

## Once-Weekly Fluoxetine A Viewpoint by Alexander Neumeister<sup>1</sup> and W. Wolfgang Fleischhacker<sup>2</sup>

1 National Institutes of Health, NIMH, Mood and Anxiety Disorders Research Program, Bethesda, Maryland, USA

2 Department of Biological Psychiatry, Innsbruck University Clinics, Innsbruck, Austria

Epidemiological studies and long-term treatment trials have demonstrated that major depressive disorder (MDD) is a recurrent, chronic disorder with increasing psychosocial impairment and an increased risk for suicidality if the disorder is not adequately treated.<sup>[1]</sup> Quality-improvement programmes using evidence-based treatments for MDD have been shown to improve standards of care and clinical outcomes for MDD with both short-term<sup>[2,3]</sup> and long-term<sup>[4]</sup> follow-up.

Long-term pharmacotherapy should be a mainstay of treatment of MDD, and modern antidepressants, such as the selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRI) fluoxetine, are highly effective and have a favourable adverse effect profile. However, compliance with treatment remains a considerable problem and it has been debated whether compliance may be improved when patients use drug formulations that do not require daily administration. A new formulation containing fluoxetine 90 mg/capsule in the form of pellets with an enteric coating, to delay the release of the compound, permits once-weekly administration of the drug.

Efficacy data from a large double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomised, multicentre study show that patients with MDD, who have responded to treatment with immediate-release fluoxetine 20 mg/day, can be safely switched to treatment with once-weekly fluoxetine 90mg with no loss of efficacy.<sup>[5]</sup> Well designed studies are needed to confirm preliminary data suggesting that patients who have responded to SSRIs other than fluoxetine during the acute phase of treatment can be switched to once-weekly fluoxetine 90mg for continuation treatment without loss of efficacy.<sup>[6]</sup> The tolerability profile

of once-weekly fluoxetine does not differ from that of the immediate-release formulation.

Administration of once-weekly fluoxetine 90mg enhanced compliance with the antidepressant treatment relative to daily treatment with fluoxetine 20 mg/day.<sup>[7]</sup> Although this is an encouraging finding, clinicians should be aware that compliance is a multi-faceted issue<sup>[8]</sup> and a mere simplification of drug intake will not solve the problem of partial or noncompliance.

In addition, since a number of patients may experience treatment-emergent adverse effects, the once-weekly formulation of fluoxetine should not be considered as an initial treatment for the acute phase of a depressive episode. This is particularly important, since the management of potentially life-threatening adverse effects (such as, for instance, the serotonin syndrome) or of adverse effects that cause substantial subjective discomfort (such as nausea or akathisia) is seriously complicated when long-acting medications are used.

Altogether, this formulation of fluoxetine provides physicians with a new option in the treatment of patients with depression and has the potential to enhance the acceptability of antidepressant pharmacotherapy. It may also help to assure compliance, if used within a well-established therapeutic alliance. The achievement of these objectives would represent yet another step forward in the quest to optimise the maintenance treatment of MDD. In addition, no matter how effective pharmacological treatment may be, without ongoing clinical monitoring, education about the relevance of medication in the treatment of depression, and support, particularly that which enhances the patient's problem-solving capacities, long-term treatment will not be successful for a significant portion of patients with MDD.<sup>[9]</sup> Clearly, as always when new medicines are licensed and marketed, post-marketing research is necessary to further establish a satisfactory benefit/risk ratio. ▲

## References

1. Keller MB. Long-term treatment of recurrent and chronic depression. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2001; 62 Suppl. 24: 3-5
2. Katon W, Von Korff M, Lin E, et al. Stepped collaborative care for primary care patients with persistent symptoms of depres-

- sion: a randomized trial. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1999; 56 (12): 1109-15
3. Katon W, Robinson P, Von Korff M, et al. A multifaceted intervention to improve treatment of depression in primary care. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1996; 53 (10): 924-32
  4. Unutzer J, Rubenstein L, Katon WJ, et al. Two-year effects of quality improvement programs on medication management for depression. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2001; 58 (10): 935-42
  5. Schmidt ME, Fava M, Robinson JM, et al. The efficacy and safety of a new enteric-coated formulation of fluoxetine given once weekly during the continuation treatment of major depressive disorder. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2000 Nov; 61 (11): 851-7
  6. Miner CM, Brown EB, Gonzales JS, et al. Switching patients from daily citalopram, paroxetine or sertraline to fluoxetine once-weekly in the maintenance of response for depression. 2001 Indianapolis (IN): Eli Lilly and Company. (Data on file)
  7. Claxton A, de Klerk E, Parry M, et al. Patient compliance to a new enteric-coated weekly formulation of fluoxetine during continuation treatment of major depressive disorder. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2000 Dec; 61 (12): 928-32
  8. Oehl M, Hummer M, Fleischhacker WW. Compliance with anti-psychotic treatment. *Acta Psychiatr Scand Suppl* 2000; 102 (407): 83-6
  9. Reimherr FW, Strong RE, Marchant BK, et al. Factors affecting return of symptoms 1 year after treatment in a 62-week controlled study of fluoxetine in major depression. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2001; 62 Suppl. 22: 16-23