



INDOLE ALKALOIDS FROM RAUWOLFIA SELLOWII

CESAR VICENTE FERREIRA BATISTA, JAN SCHRIPSEMA,*† ROBERT VERPOORTE,* SANDRA BEATRIZ RECH and AMELIA T. HENRIQUES‡

Graduated Course in Pharmaceutical Sciences, UFRGS, Av. Ipiranga 2752, 90.610.000, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil; *Center for Biopharmaceutical Sciences, Leiden University, P.O. Box 9502, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands

(Received in revised form 24 July 1995)

Key Word Index—Rauwolfia sellowii; Apocynaceae; leaves; indole alkaloids; sellowiine.

Abstract—A new alkaloid, sellowiine (N_1 -demethyl-20-deethyl suaveoline), was obtained from leaves of *Rauwolfia sellowii* collected at two different locations in southern Brazil. Also obtained were the known alkaloids, perakine, raucaffrinoline, vomilenine, $19\alpha,20\alpha$ -epoxy-akuammicine, picrinine and 12-demethoxytabernulosine. The NMR spectra of the alkaloids were assigned completely.

INTRODUCTION

Species of Rauwolfia are rich sources of bioactive indole alkaloids, such as reserpine, included in the WHO Model List of Essential Drugs as an antihypertensive agent [1]. Rauwolfia sellowii, commonly known as 'Jasmim-Grado', is a rare tree, that grows up to 15 m in height in forests from southeast to south Brazil [2]. Roots of this species are used in folk medicine as an antihypertensive and the analysis of the crude extract has demonstrated some hypotensive activity in dogs [3]. Several indole alkaloids have been isolated from the root bark [4–7] and some of them have been evaluated for genotoxic effects [8, 9]. In the present paper, results of an investigation of R. sellowii leaves are reported describing the chemical characterization of seven indole alkaloids.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Plant material collected at two different locations was investigated. Material collected from Curitiba (Paraná, Brazil) yielded three alkaloids, the known alkaloids raucaffrinoline (2) [10, 11] and perakine (3) [12], the new alkaloid sellowiine (1) and perakine dimethyl acetal (4), which is considered to be an artefact from the isolation procedure. Material collected from Marcelino Ramos (Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil) yielded the known alkaloids vomilenine (5) [11, 12], 12-demethoxytabernulosine (6) [13] and picrinine (7) [14, 15], the new alkaloid 1 and $19\alpha,20\alpha$ -epoxy-akuammicine (8), which has not been reported before from a natural source.

The mass spectrum of 1 indicated a M_r of 261. In the down-field ¹H NMR spectrum (Table 1), besides signals for an indolic nucleus, three additional signals were observed. Two doublets and a singlet indicated the presence of a 3,4-disubstituted pyridine moiety. In the aliphatic part of the spectrum, seven additional signals derived from CH₂-CH units, with geminal coupling constants of 16.9 and 16 Hz, respectively, and both with only one vicinal coupling of 5 Hz, indicated the similarity of the structure with suaveoline, an indole alkaloid isolated previously from R. suaveolens [16]. The structure of sellowiine was thus suggested as N_1 -demethyl-20-deethyl suaveoline.

A crude fresh ethanolic extract of the leaves, without previous acid or basic treatment, was analysed by HPLC and the presence of sellowiine in this extract confirms its natural occurrence. This finding and the loss of the ethyl side-chain in sellowiine do not agree with the theory that related structures, like suaveoline, have their origin from an ajmaline-type precursor in a basic medium [17].

The aromatic pattern from the 1H NMR spectrum of 8 indicated that this compound contained a non-substituted α -methylene indolenine nucleus [18]. The ^{13}C NMR signals (Table 2) of the aliphatic part were identical to those reported for 12-methoxy-19 α , 20 α -epoxyakuammicine [19]. Thus, the structure of 8 was established as 19α ,20 α -epoxy-akuammicine.

In material from one collection, perakine and raucaffrinoline were found, while in the material from the other collection, vomilenine was found. Taylor *et al.* [12] reported that vomilenine could be easily converted into perakine and they raised the question of whether perakine could be formed from vomilenine during the isolation procedure. However, the presence of raucaffrinoline, a reduction product of perakine, does not support this hypothesis.

[†]Present address: Department of Organic Chemistry, The Technical University of Denmark, Building 201, DK-2800 Lyngby, Denmark.

[‡]Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

(1) Sellowiine

(8) 19α, 20α - Epoxyakuammicine

EXPERIMENTAL

General. MS were recorded on an instrument fitted with a thermospray interface. Samples were introduced in column by-pass mode at 1 μ g per injection. The eluent was MeOH-0.1 mM NaOAc at a flow rate of 1.2 ml

CHO

CH(OCH₃)₂

(3) Perakine

(4) Perakine dimethyl acetal

min⁻¹. Vaporizer, repeller and source temp. were set at 70°, 50 V and 250°, respectively.

Plant material. Leaves of R. sellowii Müll. Arg. were collected in Marcelino Ramos, RS, and Curitiba, PR, in 1993 and 1991, respectively. Voucher specimens (ICN 2744) are kept in the herbarium of the Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil.

Extraction and isolation of alkaloids. Powdered airdried leaves (500 g) collected in Marcelino Ramos were homogenized in an Ultra-turrax with 101 of EtOH. The soln was filtered off and coned to dryness in vacuo to yield 380 g crude extract, which was dild with 0.5 ml MeOH and 5.5 ml 5% HCl. The suspension was washed once with 31 hexane, which removed 20 g of a non-alkaloid fr. The acid aq. layer was adjusted to pH 9.0 with NH₄OH and partitioned against CH₂Cl₂. The combined

Table 1. ¹H NMR spectral data for compounds 1-8 (δ in CDCl₃)

E	Sellowiine	Raucaffrinoline	Perakine	Perakine dimethyl acetal	Vomilenine	12-Demethoxy tabernulosine	Picrinine	19a,20a-Epoxy akuammicine
5 3	4.36 d (5.0) 4.71 d (5.0)	4.13 d (9.3) 3.66 dd (6.5; 5.1)	4.20 d (9.1) 3.64 dd (6.7; 5.3)	4.17 d (9.4) 3.65 dd (6.5; 5.5)	4.41 sbr 2.46 dd (5.7; 6.1)	3.57 d (4.5) 4.84 d (2.2)	3.60 d (4.7) 4.84 d (2.2)	4.04 td (3.0; 0.8) 3.17 ddd (12.5; 12.1; 6.2) 2.86 dd (12.5; 7.0)
ه و	3.36 dd (16.9; 5.7) 2.86 d (16.9) 7.19 d (70)	2.81 dd (12.1; 5.1) 1.64 d (12.1) 7.47 dd (70: 1.5)	2.82 dd (12.1; 5.3) 1.66 d (12.1) 7.49 dd (7.2: 1.5)	2.79 dd (11.85; 5.0) 1.63 d (12) 7.46 dd (7.8; 1.2)	2.80 dd (12.2; 4.8) 1.72 dd (6.9; 1.5) 7.47 d (7.8)	3.40 d (13.8) 2.25 dd (13.8; 2.8) 6.77 d (2.2)	3.41 d (13.8) 2.26 dd (13.8; 2.8) 7.14 dd (7.6; 1.3)	2.68 ddd (13.1; 12.1; 7.0) 1.92 dd (13.1; 6.2) 7.23 d (7.0)
2 = 5	7.14 dd (7.6; 7.0) 7.07 dd (7.6; 7.6)	7.22 ddd (7.3; 7.3; 1.2) 7.39 ddd (8.0; 8.0; 1.2)	7.23 ddd (7.7; 7.5; 1.1) 7.40 ddd (7.8; 7.5; 1.0) 7.53 d (7.8)	7.21 ddd (7.5; 7.2; 1.2) 7.39 ddd (7.7; 7.5; 1.6) 7.61 d (7.8)	7.25 ddd (7.5; 7.3; 1.0) 7.42 ddd (7.7; 7.6; 1.3) 7.64 d (7.6)	6.63 dd (8.0; 2.2) 6.67 d (8.0)	6.78 ddd (7.6; 7.6; 1.2) 7.08 ddd (7.6; 7.6; 1.4) 6.75 d (7.8)	6.92 dd (8.0; 7.0) 7.16 dd (8.0; 7.8) 6.84 d (7.8)
12 14 15 15	7.30 d (7.6) 3.25 dd (16.0; 5.0) 2.67 d (16.0)	7.61 d (7.6) 1.95 dd (14.7; 9.3) 1.54 dd (14.7; 5.5) 2.49 dd (5.5; 5.5) 2.38 ddd (6.5; 5.5; 1.5)	7.62 d (7.5) 1.77 dada (14.8, 9.2, 2.0, 1.0) 1.60 dada (14.8, 5.2, 2.0, 1.0) 2.91 da (15.5, 5.2) 2.48 dad (7.0, 5.5, 1.7)	7.01 a (7.5) 1.96 dd (15.0; 9.4) 1.54 dd (14.8; 5.5) 2.47 dd (5.5; 5.0) 2.35 ddd (7.0; 5.0; 1.5)	1.95 d 3.33 sbr	2.14 ddd (14.2; 4.5; 1.0) 1.85 dd (14.2; 3.4) 3.28 dbr (2.8) 2.45 d (3.7)	2.14 ddd (14.2) 1.85 dd (14.5; 3.4) 3.28 dbr (2.8) 2.45 d (3.7)	2.54 ddd (13.5; 3.1; 3.1) 1.39 ddd (13.5; 3.2; 3.1) 2.99 ddbr (3.2; 3.1)
17 18 19	8.39 sbr	5.00 d (1.2) 1.28 d (6.8) 2.54 dd (9.3, 6.7)	4.95 d (1.7) 1.30 d (6.7) 3.34 dq (9.3; 6.7)	5.00 d (1.2) 1.34 d (6.7) 2.77 dq (8.8; 6.7)	4.99 d 1.25 s 3.90 sbr	1.48 dd (7.0; 2.4) 5.40 qbr (7.0)	1.48 dd (7.0; 2.4) 5.40 qbr (7.0)	1.42 d (5.7) 2.93 q (5.7)
20 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21	6.94 d (5.1) 8.25 d (5.1)	1.51 ddd (9.3; 8.6; 5.1) 3.75 dd (11.0; 5.2)	2.17 d (9.3)	1.54 dd (8.8; 7.8)	5.13 sbr	3.77 dbr (17.8) 3.09 d (17.8) 4.67 sbr	3.77 dbr (17.8) 3.09 d (17.8) 4.90 sbr	2.81 d (13.3) 2.73 d (13.3)
OAc OMe OMe	105 70'.		2.19 s	2.17 s 3.43 s 3.35 s	2.18 s	3.66 s 3.71 s	3.65 s	3.75 s

Coupling constants (J in Hz) given in parentheses. Signal assignments based on $^{13}\text{C}^{-1}\text{H}$ COSY.

				ier compe		· es e.	3, 70, 11	
C	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
2	133.3	183.4	182.6	183.4	181.5	107.0	106.3	170.8
3	52.7	57.2	56.9	57.1	54.1	52.0	52.0	60.8
5	47.7	51.2	51.6	51.1	49.1	87.4	87.3	54.1
6	34.7	37.5	37.3	37.5	36.4	40.5	40.5	44.4
7	105.3	65.0	64.8	65.0	64.5	51.8	51.8	57.2
8	127.1	136.5	136.1	136.5	136.0	136.4	135.1	135.8
9	118.1	123.8	123.9	123.7	123.8	111.9	125.0	120.2
10	121.9	125.4	125.6	125.4	125.8	154.5	120.7	121.3
11	119.7	128.7	128.8	128.6	128.9	112.9	127.9	127.8
12	110.9	121.0	121.1	121.0	121.3	110.9	110.6	109.7
13	135.9	156.6	156.5	156.6	156.5	141.3	147.4	143.8
14	26.6	21.7	22.6	22.7	26.3	25.9	25.9	29.1
15	142.6	26.6	26.2	26.5	28.3	31.1	31.1	30.9
16	136.0	49.3	48.7	49.1	49.0	51.7	51.7	98.8
17	148.2	78.2	78.0	78.1	79.6	172.4	172.4	168.1
18	_	18.3	18.9	19,3	13.2	12.6	12.7	14.5
19		53.2	49.9	53.3	120.0	120.4	120.4	61.4
20	124.1	45.7	56.3	44.9	128.5	136,1	136.1	62.0
21	147.3	62.0	201.5	105.6	82.5	46.3	46.3	54.6
OAc		21.1	21,1	21.1	21.1	_		TO SERVED
OAc	_	170.0	170.1	169.9	169.9			_
OMe	-	·	*	52.8	-		_	
OMe	*			55.1		55.9	_	_

Table 2. ¹³C NMR spectral data for compounds 1-8 (δ in CDCl₃, 75, 47 MHz)

Signal assignments based on ¹³C-¹H COSY.

organic layers were washed with H₂O and concd *in vacuo* to yield 6.3 g crude alkaloid fr. This was dissolved in 120 ml CH₂Cl₂–MeOH (9:1) and treated with 200 ml petrol. The pptd deposit was filtered and dried to give 3.5 g of an alkaloid fr. I (AF-I). The soln was concd to dryness *in vacuo* yielding 2.6 g of alkaloid fr. II (AF-II). AF-I was subjected to prep. TLC on silica gel GF₂₅₄ (CH₂Cl₂–MeOH, 7:3) to yield alkaloid 1, which recrystallized from cool MeOH. AF-II was sepd by prep. TLC runs on silica gel GF₂₅₄ in CHCl₃–MeOH (7:1). Alkaloids were repurified on TLC using CHCl₃–MeOH (17:3), EtOAc–MeOH (9:1), CHCl₃–MeOH (23:2) and CHCl₃–EtOAc–MeOH (11:8:1) to produce 5–8, respectively.

17-OMe

Leaves (78 g) collected in Curitiba (PR) were analysed in a similar fashion. AF-1 (PR) provided alkaloid 1 and AF-II was submitted to a prep. TLC, on silica gel GF₂₅₄ layers with CHCl₃-MeOH (7:1) as mobile phase, to afford compound 2.

Alkaloids 3 and 4 were purified by prep. TLC using CHCl₃-MeOH (23:2). Yields of alkaloids 1-4 were 38, 37, 18 and 15 mg, respectively.

HPLC analysis. The crude alkaloid extract was dissolved in MeCN-MeOH (9:1) and 20 μ l was injected. A Lichrosorb OS (125 × 4.0 i.d. mm) column was eluted isocratically with MeCN-H₂O (pH 7.8 adjusted with NH₄OH) (2:1). The flow rate was 1 ml min⁻¹ throughout. Compounds were detected by UV at 222 nm. The sellowiine content of the sample determined by the ext. standard method was 8.47%.

Sellowine. (1). Mp 202–206° (MeOH). $[\alpha]_D - 135$ ° (CHCl₃; c 0.54). UV-VIS λ_{max} (MeOH) nm:222, 268, 282, 290. FAB-MS m/z 262 $[M+H]^+$, 284 $[M+Na]^+$, 300 $[M+K]^+$. EIMS (70 ev, direct inlet) m/z (rel. int.): 261 (10), 245 (100), 218 (73), 169 (26), 132 (26), 131 (32), 94 (10).

51.4

50.9

51.4

Acknowledgements—We thank CAPES, CNPq and RHAE, Brazil, for scholarships and for financial support. We would also like to thank F. Selbach and A. Santos for technical assistance, and C. Erkelens and P. Lankhorst for some of the NMR spectra.

REFERENCES

- 1. World Health Organization (1990) The use of essential drugs, Report of WHO Expert Committee. *Technical Report Series* **685**, WHO, Geneva.
- 2. Markgraff, F. (1968) in *Flora Ilustrada Catarinense* (Reitz, R., ed.), p. 23. Itajai, Brazil.
- 3. Seba, R. A., Campos, J. S. and Kuhlmann, J. G. (1954) Rev. Quím. Farm. 2, 11.
- 4. Hochstein, F. A. (1955) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 77, 5744.
- Pakrashi, S. C., Djerassi, C., Wasicky, R. and Neuss, N. (1955) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 77, 6687.
- Toledo, T. A. N. and Wasicky, R. (1954) Sci. Pharm. 22, 271.
- von Poser, G. L., Henriques, A. T. and Henriques, J. A. P. (1988) Rev. Brasil. Farm. 69, 14.

- von Poser, G. L., Andrade, H. H. R., da Silva, K. V. C. L., Henriques, A. T. and Henriques, J. A. P. (1990)
 Mutat. Res. 232, 37.
- Henriques, J. A. P., Moreno, P. R. H., von Poser, G. L., Querol, C. C. and Henriques, A. T. (1991) Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz 86, 71.
- Ulshafer, P. R., Bartlett, M. F., Dorfman, L., Gillen, M. A., Schlittler, E. and Wenkert, E. (1961) Tetrahedron Letters 363.
- 11. Libot, F., Kunesch, N. and Poisson, J. (1980) *Phytochemistry* 19, 989.
- Taylor, W. I., Frey, A. J. and Hofmann, A. (1962) Helv. Chim. Acta 45, 611.
- Achenbach, H., Raffelsberger, B. and Addae-Mensah,
 I. (1982) Liebigs' Ann. Chem. 830.

- Chatterjee, A., Mukherjee, B., Ray, A. B. and Das, B. C. (1965) Tetrahedron Letters 3633.
- 15. Grossmann, E., Sefcovic, P. and Szacs, K. (1973) *Phytochemistry* 12, 2058.
- Majumdar, S. P., Poisson, J. O. and Potier, P. (1973) Phytochemistry 12, 1167.
- Endreß, S., Takayama, H., Suda, S., Kitajima, N., Aimi, N., Sakai, S. and Stöckigt, J. (1993) *Phytochemistry* 32, 725.
- Schripsema, J. and Verpoorte, R. (1991) In Studies in Natural Products Chemistry (Atta-ur-Rahman, ed.), Vol. 9, p. 163. Elsevier Science Publishers B.V., Amsterdam.
- Legseir, B., Cherif, A., Richard, B., Pusset, J., Labarre, S., Massiot, G. and Le Men-Olivier, L. (1986) Phytochemistry 25, 1735.