- (2) B. R. Baker "Design of Active-Site-Directed Irreversible Enzyme Inhibitors," Wiley, New York, N. Y., 1967.
- (3) B. R. Baker, Annu. Rev. Pharmacol., 10, 35 (1970).
- (4) P. Cuatrecasas, M. Wilcheck, and C. B. Anfinsen, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S., 61, 636 (1968).
- (5) P. Cuatrecasas, J. Biol. Chem., 245, 3059 (1970).
- (6) B. R. Baker and N. M. J. Vermeulen, J. Med. Chem., 13, 1143 (1970) (paper 175).
- (7) B. R. Baker and H.-U. Siebeneick, *ibid.*, 14, 799 (1971) (paper 184).
- (8) J. N. Ashley, R. F. Collins, M. Davis, and N. E. Sirett, J. Chem. Soc., 3298 (1958).

Irreversible Enzyme Inhibitors. 191.^{†,1} Hydrophobic Bonding to Some Dehydrogenases by 6-, 7-, or 8-Substituted-4-hydroxyquinoline-3-carboxylic Acids

B. R. Baker and Ray R. Bramhall*

Department of Chemistry, University of California at Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, California 93106, Received August 13, 1971

Twenty-eight derivatives of 4-hydroxyquinoline-3-carboxylic acid bearing aryl, aralkyl, aralkoxy, or aroxyalkoxy groups at the 6, 7, or 8 positions were investigated as inhibitors of glutamate, glyceraldehyde phosphate, lactate, and malate dehydrogenases. The best hydrocarbon interactions were seen with malate dehydrogenase; for example the $6\text{-}C_6H_5O(\text{CH}_2)_4O$ group (12) gave a 190-fold increment in binding over the parent quinoline-3-carboxylic acid and a 740-fold increment over the substrate, L-malate. Weaker hydrocarbon interactions (10- to 20-fold increments) were seen with glutamate or lactate dehydrogenase, but none was seen with glyceraldehyde phosphate dehydrogenase.

In the first paper from this laboratory on inhibitors of glutamate, glyceraldehyde phosphate, lactate and malate dehydrogenases, the 4-hydroxyquinoline-3-carboxylic acid (1) (Table I) system was selected for further structural modification.‡ Since hydrophobic bonding can greatly enhance inhibitor binding, a search for such a hydrocarbon interaction has now been made with aryl, aralkyl, and aralkyloxy derivatives substituted on the 6, 7, or 8 positions of 4-hydroxyquinoline-3-carboxylics; the results are the subject of this paper.

Enzyme Results. The best hydrocarbon interactions in Table I were seen with malate dehydrogenase. The 6- $C_6H_5(CH_2)_3O$ (9), 6- $C_6H_5O(CH_2)_4O$ (12), and 6- $C_6H_5O(CH_2)_5O$ (13) groups gave 190-, 130-, and 110-fold increments in binding, respectively, compared to the parent 1. Poorer hydrophobic bonding (16-fold) was seen with the 7- $C_6H_5CH_2$ (15) and 8- $C_6H_5O(CH_2)_3O$ (19) substituents.

The best hydrocarbon interaction (22-fold) on lactate dehydrogenase was also seen with the $6-C_6H_5(CH_2)_3$ O (9) substituent; the $6-C_6H_5O(CH_2)_5$ O (13) was about half as effective.

Hydrocarbon interaction with glutamate dehydrogenase was poor, the 6- $C_6H_5CH_2$ (5), 6- $C_6H_5(CH_2)_2O$ (8), 6- $C_6H_5(CH_2)_3O$ (9), or 6- $C_6H_5O(CH_2)_2O$ (10) substituents showing a 10- to 12-fold increment. No significant hydrocarbon interaction was seen with glyceraldehyde phosphate dehydrogenase; the most potent compound was the 6- $C_6H_5CH_2O$ (7) derivative.

The $6-C_6H_5(CH_2)_3O$ (9) group stands out as the best across the 4 enzymes, being complexed 37-, 100-, and 740-fold better, respectively, than the substrate, L-glutamate, L-lactate, or L-malate.

Some of the inhibitors were converted to their 1-Me derivatives; this change was previously shown not to be detrimental to binding in the parent series (1 ν s. 22) or 6-MeO series (2 ν s. 23). 1-Methylation (24-32) was not detrimental to binding with glutamate and lactate dehydrogenase; in contrast, it was surprising to note that 1-methylation was detrimental to binding, when the inhibitors contained

Table I. Inhibition^a of Four Dehydrogenases by

		Ι ₅₀ , <i>b</i> μΜ			
No.	R	Glu-DH	GPDH	LDH	MDH
10	Н	600	>1600d	440	520
2^c	6-MeO	430	460	230	>400d
3	6-C₄H ₉ ·n	140	1100	160	250
4	6-C ₆ H ₅	94	390	67	290
5	6-C ₆ H ₅ CH ₂	56	1400	160	190
68	6-C.H.O	75	530	96	170
7	6-C ₆ H₅CH₂O	97	280	110	110
8	6-C ₆ H ₅ (CH ₂) ₂ O	55	520	78	73
9	6-C ₆ H ₅ (CH ₂) ₃ O	55	360	20	2.7
10	$6-C_6H_5O(CH_2)_2O$	50	430	60	43
11	6-C ₆ H ₅ O(CH ₂) ₃ O	210	400	59	9.5
12	$6 \cdot C_6 H_5 O(CH_2)_4 O$	120	330	59	3.9
13	6-C ₆ H ₅ O(CH ₂) ₅ O	76	>800d	37	4.6
14	7-C,H,	260e	650e	170	67
15	7-C ₆ H ₅ CH ₂	470	>4000d	110	33
16	7-C ₄ H ₄ O(CH ₂) ₃ O	>220d	1100e	100	400
17	8-C ₆ H ₅ 8-C ₆ H ₅ CH ₂	570	530e	110	260
18	8-C ₆ H ₅ CH ₂	340	1000e	770	140
19	8-C ₆ H ₅ O(CH ₂) ₃ O	> 800d	$> 800^{d}$	$> 200^{d}$	33
20^h	7,8-Benzo	99	670	140	130
21^i	7,8-(Pyrido-2,3)	410	680	110	520
22 ^c	1-Me	500	590	74	520
23f	1-Me-6-MeO	270	330	150	200
24	$1-Me-6-C_6H_5$	$> 200^{d}$	>100d	$> 200^{d}$	$>400^{d}$
25	1-Me-6-C ₆ H ₅ CH ₂	$> 200^{d}$	>100d	$> 200^{d}$	>400d
26	$1-Me-6-C_6H_5CH_2O$	140	280	110	>100d
27	$1-\text{Me-}6-\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{O}$	330e	>450 ^d	84	$> 120^{d}$
28	1-Me-7-C ₆ H ₅ CH ₂	460e	1100e	100	400
29	$1-\text{Me-}7-\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{O}$	$> 200^{d}$	>600d	110	>280d
30	$1-Me-8-C_6H_5$	270	$> 400^{d}$	57	$>400^{d}$
31	$1-\text{Me-}8-\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{CH}_2$	330	400	110	320
32	1-Me-8-C ₆ H ₅ O(CH ₂) ₃ O	>160d	300e	46	>160d

^aThe technical assistance of Nancy Middleton, Pauline Minton and Diane Shea with these assays is acknowledged. $^{b}1_{50}$ = concn for 50% inhibition of Glu-DH = glutamate dehydrogenase, GDPH = glyceral-dehyde phosphate dehydrogenase, LDH = lactate dehydrogenase, and MDH = malic dehydrogenase when assayed² with 2 mM L-glutamate, 0.25 mM glyceraldehyde phosphate, 2 mM pyruvate, and 2 mM L-malate, respectively. c Data from ref 2. d No inhibition at 0.25 this conen, the max solubility. e Estimated from the inhibition at max solubility, which is less than the I_{50} . f Data from ref 1. g For synthesis see Riegel, et al. 4 hFor synthesis see Foster, et al. 5 iSee ref 6.

 $[\]dagger This$ work was generously supported by Grant CA-08695 from the National Cancer Institute, U. S. Public Health Service.

[‡]See ref 2 for a discussion of the possible chemotherapeutic utility of these inhibitors.

Table II. Properties of O(CH₂)_nO

No.	R	n	Method	Mp, °C ^a	Yield, %	Formula ^b
65	4-NHCOCH ₃	2	A	171-173	36	C ₁₆ H ₁₇ NO ₃
66	4-NH ₂	2	В	112-113	86	$C_{14}^{14}H_{15}^{15}NO_{2}^{3}$
67	4-NHĆOCH ₃	3	Ā	125-127	86	$C_{12}H_{12}NO_3$
68	4-NH, · HCl	3	В	165-167	67	$C_{15}^{17}H_{17}^{13}NO_2^3 \cdot HC1 \cdot 0.25H_2O$
69	4-NHĆOCH ₃	4	A	140-141	80	$C_{18}^{13}H_{21}^{17}NO_{3}^{2}$
70	4-NH, · HCl	4	В	196-197	56	$C_{16}H_{19}NO_2 \cdot HC1$
71	2-NO ₂	3	Ā	Oilc	87	- 10 19 - 2

aRecrystd from EtOH. bAnal. C, H, N. cUsed crude in next step.

Table III. Physical Properties of R
$$\stackrel{C(COOEt)_2}{\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow}$$
 $\stackrel{C}{\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow}$ $\stackrel{C}{\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow}$

R	Time ^a	$Mp, {^{\circ}C^b}$	Yield, %	Formula ^c
4-C ₄ H _o -n ^d	30	Oile	100f	
$4-C_5H_5d$	25	90-92	72	$C_{20}H_{21}NO_4$
4-C ₆ H ₅ CH ₂ g	45	64-65	86	$C_{21}H_{23}NO_4$
4-C ₆ H ₅ CH ₂ O ^d	40	113-116	84	C21H23NO5
$4-C_6H_5(CH_2)_2O^h$	30	Oile	64 <i>f</i>	21 23 3
4-C ₅ H ₅ (CH ₂) ₃ O ^h	30	63-65	86	$C_{23}H_{27}NO_{5}$
4-C ₆ H ₅ O(CH ₂) ₂ O	30	92-93	88	$C_{22}H_{25}NO_6$
4-C ₆ H ₈ O(CH ₂) ₃ O	30	71-73	85	$C_{23}H_{27}NO_6$
4-C ₆ H ₅ O(CH ₂) ₄ O	30	89-90	85	$C_{24}H_{29}NO_6$
4-C ₆ H ₂ O(CH ₂) ₅ O ⁱ	60	71-74	77	$C_{25}H_{31}NO_6$
	30	Oile	100 <i>f</i>	20 01 0
3-C ₆ H ₅ CH ₂ g	30	61-63	78	$C_{21}H_{23}NO_4$
$3-C_6H_5-O(CH_2)_3O^k$	30	68-70	81	$C_{23}H_{27}NO_6$
$2-C_6H_5d$	30	64-65	55	$C_{20}H_{21}NO_4$
2-C ₆ H ₅ CH ₂ g	30	88-89	45	$C_{21}H_{23}NO_4$
$2-C_6H_5O(CH_2)_3O^l$	30	60-61	67	$C_{23}H_{27}NO_6$
	4-C ₄ H ₃ -n ^d 4-C ₆ H ₅ d 4-C ₆ H ₅ CH ₂ S 4-C ₆ H ₅ CH ₂ Od 4-C ₆ H ₅ (CH ₂) ₂ O ^h 4-C ₆ H ₅ (CH ₂) ₂ O ^h 4-C ₆ H ₅ (CH ₂) ₂ O 4-C ₆ H ₅ O(CH ₂) ₂ O 4-C ₆ H ₅ O(CH ₂) ₂ O 4-C ₆ H ₅ O(CH ₂) ₅ O ⁱ 3-C ₆ H ₅ CH ₂ S 3-C ₆ H ₅ -O(CH ₂) ₃ O ^k 2-C ₆ H ₅ CH ₂ S 2-C ₆ H ₅ d 2-C ₆ H ₅ CH ₂ S	4-C ₄ H ₃ -n ^d 30 4-C ₆ H ₅ ^d 25 4-C ₆ H ₅ CH ₂ S 45 4-C ₆ H ₅ CH ₂ O ^d 40 4-C ₆ H ₅ (CH ₂) ₂ O ^h 30 4-C ₆ H ₅ (CH ₂) ₂ O ^h 30 4-C ₆ H ₅ O(CH ₂) ₂ O 30 4-C ₆ H ₅ O(CH ₂) ₃ O 30 4-C ₆ H ₅ O(CH ₂) ₄ O 30 4-C ₆ H ₅ O(CH ₂) ₅ O ⁱ 60 3-C ₆ H ₅ ⁱ 30 3-C ₆ H ₅ CH ₂ S 30 3-C ₆ H ₅ CH ₂ S 30 2-C ₆ H ₅ d 30 2-C ₆ H ₅ d 30 2-C ₆ H ₅ d 30	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

^aTime in min for previously described method. ^a Brecrystd from EtOH. ^cAnal. C, H, N. ^dStarting arylamine is commercially available. ^eUsed crude in next step. ^fNot homogeneous on tlc, but suitable for further transformations. ^gStarting arylamine prepd via method given in ref 7. ^hSee ref 8 for starting arylamine. ⁱSee ref 9 for starting arylamine. ^jNitro compound is commercially available; it was reduced catalytically to the amine. ^kStarting arylamine prepd by method of Lourens. ¹⁰ Arylamine from hydrogenation of 71 used crude.

large substituents (24-33), to malate and glyceraldehyde phosphate dehydrogenase.

Chemistry. The compounds in Table I were synthesized by the general methods previously described; that is, the appropriate arylamine was condensed with diethyl ethoxymethylenemalonate (Table III), then thermally cyclized (Table IV) and saponified to the desired inhibitors (Tables I, VI). The 1-Me derivatives in Table VI were synthesized by methylation as the appropriate ester in Table IV, then saponified by the methods previously described.

Experimental Section

Melting points were taken in capillary tubes on a Mel-Temp block and are uncor. Each analytical sample had an ir spectrum compatible with its structure and was homogeneous on tlc on Brinkmann silica gel GF. All analytical samples gave combustion values for C, H, N within 0.4% of theoretical.

I-(p-Acetamidophenoxy)-4-phenoxybutane (69). Method A. A mixt of 6.6 g (44 mmoles) of 4-hydroxyacetanilide, 9.1 g (40 mmoles) of 4-phenoxybutyl bromide, and 5.5 g (80 mequiv) of K_2CO_3 was stirred in 40 ml of dry DMF for about 18 hr. The reaction mixt was poured onto 100 g of ice and dild to 500 ml with H_2O . The product was collected and recrystd from EtOH to give 9.6 g (80%): mp 140-141°. Anal. $(C_{18}H_{21}NO_3)$ C, H, N. See Table II for other compounds prepared in this manner.

1-(p-Aminophenoxy)-4-phenoxybutane Hydrochloride (70). Method B. A mixt of 5.0 g (17.5 mmoles) of 69, 10 ml of EtOH, and 25 ml of 6 N HCl were refluxed for 3 hr. The solid was collected from the cooled reaction mixt and recrystd from EtOH to

Table IV. Physical Properties of R COOEt

No.	R	Time a	Solvent b	Mp, °C	Yield, %	Formula c
33	6-C ₄ H ₉ -n	60	A	250-252	62 ^e	C ₁₆ H ₁₉ NO ₃
34	6-C ₆ H ₅	30	В	300-303 dec	70	$C_{18}H_{15}NO_3$
35	6-C ₆ H ₅ CH ₂	45	Α	265-266	77	$C_{19}H_{17}NO_3$
36	6-C ₆ H ₅ CH ₂ O	30	C	279-280 dec	71	$C_{19}H_{17}NO_4$
37	6-C ₆ H ₅ (CH ₂) ₂ O	30	Α	231-235	50 <i>d</i>	
38	6-C ₆ H ₅ (CH ₂) ₃ O	30	D	236-238	46d	
39	6-C ₆ H ₅ O(CH ₂) ₂ O	30	D	231-236	68 <i>d</i>	
40	6-C ₆ H ₅ O(CH ₂) ₃ O	30	D	214-216	70	$C_{21}H_{21}NO_{5}$
41	6-C ₆ H ₄ O(CH ₂) ₄ O	30	D	218-219	75	$C_{22}H_{23}NO_{5}$
42	6-C ₆ H ₅ O(CH ₂) ₅ O	45	A	182-184	58 <i>d</i>	
43	7-C ₆ H ₅	30	E	290-295	45 <i>d</i> ,e	
44	7-C,H,CH,	30	D	268-270	57 d	
45	$7 \cdot C_6 H_5 O(CH_2)_3 O$	30	D	256-259	62 <i>d</i>	
46	8-C ₆ H ₅	30	D	254-256	65	$C_{18}H_{15}NO_3$
47	8-C ₆ H ₅ CH ₂	30	D	231-232	79	$C_{19}H_{17}NO_3$
48	8-C ₆ H ₅ O(CH ₂) ₃ O	60	Α	133-134	77	$C_{21}H_{21}NO_{5}$

aMin for thermal ring closure. bRecrystn solvents: A, EtOH; B, HOAc; C, pyridine; D, 2-methoxyethanol; E, EtOH-H₂O. cAnal. C, H, N. dProduct gels, occludes solvent, is not homogeneous in tlc, but is suitable for further transformation. COverall for 2 steps.

No.	R ^a	Solvent b	Mp, °C	Yield, %	Formula ^c
3	6-C ₄ H ₉ -n	A	235-236 dec	89	C ₁₄ H ₁₅ NO ₃
4	6-C ₆ H ₅	В	288-289 dec	78	$C_{16}H_{11}NO_3$
5	6-C ₆ H ₅ CH ₂	В	262-263 dec	42	$C_{17}H_{13}NO_3$
7	6-C ₆ H _s CH ₂ O	С	264-265 dec	66	$C_{17}H_{13}NO_4$
8	6-C,H,(CH,),O	C	247-249 dec	63	$C_{18}H_{15}NO_4$
9	6-C ₆ H ₅ (CH ₂) ₃ O	D	247-248 dec	72	$C_{19}H_{17}NO_4$
10	6-C,H,O(CH,),O	D	235-237 dec	54	$C_{18}H_{15}NO_5$
11	6-C ₆ H ₅ O(CH ₂) ₃ O	Α	202-205	98	$C_{19}H_{17}NO_{5}$
12	$6 \cdot C_6 H_5 O(CH_2)_4 O$	Α	237-239	58	$C_{20}H_{19}NO_{5}$
13	$6 \cdot C_6 H_5 O (CH_2)_5 O$	Α	215-217	45	$C_{21}H_{21}NO_{5}$
14	7-C ₆ H ₅	D	279-280 dec	10	$C_{16}H_{11}NO_3 \cdot 0.25H_2O$
15	7-C ₆ H ₅ CH ₂	A	268 dec	37	$C_{17}H_{13}NO_3$
16	7-C ₆ H ₅ O(CH ₂) ₃ O	Α	218-221	63	$C_{19}H_{17}NO_{5}$
17	8-C ₆ H ₅	Α	247-248	58	$C_{16}H_{11}NO_3$
18	8-C ₆ H ₅ CH ₂	C	258-259	33	$C_{17}H_{13}NO_3$
19	$8 \cdot C_6 H_5 O(CH_2)_3 O$	C	247-248	56	C ₁₉ H ₁₇ NO ₅

aCompds in Table IV saponified by previously described procedure. bRecrystn solvents: A, EtOH; B, HOAc; C, 2-methoxyethanol; D, 2methoxyethanol-H₂O. cAnal. C, H, N.

No.	Ra	Solvent b	Mp, °C	Yield, %	Formula ^c
24	6-C ₆ H ₅	A	251-252	82	C ₁₇ H ₁₃ NO ₃
25	6-C ₆ H ₅ CH ₂	В	238-240	40	$C_{18}H_{15}NO_3$
26	6-C ₆ H ₅ CH ₂ O	B	253-255	58	$C_{18}H_{15}NO_4$
27	$6 - C_6 H_5 O(CH_2)_3 O$	В	194-195	59	$C_{20}H_{19}NO_5$
28	7-C ₆ H ₅ CH ₂	В	235-237	66	$C_{18}H_{15}NO_3$
29	7-C ₆ H ₅ O(CH ₂) ₃ O	Α	177-179	16	$C_{20}H_{19}NO_5$
30	8-C ₆ H ₅	В	278-280	63	$C_{17}H_{13}NO_3$
31	8-C ₆ H ₅ CH ₂	Α	191-215 <i>d</i>	40	$C_{18}H_{15}NO_3$
32	$8-C_6H_5O(CH_2)_3O$	Α	172-175	46	$C_{20}H_{19}NO_{5}$

^aBy alkylation and saponification of compds in Table IV according to the previously described procedure.² bRecrystn solvents: A, EtOH, B, 2-methoxyethanol. cAnal. C, H, N. dRetains this mp after addl recrystns.

give 2.75 g (56%), mp 196-197°, Anal. (C₁₆H₁₉NO·HCl). See Table II for other compounds prepared in this manner.

The free amine was liberated by partitioning the HCl salt be-

tween 2 N NaOH and CH2Cl2. The org phase was dried (MgSO4), spin-evapd and used in the next step.

References

- (1) B. R. Baker and R. R. Bramhall, J. Med. Chem., 15, 233 (1972) (paper 190).
- (2) B. R. Baker and R. R. Bramhall, ibid., 15, 230 (1972) (paper 189).
- (3) B. R. Baker, "Design of Active-Site-Directed Irreversible Enzyme Inhibitors," Wiley, New York, N. Y., 1967.
- (4) B. Riegel, G. P. Lappin, B. H. Adelson, R. I. Jackson, C. J. Albisetti, Jr., R. M. Dodson, and R. H. Baker, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 68, 1264 (1946).
- (5) R.E. Foster, R.D. Lipscomb, T.J. Thompson, and C.S. Hamilton, ibid., 68, 1327 (1946).
- (6) R. L. Shivalker and S. V. Sunthankar, J. Sci. Ind. Res., Sect. B, 18, 447 (1959); Chem. Abstr., 54, 14252d (1960).
- (7) Farbenfabriken Bayer, A.-G., British Patent 764,633; Chem. Abstr., 51, 9170e (1957).
- (8) N. P. Buu-Hoi, M. Gautier, and N. Dat Xuong, Bull, Soc. Chim. Fr., 2154 (1962).
- (9) C. G. Raison, A. G. Caldwell, and L. P. Walls, British Patent 770, 411; Chem. Abstr., 51, 14806b (1957).
- (10) G. J. Lourens, Ph. D. Thesis, University of California at Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, Calif., 1968.

Irreversible Enzyme Inhibitors. 192.^{†,1} Hydrophobic Bonding to Some Dehydrogenases with 5-Substituted-4-hydroxyquinoline-3-carboxylic Acids

B. R. Baker and Ray R. Bramhall*

Department of Chemistry, University of California at Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, California 93106. Received August 13, 1971

Fifteen derivatives of 4-hydroxyquinoline-3-carboxylic acid bearing aryl, aralkyl, aralkoxy, or aroxyalkoxy substituents at the 5 position and Cl, Me, or H at the 8 position were synthesized and evaluated as inhibitors of glutamate, lactate, malate, and glyceraldehyde phosphate dehydrogenases; good to excellent hydrophobic interaction was observed with the first three enzymes, the 8-Cl-5- $C_6H_5(CH_2)_6$ substituent (12) giving the best interaction. 12 was complexed to malate dehydrogenase 1100-fold more effectively than the parent 4-hydroxyquinoline-3-carboxylic acid (1) and 4300-fold more effective than L-malate; 12 complexed to glutamate dehydrogenase 250-fold better than the parent 1 and 500fold better than L-glutamate. Furthermore, 12 was complexed to lactate dehydrogenase 65-fold better than the parent 1 and 300-fold better than the substrate, pyruvate.

The possible utility of inhibitors or glutamate, glyceraldehyde phosphate, lactate, and malate dehydrogenases for

†This work was generously supported by Grant CA-08695 from the National Cancer Institute, U. S. Public Health Service.

treatment of cancer cells in the resting phase (G₀) was discussed in a previous paper.² 4-Hydroxyquinoline-3-carboxylic acid (1) was selected² for further study to determine if potency could be increased by hydrophobic bonding with