

# Preparation and Properties of Trifluoromethylated Aryl Derivatives of Germanium, Tin, and Lead. High-Yield Syntheses of Trifluoromethylbenzene

John K. Galitos and John A. Morrison\*

Department of Chemistry (m/c 111), The University of Illinois at Chicago,  
4500 SES 845 West Taylor Street, Chicago, Illinois 60607-1607

Received January 10, 2000

Ligand exchange reactions of  $\text{Cd}(\text{CF}_3)_2 \cdot \text{glyme}$  with a number of aryl-containing Pb, Sn, and Ge halides, acetates, and thioethers were examined in THF or  $\text{CHCl}_3$ . With an excess of trifluoromethylating agent and relatively lengthy reaction times, complete displacement of the halides or halide equivalents from the group 14 centers was observed, and the new compounds  $\text{PbPh}(\text{CF}_3)_3$ , 51%,  $\text{PbPh}_2(\text{CF}_3)_2$ , 61%,  $\text{PbPh}_3\text{CF}_3$ , 81%,  $\text{SnPh}_2(\text{CF}_3)_2$ , 55%,  $\text{SnPh}_3\text{CF}_3$ , 80%, and  $\text{GePh}_3\text{CF}_3$ , 72%, were isolated and characterized. With shorter reaction times and/or with the trifluoromethylating agent as the limiting reactant, partial substitution predominated, and the new species  $\text{PbPh}_2(\text{Cl})\text{CF}_3$ , 78%,  $\text{PbPh}_2(\text{O}_2\text{CCH}_3)\text{CF}_3$ , 89%,  $\text{PbPh}(\text{O}_2\text{CCH}_3)_2\text{CF}_3$ , 63%, and  $\text{PbPh}(\text{O}_2\text{CCH}_3)(\text{CF}_3)_2$ , 64%, were separated. In addition, two preliminary studies of the reactivity of aryl-group-IVA compounds were carried out. In the first, ligand exchanges of  $\text{PbPh}_3\text{CF}_3$  with a representative main group and transition metal complex were probed;  $\text{Sb}(\text{CF}_3)_3$ , 61%, and  $\text{Pd}(\text{PET}_3)_2(\text{Cl})\text{CF}_3$ , 62%, were generated. In the second, the feasibility of forming aryl- $\text{CF}_3$  species in high yields via  $\text{CuCl}$ -mediated  $\text{M-Ph}$  cleavages was examined. In these reactions  $\text{PhCF}_3$  was isolated from  $\text{M}_2\text{Ph}_6$  in yields of 95% ( $\text{M} = \text{Pb}$ ), 96% ( $\text{M} = \text{Sn}$ ), and 58% ( $\text{M} = \text{Ge}$ ).

## Introduction

If one wishes to effect the substitution (or addition) of an alkyl or aryl substituent onto a substrate, an extremely rich and diverse array of organometallic reagents exists with which to carry out the transformation. If it is the substitution (or addition) of a  $\text{CF}_3$  or other perfluoroalkyl group that is desired, however, the choice of reagents is much more restricted. Bis(trifluoromethyl)cadmium-glyme (glyme is 1,2-dimethoxyethane) has been shown to be reactive toward a number of transition metal and main group halides.<sup>1</sup> Bis(trifluoromethyl)tellurium and  $\text{Hg}(\text{CF}_3)_2$  have been effectively employed in main group 14, 15, and 16 transformations<sup>2</sup> and the latter has been employed in oxidative-additions to late transition metals.<sup>3</sup> Trimethyl-(trifluoromethyl)silane has been shown to be reactive, primarily toward ketones, acyl halides, and the like,<sup>4</sup> more recently reactions with metal fluorides have been reported.<sup>5</sup> The chemistry of trifluoromethyl copper has been examined in considerable detail.<sup>6</sup>

Although there have been many studies of alkyl group 14 species containing trifluoromethyl groups, there have been very few reports of aryl-substituted group 14 compounds that also contain  $\text{CF}_3$  groups. This is somewhat surprising since, in general, one might expect the aryl derivatives to be more thermally and oxidatively stable than their alkyl counterparts. Additionally, the arylated species should be significantly less reactive toward nucleophilic reagents, and it seems reasonable to expect that they will ultimately be shown to exhibit reactivity patterns that are distinct from their alkylated counterparts. Prior to the inception of this work, the only trifluoromethylated group 14 aryl derivatives that had been reported were those of carbon and silicon.<sup>7</sup>

The objectives of this study were to synthesize the title compounds, the first series of trifluoromethylated aryl derivatives of the lower elements of group 14, to examine the variation in properties of these new compounds, and to establish, in preliminary fashion, whether these new compounds might have the potential to serve as ligand exchange reagents in their own right. For the last, a lead trifluoromethylated species was selected, in part, because lead alkyl compounds have had a long and rich history as alkyl sources and, in part, because the relatively low  $\text{Pb(IV)-F}$  bond strength might serve to discourage the difluorocarbene eliminations that have been commonly observed in other studies of metal- $\text{CF}_3$  reactivity. Finally, since trifluoromethylated derivatives

(1) Reviews: (a) Morrison, J. A. *Adv. Organomet. Chem.* **1993**, 35, 211. (b) Morrison, J. A. *Adv. Inorg. Chem. Radiochem.* **1983**, 27, 293.

(2) (a) Ganja, E. A.; Morrison, J. A. *Inorg. Chem.* **1990**, 29, 33. (b) Ganja, E. A.; Ontiveros, C. D.; Morrison, J. A. *Inorg. Chem.* **1988**, 27, 4535. (c) Lagow, R. J.; Eujen, R.; Gerchman, L. L.; Morrison, J. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1978**, 100, 1722.

(3) Brothers, P. J.; Roper, W. R. *Chem. Rev.* **1988**, 88, 1293.

(4) Prakash, G. K. S.; Yudin, A. K. *Chem. Rev.* **1997**, 97, 757. (b) Kotun, S. P.; Anderson, J. D. O.; Des Marteau, D. D. *J. Org. Chem.* **1992**, 57, 1124.

(5) Huang, D.; Caulton, K. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, 119, 3185.

(6) (a) Burton, D. J.; Yang, Z. Y. *Tetrahedron* **1992**, 48, 189. (b) Burton, F. J.; Yang, Z. Y. In *Chemistry of Organic Fluorine Compounds II*; Hudlicky, M., Pavlath, A. E., Eds.; American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, 1995; p 670.

(7) (a) Beckers, H.; Burger, H.; Bursch, P.; Ruppert, I. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1986**, 316, 41. (b) Ruppert, I.; Schlich, K.; Volback, W. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1984**, 25, 2195. See also: (c) Eujen, R.; Patorra, A. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1992**, 438, C1; and (d) Eujen, R.; Jahn, N. *J. Fluorine Chem.* **1995**, 71, 75.

**Table 1.**  $^{19}\text{F}$  and  $^{207}\text{Pb}$  NMR Data and  $\text{CF}_3$  Analyses

compd	NMR data <sup>a,b</sup>				CF <sub>3</sub> analytical data				
	$\delta^{19}\text{F}$ , ppm	$^2J(\text{M}-\text{F})$ , Hz	$\delta^{207}\text{Pb}$ , ppm	$^2J(^{207}\text{Pb}-^{19}\text{F})$ Hz	mmol compd taken	mmol CF <sub>3</sub> H produced	%	mol CF <sub>3</sub> I produced	%
PbPh <sub>3</sub> CF <sub>3</sub> white solid	37.8(s)	324	-236.6(q)	323	0.350 0.125	0.347	99.1	0.124	99.2
PbPh <sub>2</sub> (CF <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> white solid	40.3(s)	440	-316.0(h)	440	0.325 0.156	0.643	98.9	0.309	99.0
PbPh(CF <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> clear liquid	41.2(s)	560	-515.0(d)	557	0.176 0.165	0.528	100.0	0.485	98.0
PbPh <sub>2</sub> (Cl)CF <sub>3</sub> white solid	40.2(s)	301			0.209	0.206	98.5		
PbPh <sub>2</sub> (OAc)CF <sub>3</sub> white solid	40.6(s)	321			0.190	0.186	97.9		
PbPh(OAc) <sub>2</sub> CF <sub>3</sub> white solid	40.5(s)	349			0.272	0.271	99.6		
PbPh(OAc)(CF <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> white solid	39.4(s)	503			0.237	0.469	98.9		
SnPh <sub>3</sub> CF <sub>3</sub> white solid	31.2(s)	285/273			0.268 0.240	0.265	98.9	0.235	97.9
SnPh <sub>2</sub> (CF <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> white solid	30.1(s)	427/408			0.245	0.480	97.9		
GePh <sub>3</sub> CF <sub>3</sub> white solid	28.2(s)				0.349 0.313	0.342	98.0	0.309	98.7

<sup>a</sup> M = Ge, Sn, Pb; Ph = C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>, OAc = CO<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>. s = singlet, h = heptet, d = decet. <sup>b</sup> Ext. std. for  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR is CF<sub>3</sub>COOH. Ext. std. for  $^{207}\text{Pb}$  NMR is Pb(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>.  $^2J(\text{M}-\text{F}) = ^2J(^{207}\text{Pb}-^{19}\text{F})$ ,  $^2J(^{119/117}\text{Sn}-^{19}\text{F})$ , as appropriate.

of aromatic substances are frequently desired for the preparation of compounds of interest for medicinal or pesticide applications, the efficacy of aryl group 14 compounds for the formation of a typical trifluoromethyl aromatic species, trifluorotoluene, was assessed.

## Experimental Section

**General Comments.** The synthesis and manipulation of air-sensitive materials were carried out in standard vacuum lines or in glovebags. Because of the toxicity of many lead compounds, rubber gloves and well-ventilated hoods were used for all benchtop procedures. All reaction times were 24 h unless otherwise noted.

Bis(trifluoromethyl)mercury<sup>8</sup>, bis(trifluoromethyl)cadmium-glyme,<sup>9</sup> and PbPh<sub>3</sub>SET<sup>10</sup> were prepared as previously reported. Other substrates were generated by standard procedures. Triphenyllead bromide (Alfa), PbPh<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (Alfa), PbPh<sub>2</sub>(O<sub>2</sub>-CCH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (Alfa), PbPh(O<sub>2</sub>CCH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (Alfa), Sn<sub>2</sub>Ph<sub>6</sub> (Alfa), GeO<sub>2</sub> (Alfa), and PhI (Aldrich) were all used as received. Lead(II) chloride (Fisher) and CuCl (Aldrich) were heated to 130 °C under vacuum prior to use. Tetrahydrofuran was dried over sodium benzophenone ketyl. Chloroform was dried over P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>; elemental iodine (Aldrich) was sublimed prior to use.

The  $^{19}\text{F}$  (188.3 MHz),  $^1\text{H}$  (200.1 MHz),  $^{13}\text{C}$  (100.6 MHz),  $^{31}\text{P}$  (181.9 MHz), and  $^{207}\text{Pb}$  (43.8 MHz) NMR spectra were obtained at ambient temperature from samples that had been dissolved in THF or CHCl<sub>3</sub> and then sealed in 4 mm Pyrex glass tubing. Deuterium oxide was the external lock solvent. Positive chemical shifts are deshielded from external CF<sub>3</sub>COOH (which is ca. +76.5 on the CFCl<sub>3</sub> scale), Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>, H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (80%), or Pb(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>, as appropriate. The 70 eV mass spectra are from quadrupole mass spectrometers. Each fragment had the correct isotopic pattern. The melting points are uncorrected. The number of CF<sub>3</sub> ligands in each new compound (see Table 1) was determined by hydrolysis in 0.5 M methanolic KOH. In selected cases, these analytical data were corroborated by reaction with iodine at ambient temperature in THF and/or by analyses performed by Schwarzkopf Microanalytical Laboratory, Woodside, NY.

(8) Eujen, R. *Inorg. Synth.* **1986**, 24, 52.

(9) (a) Krause, L. J.; Morrison, J. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1981**, 103, 2995. (b) Ontiveros, C. D.; Morrison, J. A. *Inorg. Synth.* **1986**, 24, 55.

(10) Henry, M. C.; Krebs, A. W. *J. Org. Chem.* **1963**, 28, 225.

**Formation of PbPh<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>. Method A.** Triphenyllead bromide (0.223 g, 0.430 mmol) was mixed with 0.297 g (0.873 mmol) of Cd(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·glyme in a 100 mL round-bottomed flask equipped with a 15-cm-long neck designed such that later a coldfinger could be inserted directly into the reactor. The vessel was attached to a standard vacuum line by means of a Teflon valve and ground glass joint, evacuated, and cooled to -196 °C, and then 25 mL of THF was distilled onto the mixture. The reactor contents were warmed to ambient temperature and stirred. At the end of the reaction, all volatiles were removed by vacuum distillation at ambient temperature and discarded. The coldfinger was inserted into the reactor, which was then evacuated and heated. Triphenyl(trifluoromethyl)lead (0.177 g, 0.349 mmol), which distilled from the solid at 131 °C, was recovered from the coldfinger of the sublimation apparatus in 81.2% yield. The melting point of this compound is 127.5–128 °C.

The  $^{19}\text{F}$  and  $^{207}\text{Pb}$  { $^1\text{H}$ } NMR data and the CF<sub>3</sub> analyses are contained in Table 1.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR:  $\delta$  7.2, 7.3, 7.4. IR: 692 (s), 995 (m), 1016 (w), 1109 (m), 1477 (s), 1572 (m), 2957 (s), 3067 cm<sup>-1</sup> (s). MS (*m/e*, ion, %): 439, PbPh<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>, 41.9; 431, PbPh<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>, 0.8; 381, PbPh<sub>2</sub>F<sup>+</sup>, 2.6; 362, PbPh<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>, 40.0; 285, PbPh<sup>+</sup>, 51.1; 277, PbCF<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>, 0.3; 227, PbF<sup>+</sup>, 8.0; 208, Pb<sup>+</sup>, 100.0; 154, Ph-Ph<sup>+</sup>, 9.5; 77, Ph<sup>+</sup>, 25.1; 69, CF<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>, 5.1. Anal. Found: C 44.42, H 2.80. Calc: C 44.95, H 2.96.

**Method B.** Triphenylthioethyllead (0.254 g, 0.509 mmol) was mixed with 0.191 g (0.561 mmol) of Cd(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·glyme and 20 mL of THF in the apparatus described above. Triphenyl(trifluoromethyl)lead (0.172 g, 0.340 mmol) was recovered in 66.8% yield. The properties of this product are identical to those reported above.

**Formation of PbPh<sub>2</sub>(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>. Method A.** White PbPh<sub>2</sub>(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (0.077 g, 0.155 mmol) was formed in 60.8% yield from the reaction (48 h) of PbPh<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (0.110 g, 0.255 mmol) with 0.172 g (0.505 mmol) of Cd(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·glyme in 25 mL of THF. This compound, which was separated as above, melts at 46 °C and volatilizes in vacuo at 52 °C.

The  $^{19}\text{F}$  and  $^{207}\text{Pb}$  { $^1\text{H}$ } NMR data and CF<sub>3</sub> analyses are in Table 1.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR:  $\delta$  7.1, 7.4, 7.5. IR: 694 (s), 725 (m), 995 (w), 1261 (m), 1655 (m), 2965 (s), 3063 cm<sup>-1</sup> (s). MS (*m/e*, ion, %): 431, PbPh<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>, 26.5; 381, PbPh<sub>2</sub>F<sup>+</sup>, 34.7; 285, PbPh<sup>+</sup>, 32.8; 277, PbCF<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>, 0.4; 227, PbF<sup>+</sup>, 29.5; 208, Pb<sup>+</sup>, 100.0; 154, Ph-Ph<sup>+</sup>, 7.0; 77, Ph<sup>+</sup>, 16.2; 69, CF<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>, 4.7. Anal. Found: C 34.01, H 1.88. Calc: C 33.65, H 2.00.

**Method B.** Diphenylbis(trifluoromethyl)lead (0.078 g, 0.157 mmol) was recovered in 50.8% yield from the reaction of  $\text{PbPh}_2(\text{O}_2\text{CCH}_3)_2$  (0.148 g, 0.309 mmol) with 0.298 g (0.876 mmol) of  $\text{Cd}(\text{CF}_3)_2 \cdot \text{glyme}$  and 20 mL of THF. The separation was as above.

**Formation of  $\text{PbPh}_2(\text{Cl})\text{CF}_3$ .** Diphenyl(trifluoromethyl)-lead chloride (0.069 g, 0.150 mmol) was collected in 78.1% yield from the reaction of  $\text{PbPh}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (0.124 g, 0.288 mmol) with 0.065 g (0.192 mmol) of  $\text{Cd}(\text{CF}_3)_2 \cdot \text{glyme}$  in 25 mL of THF. The product was separated by briefly exposing the solution to air (to remove any  $\text{Cd}-\text{CF}_3$  species), filtration, and then recrystallization from THF. The  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR data and the  $\text{CF}_3$  analyses are contained in Table 1.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR:  $\delta$  7.4, 7.5, 7.7. MS ( $m/e$ , ion, %): 431,  $\text{PbPh}_2\text{CF}_3^+$ , 1.7; 397,  $\text{PbPh}_2\text{Cl}^+$ , 14.1; 285,  $\text{PbPh}^+$ , 23.2; 277,  $\text{PbCF}_3^+$ , 0.3; 243,  $\text{PbCl}^+$ , 45.3; 227,  $\text{PbF}^+$ , 3.5; 208,  $\text{Pb}^+$ , 100.0; 154,  $\text{Ph}-\text{Ph}^+$ , 1.6; 146,  $\text{PhCF}_3^+$ , 1.7; 77,  $\text{Ph}^+$ , 18.3; 69,  $\text{CF}_3^+$ , 3.8.

**Formation of  $\text{PbPh}_2(\text{O}_2\text{CCH}_3)\text{CF}_3$ .** Diphenyl(trifluoromethyl)lead acetate (0.093 g, 0.191 mmol) was collected in 89.2% yield from the reaction of  $\text{PbPh}_2(\text{O}_2\text{CCH}_3)_2$  (0.164 g, 0.343 mmol) with 0.073 g (0.214 mmol) of  $\text{Cd}(\text{CF}_3)_2 \cdot \text{glyme}$  in 20 mL of THF. It was obtained as described immediately above.

The  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR data and the  $\text{CF}_3$  analyses are contained in Table 1.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR:  $\delta$  7.5, 7.6, 7.7, 2.0 ( $\text{O}_2\text{CCH}_3$ ). MS ( $m/e$ , ion, %): 439,  $\text{PbPh}_2(\text{O}_2\text{CCH}_3)\text{F}^+$ , 1.6; 431,  $\text{PbPh}_2\text{CF}_3^+$ , 1.2; 421,  $\text{PbPh}_2(\text{O}_2\text{CCH}_3)^+$ , 22.9; 413,  $\text{PbPh}(\text{O}_2\text{CCH}_3)\text{CF}_3^+$ , 2.2; 344,  $\text{PbPh}(\text{O}_2\text{CCH}_3)^+$ , 2.2; 285,  $\text{PbPh}^+$ , 40.2; 277,  $\text{PbCF}_3^+$ , 0.2; 267,  $\text{PbO}_2\text{CCH}_3^+$ , 25.5; 227,  $\text{PbF}^+$ , 5.0; 225,  $\text{PbOH}^+$ , 14.2; 208,  $\text{Pb}^+$ , 100.0; 154,  $\text{Ph}-\text{Ph}^+$ , 1.9; 77,  $\text{Ph}^+$ , 4.6; 69,  $\text{CF}_3^+$ , 2.0.

**Formation of  $\text{PbPh}(\text{CF}_3)_3$ .** Phenyllead triacetate (0.195 g, 0.422 mmol) was mixed with 0.699 g (2.056 mmol) of  $\text{Cd}(\text{CF}_3)_2 \cdot \text{glyme}$  in a 25 mL flask. The vessel was attached to a vacuum line, evacuated, and cooled to  $-196^\circ\text{C}$ , and 10 mL of THF was added. After the reaction, all volatile material was fractionated with  $-78$  and  $-196^\circ\text{C}$  cold traps. Phenyltris(trifluoromethyl)lead (0.106 g, 0.215 mmol) was collected from the  $-78^\circ\text{C}$  trap in 50.9% yield.

The  $^{19}\text{F}$  and  $^{207}\text{Pb}$  ( $^1\text{H}$ ) NMR data and  $\text{CF}_3$  analyses are in Table 1.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR:  $\delta$  7.3, 7.4, 7.5. IR: 689 (s), 727 (m), 889 (m), 995 (s), 1231 (m), 1574 (m), 3065  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  (s). MS ( $m/e$ , ion, %): 423,  $\text{PbPh}(\text{CF}_3)_2^+$ , 11.3; 373,  $\text{PbPh}(\text{CF}_3)\text{F}^+$ , 4.0; 304,  $\text{PbPhF}^+$ , 2.8; 285,  $\text{PbPh}^+$ , 21.0; 277,  $\text{PbCF}_3^+$ , 1.6; 227,  $\text{PbF}^+$ , 10.4; 208,  $\text{Pb}^+$ , 100.0; 69,  $\text{CF}_3^+$ , 31.4.

**Formation of  $\text{PbPh}(\text{O}_2\text{CCH}_3)_2\text{CF}_3$ .** Diacetatophenyl(trifluoromethyl)lead (0.1280 g, 0.272 mmol) was formed in 62.5% yield from phenyllead triacetate (0.201 g, 0.435 mmol) and 0.135 g (0.397 mmol) of  $\text{Cd}(\text{CF}_3)_2 \cdot \text{glyme}$  in 20 mL of THF. It was purified as described for  $\text{PbPh}_2(\text{Cl})\text{CF}_3$ .

The  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR data and the  $\text{CF}_3$  analyses are in Table 1.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR:  $\delta$  7.5, 7.6, 7.8, 2.1 ( $\text{O}_2\text{CCH}_3$ ). MS ( $m/e$ , ion, %): 421,  $\text{PbPh}(\text{O}_2\text{CCH}_3)_2\text{F}^+$ , 4.8; 413,  $\text{PbPh}(\text{O}_2\text{CCH}_3)\text{CF}_3^+$ , 11.1; 403,  $\text{PbPh}(\text{O}_2\text{CCH}_3)_2^+$ , 7.3; 344,  $\text{PbPh}(\text{O}_2\text{CCH}_3)^+$ , 9.7; 285,  $\text{PbPh}^+$ , 11.1; 277,  $\text{PbCF}_3^+$ , 0.6; 267,  $\text{Pb}(\text{O}_2\text{CCH}_3)^+$ , 90.7; 227,  $\text{PbF}^+$ , 4.0; 225,  $\text{PbOH}^+$ , 20.1; 208,  $\text{Pb}^+$ , 100.0; 77,  $\text{Ph}^+$ , 46.9; 69,  $\text{CF}_3^+$ , 22.0; 59,  $\text{CO}_2\text{CH}_3^+$ , 2.7.

**Formation of  $\text{PbPh}(\text{O}_2\text{CCH}_3)(\text{CF}_3)_2$ .** Phenylbis(trifluoromethyl)lead acetate (0.126 g, 0.261 mmol) was collected in 63.8% yield from the reaction of  $\text{PbPh}(\text{O}_2\text{CCH}_3)_3$  (0.1887 g, 0.409 mmol) with 0.418 g (1.227 mmol) of  $\text{Cd}(\text{CF}_3)_2 \cdot \text{glyme}$  in 20 mL of THF. This product was purified like  $\text{PbPh}_2(\text{Cl})\text{CF}_3$ .

The  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR data and the  $\text{CF}_3$  analysis are contained in Table 1.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR:  $\delta$  7.5, 7.6, 7.7, 2.1 ( $\text{O}_2\text{CCH}_3$ ). MS ( $m/e$ , ion, %): 423,  $\text{PbPh}(\text{CF}_3)_2^+$ , 8.8; 381,  $\text{PbPhCF}_3\text{CH}_3\text{C}^+$ , 4.3; 373,  $\text{PbPhCF}_3\text{F}^+$ , 2.7; 304,  $\text{PbPhF}^+$ , 1.8; 285,  $\text{PbPh}^+$ , 16.0; 277,  $\text{PbCF}_3^+$ , 0.9; 267,  $\text{Pb}(\text{O}_2\text{CCH}_3)^+$ , 1.2; 227,  $\text{PbF}^+$ , 8.0; 225,  $\text{PbOH}^+$ , 7.8; 208,  $\text{Pb}^+$ , 32.5; 81,  $\text{CF}_3\text{C}^+$ , 100.0.

**Formation of  $\text{SnPh}_3\text{CF}_3$ .** Triphenyl(trifluoromethyl)tin (0.134 g, 0.321 mmol) was prepared in 80.0% yield from the reaction (48 h) of 0.155 g (0.403 mmol) of  $\text{SnPh}_3\text{Cl}$  with 0.142 g (0.418 mmol) of  $\text{Cd}(\text{CF}_3)_2 \cdot \text{glyme}$  in 30 mL of  $\text{CHCl}_3$ . This

compound, which was purified like  $\text{PbPh}_3\text{CF}_3$ , above, melts at  $113^\circ\text{C}$  and volatilizes in vacuo at  $161^\circ\text{C}$ .

The  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR data and the  $\text{CF}_3$  analyses are contained in Table 1.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR:  $\delta$  6.5, 6.7, 6.9. IR: 769 (s), 987 (m), 1086 (m), 1261 (m), 1630 (m), 3061  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  (s). MS ( $m/e$ , ion, %): 401,  $\text{SnPh}_3\text{CF}_2^+$ , 10.0; 351,  $\text{SnPh}_3^+$ , 100.0; 343,  $\text{SnPh}_2\text{CF}_3^+$ , 3.3; 324,  $\text{SnPh}_2\text{CF}_2^+$ , 0.3; 274,  $\text{SnPh}_2^+$ , 1.2; 247,  $\text{SnPhCF}_2^+$ , 17.4; 197,  $\text{SnPh}^+$ , 51.8; 189,  $\text{SnCF}_3^+$ , 2.1; 139,  $\text{SnF}^+$ , 0.4; 120,  $\text{Sn}^+$ , 33.7.

**Formation of  $\text{SnPh}_2(\text{CF}_3)_2$ .** Diphenylbis(trifluoromethyl)-tin (0.111 g, 0.270 mmol; mp  $39^\circ\text{C}$ ) was recovered in 55.0% yield from the reaction of  $\text{SnPh}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (0.164 g, 0.491 mmol) with 0.201 g (0.589 mmol) of  $\text{Cd}(\text{CF}_3)_2 \cdot \text{glyme}$  in 15 mL of  $\text{CHCl}_3$ . This compound was purified as described for  $\text{PbPh}_2(\text{Cl})\text{CF}_3$ , but it was recrystallized from  $\text{CHCl}_3$ .

The  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR data and the  $\text{CF}_3$  analyses are contained in Table 1.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR:  $\delta$  6.4, 6.6, 6.9. IR: 439 (s), 567 (m), 733 (m), 971 (s), 1094 (s), 1259 (s), 1524 (s), 1720 (s), 3044  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  (s). MS ( $m/e$ , ion, %): 343,  $\text{SnPh}_2\text{CF}_3^+$ , 23.0; 305,  $\text{SnPh}_2\text{CF}^+$ , 19.6; 274,  $\text{SnPh}_2^+$ , 0.4; 266,  $\text{SnPhCF}_3^+$ , 25.9; 228,  $\text{SnPhCF}^+$ , 40.3; 197,  $\text{SnPh}^+$ , 10.7; 189,  $\text{SnCF}_3^+$ , 1.5; 154,  $\text{Ph}-\text{Ph}^+$ , 100.0; 151,  $\text{SnCF}^+$ , 14.8; 139,  $\text{SnF}^+$ , 0.85; 120,  $\text{Sn}^+$ , 23.8.

**Formation of  $\text{GePh}_3\text{CF}_3$ .** Triphenyl(trifluoromethyl)germanium (0.141 g, 0.377 mmol) was synthesized in 71.9% yield from the reaction of 0.178 g (0.524 mmol) of  $\text{GePh}_3\text{Cl}$  with 0.285 g (0.838 mmol) of  $\text{Cd}(\text{CF}_3)_2 \cdot \text{glyme}$  in 10 mL of  $\text{CHCl}_3$ . This complex, which was purified like  $\text{PbPh}_3\text{CF}_3$ , above, has a melting point of  $82^\circ\text{C}$  and volatilizes in vacuo at  $93^\circ\text{C}$ .

The  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR data and the  $\text{CF}_3$  analyses are contained in Table 1.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR:  $\delta$  6.6, 6.8, 6.9. IR: 455 (s), 696 (m), 736 (m), 1057 (m), 1295 (m), 1589 (s), 3110  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  (m). MS ( $m/e$ , ion, %): 324,  $\text{GePh}_3\text{F}^+$ , 8.9; 305,  $\text{GePh}_3^+$ , 0.5; 274,  $\text{GePh}_2\text{F}^+$ , 42.7; 259,  $\text{GePh}_2\text{CF}^+$ , 0.4; 228,  $\text{GePh}_2^+$ , 1.1; 201,  $\text{GePhCF}_2^+$ , 0.2; 170,  $\text{GePhF}^+$ , 1.4; 154,  $\text{Ph}-\text{Ph}^+$ , 100; 151,  $\text{GePh}^+$ , 14.9; 143,  $\text{GeCF}_3^+$ , 0.2; 93,  $\text{GeF}^+$ , 30.0. Anal. Found: C 61.23, H 4.05. Calc: C 61.19, H 4.03.

**Interactions with  $\text{Hg}(\text{CF}_3)_2$ .** Small amounts of the above substrates were mixed with a 2-fold excess of  $\text{Hg}(\text{CF}_3)_2$  in 0.5 mL of  $\text{CHCl}_3$  or THF as appropriate. After 24 h at room temperature, the mixtures were heated to  $140^\circ\text{C}$  for 72 h and then to  $160^\circ\text{C}$  for a further 72 h. Unreacted  $\text{Hg}(\text{CF}_3)_2$  was present, but no  $\text{M}-\text{CF}_3$  ( $\text{M} = \text{Ge}, \text{Sn}, \text{Pb}$ ) linkages were observed.

**Thermal Stabilities of Aryl(trifluoromethyl) Group IVA Compounds.** In the absence of air,  $\text{GePh}_3\text{CF}_3$  begins to decompose at ca.  $90^\circ\text{C}$ ,  $\text{SnPh}_3\text{CF}_3$  at  $162^\circ\text{C}$ , and  $\text{PbPh}_3\text{CF}_3$  at  $170^\circ\text{C}$ . Diphenylbis(trifluoromethyl)lead thermally decomposes at  $153^\circ\text{C}$ , whereas  $\text{SnPh}_2(\text{CF}_3)_2$  and  $\text{PbPh}(\text{CF}_3)_3$  decompose at  $106$  and  $90^\circ\text{C}$ , respectively. At lower temperatures,  $\text{C}_2\text{F}_4$  ( $\delta -56$  ppm) is formed, but if heated above  $200^\circ\text{C}$ , all of the compounds yield hexafluorocyclopropane ( $\delta -79$  ppm).

**Preliminary Study of the Reactivity of  $\text{PbPh}_3\text{CF}_3$ . Reaction with  $\text{SbI}_3$ .** In a 50 mL round-bottom flask equipped with an 18/9 ball joint and a Teflon valve, 1.359 g (2.678 mmol) of  $\text{PbPh}_3\text{CF}_3$  was mixed with 0.396 g (0.788 mmol) of  $\text{SbI}_3$ . The reaction vessel was attached to a standard vacuum line and evacuated, and approximately 5 mL of THF was added. After 72 h at room temperature, all volatiles were fractionated with  $-78$ ,  $-131$ , and  $-196^\circ\text{C}$  cold traps. Tris(trifluoromethyl)-antimony (0.158 g, 0.480 mmol) was collected in 61.0% yield from the  $-131^\circ\text{C}$  trap. It was identified by  $^{19}\text{F}$  ( $\delta$  34.1 ppm) and  $^{133}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\delta$  130.0 ppm,  $J_{^{133}\text{C}-^{19}\text{F}} = 356$  Hz) spectra.<sup>2a,b</sup>

**Reaction with  $\text{Pd}(\text{PET}_3)_2\text{Cl}_2$ .** Triphenyl(trifluoromethyl)-lead (1.091 g, 2.151 mmol) was mixed with 0.903 g (2.188 mmol) of  $\text{Pd}(\text{PET}_3)_2\text{Cl}_2$ . The vessel was attached to a standard vacuum line and evacuated, and 25 mL of THF was added. After stirring for 48 h at ambient temperature, all volatiles were removed. Pale yellow  $\text{Pd}(\text{PET}_3)_2(\text{Cl})\text{CF}_3$  (0.595 g, 1.334 mmol), 62.0% yield, sublimed at  $85^\circ\text{C}$ . The  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR ( $\text{CH}_2-\text{Cl}_2$ ) is a triplet at  $\delta$  66.9 ppm  $J_{^{31}\text{P}-^{19}\text{F}} = 35.7$  Hz. The  $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$



NMR spectrum is a quartet at  $\delta$  25 ppm  $J(^{51}\text{P}-^{19}\text{F}) = 35$  Hz. The melting point of the compound is 75 °C.<sup>11</sup>

**Reaction of Pb<sub>2</sub>Ph<sub>6</sub>, Sn<sub>2</sub>Ph<sub>6</sub>, and Ge<sub>2</sub>Ph<sub>6</sub> with Cd(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·Glyme in the Presence of CuCl.** In a 10 mm × 10 cm reactor, 0.0116 g (0.013 mmol) of Pb<sub>2</sub>Ph<sub>6</sub> was mixed with 0.0135 g (0.039 mmol) of Cd(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·glyme and 0.0078 g (0.078 mmol) of CuCl. The reactor was evacuated and cooled to -196 °C, and 5 mL of THF was added. In a second reactor 0.0108 g (0.015 mmol) of Sn<sub>2</sub>Ph<sub>6</sub> was combined with 0.0158 g (0.046 mmol) of Cd(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·glyme, 0.0089 g (0.090 mmol) of CuCl, and 5 mL of THF. In a third reactor 0.0122 g (0.020 mmol) of Ge<sub>2</sub>Ph<sub>6</sub> was mixed with 0.0205 g (0.060 mmol) of Cd(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·glyme, 0.0119 g (0.120 mmol) of CuCl, and 5 mL of THF.

After 7 days at room temperature, all volatiles were removed from the red, dark gray, and white solids and then carefully fractionated. No compounds with M-CF<sub>3</sub> (M = Ge, Sn, Pb) linkages were recovered from the solids or volatiles. Trifluoromethylbenzene (0.0108 g, 0.074 mmol) was recovered in 95% yield from the lead reaction. From the tin reaction PhCF<sub>3</sub> (0.0126 g, 0.086 mmol) was recovered in 96% yield. From the germanium reaction PhCF<sub>3</sub> (0.0102 g, 0.070 mmol) was isolated in 58% yield. Trifluoromethylbenzene was characterized by mass spectrometry (*m/e*, ion, %: 146, PhCF<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>, 100; 127, PhCF<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>, 94.2; 96, PhF<sup>+</sup>, 69.8) along with <sup>19</sup>F ( $\delta$  15.7 ppm), <sup>13</sup>C {<sup>1</sup>H} ( $\delta$  124, 127, 129.4, 130.6, 133.6 (CF<sub>3</sub>, q)), and <sup>1</sup>H NMR ( $\delta$  6.6, 6.9, 7.1 ppm) spectroscopy.

**Interaction of Pb<sub>2</sub>Ph<sub>6</sub>, Sn<sub>2</sub>Ph<sub>6</sub>, and Ge<sub>2</sub>Ph<sub>6</sub> with Hg(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> in the Presence of CuCl.** The reactivity of 20 mmol samples of Pb<sub>2</sub>Ph<sub>6</sub>, Sn<sub>2</sub>Ph<sub>6</sub>, and Ge<sub>2</sub>Ph<sub>6</sub> toward 60 mmol Hg(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> in the presence of 120 mmol CuCl and THF was similarly monitored at ambient temperature and 100, 120, and 146 °C by <sup>19</sup>F and <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy. No reaction was observed after 3 months at ambient temperature; only trace amounts of PhCF<sub>3</sub> were observed from the lead and tin reactions after 72 h at 146 °C.

## Results and Discussion

**Synthesis.** All of the lower group IVA aryl derivatives tested are reactive toward Cd(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·glyme at ambient temperature; the lead reactions were best carried out in THF, but CHCl<sub>3</sub> was superior for the Sn and Ge complexes. In general, the CF<sub>3</sub> substitutions of the lead complexes proceed slightly faster than the reactions of the analogous derivatives of the other elements. The yields were good, ca. 50% to ca. 90%, and the separations were straightforward. Because of the limited thermal stability of the Pb(IV) halides, SC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub> and O<sub>2</sub>CCH<sub>3</sub> ligands were briefly examined as potentially superior leaving groups, but no advantages were apparent. The acetate ligand, while reactive, has the disadvantage that two Cd-CF<sub>3</sub> groups are required since the first forms CH<sub>3</sub>COF, and it is only the second that appears as a Pb-CF<sub>3</sub> ligand.

The relatively lengthy reaction times were adopted after preliminary experiments demonstrated that the trifluoromethylation of aryl-substituted group IVA compounds by the CdCF<sub>3</sub> reagent is much slower than that of the tetrahalides. For example, 48 h was needed for the reaction of SnPh<sub>3</sub>Cl, much longer than the 1–2 h necessary for SnBr<sub>4</sub> or GeI<sub>4</sub>.<sup>9a</sup> Similarly, the reactions of GeI<sub>4</sub> and SnBr<sub>4</sub> with Hg(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> have been shown to generate trifluoromethyl Ge and Sn compounds,<sup>2c,12</sup> but

at temperatures up to 120 °C, no reaction between Hg(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> and the aryl derivatives examined here was observed.

One advantage of the slower trifluoromethylations of the aryl-containing group 14 substrates is that partial substitution can be readily carried out to generate products such as PbPh<sub>2</sub>(O<sub>2</sub>CCH<sub>3</sub>)CF<sub>3</sub> in good yield (89%). In the absence of electrophiles such as HCl and CuCl (see below), the group 14-Ph bonds are unaffected by the trifluoromethylation procedures employed. In contrast, however, methyl substituents bonded to these elements readily react with Hg(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> or Cd(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·glyme to form group 14-CF<sub>3</sub> derivatives.<sup>13</sup>

All of the trifluoromethyl aryl compounds formed here are colorless substances that are reasonably thermally stable, with the stability for analogous compounds decreasing in the order Pb > Sn > Ge. For example, the MPh<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>3</sub> species decompose at 170, 162, and 110 °C for M = Pb, Sn, and Ge, respectively. Since the mean thermochemical M-C bond enthalpies are in the opposite order,<sup>14</sup> these temperatures may indeed reflect the relative ease with which CF<sub>2</sub> elimination occurs. The mixed ligand species, e.g., PbPh(OAc)<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>, however, are much more thermally sensitive.

Upon exposure to the atmosphere, the lead compounds were the least reactive, with the tin and germanium derivatives increasingly more air sensitive. Indeed, the compounds PbPh<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>3</sub> and PbPh<sub>2</sub>(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> are remarkably stable toward air; they both persist for well over a year seemingly unaffected. The others react within minutes or, at most, a few hours.

**Characterization.** The spectroscopic data are all in accord with the formulation of the compounds as indicated. For example, as expected, the <sup>19</sup>F chemical shifts for the MPh<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>3</sub> series ( $\delta$  = 37.8, 31.2, and 28.2 ppm for M = Pb, Sn, and Ge) become slightly more shielded as the central element becomes located more toward the top of the periodic table. Presumably because of the increasing amount of s character in the Pb-CF<sub>3</sub> bond as CF<sub>3</sub> groups are substituted for Ph groups, <sup>2</sup>J(<sup>207</sup>Pb-<sup>19</sup>F) increases from 323 to 557 Hz for the series PbPh<sub>n</sub>(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4-n</sub>, *n* = 1, 2, 3 (see Table 1), and for SnPh<sub>2</sub>(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> relative to SnPh<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>3</sub> (see Table 1). Although the <sup>1</sup>H (see Experimental Section) and <sup>19</sup>F chemical shifts are relatively insensitive to CF<sub>3</sub> for Ph substitutions, <sup>207</sup>Pb is not. Here, the resonances become much more shielded after each CF<sub>3</sub> for Ph substitution (see Table 1). In the <sup>207</sup>Pb{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectra, the PbPh<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>3</sub> and PbPh<sub>2</sub>(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> resonances are a quartet and a heptet as anticipated, but only 8 of the 10 lines expected in the <sup>207</sup>Pb{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum of PbPh(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> are unequivocal. However, the calculated intensity of the outermost two lines that would complete the expected decet is only 0.4% of the total.

As is typical for tetravalent group IVA compounds, molecular ions were not observed in the mass spectra, but the expected fragmentation patterns, e.g., PbPh<sub>2</sub>-CF<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> and PbPh<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> ions in the PbPh<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>3</sub> spectrum, are evident. Each of the group IVA-containing ions had the

(11) (a) Nair, H. K. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Illinois at Chicago, 1987, p 57. (b) Krause, L. J.; Morrison, J. A. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1981**, 1282.

(12) Krause, L. J.; Morrison, J. A. *Inorg. Chem.* **1980**, *19*, 604.

(13) (a) Lagow, R. J.; Eujen, R. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1978**, 541. (b) Morrison, J. A.; Galiotos, J. K. Unpublished observations.

(14) (a) Mingos, D. M. P. *Essential Trends in Inorganic Chemistry*; Oxford University Press: Oxford, U.K., 1998; p 63. (b) Huheey, J. E.; Keiter, E. A.; Keiter, R. L. *Inorganic Chemistry*, 4th ed.; HarperCollins: New York, 1993.

appropriate isotopic abundance. All of the infrared spectra contain absorptions characteristic of monosubstituted phenyl rings and  $\text{CF}_3$  groups.

**Preliminary Reactivity Studies.** One reason that the reactivity of  $\text{PbPh}_3\text{CF}_3$  was assessed is that in contrast to  $\text{SiMe}_3\text{CF}_3$  (and some of the complexes prepared here),  $\text{PbPh}_3\text{CF}_3$  is stable in air for very long periods. Because of their extended shelf lives,  $\text{PbPh}_3\text{CF}_3$  and  $\text{PbPh}_2(\text{CF}_3)_2$  might prove to be very convenient, easily stored, and readily accessible trifluoromethylating agents.

To begin to examine the reactivity of  $\text{PbPh}_3\text{CF}_3$ , its reactions with a representative main group and transition metal halide were explored. The fully trifluoromethylated antimony(III) compound,  $\text{Sb}(\text{CF}_3)_3$ , was easily prepared at ambient temperature in 61% yield. Previous syntheses, which have typically required prolonged heating of  $\text{CF}_3\text{I}$ ,  $\text{Hg}(\text{CF}_3)_2$ , or  $\text{Te}(\text{CF}_3)_2$  with Sb or  $\text{SbI}_3$  in a bomb reactor,<sup>2ab,15</sup> are much less convenient. The reaction of the palladium complex  $\text{Pd}(\text{PEt}_3)_2(\text{Cl})_2$  with  $\text{PbPh}_3\text{CF}_3$  generated the monosubstituted  $\text{Pd}(\text{PEt}_3)_2(\text{Cl})\text{CF}_3$ , which was separated in good yield, 62%, after 48 h at ambient temperature. These results indicate that the monosubstituted lead compound  $\text{PbPh}_3\text{CF}_3$  is more reactive than  $\text{Hg}(\text{CF}_3)_2$  but less reactive than  $\text{Cd}(\text{CF}_3)_2\cdot\text{glyme}$ . Presumably, the disubstituted compound  $\text{PbPh}_2(\text{CF}_3)_2$  will prove to be more reactive than  $\text{PbPh}_3\text{CF}_3$ . The reactions of the disubstituted species are currently under study.

The interactions of the  $\text{M}_2\text{Ph}_6$  species,  $\text{M} = \text{Pb}, \text{Sn}$ , and  $\text{Ge}$ , with  $\text{Cd}(\text{CF}_3)_2\cdot\text{glyme}$  were designed to examine whether trifluoromethylated aromatic compounds could be easily prepared in this system or if the  $\text{M}-\text{M}$  bond would be cleaved to form, for example,  $\text{GePh}_3\text{CF}_3$  instead. In the absence of  $\text{CuCl}$  or other similar reagents no cleavage of the metal(oid)-Ph bond was found, but

in the presence of  $\text{CuCl}$ , trifluorotoluene was formed in yields that ranged from 95+% for Pb and Sn to 58% for Ge. The mechanism of this reaction is under investigation, but the current results are not inconsistent with transmetalation to yield  $\text{CuPh}$  followed by a radical step involving  $\text{Cd}-\text{CF}_3$  to generate  $\text{PhCF}_3$ , Cu (the red solid observed), and Cd. Presumably, it is the more readily oxidized Cd that intercepts any halogen released by, for example,  $\text{Pb}(\text{II})$ .

One advantage of the reactions shown here *vis-à-vis*, for example, typical  $\text{CuCF}_3$  aryl trifluoromethylations is that the formation of the trifluoromethyl aromatic derivative readily proceeds at ambient temperature and pressure rather than the elevated temperatures and pressures that are commonly required with alternative methodology.<sup>6,16</sup> In addition, the less expensive aryl bromides can be employed to form the  $\text{M}_2\text{Ph}_6$  substrates rather than the aryl iodides that have typically been used with  $\text{CuCF}_3$ . Currently the price of a mole of  $\text{PhI}$  from one major supplier (Aldrich) is about 8 times that of  $\text{PhBr}$  and ca. 18 times that of the chloride. The scope of this reaction is also under further investigation.

In conclusion, we have prepared and separated a series of trifluoromethylated derivatives of aryl-containing group 14 elements by means of reactions with  $\text{Cd}(\text{CF}_3)_2\cdot\text{glyme}$  and found that, once formed, the aryl-containing trifluoromethyl compounds are reasonably thermally and oxidatively robust. We have also shown that one of these compounds,  $\text{PbPh}_3\text{CF}_3$ , is an active trifluoromethyl transfer agent which readily forms main group, transition metal, and aromatic derivatives.

**Acknowledgment.** The financial contributions of the National Science Foundation are gratefully acknowledged.

OM000023M

(15) Dale, J. W.; Emeleus, H. J.; Haszeldine, R. N.; Moss, J. H. *J. Chem. Soc.* **1957**, 3708.

(16) (a) Adams, D. J.; Clark, J. H.; Hansen, L. B.; Sanders, V. C.; Tavener, S. J. *J. Fluorine Chem.* **1998**, 92, 123. (b) McClinton, M. A.; McClinton, D. A. *Tetrahedron* **1992**, 48, 6555.