

S0031-9422(96)00147-1

AMARISOLIDE, A NEO-CLERODANE DITERPENE GLYCOSIDE FROM SALVIA AMARISSIMA*

Emma Maldonado, Jorge Cárdenas, Humberto Bojórquez, Eleazar M. Escamilla† and Alfredo Ortega‡

Instituto de Química de la Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Circuito Exterior, Ciudad Universitaria, Coyoacán 04510, México, DF; †Departamento de Química, Instituto Tecnológico de Celaya, Av. Tecnológico e Irrigación, 38010, Celaya, Gto., México

(Received in revised form 18 January 1996)

Key Word Index—Salvia amarissima; Labiatae; neo-clerodane diterpene glycoside; diterpenoids; amarisolide.

Abstract—A new neo-clerodane glycoside, amarisolide, has been isolated from the aerial parts of *Salvia amarissima*. Its structure was established as 2β -O- β -D-glucopyranosyl neo-cleroda-3,13(16),14-trien-15,16-epoxy-18,19-olide by chemical and spectroscopic means.

INTRODUCTION

In the course of our phytochemical investigation of the Salvia genus [1, 2], we have investigated S. amarissima Ort. (Family Labiatae, section Uricae subgenus Calosphace). From an acetone extract of the aerial parts of this plant, we have isolated, in addition to ursolic and oleanolic acids and pedalitin (5,6,3',4'-tetrahydroxy-7-methoxyflavone) [3-5], the new diterpenoid glucoside amarisolide (1). This is the first report about the occurrence of this type of glucosides in Salvia species.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The new compound 1 was assigned the molecular formula C₂₆H₃₆O₉ (mass spectrum). The ¹H NMR spectrum revealed it to be a glucosyl derivative of a clerodane diterpene. Thus, it showed the signals for a secondary and a tertiary methyl group at δ 0.87 and 0.59, respectively, as well as the signals for a β substituted furan ring at δ 6.28 (H-14), 7.35 (H-15) and 7.24 (H-16) (IR: 1503 and $874 \, \text{cm}^{-1}$) and for an α, β -unsaturated- γ -lactone at δ 6.96 d (H-3), 3.93 dd $(\text{H-}19_{pro-S})$ and δ 4.34 d $(\text{H-}19_{pro-R})$ (IR: 1782 and 1766 cm⁻¹). The COSY spectrum revealed that the H-3 signal was coupled with the signal at δ 4.56 which was ascribed to H-2 The presence of a hexose unit in 1 was inferred from the strong IR absorption at 3386 cm and from the fragment at m/z 313 $[M - C_6H_{11}O_6]^+$ in the mass spectrum. The signals between δ 3.0 and 4.5

*Contribution No. 1429 from Instituto de Química, UNAM. ‡Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. in the ¹H NMR spectrum, especially the value of $J_{1'-2'} = 7.5 \text{ Hz}$ (Table 1) and the ¹³C NMR signals for C-1'-C-6' (Table 2) [6] suggested it was a β -D-glucopyranosyl moiety. Acetylation of 1 with acetic anhydride in pyridine afforded the tetraacetyl derivative 2. Its IR spectrum did not show hydroxyl absorptions and in its ¹H NMR spectrum only the signals for H-1' to H-6' were shifted downfield. This indicated no additional hydroxyl groups other than those of the glucose unit in 1. The chemical shift of the H-2 signal was in agreement with the presence of an oxygenated function at C-2, which must be the glucopyranosyl moiety. The β -orientation of this moiety was supported by the H-2 coupling constants $(J_{1\alpha-2} = J_{1\beta-2} = 2.4 \text{ Hz} \text{ and } J_{2-3} =$ 6.3 Hz), which established the equatorial disposition for this proton [7, 8].

The relative configuration of 1 was ascertained by the 13 C NMR signals for C-20 (δ 17.4) and C-17 (δ 15.3), which were in agreement with an α -orientation of these methyl groups on an A/B *trans*-neoclerodane skeleton [7, 8]. In addition, the H-1 α -H-10 coupling constant (13.8 Hz) established a *trans* diaxial relationship between them and therefore a β -orientation for H-10. The long range coupling shown by H-19 *pro-S* and H-6 β indicated an α -axial orientation of C-19.

Compound 1 was resistant to hydrolysis under acid or basic conditions. Nevertheless, the aglycone 3 was obtained by the fungal action of *Fusarium moniliforme*, which is known to use the sugar moieties of glucosides as a carbon source [9]. Compound 3 showed IR absorptions for a hydroxyl group, an α,β -unsaturated- γ -lactone and a double bond. In its ¹H NMR spectrum the signal for H-2 appeared as a dt at δ 4.55 (J=6 and 3 Hz) and the signals for the β -D-glucopyranosyl were not present.

Table 1. ¹H NMR spectral data for compounds 1-3 (300 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS as int. standard)

Н	1*	2†	3‡
Η-1α	1.29 td	1.23 td	
	(13.8, 2.4)	(13.8, 3.3)	
H-1 <i>β</i>	$2.00 \ br \ d$	1.92 m	
,	(13.8)		
H-2	4.56 dt	4.55 dt	4.50 dt
	(6.3, 2.4)	(6, 3)	(6, 3)
H-3	6.96 d	6.68 d	6.68 d
	(6.3)	(6)	(6)
Η-6α	1.92 br d		
	(12)		
H-6 <i>β</i>	1.33 br t	1.19 m	
	(12)		
Η-7α	1.51 m	1.47 m	
H-7 <i>β</i>	1.66 m	1.67 m	
H-8	1.80 m	1.70 m	
H-10	2.39 d	2.25 d	
	(13.8)	(13.8)	
H-11	1.54 m	1.47 m	
H-11'	1.66 m	1.67 m	
H-12	2.55 td	2.41 td	
	(14.1, 4.2)	(13.1, 4.5)	
H-12'	2.33 td	2.23 td	
	(14.1, 5.1)	(13.1, 6.1)	
H-14	6.28 dd	6.31 <i>dd</i>	6.31 m
	(1.5, 0.6)	(1.5, 0.6)	
H-15	7.35 t	7.32 t	7.32 t
	(1.5)	(1.5)	(2)
H-16	7.24 br s	7.24 br s	7.22 m
Me-17	0.87 d	0.85 d	0.87 d
	(6.6)	(6)	(6)
H-19	3.93 dd	3.97 dd	3.87 dd
pro-S	(8.1, 1.8)	(8.2, 1.8)	(8, 2)
H-19	4.34 d	4.29 d	4.30 d
pro-R	(8.1)	(8.2)	(8)
Me-20	0.59 s	0.54 s	0.60 s
H-1'	4.46 d	4.63 d	
	(7.5)	(7.9)	
H-2'	3.24 dd	4.94 dd	
	(9, 7.5)	(9.5, 7.9)	
H-3'	3.43 t	5.15 t	
	(9)	(9.5)	
H-4'	3.40 t	5.01 t	
'	(9)	(9.5)	
H-5'	3.31 m	3.68 ddd	
•		(9.5, 4.9, 2.4)	
H-6'	3.65 dd	4.20 <i>dd</i>	
	(11.7, 4.5)	(12.3, 4.9)	
H-6"	3.80 dd	4.09 <i>dd</i>	
0	(11.7, 3)	(12.3, 2.4)	

^{*}Run in CDCl₃/CD₃OD solution.

EXPERIMENTAL

Mps: uncorr; MS: 70 eV, direct inlet; ¹H NMR: 80 or 300 MHz, TMS as int. standard; ¹³C NMR: 75 MHz, CDCl₃ was taken as reference at 77 ppm. Plant material was collected at 53 km SE from Oaxaca City (Oaxaca, México) and a voucher specimen (MEXU 598853) is deposited at the Herbarium of the Instituto de Biología, UNAM.

Isolation of the constituents of S. amarissima. Dried and finely powdered aerial parts of S. amarissima (458 g) were extracted with Me₂CO and MeOH at room temp. to obtain, after solvent evapn, 52 and 29 g extract, respectively. Partition of the Me₂CO extract between MeOH-hexane gave 39.4 and 12.1 g residue, respectively. The same treatment applied to the MeOH extract afforded 21.2 and 7.1 g residue. Both hexane frs were combined (19.2 g), decolourized with activated

[†]AcO signals at δ 2.06, 1.99, 1.97 and 1.95.

[‡]Run at 80 MHz.

Table 2. ¹³C NMR spectral data for compounds 1 and 2 (75 MHz, CDCl₃)

C	1*	2
1	26.4 t	26.0 t
2	69.9 d	69.2 d
3	129.6 d	128.6 d
4	144.0 s	145.3 s
5	45.7 s	46.0 s
6	33.9 t	34.3 t
7	27.7 t	27.7 t
8	36.4 d	36.7 d
9	38.0 s	38.0 s
10	39.8 d	39.8 d
11	37.9 t	37.8 t
12	17.2 t	17.2 t
13	125.4 s	125.3 s
14	110.8 d	111.0 d
15	142.6 d	142.6 d
16	138.4 d	138.6 d
17	15.3 q	15.5 q
18	169.5 s	168.8 s
19	71.1 t	71.0 t
20	17.4 q	17.7 q
1'	101.6 d	98.7 d
2'	73.9 d	71.6 d
3'	76.6 <i>d</i>	72.8 d
4'	70.6 d	68.5 d
5'	75.8 d	72.1 d
6′	62.2 t	61.9 t

*Run in CDCl₃/CD₃OD solution.
Multiplicities were obtained by DEPT experiments.

charcoal and chromatographed over silica gel. Mixts of hexane–EtOAc of increasing polarity were used as eluents to obtain 1.87 g a mixt. of ursolic and oleanolic acids. The methanolic fr. of the Me₂CO extract was chromatographed over celite (hexane–EtOAc, gradient elution). Frs eluted with EtOAc contained 1 and pedalitin. These frs were chromatographed over silica gel (Me₂CO–hexane, 4:1) to obtain pedalitin (154.5 mg), which was identified by comparison of its physical and spectral data as well as those reported in the lit. [3–5]. Frs eluted with EtOAc–Me₂CO (9:1 and 4:1) contained 1. These frs were decolourized with activated charcoal and crystallized from MeOH–H₂O to obtain hydrated 1 (13.88 g) mp 120–132°. Anhydr-

1 R= β-D-Glucopyranosyl
2 R= Tetraacetyl β-D-Glucopyranosyl
3 R= H

ous 1, mp 206–208°, $[\alpha]_{\rm D}$ –18.48° (MeOH; c 0.178); UV (MeOH), $\lambda_{\rm max}$ nm (ϵ): 208 (17,500); IR (nujol) $\nu_{\rm max}$ cm $^{-1}$: 3386, 1782, 1766, 1666, 1503, 1457, 1377, 1201, 1076, 1032, 969, 944, 874; 1 H NMR: Table 1; 13 C NMR: Table 2; EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 492 [M] $^{+}$ (10), 474 [M – ${\rm H_2O}]^+$ (1), 443 [474 – C ${\rm H_2OH}]^+$ (2), 425 [443 – ${\rm H_2O}]^+$ (1), 398 [M – ${\rm C_6H_6O}]^+$ (29), 380 [398 – ${\rm H_2O}]^+$ (7), 368 [398 – C ${\rm H_2O}]^+$ (5), 313 [M – ${\rm C_6H_{11}O_6}]^+$ (14), 283 [313-C ${\rm H_2O}]^+$ (7), 218 [C $_{14}{\rm H_{18}O_2}]^-$ (25), 203 [218-Me] $^+$ (15), 95 [C $_{6}{\rm H_7O}]^+$ (100), 81 [C $_{5}{\rm H_5O}]^+$ (55), 43 (10), 41 (11).

Acetylation of 1. A soln of 1 (225.7 mg) in pyridine (1.5 ml) and Ac_2O (1.5 ml) was left to stand overnight at room temp. and worked-up as usual to give 299.5 mg of 2 as a syrup: IR (CHCl₃) $\nu_{\rm max}$ cm⁻¹: 1759, 1502, 1452, 1375, 1049, 970, 908, 874; ¹H NMR: Table 1; ¹³C NMR: Table 2; FABMS m/z (rel. Int.): 661 $[C_{34}H_{44}O_{13} + H]^+$ (27), 601 $[M + H - HOAc]^+$ (2), 331 $[M + H - C_{14}H_{18}O_9]^+$ (48), 313 $[331 - H_2O]^-$ (67), 283 $[313\text{-CH}_2O]^+$ (5), 218 $[C_{14}H_{18}O_2]^+$ (12), 203 $[218 - Me]^-$ (33), 169 $[C_8H_9O_4]^+$ (96), 109 $[C_6H_5O_2]^+$ (47), 95 $[C_6H_7O]^+$ (23), 81 $[C_5H_5O]^+$ (69), 43 $[Ac]^+$ (100).

Preparation of 3. Fusarium moniliforme was inoculated into Czapek medium (20 ml) and incubated at $27\pm1^{\circ}$ for 24 hr with continuous shaking. The culture was then transferred to Czapek medium (250 ml) without saccharose. Compound 1 (200 mg), 5 mg 1 biotin and 100 mg l 1 thiamin were added. The culture was incubated at 27±1° for 14 days in a shaker bath (110 oscillations min⁻¹). The resulting suspension was extracted with CHCl₃, the solvent was removed under red. pres. and chromatographed over silica gel (hexane-EtOAc, 3:7) to yield 3 (76 mg). Mp $162-163^{\circ}$ $(Me_2CO-hexane); [\alpha]_D = -13.2^{\circ} (CHCl_3; c 0.34); IR$ $(CHCl_3)$ ν_{max} cm⁻¹: 3612, 1771, 1601, 1502, 1471, 1186, 1141, 1023, 972, 935, 873; ¹H NMR: Table 1; MS m/z (rel. int.): 330 $[C_{20}H_{26}O_4]^+$ (9), 312 [M- H_2O] (8.5), 217 $[C_{14}H_{17}O_2]^+$ (5), 187 [217 – $[CH_2O]^+$ (6), 173 $[217 - CO_2]^+$ (15), 95 $[C_6H_7O]^+$ (100), 81 $[C_5H_5O]^+$ (46).

Acknowledgements—We are very grateful to Dr Javier Taboada for the culture of *F. moniliforme* and to Messrs Rubén Gaviño, Luis Velasco, Javier Pérez and Rocio Patiño for technical assistance. We also thank M. Sc. Oswald Téllez (Botany Department, Instituto de Biología, UNAM) for identification of the plant material.

REFERENCES

- Maldonado, E., Cárdenas, J., Salazar, B., Toscano, R. A., Ortega, A., Jankowski, C. K., Aumelas, A. and Van Calsteren, M. R. (1992) *Phytochemistry* 31, 217.
- Ortega, A., Cárdenas, J., Gage, D. A. and Maldonado, E. (1995) Phytochemistry 39, 931.
- 3. Morita, N. (1960) Chem. Pharm. Bull. Jpn 8, 59.

- Kupchan, S. M., Sigel, C. W., Hemingway, R. J., Knox, J. R. and Udayamurthy, M. S. (1969) *Tetra-hedron* 25, 1603.
- 5. Ferraro, G. E., Martino, V. S. and Coussio, J. D. (1977) *Phytochemistry* 16, 1618.
- 6. van den Berg, A. J. J., Horsten, S. F. A. J., Kettenesvan den Bosch, J. J., Kroes, B. H. and Labadie, R. P. (1995) *Phytochemistry* **40**, 597.
- Gambaro, V., Chamy, M. C., Garbarino, J. A., San-Martín, A. and Castillo, M. (1986) *Phytochemistry* 25, 2175.
- 8. Cárdenas, J., Esquivel, B., Rodríguez-Hahn, L., Jankowski, K. and Van Calsteren, M. R. (1994) *Magn. Res. Chem.* 32, 321.
- 9. García Jiménez, F., Collera, O., Larios, G. and Taboada, J. (1979) Rev. Latinoam. Quím. 10, 181.