## A NEW HOST SPECIFIC TOXIN FROM HELMINTHOSPORIUM CARBONUM

Steven P. Tanis<sup>\*a1</sup>, Benjamin A. Horenstein<sup>a2</sup>, Robert P. Scheffer<sup>\*b</sup>, and Jack B. Rasmussen<sup>b</sup>

- a Department of Chemistry, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, U.S.A.
- b Departments of Botany and Plant Pathology, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, U.S.A.

Abstract-The structure and sequence of a new HC-toxin analog 3 produced by H. carbonum is reported.

The cyclic tetrapeptide HC-toxin-1 1, elaborated by the fungus <u>H. carbonum</u> shows host-specific toxicity toward susceptible strains of maize.<sup>3</sup> In addition to two units of alanine and one proline moiety, this toxin contains the unusual amino acid, 2-amino-8-oxo-9,10-epoxydecanoic acid (Aoe) which has also been found in other bioactive fungal metabolites.<sup>3-6</sup> We have examined culture filtrates of <u>H. carbonum</u><sup>7</sup> and have isolated two additional toxic cyclic tetrapeptides; the previously isolated HC-toxin-II 2<sup>6</sup> with a glycine in place of one alanine unit; and HC-toxin-III 3<sup>7</sup> in which the proline of 1 is replaced by trans-3-hydroxyproline.

The isolation and purification of the HC-toxins was accomplished as previously described.  $^6$  From a 10-20L harvest of culture filtrate, we were typically able to obtain 0.480 grams of 1, 4.0 mg of 2, and 2.4 mg of HC-toxin-III 3 in a chromatographically pure state. The purified toxins exhibited similar levels of toxicity.  $^7$ 

$$R_1 = Me$$
  $R_2 = H$   $C-Toxin-II$  1

 $R_1 = Me$   $R_2 = H$   $C-Toxin-II$  2

 $R_1 = Me$   $R_2 = H$   $C-Toxin-III$  3

Fast atom bombardment (FAB) mass spectroscopy  $^{8,9}$  of 2 gave an (M+1)+ ion at m/z 423. High resolution FAB-MS (CsI, glycerol) established the empirical formula of HC-toxin-II 2 as  $C_{20}H_{30}N_4O_6$  (calcd.  $C_{20}H_{31}N_4O_6$  423.22436 amu, found 423.22558 amu; +2.88 ppm). Similarly HC-toxin-III 3 displayed an (M+1)+ ion at 453; and an empirical formula of  $C_{21}H_{32}N_4O_7$  (calcd.  $C_{21}H_{33}N_4O_7$  453.23493, found 453.23221; -6.0 ppm ). Comparison with the known HC-toxin-I 1 ( $C_{21}H_{32}N_4O_6$ ) suggested that 2 and 3 differed by a CH<sub>2</sub> and an oxygen atom respectively.

A low resolution FAB-MS of 1 displayed fragment ions at m/z 240 (M- 196), 170 and 70 (base) which have been previously been assigned <sup>10</sup>as the tripeptide unit Ala-Ala-Pro, and the protonated iminium ions derived from Aoe and Pro, respectively. HC-toxin-II 2 also exhibited fragment ions at m/z 170 and 70 (base); the presence of glycine in 2 is suggested by the observation of a fragment ion (FAB-MS) at m/z 226 (M- 196) which might arise from the fragment Ala, Gly, Pro. However HC-toxin-III 3, while apparently possessing Aoe (m/z 170), had a base peak at m/z 86 (C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>8</sub>NO; peak matching) which we tentatively attributed to a hydroxy proline residue. Also in agreement with the presence of a hydroxy proline residue was the ion observed at m/z 256 (M- 196), which corresponds to a tripeptide fragment ion composed of two Ala units and a hydroxyproline.

Amino acid analysis<sup>11</sup> of **2** yielded a 1.00: 1.07: 0.76 ratio of Gly, Ala, and Pro; while analysis of HC-toxin-III **3** indicated Ala and an unidentified amino acid an a 2.44: 1 ratio. Comparison of this unknown residue with Pro, cis- and trans-3-<sup>12</sup> and -4-hydroxy proline demonstrated that the unknown amino acid was trans-3-hydroxy proline.

13C-NMR data (68.9 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) for **2** and **3** is presented in Table 1. As expected from the MS-derived empirical formulae, HC-toxins-II **2** and -III **3** possess 20 and 21 carbons respectively. Four amide carbonyls are observed which is consistent with cyclic tetrapeptide structures and in agreement with their chromatographic

TABLE 1

13C-NMR of HC-Toxins-II 2 and -III 3 (68.9 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, CHCl<sub>3</sub> ref.)

Amino Acid	Carbon	HC-Toxin-II 2	HC-Toxin-III 3
Ala	α	47.9	47.3, 47.6
	β	14.5	14.1, 14.7
Gly	α	44.3	
Aoe	α	52.1	51.6
	βγδ	22.7, 25.4, 28.7	22.6, 25.4, 28.4
	εζ	29.0, 36.2	28.9, 35.9
	ιθ	53.4, 46.1	53.4, 46.7
Pro	α	57.8	
	β	25.0	
	γ	24.9	
	γ 8	47.0	
3-Hypro	α		66.0
	β		71.0
	γ		34.0
	δ		45.0
Amide C=O		171.3	170.4
		172.1	173.5
		174.3 174.3	173.7 173.9
Aoe	η	200.3	201.9

TABLE 2
1H-NMR HC-Toxin-II 2 ( 250 MHz, CDCI<sub>3</sub>, CHCI<sub>3</sub> ref. )

HC-TOXIN-II-2		
7.04(d)	$J_{N\alpha} = 9.95$ Hz 1H Ala NH	
6.60(dd)	J <sub>No2</sub> = 3.63 Hz	$J_{N\alpha 1} = 10.4$ Hz 1H Gly NH
6.28(d)	$J_{N\alpha} = 10.85 \text{ Hz } 1 \text{H} \text{ Ace NH}$	
4.72(m)	$J_{N\alpha} = 10.85 \text{ Hz } 1 \text{H Ace}_{\alpha}$	•
4.68(dd)	$J_{\alpha\beta 1} = 7.23 \text{ Hz}$	$J_{\alpha\beta2} = 2.70 \text{ Hz } 1 \text{H Pro}_{\alpha}$
4.46(m)	$J_{N\alpha} = 9.95 \text{ Hz}$	$J_{\alpha\beta} = 6.80 \text{ Hz} \text{ 1H Ala}_{\alpha}$
4.42(dd)	$J_{N\alpha 1} = 10.40 \text{ Hz}$	$J_{\alpha 1\alpha 2} = 13.56 \text{ Hz}  1 \text{H Gly}_{\alpha 1}$
3.91(m)	1H Pro <sub>8d</sub>	
3.50(m)	1H Pro <sub>δυ</sub>	
3.39(dd)	$J_{\theta 11} = 4.75 \text{ Hz}$	$J_{\theta t 2} = 2.73 \text{ Hz} \text{ 1H Ace}_{\theta}$
3.12(dd)	$J_{N\alpha 2} = 3.63 \text{ Hz}$	$J_{\alpha 1\alpha 2} = 13.56 \text{ Hz } 1 \text{H } \text{Gly}_{\alpha 2}$
2.96(dd)	$J_{1112} = 5.88 \text{ Hz}$	$J_{110} = 4.75 \text{ Hz} \text{ 1H Aoe}_{L1}$
2.83(dd)	J <sub>1112</sub> = 5.88 Hz	$J_{120} = 2.73 \text{ Hz} \text{ 1H Ace}_{L2}$
2.37(m)	1H Pro <sub>βd</sub>	
2.27(m)	1H Pro <sub>yd</sub>	
2.45-2.20(m)	2H Aoe <sub>ζ</sub>	
1.92(m)	1H Pro <sub>γυ</sub>	
1.84(m)	1H Pro <sub>βυ</sub>	
1.85(m)	1H Aoe <sub>βd</sub>	
1.75-1.37	7H Aoe <sub>νδε</sub>	
1.30(d)	$J_{\alpha\beta}$ = 6.80 Hz 1H Ala <sub><math>\beta</math></sub>	

similarity to 1. Noteworthy differences are 1-CH<sub>3</sub> in 2 (Ala) vs. 2-CH<sub>3</sub> (2-Ala) and two downfield resonances in 3 (66.0 and 71.0 ppm) due to trans-3-hydroxyproline.

The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (250 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) data for HC-toxins-II **2** and -III **3** with assignments<sup>13</sup> from extensive decoupling and COSY<sup>14</sup> experiments appears in Tables 2 and 3 respectively. The components of **2** Ala, Pro, Gly, and Aoe are characterized by three NH, five  $\alpha$ -H, three epoxide-H, and one - CH<sub>3</sub> resonance in accord with the data previously reported.<sup>6</sup>

The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of 3 was very similar to 1 with the exception of those resonances associated with the hydroxyproline residue. However the region between 4.40 and 4.80 ppm contained five resonances, compared to the four signals found in the same region of HC-toxin-I 1. Examination of the COSY spectrum of that region of 3 established that three of the resonances were the  $\alpha$ - hydrogens of the two Ala and Ace residues. The two remaining resonances at δ 4.68 and 4.60 ppm were identified as the trans-3-hydroxyproline  $\beta$ - and  $\alpha$ - hydrogens, respectively. Irradiation of the Ala-NH at 7.11 ppm resulted in a 11% nOe of the resonance at  $\delta$  4.60 ppm. Based upon the previously noted  $^{15}$  observation of significant nOe at the  $\alpha$ -H of the proline of 1 upon irradiation of an Ala-NH preceding it and an inspection of models, the signal at  $\delta$ 4.60 ppm is assigned as the hydroxyproline  $\alpha$ -H. Also this establishes the proximity of the Ala to the hydroxyproline moiety. Further analysis of the COSY spectrum allowed the resonance at  $\delta$  4.68 ppm to be assigned as follows. The two hydroxyproline  $\delta$ - hydrogens ( 4.20, 3.67 ppm) are strongly coupled to the two  $\gamma$ hydrogens (2.60, 2.00 ppm). The  $\gamma$ -H at  $\delta$  2.60 ppm is further coupled to the resonance at 4.68 ppm; this resonance is the β-H, and consistent with its downfield position the carbon bearing this H must also be the attachment point of the hydroxyl group, thus confirming the presence of trans-3-hydroxyproline.

With the constitutions of HC-toxins-II 2 and -III 3 established as (Ala, Gly, Aoe, Pro) and (Ala, Ala, Aoe, trans-3-Hypro) respectively, the correct sequence must now be determined. The previously characterized HC-toxin-I 1 has been sequenced by FAB-

TABLE 3

1H-NMR HC-Toxin-III 3 ( 250 MHz, CDCl3, CHCl3 ref.)

HC-Toxin-III 3			
7.11(d)	$J_{N\alpha} = 10.53 Hz 1H Ala1 NH$		
6.26(d)	$J_{N\alpha} = 10.40 \text{ Hz } 1\text{H} \text{ Aoe NH}$		
6.03(d)	$J_{N\alpha} = 9.80 \text{ Hz } 1\text{H Ala}_2 \text{ NH}$		
4.77(m)	$J_{N\alpha} = 10.40 \text{ Hz}  1 \text{H}  Aoe_{\alpha}$		
4.68(d)	$J_{\beta\gamma} = 3.85 \text{ Hz} \text{ 1H 3-HyPro}_{\beta}$		
4.60(s)	1H 3-HyPro <sub>α</sub>		
4.54(dq)	$J_{N\alpha} = 9.80 \text{ Hz}$	$J_{\alpha\beta}$	= 7.03 Hz 1H Ala <sub>2α</sub>
4.41 (dq)	$J_{N\alpha} = 10.53 \text{ Hz}$	$J_{\alpha\beta}$	
4.20(m)	$J_{\delta \nu \delta d}$ = 9.75 Hz 1H 3-HyPro <sub>δd</sub>		
3.67(m)	$J_{\delta \nu \delta d} = 9.75 \text{ Hz } 1\text{H } 3\text{-HyPro}_{\delta \nu}$		
3.40(dd)	$J_{\theta L2} = 2.43 \text{ Hz}$	$J_{\theta L1}$	= 4.27 Hz 1H $Aoe_{\theta}$
2.97(dd)	J <sub>L1L2</sub> ≈ 5.79 Hz		= 4.27 Hz 1H Aoe <sub>L1</sub>
2.83(dd)	$J_{L1L2} = 5.79$ Hz		= 2.43 Hz 1H Aoe <sub>L2</sub>
2.60(m)	1H 3-HyPro <sub>yd</sub>		
2.41(dt)	J <sub>ζdζυ</sub> = 17.43 Hz	$J_{\zeta d\epsilon}$	= 7.20 Hz 1H Aoe <sub>ζd</sub>
2.26(dt)	J <sub>ζdζυ</sub> ≈ 17.43 Hz	$J_{\zeta \nu \varepsilon}$	= 7.20 Hz 1H Aoe <sub>ζυ</sub>
2.00(m)	1H 3-HyPro <sub>vo</sub>	•	•
1.80(m)	1H Aoe <sub>βd</sub>		
1.57(m)	1H Aoe <sub>βυ</sub>		
1.53(m)	2H Aoe <sub>ε</sub>		
1.60(s)	1H 3-HyPro OH		
1.35-1.20(m)	4H Aoe <sub>γδ</sub>		
1.29(d)	J <sub>αβ</sub> ≈ 6.83 Hz 3H Ala <sub>1β</sub>		
1.24(d)	$J_{\alpha\beta} = 7.03 \text{ Hz } 3\text{H } \text{Ala}_{2\beta}$		

TABLE 4
nOe DIFFERENCE EXPERIMENTS: HC-TOXINS- II 2 and - III 3

	H-IRRADIATED	<u>H-ENHANCED</u>	<u>%-nQe</u>	PARTIAL SEQUENCE
HC-TOXIN-II 2	GLY-NH	Ala-α	15%	Ala-Gly
	Aoe-NH	Gly-α	9%	Gly-Aoe
	Ala-NH	Pro-α	13%	Pro-Ala
	$Pro-\delta_{upfield}$	Aoe-α	3%	Aoe-Pro
	Pro-δ <sub>downfield</sub>	Aoe-α	5%	Aoe-Pro
•	22.0	$Pro-\delta_{upfield}$	15%	
HC-TOXIN-III 3	Ala₁- <b>NH</b>	3-HyPro-α	11%	3-HyPro-Ala₁
110 102311 MIO	Aoe-NH	Ala <sub>2</sub> -α	9%	Alao-Aoe
	Ala <sub>2</sub> -NH	Ala <sub>1</sub> -α	11	Ala <sub>1</sub> -Ala <sub>2</sub>
	3-HyPro-δ <sub>upfield</sub>	Aoe-α	4%	Aoe-3-HyPro
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	3-HyPro-δ <sub>downfield</sub>	21%	
	3-HyPro-δ <sub>downfield</sub>	Aoe-α	13%	Aoe-3-HyPro
	2 201111010	3-HyPro-δ <sub>upfield</sub>	18%	

CAD-MS<sup>15</sup>, GC-MS of derivitized partial hydrolysates<sup>15c</sup>, 2D-TLC of derivitized partial hydrolysates<sup>15d</sup>, and <sup>1</sup>H-NMR nOe difference spectroscopy.<sup>15a,b</sup>

The sequences of 2 and 3 were determined by the nOe difference technique. As applied to 2 and 3 this method yielded the data in Table 4 from which the overlapping partial structures were obtained. From this data structures 2 and 3 for HC-toxins-II and -III respectively, are unambiguously established.

The data presented above establishes the structures of 2 and 3 as Ala, Gly, Pro, Aoe and Ala, Ala, trans-3-hydroxyproline, and Aoe respectively. The isolation and structure elucidation of other active components of the culture filtrate of <u>H. carbonum</u> is currently underway. These results will be reported in due course.

## **EXPERIMENTAL**

Reagent grade solvents were employed for extractions; column- and thin layer chromatography and were used as received. HPLC separations were performed with HPLC-grade solvents from American Burdick and Jackson, Muskegon, Mi. . chromatography was performed according to the method of Still<sup>16</sup>; conditions are reported as follows: ( column diameter in mm; solvent system; grams of silica gel employed; fraction size collected) TLC was performed on 0.25mm silica gel plastic backed plates (Macherery-Nagel, D-5160) which were developed with 4:3 acetonemethylene chloride. HC-Toxins were visualized on TLC plates as blue spots when sprayed with 0.05% bromcresol green in acetone followed by heating. HPLC was performed on a Varian-5000 instrument, equipped with a variable wavelength UVdetector, set at 215 nm. Normal phase HPLC separations utilized a 0.4 x 25 cm Whatman Partisil 10 column eluted isocratically with 96:4 hexane-ethanol at 4.0 ml per minute. Reverse phase separations were performed with a 0.78 x 30 cm Waters m- Bondapac C18 column eluted with the following gradient: 7% aqueous ethanol to 20% aqueous ethanol over 30 min; 20% aqueous ethanol for 15 min; followed by a recycle to 7% aqueous ethanol over 20 min.

 $^{1}$ H- and  $^{13}$ C-NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl<sub>3</sub> at 250 MHz and 68.9 MHz, respectively, on a Bruker WM-250 spectrometer> All chemical shifts are reported in ppm relative to internal CHCl<sub>3</sub>.  $^{13}$ C-NMR spectra were acquired with broadband noise decoupling; COSY spectra were obtained as 1K x 512W data matrices using a  $\pi/2$ -T- $\pi/4$ -AQ pulse sequence. Data were multiplied by a gaussian function prior to

transformation. DEPT17 subspectra were obtained using the following pulse sequence  $^1H(\pi/2-\Delta-\pi-\theta-\Delta-BB)$ ,  $^{13}C(--\pi/2--\pi---AQ)$ ; editing was performed using the procedure described by Bendall and Pegg18. nOe experiments employed a 2-second decoupled irradiation, followed by a  $\pi/2$  observation pulse and acquisition of the FID. FID's were multiplied by an exponential function before transformation such that a 2Hz- line broadening was introduced. Subtraction of a transformed off- resonance control spectrum from the transformed on resonance spectrum produced the nOe difference spectrum from which the nOe's were determined 19. The ratio of the area of the enhanced peak to the area of the irradiated peak (normalized to equal unity) determined the % nOe. FAB-MS were obtained 9 on a Varian Mat CH5-DF double focussing mass spectrometer. EI/MS were obtained 20 on a Finnigan 4000 mass spectrometer equipped with an INCOS 4201 data system.

The initial stages of the isolation and purification <sup>7</sup> of the HC-toxins from a culture of <u>Helminthosporium carbonum</u> (race 1) was accomplished by Sephadex LH-20 chromatography of the crude CHCl<sub>3</sub> extract of the culture filtrate. Variable quantities of the crude HC-toxins were obtained as a function of the culture size, age, viability, etc.

In a typical isolation , 2-5 ml of the Sephadex eluate was dissolved in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (50 ml), leaving behind a small amount of insoluble dark-colored material. The  $CH_2Cl_2$  solution was washed with distilled water (3x30ml) , brine (50ml) and dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>). Concentration in vacuo yielded an orange-brown gum.

This material (2.24g from one run) was purified by flash chromatography (60mm, 2-step gradient: 3 | 1:11:1 hexane-acetone-methylene chloride, 4 | 4:3 acetone-methylene chloride; 300g 230-400 mesh; 1x31, 1x300ml, 1x700ml, 1x31).

After concentration fraction 1 yielded 1.45g of a pale yellow foam. Comparison of this sample to HC-Toxin-1 1 isolated previously demonstrated that it consisted primarily of 1. The  $^1\text{H-NMR}$  spectrum of this material compared favorably with that of authentic 1. Fraction 4 yielded 0.350g of an orange brown oil which illicited host specific toxicity (TLC: streak  $R_f$  0.55- 0.20). This material was purified further by flash chromatography ( 40mm, 8:12:3 acetone-methylene chloride-ethanol, 100g 230-400 mesh; 50ml initial fraction followed by 20 ml fractions). Fractions 8 and 9 were combined to yield 0.181g of a yellow oll which was active in the bioassay ( TLC: two poorly resolved spots,  $R_f$  0.30).

This oil was dissolved in H<sub>2</sub>O and passed through a Waters C<sub>18</sub>-Sep-Pak; flushed with 40% aqueous ethanol. Reverse phase HPLC of 35 mg of this material separated it into three major components, retention times 25, 35 and 47 min respectively. Normal phase HPLC of the 25 min. retention time peak (11.0 mg) separated it into two components ( retention times 25 and 33 min) which were designated HC-Toxin-II 2 (4.0 mg) and HC-Toxin-III 3 (2.4 mg) in order of the elution.

HC-Toxin-II 2 cyclo[ Ala-Gly-( 2-amino-8-oxo-9.10-epoxy-decanoyl)-Pro] EI-MS (70 eV): 422(M+, 5.0), 170 ( 76.6), 70 ( base).FAB-MS (glycerol): 423 M++1, 48), 226(M-196, 10), 170(13), 70( base). High resolution FAB-MS (Csl, glycerol) for  $C_{20}H_{31}N_4O_6$ , calculated 423.22436, found 423.22558; +2.88ppm. <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR: see tables.

HC-Toxin-III 3 cyclo[Ala-Ala-(2-amino-8-oxo-9.10-epoxy-decanoyl)-trans-3-Hypro] EI-MS (70 ev):  $452(M^+, 3.9)$ , 170(base), 86(55.4). FAB-MS (glycerol):  $453(M^++1, 19)$ , 170(30), 156(M-196, 12), 86(base). High-Resolution FAB-MS (CsI, glycerol) for  $C_{21}H_{33}N_4O_7$ , calculated 453.23493, found 453.23221; -6.0ppm. <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR see tables.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

This work was supported by NSF Grant PCM 8314023 (to RJS and SPT); the Camille and Henry Dreyfus Foundation (Grant to Young Chemistry Faculty to SPT); and Michigan State University.

## REFERENCES

- 1) Current address: The Upjohn Co.; 7241-209-6; Kalamazoo, Mi. 49001.
- Current address: Department of Chemistry, Columbia University, N.Y., N.Y. 10027.
- 3) A. Close and R. Hugenin, Helv. Chim. Acta ,1974, 57, 533.
- 4) K. Umehara, K. Nakahara, S. Kiyota, M. Iwami, M. Okamoto, H. Tanake, M. Kohsaka, H. Oaki and H. Imanaka, *J. Antibiotics*, **1983**, *36*, 478.
- A. Hirata, A. Suzuki, H. Suzuki and S. Tamura, Agr. Biol. Chem., 1973, 37, 643.
- 6) S-D. Kim, H.W. Knoche, L.D. Dunkle, D.A. McCrery and K.B. Tomer, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1985**, *26*, 969.

- 7) Isolation and biological characterization: R.P. Scheffer, J. B. Rasmussen, S.P.Tanis and B.A. Horenstein, *Physiol. Plant Path.*, submitted for publication.
- 8) B. Ackermann and J.T.Watson, MSU Regional Mass Spectroscopy Facility, Department of Biochemistry, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Mi. 48824 U.S.A.
- Cyclic tetrapeptides have been analyzed by the CAD-FAB-MS technique. K.B. Tomer, F.W. Crow, M.L. Gross and K.D. Kopple, *Anal. Chem.*, 1984, 56, 880.
- 10) M.L. Gross, D. McCrery, F. Crow, K.B. Tomer, M.R. Pope, L.M. Ciufetti, H.W.. Knoche and L.D. Dunkle, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1982, 23, 5381.
- 11) Amino acid analysis performed by Professor D.T.A. Lamport and Mr. P.E. Muldoon III, MSU-DOE Plant Research Laboratory, Michigan State University, E. Lansing, Mi. 48824 U.S.A.
- 12) We thank Dr. Ashok Shenji; E.I. DuPont de Nemours and Company (Inc.), Central Research and Development Department, DuPont Experimental Station, Wilmington, De. 19898 U.S.A. for authentic samples of cis- and trans-3-hydroxyproline.
- 13) Assignments were aided by a comparison of the <sup>13</sup>C-NMR data for **2** and **3** with **1**; and DEPT experiments.
- 14) The standard Bruker COSY.AV program was employed.
- 15) a) M. Kawai, D.H. Rich and J.D. Walton, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm.*, 1983, 111, 398;
  - b) P. Mascagni, M. Pope, L.M. Ciufetti, H.W. Knoche and W.A. Gibbons, *ibid.* 113, 10;
  - c) J.D. Walton, E.D. Earle and B.W.Gibson, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm.*, 1982, 107. 785:
  - d) M.R. Pope, L.M. Ciufetti, H.W. Knoche, D. McCrery, J.M. Daly and L.D. Dunkle, *Biochem.*, **1983**, *22*, 3502.
- 16) W.C. Still, M. Kahn and A. Mitra, J. Org. Chem., 1978, 43, 2923.
- 17) Bruker DEPT.AV was employed.
- 18) M.R. Bendall and D.T. Pegg, Mag. Res., 1983, 53, 272.
- 19) For an example see: C.R. Jones, C.T. Sikakana, S. Hehir, M.C. Kuo and W.A. Gibbons, *Biophys. J.*, 1978, 24, 815.
- 20) Analysis performed by Mr. Richard Olsen, Department of Chemistry, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Mi. 48824 U.S.A.

Received, 11th July, 1986