

## S0031-9422(96)00049-0

# TWO ISOMERIC BENZODIPYRANONE DERIVATIVES FROM CALOPHYLLUM INOPHYLLUM\*

Nizam Ud-Din Khan, Nazneen Parveen, Mahendra P. Singh, Rajinder Singh, Basudeb Achari,† Partha P. G. Dastidar† and Pradeep K. Dutta†

Department of Chemistry, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh-202002, India; †Indian Institute of Chemical Biology, 4-Raja S.C. Mullick Road, Calcutta-700 032, India

(Received in revised form 11 December 1995)

**Key Word Index**—*Calophyllum inophyllum*; Guttifereae; leaves; (2S,3R)-2,3-dihydro-5-hydroxy-2,3,8,8-tetramethyl-6-(1-phenylethenyl)-4H,8H-benzo [1,2-b:3,4-b'] dipyran-4-one, (2R,3R)-2,3-dihydro-5-hydroxy-2,3,8,8-tetramethyl-6-(1-phenylethenyl)-4H,8H-benzo [1,2-b:3,4-b'] dipyran-4-one; <sup>13</sup>C NMR; CD; absolute configuration.

**Abstract**—The new compound (2S,3R)-2,3-dihydro-5-hydroxy-2,3,8,8-tetramethyl-6-(1-phenylethenyl)-4H,8H-benzo [1,2-b:3,4-b'] dipyran-4-one along with (2R,3R)-2,3-dihydro-5-hydroxy-2,3,8,8-tetramethyl-6-(1-phenylethenyl)-4H,8H-benzo [1,2-b:3,4-b'] dipyran-4-one were isolated from the leaves of *Calophyllum inophyllum* and identified from their spectral and chemical data.

### INTRODUCTION

The genus *Calophyllum* consist of 130 species of trees of which seven are found in India. *Calophyllum inophyllum*, commonly found in the coastal regions of South India, is used as a crude drug for the cure of rheumatism and skin affections [1]. Xanthones isolated from this species showed antibacterial activity [2] and 4-phenyl coumarins obtained from its leaves showed piscicidal properties [3]. Several triterpenes [4], 4-phenyl coumarins [3–8] xanthones [9–11], flavonoid glycosides [12, 13], neoflavonoids [14] and pyranoamentoflavone [14] have also been isolated from the plant. We now report the isolation, characterization and stereochemistry of the new benzo  $\gamma$ -pyrone derivative (1a) and its isomer (1c) from the leaves of *C. inophyllum*.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A petrol extract of *C. inophyllum* on column chromatography followed by re-crystallization from CHCl<sub>3</sub>–EtOH afforded the new compound (1a), which analysed for  $C_{24}H_{24}O_4$  ([M]<sup>+</sup> m/z 376). The IR spectrum showed a strong band due to a chelated aromatic carbonyl group (1625 cm<sup>-1</sup>), along with peaks at 785 and 700 cm<sup>-1</sup>, suggesting the presence of a monosub-

stituted benzene ring [15]. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (Table 1) showed a one-proton exchangeable signal at  $\delta$  12.49 characteristic of a chelated OH group and a five proton multiplet centred at  $\delta$  7.32 ascribed to the phenyl group. Other signals in the spectrum showed the presence of the following functionalities through decoupling experiments: (i) a dimethyl benzo- $\gamma$ -pyrone [Ar-O-CH(Me)-CH(Me)-CO-Ar] unit, with one of the methine protons ( $J_{2\text{H.3H}} = 3 \text{ Hz}$ ) equatorially-oriented, (ii) a 2,2-dimethyl benzo [b] pyran unit and (iii) a >C=CH<sub>2</sub> grouping.

The above data could be fitted with either structure (1a) or (2). In order to discriminate between the two structures, the compound was acetylated (Ac,O/ pyridine/DMAP/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>) [16]. Since chromones exert a significant shielding influence on peri-protons [17, 18], the concerned proton of the pyrone ring should have been shielded in the acetate if the structure were 2. Actually, the pyran protons were marginally (0.03-0.05 ppm) deshielded, while the methylene protons of >C=CH, appeared shielded by 0.13 ppm and 0.07 ppm, respectively, for the lowest field and higher signals. Structures 1a and 1b are thus preferred for the compound and its acetate, respectively.

In the mass spectrum, the [M]<sup>+</sup> appeared at m/z 376, the base peak being at m/z 361 for the loss of a methyl group. The other prominent peak was at m/z 305 which originated from the [M – Me]<sup>+</sup> peak by loss of 56 mu. (Calc. m\* 257.6, observed around 258), conceivably via retro-Diels-Alder cleavage of the dimethyl benzopyrone ring with expulsion of the  $C_4H_8$  unit. Thus, compound 1a was characterized as (2S.3R)-2,3-

<sup>\*</sup>This work was reported at the International Symposium on Global challenges in Drug Development at Central Drug Research Institute, Lucknow, India, 16–18 December 1994.

dihydro - 5 - hydroxy - 2,3,8,8 - tetramethyl - 6 - (1 - phenylethenyl) - 4H,8H-benzo [1,2-b:3,4-b'] dipyran-4-one.

Compound 1c was recrystallized from  $CHCl_3$ -EtOH. The molecular formula  $C_{24}H_{24}O_4$ , was assigned to it from the mass spectrum ([M]  $^+$  m/z 376), which was very similar to that of 1a, showing their isomeric nature. Most of the  $^+$ H NMR (Table 1) signals, including acetylation shifts (shielding of >C=CH $_2$ protons in 1d by 0.14 and 0.07 ppm) were also identical. However, the resonance position of 2-H of the pyrone ring ( $\delta$  4.25, J = 6.5 Hz) appeared shifted upfield by 0.38 ppm and the  $J_{2H.3H}$  value was also much higher. A decoupling experiment showed the presence of a dimethyl benzo- $\gamma$ -pyrone [Ar-O-CH(Me)-CH(Me)-CO-Ar] unit with the two methine protons trans-diaxially oriented, a 2,2-dimethyl benzo[b] pyran unit and a >C=CH $_2$  grouping.

The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of **1c** showed signals for all the 24 carbon atoms. The two methine signals at

Table 1. <sup>1</sup>H NMR data of compounds **1a** and **1c** (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)

	Chemical shifts $(\delta, ppm)$ for	
	1a	1c
8-Me	1.16(s)	1.12(s)
		1.16(s)
3-Me	1.22(d, J = 7  Hz)	1.22(d. J = 7  Hz)
2-Me	1.44( $d$ , $J = 7 \text{ Hz}$ )	1.54(d, J = 6.5  Hz)
3-H	2.60(dq, J = 7, 3  Hz)	2.60(dq, J = 11, 7  Hz)
2-H	4.63(dq, J = 7, 3  Hz)	4.25(dq, J = 11, 6.5  Hz)
9-H	5.46(d, J = 10  Hz)	5.45(d, J = 10  Hz)
10-H	6.58(d, J = 10  Hz)	6.57(d, J = 10  Hz)
=CH,	5.30(d, J = 2  Hz)	5.29(d, J = 2  Hz)
-	5.88(d, J = 2  Hz)	5.85(d, J = 2  Hz)
-Ph	7.32(m)	7.30(m)
-OH	12.49(s)	12.56(s)

 $\delta$  115.5 and 126.1, together with methyl signals at  $\delta$  27.6 and 27.9, and also the signals at  $\delta$  77.6 (O–C $\leq$ ), agreed well with the presence of a 2,2-dimethyl benzo[b]pyran ring system [19]. The six singlets in the downfield region at  $\delta$  161.0, 159.1, 155.7, 110.9, 101.5 and 101.2, in conjunction with the carbonyl signals at  $\delta$  198.3 suggested the presence of a 5-hydroxy-7-oxy-6,8-disubstituted-benzo-γ-pyrone moiety [20]. Signals at  $\delta$  78.8 (O–CH), 45.6 (CO–CH), 19.5 (CH<sub>3</sub>–CH–O–) and 10.0 (CH<sub>3</sub>–CH–CO) were in agreement with the presence of 2,3-dimethyl substitution in the pyrone ring. The remaining peaks at  $\delta$  139.5(s) and 117.4 (t, >C=CH<sub>2</sub>) along with those at  $\delta$  126.1, 127.8 (each accounting for two methine carbons), 126.8 (CH) and

141.6 ( $\stackrel{|}{-C}$ ) confirmed the presence of the Ph-C=CH $_2$ 

unit. These data confirm the structure of compound 1c which was found to be the isomer of 1a. Since the completion of our work, the structure of 1c isolated from *C. tomentosum* has been reported [21]. Our data are in good agreement with those published by Babu *et al.* [21], except that the previously assigned chemical shifts for 3-H and 2-H (and those of the substituent methyl groups) need to be reversed. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR of 1c was not given earlier. Also the absolute configuration was not determined earlier.

The application of chiroptical methods for the assignment of absolute configuration of such dimethyl benzo- $\gamma$ -pyrones does not appear to have been well documented. However, CD has been used in the case of related flavanones or even 3-substituted flavanones. Opposite Cotton effects have been observed for the  $n-\pi^*$  transition around 320 nm and  $\pi-\pi^*$  transition around 290 nm of the arylcarbonyl chromophore, the relative signs depending on the chirality of the conjugated chromophore [22, 23]. Thus, both the flavanones 3a (2R) and 3-substituted flavanones 3b (2S,3S) with 'equivalent C-2 configuration' showed a negative maxi-

mum around 330 nm and a positive one around 280–290 nm. We therefore applied this method to **1a** and **1c**. The CD curve of the compounds showed a positive Cotton effect at around 315 nm and a negative one at around 292 nm [CD(MeOH): **1a**:  $292^{-3.61}$ ,  $315^{+1.57}$ ; **1c**:  $292^{-3.39}$ ,  $315^{+0.64}$ ]. Therefore, the absolute configuration of (**1c**) should be 2R, 3R. As **1c** differ from **1a** only in the configuration of C-2, its absolute configuration has been fixed as 2S, 3R.

### **EXPERIMENTAL**

All mps are uncorr. UV and IR spectra were recorded in MeOH and KBr pellets, respectively. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR were recorded at 100 MHz for <sup>1</sup>H and 25 MHz for <sup>13</sup>C. Silica gel (60–120 mesh) was used for CC and Merck Kieselgel G for TLC.

Plant material. Leaves of C. inophyllum L. were procured from the National Botanical Garden, Calcutta, India. A voucher specimen is deposited at the herbarium of the Department of Botany (voucher No. 37719), A.M.U. Aligarh-202002.

Extraction and isolation. Air-dried and powdered leaves (1.7 kg) were extracted ×2 with EtOH. The EtOH extract was evapd under red. pres. The dark green gummy mass (80 g) so obtained was treated with petrol (60-80°), CHCl<sub>3</sub> and MeOH. The petrol extract was concd under vacuum. The crude product (25 g) was adsorbed on silica gel. Elution of the column with petrol-benzene 4:1) afforded (1a) which was further recrystallized from CHCl3-EtOH as needle-shaped crystals (35 mg), mp 167°.  $R_f$  0.35 (silica gel, petrol- $Me_2CO$  93:7).  $[\alpha]_D$  +29.5° (c 0.52, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). UV  $\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{MeOH}}$  nm (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 232 sh (4.32), 265 (4.56), 273 (4.56), 299 (3.99), 310 (3.95), 365 (3.49). IR  $\nu_{\text{max}}^{\text{KBr}}$ cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3400, 2950, 1645, 1625, 1595, 1460, 1445, 1418, 1392, 1350, 1315, 1292, 1262, 1245, 1195, 1130, 1120, 1028, 980, 915, 830, 785, 750, 700. MS m/z (rel. int.): 376 ( $[M]^+$ , 45,  $C_{24}H_{24}O_4$ ), 361  $[M-Me]^+$ (100), 305  $[M - Me - C_4H_8]^+$  (89), 277  $[M^+ - Me C_4H_8 - CO]$  (8). <sup>1</sup>H NMR in Table 1.

Further elution of the column with petrol-benzene (4:1) and recrystallization from CHCl<sub>3</sub>–EtOH gave crystals of **1c** (40 mg), mp 132°c.  $R_f$  0.43 (silica gel, petrol–Me<sub>2</sub>CO, 93:7). [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> +56.1° (c 1.39, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). UV  $\lambda_{\rm max}^{\rm MeOH}$  nm (log  $\varepsilon$ ): 231 (4.41), 265 (4.60), 273 (4.60), 299 (4.04), 309 (3.97), 364 (3.50). IR  $\nu_{\rm max}^{\rm KBr}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3400, 2900, 1645, 1625, 1595, 1460, 1445, 1415, 1385, 1360, 1285, 1245, 1190, 1165, 1145, 1130, 1050, 980, 920, 785, 700. MS m/z (rel. int.): 376 [M]  $^+$ , 361 (100), 305 (89), 277 (8), 161 (6), 58 (12).  $^1$ H NMR in Table 1.  $^{13}$ C NMR in text.

Acknowledgement—One of us (N.P.) is highly grateful to CSIR, New Delhi, India, for financial assistance.

#### REFERENCES

- Nadkarni, K. M. (1954) Indian Materia Medica 1, 236.
- Sundram, B. M., Gopalkrishan, G. and Subramaniam, S. (1986) Arogya, Manipal, India 12, 48.
- Kawazu, K., Ohigashi, H., Takahashi, N. and Mitsui, T. (1972) Bulletin of Institute of Chemical Research, Kyoto Univ. 50, 160.
- Govindachari, T. R., Viswanathan, N., Pai, B. R., Rao, U. R. and Srinivasan, M. (1967) *Tetrahedron* 23, 1901.
- Bhushan, B., Rangaswamy, S. and Seshadri, T. R. (1975) *Indian J. Chem.* 13, 746.
- Cave, A., Debray, M., Henry, G., Kunesch, G. and Polonsky, J. (1972) Comptes Rendus De L'Academic Des Sciences, Serie C, 275, 1105.
- Adinarayan, D. and Seshadri, T. R. (1965) Bull. Nat. Inst. Sci., India 31, 91.
- Gantier, A., Cave, A., Kunesch, G. and Polonsky, J. (1972) Experientia 28, 759.
- Govindachari, T. R., Pai, B. R., Muthukumaraswamy, N., Rao, U. R. and Rao, N. N. (1968) Indian J. Chem. 6, 57.
- Jackson, B., Locksley, H. D. and Scheinman, F. (1969) Phytochemistry 8, 927.
- Al-Jeboury, F. S. and Locksley, H. D. (1971) Phytochemistry 10, 603.
- Subramanian, S. S. and Nair, A. G. R. (1971) *Phytochemistry* 10, 1679.
- Subramanian, S. S. and Nair, A. G. R. (1965) Bull. Nat. Inst. Sci., India 31, 39.
- Goh, S. H., Jantan, I. and Waterman, P. G. (1992)
  J. Nat. Prod. 55, 1415.
- 15. Kawazu, K., Ohigashi, H. and Mitsui, T. (1968) Tetrahedron Letters, 2383.
- Hoefle, G., Steglich, W. and Vorbriieggen, H. (1978) Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 17, 569.
- Arnone, A., Cardillo, G., Merlini, L. and Mondelli,
  R. (1967) Tetrahedron Letters, 4201.
- 18. Guerriro, E., Kunesch, G. and Polonsky, J. (1971) *Phytochemistry* **10**, 2139.
- Nomura, T. and Fukai, T. (1979) Heterocycles 12, 1289.
- Agrawal, P. K. and Rastogy, R. P. (1981) Heterocycles 16, 2181.
- Babu, V., Arya, R., Ilyas, M. and Nasim, K. T. (1994) *Phytochemistry* 35, 507.
- 22. Gaffield, W. (1970) Tetrahedron 26, 4093.
- 23. Scopes, P. M. (1975) In Fortschritte der Chemie Organischer Naturstoffe (Herz, W., Grisebach, H. and Kirby, G. W., eds), Vol. 32, p. 167. Springer-Verlag, New York.