INTRAMOLECULAR CYCLIZATION REACTION OF SOME 2-ALKENYLPHENOLS WITH T1(III) NITRATE

Maria Luigia Forcellese,\* Carlo Alfonsi, Settimia Calvitti, and Enrico Mincione
Centro di Studio per la Chimica delle Sostanze Organiche Naturali del Consiglio
Nazionale delle Ricerche and Institute of Organic Chemistry, University of Rome,
00185 Rome, Italy

<u>Abstract</u> - Some 2-allylphenols give an intramolecular cyclization by reaction with T1(III) nitrate (TTN) leading to 2-substituted 2,3-dihydrobenzofurans; 2-substituted 2,3-dihydrobenzopyran is obtained from 2-(3-butenyl)phenol with the same reagent.

It is known that by treatment of 2-allylphenol with Tl(III) acetate an organothallium derivative is formed, from which 2-acetoxymethyl-2,3-dihydrobenzofuran (1) is obtained.

It seemed interesting to investigate this intramolecular cyclization reaction using T1(III) nitrate in the attempt to obtain 2,3-dihydrobenzofuran derivatives without organothallium intermediates. This reaction could be of some utility in the synthesis of 2,3-dihydrobenzofurans because of their interesting physiological activities.

In this paper we report our results on the action of Tl(III) nitrate<sup>2</sup> on some 2-allylphenols and 2-(3-butenyl)phenol.

2-Allylphenols  $^3$  2 are transformed into compounds 3 and 4 by T1(III) nitrate, at room temperature and in a mixture of dry CH<sub>2</sub>OH / trimethyl orthoformate (TMOF).

In the same way 2-(3-butenyl)phenol (5) reacts with Tl(III) nitrate giving 5a and 6a.

Structures 3a-c, 4a-c and 5a, 6a are supported by their  $^1$ H-NMR, infrared, and mass spectra which are summarized in the Experimental.

In the case of X = CH<sub>3</sub>, 3b (yield 20%) and 4b (yield 10%) are each a 1:3 mixture of cis—and trans—isomers (3b and 3b trans, 4b and 4b trans). The predominant isomers are assigned the trans configuration by assuming that the trans isomer, with less interaction between the two groups at C-2 and C-3, should be the thermodynamically more stable one. The NMR data of the compounds are consistent with the assigned structures; as a matter of fact, the spectra of the cis compounds show the doublet arising from the methyl group at a field higher than those of the trans ones, whereas the H-C-2 and H-C-3 protons are more deshielded in the cis isomers than in the trans ones.

In the case of  $X = C_6H_5$ , 3c and 4c are pure compounds to which the <u>trans</u> configuration is assigned on the basis of the higher stability, which reflects the lower steric compression. No starting material is recovered from the reaction but some polymeric residue—is formed. As to the reaction solvent, complex mixtures are obtained by carrying out the reactions in dry  $CH_3OH$ , whereas in dioxane compounds 3a-c are formed with yields lower than in  $CH_3OH/TMOF$ . Intramolecular cyclization does not occur in hexane; with this solvent the allylic side-chain is unchanged and nitration occurs on the aromatic ring.

As far as the structure of 2-allylphenol is concerned, ring closure does not occur when substituents are present on the aromatic ring.  $^5$ 

The formation of 2-substituted 2,3-dihydrobenzofurans can be understood as shown in the Scheme.

## Scheme

$$2a-c \xrightarrow{\text{TTN}} \bigcirc CH \xrightarrow{CH_2 - T_1} \bigcirc ONO_2 \\ OH \xrightarrow{T} \bigcirc ONO_2 \\ OH \bigcirc OH \bigcirc OH_2 - T_1 \bigcirc ONO_2 \\ OH \bigcirc OH \bigcirc OH_2 - T_1 \bigcirc OHO_2 \\ OHO_2 \bigcirc OHO_2 \bigcirc$$

The reaction of 2-allylphenols with T1(III) nitrate probably involves the initial electrophilic attack of the thallium salt in the olefinic double bond with formation of the cationic intermediate "i". The nucleophilic attack of the phenolic oxygen occurs at the internal carbon of "i" leading to the organothallium derivative "ii", where the presence of the -ONO<sub>2</sub> group weakens the C-T1 bond. The 2,3-dihydrobenzofuran derivative "ii" undergoes the heterolytic cleavage of the C-T1 bond with the subsequent nucleophilic attack of CH<sub>3</sub>OH or ONO<sub>2</sub> to the carbon atom. The reaction of phenol (5) takes place following the same steps.

## EXPERIMENTAL

 $^1$ H-NMR spectra were recorded in CCl $_4$ ; chemical shifts are reported in parts per million ( $\delta$ ) using TMS as an internal standard. Infrared spectra were recorded in CCl $_4$ . Boiling points were determined by microdistillation. Yields, evaluated from the starting material, correspond to the pure isolated products. Satisfactory elemental analyses were obtained for all the described compounds.

## General procedure

Phenol (1 mmol) was dissolved in a 1:1 mixture of dry  $\mathrm{CH_3OH}$  / trimethyl orthoformate (~2 ml), whose solution was stirred at room temperature under a stream of  $\mathrm{N_2}$ . After the slow addition of 1.5 mmol of T1(III) nitrate in dry  $\mathrm{CH_3OH}$  / trimethyl orthoformate (1:1,~2 ml), the reaction mixture was stirred for 3-4 h; during this time a precipitate of T1(I)nitrate was formed. The solution was diluted with  $\mathrm{H_2O}$  and extracted several times with ether; the organic layer was washed with  $\mathrm{H_2O}$ . After drying ( $\mathrm{Na_2SO_4}$ ) and solvent evaporation under reduced pressure, the residue was chromatographed on silica gel to obtain pure samples.

3a (bp 152°C/18 torr, yield 30%):  $IR\nu_{max}$  1650, 1480, 1280, 1230, 1000 cm<sup>-1</sup>;  $^{1}$ H-NMR 2.80 - 3.50 (2H, m, H<sub>2</sub>-C-3-),4.45 - 4.60 (2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>-ONO<sub>2</sub>), 4.75 - 5.10 (1H, m, H-C-2), 6.65 - 7.20 (4H, m, aromatic protons); mass spectrum, m/e 195 (M<sup>+</sup>), 119, 118, 91 (base).

3b cis (bp 148°C/18 torr):  $IR\nu_{max}$  1640, 1480, 1275, 1225 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H-NMR 1.28 (3H, d, J = 7 cps, CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.40 - 3.80 (1H, m, H-C-3), 4.50 - 5.00 (3H, complex pattern,  $CH_2$ -ONO<sub>2</sub> and H-C-2), 6.60 - 7.20 (4H, complex pattern, aromatic protons); mass spectrum, m/e 209 (M<sup>+</sup>), 162, 163, 164, 133, 132, 131, 118, 105 (base).

3b trans (bp 143°C/18 torr): IR $\nu_{\rm max}$  1640, 1480, 1450, 1275, 1050 cm $^{-1}$ ;  $^{1}$ H-NMR 1.36 (3H, d, J = 7 cps, CH $_{3}$ ), 3.10 - 3.40 (1H, m, H-C-3), 4.30 - 4.70 (3H, complex pattern, CH $_{2}$ ONO $_{2}$  and H-C-2), 6.60 - 7.20 (4H, complex pattern, aromatic protons); mass spectrum, m/e 209 (M $^{+}$ ), 162, 163, 164, 131, 132, 133, 118, 105 (base).

 $\frac{3c}{1}$  (bp 120°C/1 torr, yield 25%)· IR  $\frac{v}{\text{max}}$  1650, 1480, 1460, 1280, 1260, 1100, 1000 cm $^{-1}$ ;  $^{1}$ H-NMR 4.35 (1H, two signals, H-C-3), 4.60 - 4.80 (2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>ONO<sub>2</sub>), 4.90 - 5.20 (1H, broad signal,

H-C-2), 6.60 - 7.40 (9H, complex pattern, aromatic protons); mass spectrum, m/e 271 ( $M^+$ ), 226, 195 167 (base),152, 131, 118.

 $\underline{4a}$  (bp 128°C/18 torr, yield 30%): IR $\nu_{\rm max}$  1600, 1470, 1100, 1050 cm $^{-1}$ ;  $^{1}$ H-NMR 2.60 - 3.90 (complex patter, H<sub>2</sub>-C-3 and CH<sub>2</sub>OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.36 (s, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.60 - 4.90 (1H, broad signal, H-C-2), 6.50 - 7.10 (4H, complex pattern, aromatic protons); mass spectrum, m/e 164 (M $^{+}$ , base), 131, 132, 118.

<u>4b c1s</u> (bp 125°C/18 torr): IR $\nu_{\text{max}}$  1600, 1480, 1100 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H-NMR 1.20 (3H, d, J = 7 cps, CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.10 - 3.80 (complex pattern, H-C-3 and CH<sub>2</sub>OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.35 (s, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.50 - 4.80 (1H, broad signal, H-C-2), 6.50 - 7.10 (4H, complex pattern, aromatic protons); mass spectrum, m/e 178 (M<sup>+</sup>), 161, 145, 131, 133, 105 (base).

<u>4b trans</u> (bp 114°C/18 torr):  $IR\nu_{max}$  1600, 1480, 1140, 1100 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H-NMR 1.34 (3H, d, J = 7 cps, CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.20 - 3.80 (complex pattern, H-C-3 and CH<sub>2</sub>OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.35 (s, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.28 (1H, m, H-C-2), 6.60 - 7.10 (4H, complex pattern, aromatic protons); mass spectrum, m/e 178 (M<sup>+</sup>), 145, 131, 133, 105 (base).

 $\frac{4c}{max}$  (bp 115°C/1 torr, yield 25%): IR $_{max}$  1600, 1480, 1460, 1225, 1130 cm<sup>-1</sup>;  $^{1}$ H-NMR 3.35 (3H, s, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.50 - 3.70 (2H, broad signal with splitting, CH<sub>2</sub>OCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.30 - 4.70 (2H, broad signal with splitting, H-C-3 and H-C-2), 6.50 - 7.40 (9H, complex pattern, aromatic protons); mass spectrum, m/e 240 ( $M^{+}$ ), 207, 208 (base), 209, 181, 165, 167, 131.

 $\underline{5a}$  (bp 85°C/1 torr, yield 20%): IR  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  1640, 1480, 1450, 1280, 1225, 1100, 1000 cm<sup>-1</sup>;  $^{1}$ H-NMR 1.80 - 2.20 (2H, complex pattern, H<sub>2</sub>-C-3), 2.60 - 3.00 (2H, complex pattern, H<sub>2</sub>-C-4), 4.05 - 4.80 (3H, complex pattern, H-C-2 and CH<sub>2</sub>ONO<sub>2</sub>), 6.50 - 7.10 (4H, complex pattern, aromatic protons); mass spectrum, m/e 209 (M<sup>°</sup>), 164, 131, 132, 133 (base), 134, 105.

<u>6a</u> (bp 130°C/18 torr, yield 20%):  $IR \nu_{max}$  1610, 1580, 1480, 1450, 1230, 1110, 1050 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H-NMR 1.70 - 2.10 (2H, complex pattern,  $H_2$ -C-3), 2.60 - 2.90 (2H, complex pattern,  $H_2$ -C-4), 3.35 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.40 - 3.60 (2H, m,  $CH_2$ -OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.90 - 4.20 (1H, broad signal, H-C-2), 6.50 - 7.10 (4H, complex pattern, aromatic protons); mass spectrum, m/e 178 (M<sup>+</sup>), 133 (base), 105.

## REFERENCES AND FOOTNOTES

- 1. H.J. Kabbe, Ann. Chem., 1962, 656, 204.
- Thallium(III) nitrate was prepared according to A. McKillop, J.D. Hunt, F. Kienzle, E. Bigham, and E.C. Taylor (J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1973, 95, 3635).
- 3. Phenols 2a-c were prepared by known methods: D.S. Tarbell, "Organic Reactions", Vol. 2, eds; by R. Adams, Wiley, New York; 1944, pp 27-28; L. Claisen and E. Tietze, Ber., 1925, 58, 275; L. Claisen and E. Tietze, Ber., 1926, 59, 2344.
- 4. M. Oki and H. Iwamura, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan, 1960, 33, 681.
- 5. When the aromatic ring is substituted by  $\mathrm{CH}_3$  or Cl groups, very complex mixtures are obtained. When  $\mathrm{NO}_2$  is the substituent, the only reaction is a nucleophilic attack by  $\mathrm{^{-}ONO}_2$  or  $\mathrm{CH}_3\mathrm{OH}$  on the olefinic double bond.

Received, 27th January, 1983