days of incubation. Four human cell lines were maintained in RPMI 1640 (Nissui Pharm. Co., Ltd., Tokyo) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 2 mM L-glutamine. A mouse cell line, P388, was maintained in the RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 20 µM 2-mercaptoethanol.

1278 Vol. 44, No. 6

FU (5-fluorouracil) and CDDP (cisplatin) were tested. Topoisomerase inhibition is principally reflected in antineoplastic activities. The potencies of 5 and 7 were noticeable compared with the reference compounds. The exception was 9, in which imine hydrolysis in biological conditions may yield inactive products. The high potency of the open compound 10 in this test may suggest that a different reacting mechanism is operative in the antineoplastic action of 10, which is also possible in 4-9. However, the trend observed between the topoisomerase inhibition and antineoplastic activities of these compounds supports the hypothesis that topoisomerase inhibition may play a major role in these *in vitro* activities. When the antitumor activity of these compounds against P388 was investigated (*ip* inoculation into CDF1 mice on days 1 and 5), considerable ILS (increase of life span) values (26% vs 70% of 5-FU) were observed in 5 and 7 at the dose of 2 mg/kg x 2. However, a dose increase reduced the ILS value because of high toxicity. Although their *in vivo* activity is discouraging, the above compounds are unique as novel synthetic dual inhibitors of DNA topoisomerase I and II. Detailed analyses of the mechanism of action and SAR (structure-activity relationship) analyses may provide an opportunity to discover a new type of anticancer agent. We are now attempting to solve these problems.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- 1) Ralph R. K., "DNA Topoisomerases" in "Molecular Aspects of Anticancer Drug-DNA Interactions," Vol. 2, pp 1-95, "Topics in Molecular and Structural Biology," ed. by Neidle S., Waring M., Macmillan Press Ltd., London (1994).
- 2) a) Pommier Y., Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol., 32, 103-108 (1993); b) D'Arpa P., Liu L. F., Biochimica et Biophysica Acta, 989, 163-177 (1989); c) Drlica K., Franco R. J., Biochem., 27, 2253 (1988).
- 3) Leteurtre F., Fujimori A., Tanizawa A., Chhabra A., Mazumder A., Kohlhage G., Nakano H., Pommier Y., J. Biol. Chem., 269, 28702-28707 (1994).
- 4) a) Shen J.-K., Katayama H., *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1*, 1871-1877 (1994); b) Shen J.-K., Katayama H., Takatsu N., Shiro I., *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1*, 2087-2097 (1993); c) Katayama H., Takatsu N., Kitano H., Shimaya Y., *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, **38**, 1129-1135 (1990). These salts were prepared by N-methylation as described for **1a**.^{4a}) The starting material for **1b** was prepared as described, ^{4b}) and that for **1c** from 2-methyl-1, 2, 3, 3a -tetrahydro-4*H*-pyrazolo[1,5-*a*]indole^{4c}) by DDQ oxidation.
- 5) *a*) Boyd G. V., *J. Chem. Soc. C*, **1968**, 2959-2964; *b*) piperidine/ethanol: Robinson R., Saxton J. E., *J. Chem. Soc.*, 976-982 (1952); *c*) sodium methoxide/methanol: Wizinger R., *U.S.* 2,671,783: *Chem. Abst.*, **48**, 12496b (1954).
- 6) a) Liu L. F., Rowe T. C., Yang L., Tewey K. M., Chen G., J. Biol. Chem., 258, 15365-15370 (1983). b) Liu L. F., Miller K. G., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 87, 3487-3491 (1981).
- 7) a) Acton E. M., Narayanan V. L., Risbood P. A., Shoemaker R. H., Vistica D. T., Boyd M. R., J. Med. Chem. 37, 2185-2189 (1994); b) Cain B. F., Atwell G. J., J. Med. Chem., 19, 1417-1419 (1976); Atwell G. J., Cain B. F., J. Med. Chem., 10, 706-713 (1967).
- 8) Mitusi I., Kumazawa, E., Hirota Y., Aonuma M., Sugimori M., Ohsuki S., Uoto K., Ejima A., Terasawa H., Sato K., *Jpn. J. Cancer Res.*, 86, 776-782 (1995).