

# Letters

## Scattering from an Ionized Column in the Earth-Ionosphere Space

James R. Wait

**Abstract**—An extension of an earlier paper [1] on this subject is hereby developed to allow for the generalization of the Born approximation to account for eddy currents in the column. Also, the relevance to VLF sprites is pointed out; these are ionized columns extending from the cloud tops to the lower ionosphere.

**Index Terms**—Earth-ionosphere waveguide, electromagnetic (EM) scattering.

A crucial idealization earlier [1] was the invoking of the first-order Born approximation to estimate the induced currents in the column. The key step here is to note that the induced current  $I(z')$  within the column extending from  $z' = z_a$  to  $z_b$  can be represented more generally by

$$I(z') = E_r(d_1, z') / [Z_c(z') + Z_{\text{ex}}]. \quad (1)$$

The notation and symbols are as defined in the earlier paper [1]. In particular, see [1, Fig. 1]. To remind the reader,  $E_r$  is the radial electric field of the distant very low frequency (VLF) source and  $d_1$  is the great-circle distance from the source to the sprite column.  $Z_c(z')$  is the axial ( $z'$  dependent) internal impedance of the column, while  $Z_{\text{ex}}$  is the external impedance of the column [2] that is assumed here not to depend on the mode order of the dominant waveguide modes, which excite the column currents. As in the earlier paper [1], the time factor is  $\exp(jwt)$ . Appropriate expressions for the impedances [2] are

$$Z_c = (j\mu w/2\pi)I_0(\gamma_c \hat{a}) / [\gamma_c \hat{a} I_1(\gamma_c \hat{a})] \quad (2)$$

where  $I_0$  and  $I_1$  are modified Bessel functions,  $\gamma_c = [j\mu w(\sigma + j\varepsilon w)]^{1/2}$  where  $\sigma$ ,  $\varepsilon$ , and  $\mu$  are the electromagnetic (EM) properties of the column, and where  $\hat{a}$  is the column radius. Also

$$Z_{\text{ex}} = (j\mu_0 w/2\pi)K_0(jk\hat{a}), \quad (k = 2\pi/\text{wavelength}) \quad (3)$$

where  $K_0$  is the MacDonald function of order zero.

Now because  $k\hat{a} \ll 1$  for all purposes here

$$Z_{\text{ex}} \cong (\mu_0 w/2) + j\mu w/2\pi[\ln(2/k\hat{a}) - 0.5773].$$

At sufficiently low frequencies and/or low conductivities, where  $|\gamma_c \hat{a}| \ll 1$ , it follows from (2) that  $Z_c \cong (\pi \hat{a}^2 \sigma)^{-1}$  assuming  $\varepsilon w \ll \sigma$ . The  $z'$  dependence of  $Z_c$  and  $\sigma$  is understood. Then, if  $Z_{\text{ex}} \ll Z_c$ , (1) is reduced to

$$I(z') = E_r(d_1, z') / Z_c(z') \cong \pi \hat{a}^2 \sigma(z') E_r(d_1, z') \quad (4)$$

which corresponds to the Born approximation as employed before [1]. In the present context, it is valid if  $|\gamma_c \hat{a}|^2 \ln(2/k\hat{a}) \ll 1$ . As indicated earlier [2], such would apply to column radii of 1 m or less and column

conductivities not greater than  $10^{-2}$  S/m at frequencies less than 30 kHz.

A simple modification of the working equations in [1, given by (23)–(27)], is to replace the actual column conductivity  $\sigma(z')$  by an effective (complex) conductivity  $\sigma_\theta(z')$ . The implementation of this step is  $\sigma(z') \hat{a}^2 \Rightarrow \hat{a}^2 \sigma_\theta(z') = [Z_c(z') + Z_{\text{ex}}]^{-1}$ . To further simplify the discussion, we follow the assumption in [1] and regard the actual conductivity constant over the vertical extent of the column or to regard it as an average denoted by  $\bar{\sigma}$  and the corresponding effective expression by  $\bar{\sigma}_\theta$ .

In the specific application to a sprite column, the mode conversion coefficient in [1] is now given by

$$C_{mn} \cong (1/h) \int_{z_a}^h G_m(y') G_n(y') dz' \quad (5)$$

where  $a$  (not  $\hat{a}$ ) is the earth's radius and  $y' = (2/ka)^{1/3} kz'$ . Here the integration extends from the bottom of the column at height  $z_a$  above the earth surface to the height of the lower edge of the ionosphere. We now change the variable to  $y'$  and denote  $y_a = (2/ka)^{1/3} kz_a$  and  $y_0 = (2/ka)^{1/3} kh$ . Also we exploit the orthogonality of the height gain functions  $G_m(y')$  and  $G_n(y')$  to arrive at the useful version of (5)

$$C_{mn} \cong \delta_{mn} (2\Lambda_m)^{-1} - (1/y_0) \int_0^{y_a} G_m(y') dy' \quad (8)$$

where  $\delta_{mn} = 0$  for  $m \neq n$  and =1 for  $m = n$  and where

$$\Lambda_m \cong (y_0/2) \left[ \int_0^{y_0} [G_m(y')]^2 dy' \right]^{-1} \quad (9)$$

is the excitation function as conventionally defined [3] for earth-ionosphere waveguide modes at VLF.

To deal with integral in (8), we make use of the boundary condition also used before [1], which reads

$$[dG_m(y)/dy - qG_m(y)]_{y=0} = 0 \quad (10)$$

where

$$q = -j(ka/2)^{1/3} Z_s / (120\pi)$$

in terms of the surface impedance of the ground where it is safe here to ignore the dependence of  $Z_s$  on the mode index  $m$ . The height gain functions are normalized such that  $G_m(0) = G_n(0) = 1$ . Also, we utilize the fact for the region  $0 < y < y_0$ ,  $G_m(y)$  satisfies Stokes differential equation [3] given by

$$d^2G_m(y)/dy^2 - (t_m - y)G_m(y) = 0 \quad (11)$$

where  $t_m$  is the eigenvalue for mode of order  $m$  [1], [3]. Employing (10) and (11), it is not difficult to show that

$$G_m(y) = 1 - qy + (t_m/2)y^2 - (1 + t_m q)(y^3/6) + \dots \quad (12)$$

and thus

$$G_m(y)G_n(y) = 1 - 2qy + (t_m + t_n + 2q^2)(y^2/2) - (1 + 2t_m q + 2t_n q)(y^3/3) + \dots \quad (13)$$

Manuscript received December 31, 1997; revised July 27, 1998.

The author, deceased.

Publisher Item Identifier S 0018-926X(00)02625-9.

On replacing  $y$  by  $y'$  in (13), the integration in (8) can be performed to yield the desired expression for the mode conversion

$$C_{mn} = \delta_{mn}/(2\Lambda_m) - (y_a/y_0)[1 - 2qy_a + (t_m + t_n + 2q^2) \cdot (y_a^2/6) - (1 + 2t_m q + 2t_n q)(y_a^3/12) + \dots]. \quad (14)$$

In the case where  $m \neq n$ , the mode conversion is characterized by

$$C_{mn} = -(z_a/h)[1 + jkz_a(Z_s/120\pi) + \text{terms in } z_a^2, z_z^3 \dots].$$

What we have done here is to show that within the confines of the Born approximation, the conclusions arrived at earlier [1] remain intact; but also, we have indicated how the earlier formulation can be generalized to permit application to "denser" ionized columns, which may also have a larger cross-sectional area. The specific relevance to scattering from sprites has also been pointed out. Further generalizations now are needed to cope with multiple scattering of clusters of columns that have been observed optically [4]. An analytical and nu-

merical model to treat this problem has already been carried out [5], but such was confined to a two-dimensional geometry and earth curvature effects were not considered.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. R. Wait, "EM scattering from a vertical column of ionization in the earth-ionosphere waveguide," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.*, vol. 39, pp. 1051–1054, July 1991.
- [2] ———, "VLF scattering from a column of ionisation in the earth-ionosphere waveguide," *J. Atmosph. Terr. Phys.*, vol. 57, no. 8, pp. 955–959, Aug. 1995.
- [3] ———, *Electromagnetic Waves in Stratified Media*. New York: IEEE Press, 1995.
- [4] D. D. Sentman and E. M. Westcott, "Red Sprites and blue jets: Thunderstorm excited optical emissions," *Phys. Plasmas*, vol. 2, no. 6, pp. 2414–2522, 1995.
- [5] C. J. Rodger, J. R. Wait, and R. L. Dowden, "Electromagnetic scattering from a group of thin conducting cylinders," *Radio Sci.*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 907–912, May 1997.