

S0031-9422(96)00073-8

NEOLIGNANS AND A SESQUITERPENE FROM *CARYODAPHNOSIS*TONKINENSIS

NGUYEN HOANG ANH, HELMUT RIPPERGER,* TRAN VAN SUNG and GÜNTER ADAM*†

Institute of Chemistry, National Centre for Scientific Research of Vietnam, Nghia Do, Tu Liem, Hanoi, Vietnam; *Institute of Plant Biochemistry, Weinberg 3, D-06120 Halle (Saale), Germany

(Received 28 September 1995)

Key Word Index—Caryodaphnosis tonkinensis; Lauraceae; bark; leaves; neolignans; benzo-furans; sesquiterpene.

Abstract—In addition to various eupomatenoids 2-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-5-(2-oxopropyl)-3-methylbenzo[b]furan and (2R,3R)-2,3-dihydro-2-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-3-methyl-5-[(E)-1-propenyl]benzo[b]furan, three hitherto unknown constituents have been isolated from Caryodaphnosis tonkinensis. Their structures were elucidated by spectral methods as erythro-1-(3,4-methylenedioxyphenyl)-2-{4-[(E)-1-propenyl]phenoxy}propan-1-ol, 2-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-3-methylbenzo[b]furan-5-carbaldehyde and 1,2,3,3a,4,5,6,7,8,8a-decahydro-7-(1-hydroxy-1-methylethyl)-1,4-dimethylazulene-3a,8a-diol.

INTRODUCTION

The eupomatenoids 3-6 and 13 as well as three polar eupomatenoids have been isolated from the bark of Caryodaphnosis tonkinensis (Lec.) A.-Shaw., an endemic tree of Vietnam [1, 2]. In further studies on this plant, we isolated eupomatenoid 1 [3] (yield 0.001% from leaves), the eupomatenoids 3-6 [1] (yields 0.16, 0.016, 0.041 and 0.32%, respectively, from leaves), 2-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-5-(2-oxopropyl)-3-methyl-benzo-[b] furan [4] (yield 0.001% from bark), (2R, 3R)-2.3dihydro - 2 - (4 - hydroxyphenyl) - 3 - methyl - 5 - [(E) - 1 propenyl]benzo[b]furan [5] (yield 0.009% from bark, 0.008% from leaves) and three unknown compounds (1-3), the structures of which have been elucidated as propenyl]phenoxy}propan-1-ol (1) (yield 0.004% from bark, 0.012% from leaves), 2-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-3methylbenzo[b]furan - 5 - carbaldehyde **(2)** 0.007% from bark) and 1,2,3,3a,4,5,6,7,8,8a-decahydro-7 - (1 - hydroxy - 1 - methylethyl) - 1,4 - dimethylazulene -3a,8a-diol (3) (yield 0.002% from bark).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The elemental composition of compound 1 was $C_{19}H_{20}O_4$ based on high-resolution mass spectrometry. Diagnostic peaks were found at m/z 151 $[C_8H_7O_3]^+$ and 161 $[C_{11}H_{13}O]^+$ due to cleavage of the molecule into two parts as a result of fission of the 1,2-bond

†Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

(α -splitting of alcohols and ethers). The details of the structure, especially the substitution pattern of the aromatic rings and the *trans*-configuration of the propenyl side chain, followed from the ¹H NMR spectrum. The coupling constant $J_{1,2} = 4.6$ Hz (in acetone- d_6) indicated the *erythro*-configuration, when compared with similar compounds [5].

High-resolution mass spectrometry indicated the elemental composition of compound 2 to be $C_{16}H_{12}O_3$. Comparison of its NMR spectra with those of eupomatenoids [1] proved the benzo[b]furan structure and the positions of the substituents.

The elemental composition of 3 was shown by mass spectrometry to be C₁₅H₂₈O₃ (high-resolution mass spectrometry of the $[M-H_2O]^+$ peak). The DQF-COSY spectrum showed two ¹H-spin systems: 1-Me, H-1, H-2, H-2', H-3 and H-3', and 4-Me, H-4, H-5, H-5', H-6, H-6', H-7, H-8 and H-8'. Geminal protons were detected and the corresponding 13C-signals were assigned using the HMQC spectrum. The HMBC spectrum indicated couplings between C-8a and the protons of the 1-Me group, between C-3a and the protons of the 4-Me group and also between C-7 and the protons of the 1-hydroxy-1-methylethyl group. Structure 3 is in accordance with these data and takes into account the tertiary nature of all three hydroxyl groups which followed from the 'H NMR spectrum. The relative and absolute configuration of 3 were not determined. A stereoisomer with the constitution of 3 has been synthesized previously [6]. Comparison of the methyl signals in the 'H NMR spectrum displayed that both compounds were not identical.

EXPERIMENTAL

Plant material. Bark and leaves of Caryodaphnosis tonkinensis were collected in the National Park Cuc Phuong, Province of Ninh Binh, Vietnam, in January 1993. The species was identified by Dr Tran Dinh Dai, Hanoi. A voucher specimen is deposited in the Herbarium of the Institute of Ecology and Natural Resources of the National Centre for Scientific Research, Hanoi.

Extraction. The dried bark or leaves (at 40°) were extracted with petrol followed by MeOH at room temp. Evapn of the petrol and MeOH in vacuo gave two residues, respectively, the latter of which was partioned between H₂O and CHCl₃-EtOH (2:1). The organic phase was evapd in vacuo.

erythro-1-(3,4-*Methylenedioxyphenyl*)-2-{4-[(E)-1-*propenyl*] *phenoxy*} *propan*-1-*ol* (1). Chromatography of the petrol residue from bark or leaves over silica gel with CHCl₃-*n*-hexane (3:2) followed by prep. TLC (silica gel) with the same solvent furnished 1 as an oil, yield 0.004% from bark, 0.012% from leaves. [α]_D²⁰ -36.1° (CHCl₃; c 0.66). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.18 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, H-3), 1.85 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, H-3"), 2.44 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, OH), 4.50 (dq, J = 3.9 and 6.3 Hz, H-2), 4.92 (dd, J = 3.0 and 3.0 Hz, H-1), 5.95 (s,

OCH₂O), 6.10 (dq, J = 15.7 and 6.5 Hz, H-2"), 6.34 (dq, J = 15.9 and 1.5 Hz, H-1"), 6.79 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, H-5'), 6.85 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, H-2", H-6"), ca 6.85 (m, H-6'), 6.95 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, H-2'), 7.25 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, H-3", H-5"); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 13.2 (C-3), 18.5 (C-3"), 75.0 (C-1), 78.1 (C-2), 101.0 (OCH₂O), 107.0 (C-2'), 108.1 (C-5'), 116.3 (C-2", C-6"), 119.8 (C-6'), 124.0 (C-2"'), 127.0 (C-3", C-5"), 130.2 (C-1"'), 131.5 (C-1'), 134.1 (C-4"), 147.0 (C-4'), 147.6 (C-3'), 156.3 (C-1"); EI-MS (70 eV) m/z (rel. int.): 312.1392 [M]⁺ (C₁₉H₂₀O₄, calcd 312.1362) (20), 161.0983 (C₁₁H₁₃O, calcd 161.0966) (24), 151.0402 (C₈H₇O₃, calcd 151.0395) (100).

2 - (4 - Hydroxyphenyl) - 3 - methylbenzo[b] furan - 5 carbaldehyde (2). Chromatography of the CHCl₃-EtOH extract from bark over silica gel with CHCl, followed by prep. TLC (silica gel) with the same solvent gave 2; amorphous; yield 0.007%. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃-some drops of MeOH): δ 2.47 (s, 3-Me), 6.96 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, H-3', H-5'), 7.56 (d, J =8.4 Hz, H-7, 7.68 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, H-2', H-6'), 7.82 (dd, J = 8.7 Hz, H-2', H-6')J = 8.4 and 1.7 Hz, H-6), 8.06 (d, J = 1.4 Hz, H-4), 10.1 (s, CHO); 13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 9.3 (3-Me), 110.1 (C-3), 111.4 (C-7), 115.8 (C-3', C-5'), 121.9 (C-4), 123.5 (C-1'), 126.1 (C-6), 128.6 (C-2', C-6'), 131.8 (C-3a), 132.1 (C-5), 152.8 (C-7a), 155.9 (C-4'), 157.2 (C-2), 192.0 (CHO); EI-MS (70 eV) m/z(rel. int.): $252.0782 \, [M]^+ \, (C_{16}H_{12}O_3, \text{ calcd } 252.0786)$ (100), $223.0821 \quad [M - CHO]^+ \quad (C_{15}H_{11}O_2, \text{ calcd})$ 223.0759) (23).

1,2,3,3a,4,5,6,7,8,8a - Decahydro - 7 - (1 - hydroxy - 1 methylethyl)-1,4-dimethylazulene-3a,8a-diol (3). Chromatography of the petrol residue from bark over silica gel with CHCl₃-n-hexane (4:1) provided 3; amorphous; yield 0.002%. $[\alpha]_D^{20} + 3.6^{\circ} (CHCl_3; c 0.10)$. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃, in part derived from DQF-COSY): δ 0.92 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 1-Me), 1.00 (H-6), 1.07 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 4-Me), 1.08 (H-3), 1.17, 1.20 (both s,Me₂COH), 1.50 (H-5), 1.54 (H-7), 1.64 (H-3'), 1.66 (H-2, H-8), 1.69 (H-6'), 1.72 (H-5'), 1.98 (H-2'), 2.14 (H-1), 2.32 (H-8'), 2.37 (H-4'); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃); δ 16.2 (4-Me), 17.0 (1-Me), 24.9 (C-6), 26.7, 27.6 (Me₂COH), 27.2 (C-3), 29.3 (C-8), 29.4 (C-2), 31.3 (C-5), 32.3 (C-4), 40.4 (C-1), 47.2 (C-7), 72.6 (C-8a), 73.3 (Me₂COH), 73.7 (C-3a); EI-MS (70 eV) m/z (rel. int.): 256 [M]⁺ (1), 238.1972 [M – H₂O]⁺ $(C_{15}H_{26}O_2, \text{ calcd } 238.1933)$ (1), 220.1825 [M- $2H_2O_1^+$ ($C_{15}H_{24}O_1$, calcd 220.1827 (9), 205.1595 $[220 - \text{Me}]^+$ (C₁₄H₂₁O, calcd 205.1592) (25), 59 $[Me_{2}COH]^{+}$ (100).

Acknowledgements—We thank the Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst and the Bundesministerium für Bildung, Wissenschaft, Forschung und Technologie, Bonn, for financial support, Dr A. Porzel for NMR spectra, Dr J. Schmidt and Mrs I. Horn for mass spectral measurements as well as Dr Tran Dinh Dai, Hanoi, for the identification of the plant material.

REFERENCES

- Himmelreich, U., Ripperger, H., Adam, G., Nguyen Hoang Anh and Tran Van Sung (1995) Magn. Reson. Chem. 33, 280.
- Ripperger, H., Nguyen Hoang Anh, Himmelreich, U., Tran Van Sung and Adam, G. (1995) Phytochemistry 39, 213.
- Enriquez, R. G., Chavez, M. A. and Reynolds, W. F. (1984) J. Nat. Prod. 47, 896.
- Achenbach, H., Groß, J., Bauereiß, P., Dominguez, X. A., Sanchez Vega, H., Verde Star, J. and Rombold, C. (1989) *Phytochemistry* 28, 1959.
- Achenbach, H., Groß, J. Dominguez, X. A., Cano, G., Verde Star, J., del Carmen Brussolo, L., Muñoz, G., Salgado, F. and López, L. (1987) *Phytochemistry* 26, 1159.
- Winter, R. E. K., Zehr, R. J., Honey, M. and Van Arsdale, W. (1981) J. Org. Chem. 46, 4309.