## I. THEORETICAL; SPECTROSCOPIC

## MOLECULAR ORBITAL THEORY FOR TETRAHEDRAL METAL COMPLEXES

H. B. GRAY

Department of Chemistry, Columbia University, New York, N.Y. (USA)

I would like to express my sincere appreciation to the members of the staff of the Universita di Padova, particularly to Professor Aldo Turco, for inviting me to this conference. For an inorganic chemistry meeting, I cannot imagine a better place than Bressanone.

This paper presents an interpretation of the electronic spectra of certain important metal oxyanions and tetroxides, including MnO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> and CrO<sub>4</sub><sup>2</sup><sup>-</sup>. Several calculations and interpretations of the MnO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> spectrum have been presented<sup>1-7</sup>. The calculations of MnO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>, MnO<sub>4</sub><sup>2</sup><sup>-</sup>, MnO<sub>4</sub><sup>3</sup><sup>-</sup>, and CrO<sub>4</sub><sup>2</sup><sup>-</sup> which are set out in Table I are by the so-called SCCC-MO method which is outlined in detail elsewhere<sup>8,9</sup>. These calculations are probably better than our previous efforts<sup>7</sup>, due to slightly improved estimates of the coulomb integrals for Mn and Cr.

The important levels in order of increasing energy are labelled  $3t_2$  (slightly bonding),  $t_1$  (nonbonding oxygen level), 2e (antibonding), and  $4t_2$  (antibonding). The ground state electronic structure of  $MnO_4^-$  is  $(3t_2)^6(t_1)^6 = {}^1A_1$ . The electronic spectrum? of  $MnO_4^-$  shows two major band systems, with maxima at 18,300 (f = 0.032) and 32,200 cm<sup>-1</sup> (f = 0.070), and, in addition, a shoulder indicating a fairly intense maximum at 44,000 cm<sup>-1</sup> ( $\epsilon \approx 1500$ ). At first glance, there are at least two reasonable assignments for this spectrum. The first scheme assumes that the separation of  $4t_2$  and 2e, which is defined as  $d_1$ , is approximately 15,000 cm<sup>-1</sup>, and further that  $3t_2$  and  $t_1$  are either very close together or very widely separated. This scheme leads to the following assignments<sup>2</sup>:

18,300 cm<sup>-1</sup> 
$$t_1 \rightarrow 2e$$
  
32,200 cm<sup>-1</sup>  $t_1 \rightarrow 4t_2$ 

That is, the spacing of the first two bands is closely related to the  $\Delta_t$  value in  $MnO_4^-$ .

The second assignment scheme is suggested by the results in Table I. Here the  $\Delta_i$  in MnO<sub>4</sub><sup>-1</sup> is considerably larger than 15,000 cm<sup>-1</sup>, and the spacing of the first (18,300 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and third (44,000 cm<sup>-1</sup>) bands is related to  $\Delta_i$ . In this pattern the second band (32,200 cm<sup>-1</sup>) is due to the  $3t_2 \rightarrow 2e$  transition. Summarizing, we have:

18,300 cm<sup>-1</sup> 
$$t_1 \rightarrow 2e$$
  
32,200 cm<sup>-1</sup>  $3t_2 \rightarrow 2e$   
44,000 cm<sup>-1</sup>  $t_1 \rightarrow 4t_2$ 

We believe the interpretation of the  $MnO_4^-$  spectrum that suggests the larger value of  $\Delta$ , is much closer to the truth than the assignment that gives a  $\Delta$ , less than 15,000 cm<sup>-1</sup>, for the following reasons:

1. The  $\Delta_t$  values of MnO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> and MnO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>; the lowest electronic band<sup>7</sup> in MnO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> is at 16,500 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The only reasonable assignment for this band is  $2e \to 4t_2$ , since ligand-to-metal charge transfer should be higher energy in MnO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> (electron to Mn<sup>VI</sup>) than in MnO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> (electron to Mn<sup>VII</sup>). This means that  $\Delta_t$  cannot be smaller than 16,500 cm<sup>-1</sup> in MnO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, and, allowing for 2,500 cm<sup>-1</sup> configuration interaction,  $\Delta_t$  (MnO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>) is probably about 19,000 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Furthermore, the measured  $\Delta_t$  for MnO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup> is 11,000 cm<sup>-1</sup>, from the position of the  ${}^3A_2 \to {}^3T_2$  band<sup>10</sup>. The assignment scheme that fits this pattern is definitely the one that gives a  $\Delta_t$  (MnO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>) of ca. 26,000 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Thus we have:

$$\Delta_t(MnO_4^{3-}) = 11,000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$$
  
 $\Delta_t(MnO_4^{2-}) \approx 19,000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$   
 $\Delta_t(MnO_4^{-}) \approx 26,000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ 

- 2. The spectrum of  $CrO_4^{2-}$ ; the first two bands in  $CrO_4^{2-}$  are located at 26,810 and 36,630 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The estimate of  $\Delta_t(CrO_4^{2-}) \approx 10,000$  cm<sup>-1</sup> that would be obtained from the  $t_1 \rightarrow 2e$ ,  $t_1 \rightarrow 4t_2$  scheme is too small, because  $\Delta_t(CrO_4^{3-})$  is known to be not lower than 16,000 cm<sup>-1</sup>; surely then,  $\Delta_t(CrO_4^{2-})$  must be larger than 16,000 cm<sup>-1</sup>; also, considering the fact that  $\Delta_t(VCl_4)$  is ca. 9,000 cm<sup>-1</sup>, a reasonable extrapolation from  $V^{1V}$  to  $Cr^{V1}$  and from  $Cl^{-1}$  to  $O^{2-1}$  gives  $\Delta_t(CrO_4^{2-})$  of at least 20,000 cm<sup>-1</sup>, thus the only reasonable assignment for the two bands in  $CrO_4^{2-}$  is  $t_1 \rightarrow 2e$  and  $3t_2 \rightarrow 2e$ . The splitting is related to the interaction of the oxygen levels, not the ligand field splitting of  $4t_2$  and 2e.
- 3. The spectrum of  $TcO_4^-$ ; the first two bands in  $TcO_4^-$  are located at 34,900 and 40,700 cm<sup>-1</sup>, a separation<sup>7</sup> of 5,700 cm<sup>-1</sup>. We expect  $\Delta_i(TcO_4^-)$  to be considerably larger than  $\Delta_i(MnO_4^-)$ . Therefore the consistent assignment of the first two bands in  $MnO_4^-$  and  $TcO_4^-$  is  $t_1 \rightarrow 2e$  and  $3t_2 \rightarrow 2e$ .

In summary, the experimental evidence is definitely consistent with the assignment scheme suggested for  $MnO_4^-$  by the results of the molecular orbital calculation presented in Table I.

For discussion here, the energy of the first charge transfer band and the separation of the first two bands in  $d^{\circ}$  complexes are given in Table II. Notice that the separation of the bands assigned  $t_1 \rightarrow 2e$  and  $3t_2 \rightarrow 2e$  does indeed correlate with the expected oxygen-oxygen distance, since the splitting is much larger in the first-row complexes than in the second- and third-row complexes. It is important to note that there are no inconsistencies in the results in Table II. For

TABLE I RESULTS OF SCCC CALCULATIONS OF VARIOUS TETRAHEDRAL COMPLEXES (all energies in 1000 cm<sup>-1</sup>)

SCCC results <sup>a</sup>	Complexes		J.2	
	MnO <sub>4</sub> -	MπO <sub>4</sub> 2-	MnO 2-	CrO <sub>4</sub> 2-
bond distance, A	1.59 <sup>b</sup>	1.63°	1.67°	1.60 <sup>d</sup>
VOIP (ligand p <sub>a</sub> )	-260.8	260.8	-260.8	-260.8
VOIP (ligand $p_n$ )	-101.7	-101.7	-101.7	-101.7
3d VOIP	-121.3	-115.4	-109.3	-110.0
4s VOIP	<b>-93.4</b>	−90. <b>i</b>	-86.7	-88.2
4p VOIP	<b>—58.4</b>	<b>-56.0</b>	-53.4	-56.0
metal charge	<b>-</b> ;-0.66	+0.63	+0.60	+0.66
3d population	5.82	5.91	6.00	4.885
4s population	0.18	0.17	0.16	0.13
4p population	0.34	0.29	0.24	0.32
312 eigenvalue	<b>—105.7</b>	-105.5	-105.3	-105.0
t, eigenvalue	<b>-96.4</b>	-97.1	-97.6	96.6
2e eigenvalue	<b>—73.0</b>	<b>−74.5</b>	<b>-75.4</b>	<del>-64.5</del>
4t2 eigenvalue	-49.3	-52.9	55.8	<b>-40.3</b>
2e occupation	0	1	2	0
4r <sub>2</sub> occupation	0	0	0	0
calculated⊿	23.7	21.6	19.6	24.1
observed ⊿	26.0*	19.0°	11.0°	_
first allowed L -> M charge to	ansfer:			
calculated orbital energy	23.4	22.6	22.2	32,1
observed band	18.3°	22.9°	30.8°	26.8°

TABLE II COMPARISON OF THE CHARGE-TRANSFER BANDS IN TETRAHEDRAL OXYANIONS AND TETROXIDES®

Complex	Metal oxidation number	First charge transfer (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	
First-row metal i	ors		
VO <sub>4</sub> a-	v	36,900	
MnO <sub>4</sub> 3-	v	30,800	
CrO,3-	v	28,200	
CrO <sub>4</sub> 2~	Vi	26,810 (9,820)**	
MnO <sub>4</sub> 2-	VI	22,940	•
MnO	ΛΠ	18,320 (13,890)	
Second-row.meta	d ions		
MoO,2-	VI	44,500	
TcO.	VII	34,900 (5,800)	
RuO <sub>4</sub> -	VII	31,700	
RuO4	VIII	26,000 (6,200)	
Third-row metal	ions .		
WO <sub>4</sub> 2-	VI	50,300	
ReO.	VII	44,000 (5,000)	
OsO <sub>4</sub>	VIII	33,500 (8,000)	

<sup>\*</sup> Taken from ref. 7,

<sup>\*</sup> SCCC means self-consistent charge and configuration; see ref. 8 and ref. 9. From ref. 12. 
\* Estimated value. 
\* From ref. 13. 
\* From From ref. 7.

<sup>••</sup> The separation of the first two bands in the do complexes is given in parentheses.

example, for first-row complexes, the energy of the  $t_1 \rightarrow 2e$  transition increases in the order metal(VII) < metal(VI) < metal(V). It is also a general result that the  $t_1 \rightarrow 2e$  transition increases in energy proceeding from first-row to third-row in an analogous series of complexes, e.g.:

$$ReO_4^- > TcO_4^- > MnO_4^-$$

Since the antibouding character of 2e gets larger in the order  $MnO_4^- < TcO_4^-$  <  $ReO_4^-$ , we have evidence that the covalent bonding between cygen valence orbitals and the metal d valence orbitals increases in the order 3d < 4d < 5d.

TABLE III

THE VALUE OF THE ORBITAL PARAMETER  $A_i$  IN TETRAHEDRAL METAL OXYANIONS\*

Complex	$\Delta_t(cm^{-1})$		
CtO43-	16,000		
CrO <sub>4</sub> 3-	(24,000)		
MnO,a-	11,000		
MnO <sub>4</sub> *-	(19,000)**	•	
MnO <sub>4</sub> -	26,000	·	
FeO <sub>4</sub> 3-	12,700		
RuO <sub>2</sub> 2-	21,600	-	
RuO <sub>x</sub> -	(28,500) <sup>b</sup>		

<sup>\*</sup> Taken from ref. 7.

We present in Table III for discussion the  $\Delta_t$  values of various  $MO_4^-$  complexes. There are some very obvious trends in the  $\Delta_t$  values. For example, comparing complexes of metals in the same oxidation state, the  $\Delta_t$ 's decrease in the order Cr > Mn > Fe. Extrapolating from  $CrO_4^{3-}$ ,  $\Delta_t(CrO_4^{2-})$  is probably about 24,000 cm<sup>-1</sup>,  $\Delta_t(MnO_4^{2-})$  is about 19,000 cm<sup>-1</sup> and  $\Delta_t(FeO_4^{2-})$  is only 12,700 cm<sup>-1</sup>; also,  $\Delta_t(CrO_4^{3-})$  is larger than  $\Delta_t(MnO_4^{3-})$ . This decrease in the splitting of the antibonding MO's derived from the d valence orbitals as one proceeds to higher Z in first-row complexes is also found in octahedral halide complexes.

In the one case where splittings in 3d and 4d levels are known in an analogous series of  $MO_4^{n-}$  complexes, we obtain the expected result  $\Delta_i(4d) > \Delta_i(3d)$ . The two complexes are  $FeO_4^{2-}$  and  $RuO_4^{2-}$ , having  $\Delta_i$  values of 12,700 and 21,600 cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

The established experimental  $\Delta_t$ 's for MnO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>, MnO<sub>4</sub><sup>2</sup>-, and MnO<sub>4</sub><sup>3</sup>- are very important, because they allow a more complete evaluation of the approximation of the H<sub>IJ</sub> elements in the secular equation. It is clear from Table I that the large observed variation of  $\Delta_t$  with metal oxidation number (VII = 26,000, VI = 19,000, V = 11,000) is not obtained in the calculation, which uses a constant F factor of 2.00. Indeed, it appears that the F factor must be decreased as the metal oxidation number decreases in order to obtain splittings in close agreement with experiment.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Corrected for an estimated configuration interaction of 2500 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

Finally, I should like to acknowledge the National Science Foundation and Public Health Service Research Grant No. CA-07016-01 from the National Cancer Institute for generous support of our work.

## REFERENCES

- 1 M. WOLFSBERG AND L. HELMHOLZ, J. Chem. Phys., 20 (1952) 837.
- 2 C. J. BALLHAUSEN AND A. D. LIEHR, J. Mol. Spectry., 2 (1958) 342; 4 (1960) 190.
- 3 (a) D. S. SCHONLAND, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London), A254 (1960) 111; (b) A. CARRINGTON, D. I. E. INGRAM, K. A. K. LOTT, D. S. SHONLAND AND M. C. R. SYMONS, ibid., A254 (1960) 101.
- 4 R. F. FENSKE AND C. C. SWEENEY, Inorg. Chem., 3 (1964) 1105.
- 5 A. CARRINGTON AND C. K. JØRGENSEN, Mol. Phys., 4 (1961) 395.
- 6 C. J. BALLHAUSEN, Theoret. Chim. Acta (Berlin), 1 (1963) 285.
- 7 A. VISTE AND H. B. GRAY, Inorg. Chem. 3 (1964) 1113.
- 8 H. BASCH, A. VISTE AND H. B. GRAY, J. Chem. Phys., 44 (1966) 9.
- 9 C. J. BALLHAUSEN AND H. B. GRAY, Molecular Orbital Theory, Benjamin, New York, 1964, Appendix 8-II.
- 10 L. E. ORGEL, Mol. Phys., 7 (1964) 397.
- 11 L. E. ORGEL, J. Chem. Phys., 23 (1955) 1004.
- 12 Chem. Soc. (London), Spec. Publ. No. 11 (1958), Tables of interatomic Distances and configurations in Molecules and Ions.
- 13 H. W. SMITH AND M. Y. COLBY, Z. Krist., 103 (1940) 90.