0031-9422(95)00918-3

NORDITERPENOID ALKALOIDS FROM ROOTS OF ACONITUM FINETIANUM

GONG WU, SHANHAO JIANG and DAYUAN ZHU

Department of Phytochemistry, Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica, Academia Sinica, Shanghai, 200031, P.R. China

(Received in revised form 4 October 1995)

Key Word Index—Aconitum finetianum; Ranunculaceae; roots; diterpenoid; alkaloid; NMR spectroscopy.

Abstract—One novel and two known diterpenoid alkaloids were isolated from the roots of *Aconitum finetianum*. The new one was isolated as a minor component and its structure determined from spectroscopic evidence.

INTRODUCTION

The roots of Aconitum finetianum Hand-Mazz are used in Chinese herbal medicine for the treatment of enteritis, poisonous snake-bites and fractures. Several C_{19} -diterpenoid alkaloids have already been reported [1–3]. In this paper, we describe the isolation and structural elucidation of a new diterpenoid alkaloid, finetiadine (3).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

An ethanolic extract of the dried roots of A. finetianum was purified by repeated column chromatography on silica gel to give a diterpenoid alkaloid (3), together with the known compounds, anthranoyllycoctonine (inuline) (1) and lycoctonine (2). Alkaloids 1 and 2 were identified by comparison of their properties with literature data [1, 4].

Compound 3, a minor component, was assigned the molecular formula C38H52O12N2. The El mass spectrum showed m/z 728 [M] and fragmentation peaks at m/z 713 [M – 15]⁺, 697 [M – 31]⁺. The IR spectrum suggested the presence of a hydroxyl group or an amino group (3450 cm⁻¹) and carbonyl groups (1735 and 1685 cm⁻¹). The ¹H NMR spectrum indicated an ethylamino δ 1.07 (3H, t, J = 7.0 Hz) and four methoxyl groups (δ 3.27, 3.33, 3.37 and 3.71, each 3H, s). Comparison of its 13 C NMR spectral data with those of 1 and 2 revealed that these three compounds have the same skeleton. The signal at δ 4.75 (1H, t, J = 4.8 Hz, 14-H) indicated acetylation of the C-14 hydroxyl group. A group of signals at δ 8.71 (1H, d, J = 8.4 Hz), 7.96 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.56 (1H, t, J = 8.4 Hz) and 7.13 (1H, t, J = 8.0 Hz) are indicative of the aromatic protons of an anthranoyl group [5]. In the downfield region, the spectrum also showed a signal at δ 11.11 (1H, s) attributable to a secondary amide proton.

In order to determine the positions of the four

1254 Short Reports

Table 1. NMR spectral data for compound 3

Atom	δ^{-13} C	δ 1 H	J (Hz)	HMBC (C to H)
1	83.67	3.05		1-OCH ₃
2	25.99	2.20		
3	32.25	H3a 1.55		
		Н3b 1.73		
4	37.51	_		H19, H5
5	49.95	1.75		H19, H17
6	90.61	3.90		H17, H5
7	88.25	_		H5, H15
8	77.14	_		H17, H10, H15
9	42.42	3.23		H15b, H13, H10
10	45.67	2.05		H1, H17, H12, H13, H5
11	48.92	_		H1, H17, H12b, H9, H5
12	28.07	H12a 1.90		Н9, Н16
		H12b 2.50		
13	38.17	2.40		H15b, H12b
14	75.86	4.75	t, 4.8	H12b, H13
15	33.67	H15a 1.55		H13
		H15b 2.65		
16	83.67	3.25		H15, H13, H12b
17	64.45	2.95		H1, Н19Ь, NC <u>H</u> 2CH3
18	69.50	4.15	13.6	H5, H19a
19	52.17	H19a 2.45		H5, NCH_2CH_3
		H19b 2.75		
-NCH,	51.02	2.80		
CH ₃	14.07	1.07	t, 7.2	$-NC\underline{H}_2CH_3$
1-OCH ₃	55.80	3.26		C1
6-OCH	58.04	3.37		C6
16-OCH,	56.26	3.33		C16
14-CO	171.91	_		H14, COCH ₃
CH,	21.52	2.06		
Ar-CO	167.95	_		H2', H5', H18
Ar-1'	141.66	-		H2', H5', H3'
2'	120.60	8.70	d, 8.0	H4'
3′	135.00	7.56	t, 8.0	H5′
4'	122.62	7.13	t, 8.0	H2'
5'	130.23	7.96	d, 8.0	H3', H4'
6'	114.42	_		H2', H4'
-NHCO	170.25			-NHCOCH ₂ CH ₂ CO-
CH,	28.86	2.74		
CH,	32.63	2.74		
CO	173.03			-NHCOCH ₂ C <u>H</u> ₂ CO-
OCH,	51.88	3.70		-CH ₂ COOCH ₃

methoxyl groups and other substituent groups on the skeleton of the norditerpenoid alkaloid, a series of ¹H-¹H COSY, HMQC and HMBC experiments were carried out. As shown in Table 1 and structure 3, long-range correlation peaks were detected between proton signals of each methoxyl group and the carbon which linked H-18, H-3', H-6' and a carbonyl signal, indicating that the anthranoyl group was located at the C-18 position. All ¹H and ¹³C NMR assignments were supported by extensive NMR spectral data.

EXPERIMENTAL

General. Mps: uncorr. NMR: 400 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS as int. standard. MS: 70 eV. CC: 300 mesh alumina and 200–300 mesh silica gel (Shanghai Fifth

Reagent Factory). TLC: silica gel GF₂₅₄ (Haiyang Chemical Industry Factory, Qingdao).

The herb was collected from Lu Shan, Jiangxi province, China. It was identified by Prof. Jiang Shanhao, Department of Phytochemistry, Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica, Academia Sinica. A voucher specimen is deposited in this department.

Extraction and isolation. Powdered roots (5 kg) were extracted ×3 with 95% EtOH at room temp. After removal of EtOH under red. pres., 150 g of a syrup remained. This was dissolved in 5% HCl. The acid soln, after extracting with CH₂Cl₂, was made alkaline with conc. NH₄OH (pH 10) and extracted with CHCl₃. From the combined CHCl₃ extract, 50 g crude alkaloid was obtained. This was subjected to CC on alumina eluting with a petrol–Me₂CO gradient. Frs were combined according to TLC (cyclohexane–Et₂NH, 4:1)

1255

composition and sepd on a silica gel column (200–300 mesh) with petrol-EtOAc or by prep. TLC (cyclohexane-Et₂NH, 4:1). This gave 1 (20 mg), 2 (35 mg) and 3 (5 mg).

Anthranoyllycoctonine (1). Needles (Me₂CO-petrol), mp 133–135°. [α]_D²² 56.86 (CHCl₃; c 0.2). MS m/z (rel. int.): 586 [M]⁺ (9), 555 [M – OMe]⁺ (100). UV $\lambda_{\rm max}$ nm: 219, 248, 340. IR $\nu_{\rm max}^{\rm KBr}$ cm ¹: 3530, 3509, 3420, 3315 (–OH, –NH–), 1690 (OCOR), 1625, 1590 (Ar). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.80 (1H, d, J = 8.4 Hz), 7.29 (1H, t, J = 8.0 Hz), 6.66 (2H, dd, J = 8.0, 8.4 Hz, Ar–H), 3.41, 3.37, 3.34, 3.26 (each 3H, s, –OMe), 1.07 (3H, t, J = 7.2 Hz, –NCH₂CH₃).

Lycoctonine (2). Needles (Me₂CO–petrol), mp 93–95°. [α]_D²² 51.52 (CHCl₃; c 0.4). MS m/z (rel. int.): 467 [M]⁺ (7), 436 [M – OMe]⁺ (100). UV λ_{max} nm: 219, 248, 340. IR $\nu_{\text{max}}^{\text{KBr}}$ cm⁻¹: 3500, 3415, 3315 (–OH, –NH–). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 3.45, 3.42, 3.34, 3.26 (each 3H, s, –OMe), 1.05 (3H, t, J = 7.2 Hz, –NCH₂CH₃).

Finetiadine (3). Amorphous powder (Me₂CO) mp 116–117°. [α]_D¹⁸ 31.63 (CHCl₃; c 0.1). HRMS calc. for

 $C_{37}H_{49}O_{11}N_2$ [M – OMe]⁺ m/z 697.3309, found m/z 697.3336; EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 728 [M]⁺ (6.86), 710 [M – H₂O]⁺ (12.95), 679 [M – OMe]⁺ (100). UV λ_{max} nm: 232, 251, 312. IR $\nu_{\text{max}}^{\text{KBr}}$ cm⁻¹: 3450 (–OH, –NH–), 1735, 1685 (–OCOR), 1606, 1590, 1525 (Ar). ¹H and ¹³C NMR: Table 1.

REFERENCES

- Chen, Y. Z. and Wu, A. G. (1990) Phytochemistry 29, 1016.
- Chen, B. R., Yang, Y. F., Tian, R. M., Zhang, C. P., Xiao, Y. Z. and Liu, M. X. (1981) *Acta Pharm. Sin.* 16, 70.
- Tian, R. M., Jiang, S. H., Zheng, P. J., Cheng, Y. M., Zhou, B. N., Wang, M., Chen, B. R. and Liu, P. (1987) Acta Chim. Sin. 45, 776.
- Jiang, S. H., Hong, S. H., Song, B. Z., Zhu, Y. L. and Zhou, B. N. (1988) Acta Chim. Sin. 46, 26.
- 5. Pelletier, S. W., Sawhney, R. S. and Aasen, A. J. (1979) *Heterocycles* 12, 377.