STRUCTURES OF NEOACRIMARINES -F AND -G, TWO NEW ACRIDONE-COUMARIN DIMERS FROM *CITRUS* PLANTS¹

Yuko Takemura,^a Junko Kuwahara,^a Motoharu Ju-ichi,*,^a Mitsuo Omura,^b Chihiro Ito,^c and Hiroshi Furukawa *,^c

Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Mukogawa Women's University,^a Nishinomiya, Hyogo 663, Japan, Fruit Tree Research Station,^b Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries, Okitsu, Shimizu, Shizuoka 424-02, Japan, and Faculty of Pharmacy, Meijo University,^c Tempaku, Nagoya 468, Japan

<u>Abstracts</u>——Two new neoacrimarines -F and -G were isolated from Yalaha (*Citrus paradisi* Macf. x *C. tangerina* Hort ex Tanaka) and Marsh grapefruit (*C. paradisi* Macf.), respectively. Their structures were determined through extensive use of NMR spectroscopy.

In the course of our phytochemical studies of the constituents of *Citrus* plants, we have reported the isolation and structure elucidation of many new coumarins,² acridone alkaloids³ and acridone-coumarin dimers.⁴ Acridone-coumarin dimer could be classified into two groups; one is acrimarines which were constructed by various acridone alkaloids and a sole coumarin unit, suberenol, the other is neoacrimarines which were composed by various acridone alkaloids and coumarins. Further investigation furnished to isolate two new neoacrimarine type acridone-coumarin dimers named neoacrimarine-F and -G from Yalaha and Marsh grapefruit, respectively. In this paper we report the isolation and structure elucidation of both compounds.

Neoacrimarine-F (1), yellow cubes, [α]D +130.9° (MeOH), mp 218 - 220°, was obtained from acetone extracts of roots of Yalaha [Duncan grapefruit (*Citrus paradisi* Macf.) x Dancy tangerine (*C. tangerina* Hort ex Tanaka)]. The molecular formula C29H25NO9 was established by HRMS (M+ 531.1534). The IR (1730, 1630, 1605, 1590, 1565 cm⁻¹) and UV [220 (sh), 264, 295 (sh), 330, 369 nm] spectra suggested the presence of 9-acridone⁵ and coumarin skeletons. The ¹H-NMR spectrum showed signals characteristic hydrogen-bonded hydroxyl group [δ 14.54 (1H, s)], *ortho*-coupled aromatic protons [δ 7.93, 6.95 (each 1H, d, J= 8.8 Hz)] and *meta*-coupled aromatic protons [δ 6.11, 5.57 (each 1H, d, J= 1.5 Hz)]. The lowest aromatic proton signal (δ 7.93) was deshielded by 9-carbonyl function and the 1,3,5,6-tetraoxygenated pattern of acridone moiety could be assigned. The other signals at δ 7.64, 5.54 (each 1H, d, J=9.5 Hz) and δ 7.64, 6.91 (each 1H, d, J=8.8 Hz) were assignable to H-4, H-3, H-5 and H-6 of coumarin skeleton, and the remaining methine signals at δ 5.13, 4.17 (each 1H, d, J=3.7 Hz) and two methyl signals at δ 1.64, 1.53 (each 3H, s) suggested the presence of 2,2-dimethyl-3,4-dioxygenated dihydropyran ring.

Figure 1 C-H Long-Range Correlations in the HMBC spectrum(J=5 and 8Hz) of neoacrimarine-F (1)

Signals at δ_H 3.76, 3.18 (each 3H, s) and δ_C 55.4, 42.3 in the 1H - and ^{13}C -NMR spectra indicated the presence an O-methyl and an N-methyl groups. In the NOE experiments, irradiation of the methoxy signal at δ 3.76 showed 6% and 8% increments of the signals at δ 5.57 (H-4) and 6.11 (H-2). When the N-methyl signal at δ 3.18 was irradiated, 10% increment was observed on the signal at δ 5.57. These results suggested the location of O-methyl group at C-3. In order to establish the linking position between acridone and coumarin skeleton and to assign the structure unambiguously, a series of NMR experiments including H-H COSY, HMQC and HMBC was performed. In the HMBC spectrum (Figure 1), H-91 (8 5.13) showed 2J and 3J correlations with six carbon resonances at δ 135.3 (C-5), 108.2 (C-8'), 155.9 (C-7'), 153.0 (C-8'a), 70.1 (C-10') and 79.1 (C-11'). Due to the results, the linkage of the acridone and coumarin units between C-5 and C-9' was established. The relative configuration of the two chiral carbons of khellactones is generally determined by J values of two methine protons and the difference of chemical shifts of two C-methyl signals in ¹H-NMR⁷ and ¹³C-NMR spectra. ⁸ The J values (3.7 Hz) of two methine protons, the appearance of two C-methyl singlets having little difference in chemical shift (Δ 0.11 ppm) in the ¹H-NMR spectrum and large difference in chemical shift (6.7 ppm) of two C-methyl signals in the ¹³C-NMR spectrum suggested the presence of a cis-oriented methine protons. This orientation was confirmed by difference NOE experiments. Irradiation of the methine signal at δ 4.17 (H-10') gave 7% enhancement of the signal at δ 5.13 (H-9'), and irradiation of the H-9' signal showed 3% enhancement of H-10' signal. These results established the relative stereochemistry of the methine protons in 1 as cis. Consequently, the structure of the new compound was represented by 1, except for the absolute stereochemistry.

Neoacrimarine-G (2) was obtained from the roots of Marsh grapefruit (*C. paradisi* Macf.) as a yellow oil. The HRMS gave a molecular ion at m/z 515.1612 consistent with a molecular formula C29H25NO8. The IR (3566, 1734, 1699, 1606, 1558 cm⁻¹) and UV spectra [220 (sh), 262, 280 (sh), 315, 324 nm] showed the presence of 9-acridone⁵ and coumarin skeletons.⁶ The ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectra of 2 showed

Table 1. ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectral data of 1 and 2

·	1	2		1	2
1-OH	14.54	14.44	C-1	163.7	165.3
H-2	6.11 (d, 1.5)	6.26 (d, 2.2)	C-2	94.3	94.1
			C-3	164.8	165.8
3-OCH ₃	3.76	3.84	3-OCH3	55.4	55.5
H-4	5.57 (d, 1.5)	5.90 (d, 2.2)	C-4	89.6	90.7
		,,	C-4a	146.2	147.3
			C-5	135.3	149.4
H-6		7.70 (br d, 8.1)	C-6	155.4	123.0
6-OH	10.30				
H-7	6.95 (d, 8.8)	7.31 (t. 8.1)	C-7	112.7	122.3
Н-8	7.93 (d, 8.8)	8.22 (dd, 8.1, 1.1)	C-8	122.8	121.4
	, , , ,	• • • •	C-8a	116.4	124.7
			C-9	179.6	181.1
			C-9a	104.2	105.7
			C-10a	140.7	137.7
10-NCH ₃	3.18	3.56	10-NCH3	42.3	42.6
			C-2'	157.8	158.5
H-3'	5.54 (d. 9.5)	5.88 (d, 9.5)	C-3'	111.3	112.8
H-4'	7.64 (d. 9.5)	7.44 (d, 9.5)	C-4'	143,2	142.6
			C-4'a	111.8	112.1
H-5'	7.64 (d. 8.8)	7,35 (d, 8.8)	C-5'	130.2	129.6
H-6'	6.91 (d. 8.8)	6.84 (d, 8.8)	C-6'	113.9	114.6
		• • •	C-7'	155.9	156.3
			C-8'	108.2	108.0
			C-8'a	153.0	154.1
H-9'	5.13 (d, 3.7)	5.65 (d, 4.8)	C-9'	75.7	74.5
H-10'	4.17 (d, 3.7)	4.11 (dd, 4.8, 9.2)	C-10'	70.1	71.2
10'-OH		2.66 (d, 9.2)			
		• • •	C-11'	79.1	78.9
11'-CH3	1.53	1.57	11-CH3	21.5	21.4
	1.64	1.59	İ	28,2	26.3

Neoacrimarine-F (1) was measured in DMSO-d₆ and -G (2) in CDCl₃.

J values in parentheses are expressed in Hz.

similar signal patterns with those of 1 (Table 1). The prominent features distinguishing 2 from 1 were the replacement of the signals of AB type aromatic proton [δ 7.93, 6.95 (each 1H, d, J=8.8 Hz)] of acridone moiety seen in 1 by ABC type signals of aromatic proton [δ 8.22 (1H, dd, J=8.1, 1.1 Hz), 7.70 (1H, br d, J=8.1 Hz), 7.31 (1H, t, J=8.1 Hz)] in 2. The location of *O*-methyl group was determined by NOE experiments. Irradiation of the *N*-methyl signal (δ 3.56) resulted in 28% enhancement of the signal at δ 5.90 (H-4). When the methoxy signal at δ 3.84 was irradiated, 26% and 6% enhancement were observed of the signals at δ 6.26 (H-2) and 5.90 (H-4), indicating that an *O*-methyl group was located at C-3. The structure of coumarin and acridone moieties was confirmed by HMBC spectrum in analogy with 1. The relative stereochemistry of two methine protons in 2 was established by difference NOE experiments. Irradiation of the signal at δ 5.65 (H-9') produced a 8% enhancement of the H-10' signal at δ 4.11 (*vice versa*). From the above results, the structure of neoacrimarine-G was concluded as 2. The absolute stereochemistry of neoacrimarine-G remains to be solved.

EXPERIMENTAL

Isolation The CH₂Cl₂-acetone eluate obtained through the separation process of the acetone extract (103.1 g) of Yalaha (*C. paradisi* Macf. x *C. tangerina* Hort. ex Tanaka)⁹ was subjected to repeated PTLC using solvent systems [benzene-AcOEt (8:2), acetone-hexane (1:1), CHCl₃-acetone (9:1)] gave neoacrimarine-F (1)(21.3 mg). The CH₂Cl₂ eluate obtained from silica gel column chromatography of the acetone extract (138 g) of Marsh grapefruit¹⁰ was separated with a silica gel centrifugal

chromatography and finally repeated PTLC [solvents: benzene-acetone (8:2), hexane-acetone (7:3), CHCl3:MeOH (19:1), CHCl3:acetone (9:1)] to give neoacrimarine-G (2)(4.3 mg).

Neoacrimarine-F (1) Yellow cubes, mp 218 - 220°, [α]D +130.9° (c=0.22, MeOH); HRMS m/z: 531.1534 (M+, found), 531.1529 (calcd for C₂₉H₂₅NO₉); EIMS m/z: 531, 287 (base peak), 288, 287, 286, 272, 258, 244, 201, 188, 160; IR ν_{max} (CHCl₃, cm⁻¹): 1730, 1630, 1605, 1590, 1565; UV λ_{max} (EtOH, nm): 220 (sh), 264, 295(sh), 330, 369; ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR (DMSO-d₆, δ): Table 1; NOE: irradiation at δ 3.18 (N-CH₃) - 10% enhancement at δ 5.57 (H-4); irradiation at δ 3.76 (OCH₃) - 6% and 8% enhancement at δ 5.57 (H-4) and 6.11 (H-2); irradiation at δ 4.17 (H-10') - 7% enhancement at δ 5.13 (H-9'); irradiation at δ 5.13 (H-9') - 3% enhancement at δ 4.17 (H-10').

Neoacrimarine-G (2) Yellow oil, [α]D +130.2° (c= 0.0305, CHCl3), HRMS m/z: 515.1612 (M⁺, found), 515.1580 (calcd for C29H25NO8); EIMS m/z: 515 (M⁺), 272, 271, 244, 242, 201, 189, 188 (base peak), 187, 160; IR ν_{max} (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3566, 1734, 1699, 1606, 1558; UV λ_{max} (MeOH, nm): 220 (sh), 262, 280 (sh), 315, 324; ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR (CDCl3, δ): Table 1; NOE; irradiation at δ 3.84 (OCH3) - 26% and 6% enhancement at δ 6.26 (H-2) and 5.90 (H-4); irradiation at δ 3.56 (N-CH3) - 28% enhancement at δ 5.90 (H-4); irradiation at δ 5.65 (H-9') - 8% and 5% enhancement at δ 7.70 (H-6) and 4.11 (H-10'); irradiation at δ 4.11 (H-10') - 8% enhancement at δ 5.65 (H-9').

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors want to thank Misses K. Suwa and S. Takeyama, Mukogawa Women's University, for measurements of MS and NMR spectra.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- Part XXIX of "Constituents of Domestic Citrus Plants". Part XXVIII: Y. Takemura, Y. Isono, Y. Arima, M. Ju-ichi, M. Omura, C. Ito, and H. Furukawa, <u>Heterocycles</u>, in press.
- 2 M. Ju-ichi, Y. Takemura, M. Okano, N. Fukamiya, K. Hatano, Y. Asakawa, T. Hashimoto, C. Ito, and H. Furukawa, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 1996, 44, 11.
- 3 Y. Takemura, J. Takaya, M. Ju-ichi, M. Omura, C. Ito, and H. Furukawa, <u>Heterocycles</u>, 1996, 43, 2483.
- 4 Y. Takemura, M. Ju-ichi, M. Omura, M. Haruna, C. Ito, and H. Furukawa, <u>Heterocycles</u>, 1994, 38, 1937.
- 5 J. Reisch, K. Szendri, E. Minker, and I. Novak, Pharmazie, 1972, 27, 208.
- 6 R. D. H. Murray, J. Mendez, and S. A. Brown, "The Natural Coumarins", p. 27, John Wiley & Sons Ltd., New York, 1982.
- J. Lemmich, E. Lemmich, and B. E. Nielsen, Acta. Chem. Scand., 1966, 20, 2497.
- F. A. Macias, G. M. Massanet, F. Rodriguez-Luis, J. Salva, and F. R. Fronczek, <u>Magn. Reson.</u> <u>Chem.</u>, 1989, 27, 653.
- 9 Y. Takemura, H. Kawaguchi, S. Maki, M. Ju-ichi, M. Omura, C. Ito, and H. Furukawa, <u>Chem. Pharm. Bull.</u>, 1996, 44, 804.
- 10 Y. Takemura, J. Kuwahara, N. Nagareya, M. Ju-ichi, M. Omura, I. Kajiura, C. Ito, and H. Furukawa, <u>Heterocycles</u>, 1994, 39, 315.