

Phytochemistry, Vol. 42, No. 3, pp. 867-869, 1996 Copyright © 1996 Elsevier Science Ltd Printed in Great Britain. All rights reserved 0031-9422/96 \$15.00 + 0.00

# FLAVONOID GLYCOSIDES FROM COLEBROOKEA OPPOSITIFOLIA

FAN YANG, XING-CONG LI,\* HAN-QING WANG and CHONG-REN YANG\*†

Lanzhou Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou 730000, Gansu, China; \*Laboratory of Phytochemistry, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming 650204, Yunnan, China

(Received in revised form 20 November 1995)

**Key Word Index**—*Colebrookea oppositifolia*; Labiatae; bark; flavonoid glycosides; negletein 6-glucoside; 5,7,2'-trihydroxyflavone 2'-glucoside.

**Abstract**—Two new flavonoid glycosides were isolated from the bark of *Colebrookea oppositifolia*, together with three known flavonoid aglycones. On the basis of spectral evidence, the structures of the two flavonoid glycosides were established as negletein  $6-O-\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside and 5,7,2'-trihydroxyflavone  $2'-O-\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside, respectively.

#### INTRODUCTION

The monotypic Colebrookea oppositifolia Smith (Labiatae) is used in folk medicine by the Dai people in Yunnan province of China for the treatment of fractures, traumatic injuries and rheumatoid arthritis [1]. The first chemical investigation of this plant has led to the isolation and structural elucidation of two new flavonoid glycosides (1 and 2), together with three known flavonoid aglycones: chrysin (3) [2], negletein (4) [3] and ladanein (4) [4].

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The methanol extract of the bark of *C. oppositifolia* was repeatedly chromatographed on silica gel to yield compounds 1–5. By comparing their <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR signals with reported data, three known flavones were identified as chrysin(5,7-dihydroxyflavone) (3) [2], negletein (5,6-dihydroxy-7-methoxyflavone) (4) [3] and ladanein (5,6-dihydroxy-7,4'-dimethoxyflavone) (4) [4].

Compound 1 displayed several strong and broad bands in the range of  $1650-1050\,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$  in the IR spectrum, indicative of a flavone skeleton. Its molecular formula was determined as  $C_{22}H_{22}O_{10}$  from the positive FAB-mass spectrum in conjunction with the <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DEPT) spectrum. The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra indicated the presence of a  $\beta$ -glucopyranosyl unit, and the signals for the aglycone were very similar to those of 4. Compound 1 was hydrolysed with acid to yield 4 and glucose, suggesting that 1 was a monoglycoside of 4. Comparison of the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of 1 with that of 4 showed that the signal of C-6 was shifted upfield by 2.46 ppm, whereas the signals of C-7, C-5, C-9 were

Compound 2 also showed characteristic IR absorptions of a flavone skeleton. It displayed a molecular ion peak at m/z 432 in the positive FAB-mass spectrum. Upon acid hydrolysis, 2 yielded glucose. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 2 exhibited a hydroxyl proton signal at  $\delta$  12.88 (5-OH), and included aromatic proton signals at  $\delta$  7.00 (1H s), 6.45 (1H, d, J = 2.0 Hz) and 6.20 (1H, d, J = 2.0 Hz). The former aromatic proton signal could

	$\mathbf{R}_{1}$	R <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>3</sub>	R <sub>4</sub>
1	ОМе	OGlc	Н	н
2	OH	Н	Н	OGlc
3	OH	H	H	H
4	OMe	ОН	H	H
5	OMe	OH	OMe	Н

displaced downfield by 4.13, 3.06 and 5.50 ppm, respectively. However, the signal of C-4 remained unaffected. This indicated that the glucosyl unit was attached to C-6 of the aglycone. The EI-mass spectrum also supported the above deduction. Besides the base peak at m/z 284  $[M-Glc]^+$ , fragment ion peaks at m/z 181 and 102 resulting from RDA cleavage were recorded (m/z 181 from A-ring and m/z 102 from B-ring). Based on the above evidence, the structure of 1 was shown to be negletein 6-O- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside.

<sup>†</sup>Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

868 FAN YANG et al.

Fig. 1. The EI-mass fragmentation pattern of compound 2.

be assigned as H-3, and the latter two upfield ones which are coupled via a <sup>4</sup>J coupling should be H-6 and H-8. This indicated that the A-ring had a 5,7-dihydroxy substituted pattern. In addition, two groups of doublet and double-doublet peaks at  $\delta$  7.87 ~ 7.20 (4H) suggested that the B-ring was C-2' substituted. Comparison of the 13C NMR spectrum of 2 with that of flavones lacking C-2' oxygenation such as 1 and 3 indicated that the signal of C-2' was markedly shifted downfield, whereas the signals of C-1', C-3' and C-5' were shifted upfield. The linkage position of glucose to the aglycone was determined by the EI-mass spectrum. Fig. 1 shows the fragmentation pattern. The characteristic fragment ion peak at m/z 280 resulting from RDA cleavage indicated that glucose was attached to the 2'-hydroxyl. Furthermore, the carbon signals of A- and C-rings are consistent with those of C-2' oxygenated flavonoids [5]. Thus, 2 was identified as 5,7,2'-trihydroxyflavone 2'-O- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside.

Flavonoids can be useful characters for the chemotaxonomy of some plant taxa and it is known that unsubstituted B-ring flavonoids are rare in the Labiatae [6]. The discovery of such compounds (1, 3 and 4) in the monotypic *C. oppositifolia* may be taxonomically significant.

### **EXPERIMENTAL**

 $^{1}$ H and  $^{13}$ C NMR spectra were measured in DMSO- $d_{6}$  on a Bruker AM-400 MHz spectrometer and chemical shifts are given as  $\delta$  value with TMS as an int. standard.

Plant material. The bark of C. oppositifolia Smith was collected in Xishuangbanna of Yunnan province and identified by Professor H. Li. A voucher specimen is preserved in the Herbarium of Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

Extraction and isolation. The air-dried bark (900 g) was extracted with hot MeOH. Removal of the solvent afforded a MeOH extract, which was suspended in H<sub>2</sub>O and successively extracted with petrol, CHCl<sub>3</sub> and *n*-BuOH. The CHCl<sub>3</sub>-soluble portion (7 g) was chromatographed on silica gel with petrol containing increasing amounts of EtOAc as eluant to give several fractions. Fractions 3, 9 and 11 were rechromatographed on silica gel with CHCl<sub>3</sub>-EtOAc to yield compounds 3 (16 mg), 4 (376 mg), and 5 (15 mg). The *n*-BuOH-soluble portion (6 g) was chromatographed on MCI gel CHP 20P with MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O (30%-80%), and silica gel with EtOAc-MeOH as eluant to give 1 (40 mg) and 2 (25 mg).

Negletein 6-O-β-D-glucopyranoside (1). Light yellow powder from MeOH,  $\{\alpha\}_D^{25} - 27^\circ$  (DMSO; c 0.48); IR  $\nu_{max}^{KBr}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3270 (br, OH), 1650 (C=O), 1600, 1580 and 1485 (C=C), 1441 (Ar-OMe), 1350, 1290, 1196, 1112, 1065 and 1045 (=C-O-), 840, 800, 765 and 680 (substituted aromatic ring); FAB-MS (pos.) m/z: 447  $[M(C_{22}H_{22}O_{10}) + H]^+$ ; EI-MS m/z (rel. int.): 284  $[M-Glc]^+$  (100), 266  $[284 - H_2O]^+$  (41), 238  $[284 - H_2O - CO]^+$  (44), 181  $[A_1$  (A-ring from RDA cleavage)  $-H]^+$  (15), 153  $[181 - CO]^+$  (35), 139  $[153 - Me + H]^+$  (32), 102  $[B_1$  (B-ring from RDA cleavage)]<sup>+</sup> (48).  $^1H$  NMR: δ 12.89 (1H, s, 5-OH), 8.10 (2H, s, dd, s, J=7.6, 1.6 Hz, H-2', 6'), 7.60 (3H, s, m,

Table 1. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data of compounds 1-5 in DMSO- $d_6$  ( $\delta$ , ppm)

			8 ( ) 11 /			
С	1	2	3	4	5	
2	163.39	161.36	163.12	163.03	163.55	
3	104.93	110.19	103.04	104.57	103.37	
4	182.31	181.79	181.79	182.13	182.39	
5	152.75	160.76	161.43	149.69	149.89	
6	128.31	98.76	98.07	130.77	130.23	
7	158.77	164.30	164.38	154.51	154.64	
8	91.77	93.69	94.07	91.11	91.41	
9	151.60	155.35	157.01	146.10	146.46	
10	105.16	103.77	105.13	105.22	105.61	
1′	130.62	120.32	130.56	130.05	123.23	
2'	126.35	157.64	126.33	126.14	128.41	
3'	129.05	115.53	129.05	128.92	114.78	
4'	132.01	132.73	131.91	131.71	162.49	
5′	129.05	121.91	129.05	128.92	114.78	
6′	126.35	129.00	126.33	126.14	128.41	
OMe	56.56			56.18	56.61	
					55.77	
1"	102.05	100.26				
2"	74.13	73.28				
3"	76.54	76.73				
4"	69.95	69.62				
5"	77.21	77.12				
6"	60.91	60.63				

H-3', 4', 5'), 6.98 (1H, s, H-8), 7.03 (1H, s, H-3), 5.05 (1H, d, J = 7.6 Hz, Glc H-1), 3.90 (3H, s, OMe). <sup>13</sup>C NMR: Table 1.

5,7,2'-Trihydroxyflavone 2'-O-β-D-glucopyranoside (2). Light yellow powder from MeOH,  $[\alpha]_D^{25} - 48^\circ$  (DMSO; c 0.36), IR  $\nu_{\text{max}}^{\text{KBr}}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3430–3240 (br, OH), 1650 (C=O), 1610, 1560 and 1500 (C=C), 1442 (OMe), 1350, 1280, 1240, 1162, 1075 and 1040 (=C-O-), 850, 830, 751 and 740 (substituted aromatic ring); FAB-MS (pos.) m/z: 432  $[M(C_{21}H_{20}O_{10}) + H]^+$ ; EI-MS m/z: see Fig. 1. <sup>1</sup>H NMR: δ 12.88 (1H, s, 5-OH), 7.87 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz, H-6'), 7.53 (1H, dd, J = 8.4, 7.4 Hz, H-4'), 7.33 (1H, d, J = 8.4 Hz, H-3'), 7.20 (1H, dd, J = 7.5, 7.8 Hz, H-5'), 7.00 (1H, s, H-3), 6.45 (1H, s, H-6), 6.20 (1H, s, H-8), 5.10 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz, Glc H-1). <sup>13</sup>C NMR: Table 1.

*Chrysin* (3). Yellow pellets from MeOH, mp 297–300° (dec.). EI-MS m/z (rel. int.): 254 [M ( $C_{15}H_{10}O_4$ )] (100), 226 [M – CO] (55), 152 [ $A_1$ ] + (60), 124 [ $A_1$  –

CO]<sup>+</sup> (57), 102 [B<sub>1</sub>]<sup>+</sup> (31). <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  12.81 (1H, s, 5-OH), 10.92 (1H, s, br, 7-OH), 8.05 (2H, dd, J = 7.6, 1.6 Hz, H-2′, 6′), 7.57 (3H, m, H-3′, 4′, 5′), 6.93 (1H, s, H-3), 6.50 (1H, s, H-6), 6.20 (1H, s, H-8). <sup>13</sup>C NMR: Table 1.

Negletein (4). Orange pellets from CHCl<sub>3</sub>–MeOH, mp 225–228° (dec.). EI-MS m/z (rel. int.): 284 [M (C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>12</sub>O<sub>5</sub>)] (100), 266 [M – H<sub>2</sub>O] (76), 238 [M – H<sub>2</sub>O – CO] (87), 210 [238 – CO] (42), 152 [A<sub>1</sub> – CO]<sup>+</sup> (47), 139 [153 – Me + H]<sup>+</sup> (59), 102 (53) (B<sub>1</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR: δ 12.49 (1H, s, 5-OH), 8.76 (1H, s, 6-OH), 8.02 (2H, dd, J = 8.0, 1.6 Hz, H-2', 6'), 7.56 (3H, m, H-3', 4', 5'), 6.92 (1H, s, H-3), 6.68 (1H, s, H-8), 3.90 (3H, s, OMe). <sup>13</sup>C NMR: Table 1.

Ladanein (5). Golden plates from CHCl<sub>3</sub>–MeOH, mp 221–223° (dec.). EI-MS m/z (rel. int.): 314 [M(C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>6</sub>] (100), 296 [M – H<sub>2</sub>O] (54), 268 [M – H<sub>2</sub>O – CO] (79), 182 [A<sub>1</sub>]<sup>+</sup> (15), 152 [182 – OMe + H]<sup>+</sup> (23), 139 [153 – Me + H]<sup>+</sup> (30), 133 [B<sub>1</sub>]<sup>+</sup> (45). <sup>1</sup>H NMR: δ 12.59 (1H, s, 5-OH), 8.74 (1H, s, 6-OH), 8.03 (2H, d, J = 9.2 Hz, H-2′, 6′), 7.10 (2H, d, J = 7.2 Hz, H-3′, 5′), 6.91 (1H, s, H-3), 6.87 (1H, s, H-8), 3.90 (3H, s, OMe), 3.84 (3H, s, OMe). <sup>13</sup>C NMR: Table 1

Acknowledgement—We are grateful to the instrument group of Laboratory of Phytochemistry, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences for measuring NMR, IR and mass spectra.

# REFERENCES

- Chinese Medicinal Material Company (1994) Resource Compendium for Chinese Traditional Medicine, p. 1062. Science Publishing House, Beijing.
- 2. Gaydou, Emile M., Bianchini, J. P. (1978) Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. (1-2, Pt. 2), 43.
- 3. Liang, G.-J. (1988) Zhong Cao Yao 19, 6.
- Oksuz, S., Halfon, B., Terem, B. (1988) Planta Med. 54, 89.
- Zhang, Y.-Y., Guo, Y.-Z., Onda, M., Hashimoto, K., Ikeya, Y., Okada, M. and Maruno, M. (1994) Phytochemistry 35, 511.
- Tomas-Barberàn, F. A. and Wollenweber, E. (1990)
  Pl. Syst. Evol. 173, 109.