Pergamon

S0031-9422(96)00182-3

Phytochemistry, Vol. 42, No. 6, pp. 1677–1681, 1996

0031-9422/96 $15.00 + 0.00

STEROIDAL GLYCOSIDES FROM *TRIBULUS TERRESTRIS*

GONG WU, SHANHAO JIANG, FUXIANG JIANG, DAYUAN ZHU,* HOUMING WU† and SHAOKAI JIANG†

Department of Phytochemistry, Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica, Academia Sinica, Shanghai 200031, P. R. China; †State Key Laboratory of Bioorganic and Natural Products Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 200032, P. R. China

(*Received in revised form* 1 *February* 1996)

Key Word Index—*Tribulus terrestris*; Zygophyllaceae; steroidal saponins; 2D NMR spectroscopy.

Abstract—In addition to hecogenin 3-*O*-β-D-glucopyranosyl(1 → 4)-β-D-galactopyranoside, two new steroidal saponins were isolated from the aerial parts of *Tribulus terrestris* L. On the basis of chemical and spectroscopic evidence, especially 2D NMR spectroscopic techniques, the structures of the new saponins were established as 26-*O*-β-D-glucopyranosyl-3-*O*-[{β-D-xylopyranosyl(1 → 3)}{β-D-galactopyranosyl(1 → 2)}-β-D-glucopyranosyl (1 → 4)-β-D-glucopyranosyl]-5α-furost-20(22)-en-12-one-3β,26-diol and 26-*O*-β-D-glucopyranosyl-3-*O*-[{β-D-xylopyranosyl(1 → 3)}{β-D-galactopyranosyl(1 → 2)}-β-D-glucopyranosyl(1 → 4)-β-D-glucopyranosyl]-5α-furostan-12-one-3β,22,26-triol.

INTRODUCTION

The plant *Tribulus terrestris* L. (Zygophyllaceae) is used in Chinese folk medicine for impotency. Other properties, such as an antitumoral effect or an activity on the cardiovascular system have also been cited [1, 2]. In this paper we report the isolation and structural elucidation of one known and two new steroidal saponins. The proton and carbon assignments of the isolated compounds are based on different NMR techniques (DQF-COSY, HMQC, NOESY, TOCSY and 1D TOCSY) and chemical experiments.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

An ethanolic extract of the aerial parts of the plant, subjected to repeated chromatographic purifications, gave three steroidal glycosides **1–3**, which produced a yellow colour after spraying the TLC plate with H_2SO_4 followed by heating.

Saponin **3** exhibited molecular ion peaks at *m/z* 777 $[M + Na]^+$ and 754 $[M]^+$ in the FAB mass spectrum. The ^1H and ^{13}C NMR spectra indicated the presence of a terminal β-D-glucopyranosyl unit and an inner β-D-galactopyranosyl unit [3] [anomeric carbons: 102.43 and 107.10; anomeric protons: 4.86 (1H, *d*, $J = 7.6$ Hz) and 5.29 (1H, *d*, $J = 7.8$ Hz)]. From DQF-COSY, HMQC and HMBC, the chemical shift of protons and carbons were definitely assigned. The ^{13}C NMR spectrum showed C-20 and C-27 signals at δ 42.58 and 17.26, characteristic of a 25*R* configuration [4]. Long-range correlation peaks were detected between the signals of C-1 of glucose and H-4 of galactose, C-1 of galactose and H-3 of aglycone as well as C-12 and H-17, H-11 and H-18. By comparison of the ^{13}C NMR spectrum with those of reported steroidal sapogenins [5], the structure of **3** was established as hecogenin 3-*O*-β-D-glucopyranosyl(1 → 4)-galactopyranoside.

The FAB mass spectrum of **1** showed fragment peaks at *m/z* 1249 $[M + K]^+$, 1233 $[M + Na]^+$ and 1210 $[M^+]$. Its IR spectrum showed a broad band at 3400 cm^{-1} for hydroxyl groups and a strong band at 1705 cm^{-1} for a six-membered ring carbonyl. The ^1H NMR spectrum of **1** showed signals attributable to the C-18 and C-19 methyl groups at 0.90 and 0.63, the C-27 and C-21 methyls at 1.00 (3H, *d*, $J = 6.6$ Hz) and 1.72 (3H, *s*), indicating the absence of protons at C-20, and five anomeric proton signals at δ 5.45 (1H, *d*, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 5.15 (1H, *d*, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 5.04 (1H, *d*, $J = 7.2$ Hz), 4.87 (1H, *d*, $J = 7.2$ Hz) and 4.81 (1H, *d*, $J = 8.0$ Hz), representative of the β-configurations of the five sugars [6]. The ^{13}C NMR spectrum of **1** exhibited the signals of a 5α steroidal saponin [3] (C-5: 43.61; C-9: 55.21; C-19: 11.96), a carbonyl signal at δ 212.47 and two signals in the olefinic carbon region at δ 102.83 and 152.80, which could be assigned to C-12, C-20 and C-22, respectively. These assignments can be confirmed through long-range couplings in the HMBC spectrum.

The techniques of DQF-COSY, 2D total correlation spectroscopy (TOCSY and HOHAHA), HMQC, HMBC and NOESY appeared to be very useful for the assignment of the ^1H and ^{13}C NMR signals of carbohy-

*Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

drates. However, in some cases, especially where there are little differences between the chemical shifts of protons, it seemed to be efficient to apply a few selected 1D TOCSY experiments which can be performed normally in less than 1 hr. In general, we can obtain a complete ^{1}H NMR subspectrum with high digital resolution and a complete assignment of all ^{1}H signals of the selected moiety. Moreover, the linkage of the different moieties and hence the assignment of the obtained subspectra to the different carbohydrate rings can be very efficiently derived by HMBC, NOESY or a few selected 1D nuclear Overhauser effect (NOE) experiments [7]. Our strategy for the assignment of the ^{1}H signals of carbohydrates of **1** is demonstrated in Table 1, which was obtained from 1D TOCSY with increasing mixing time. A spin system was observed



1. R_1 = -β-D-Glc R_2 = -β-D-Glc^4-β-D-Glc^3-β-D-Xyl
|^2
β-D-Gal

Ps1. R_1 = -β-D-Glc R_2 = H



2. R_1 = -β-D-Glc R_2 = -β-D-Glc^4- β-D-Glc^3-β-D-Xyl
|^2
β-D-Gal



3. R_2 = -β-D-Gal^4-β-D-Glc

Table 1. ^{1}H NMR subspectrum of sugar unit from 1D TOCSY experiments (saponin **1**)

Selected ^{1}H signal (anomeric proton)	Result of 1D TOCSY	Mixing time (ms)
H11	H12, H13, H14(*s*)	30, 45, 70, 130
H21	H22, H23, H24, H25	30, 45, 70, 130
H31	H32, H33	30, 45, 70
H41	H42, H43, H44, H45	30, 45, 70, 130
H51	H52, H53, H54, H55	30, 45, 70, 130

for galactopyranose (sugar 1), because $J_{4,5} = 0.0$ Hz. The signals of protons of carbohydrates were assigned by DQF-COSY and HMQC. The connection of the sugar components was carried out by analysing the NOEs using NOESY measurements. All anomeric protons (except for sugar 5) apparently showed strong NOEs across the interglycosidic oxygen bridge to the hydrogen of the subsequent sugar unit or to H-3 of aglycone (Table 2). Unfortunately, sugar 5 had no cross-peaks, but in the HMBC spectrum, the carbon (C-26 of aglycone) at δ 74.78 is correlated with the proton (H-51 of sugar 5) at δ 4.81, and the carbonyl carbon (C-3 of aglycone) at δ 76.99 is correlated with the proton (H-41 of sugar 4) at δ 4.87. Extensive signals of the HMBC experiment are given in Table 2. Thus, the complete sequence of the sugar moieties was known. On the basis of the information of ^{1}H signals, the ^{13}C signals could be assigned by HMQC experiments (Table 3)

Acid hydrolysis of **1** yielded β-D-glucose, β-D-xylose, β-D-galactose (TLC) and prosapogenin Ps1. The FAB mass spectrum of Ps1 showed a peak due to $[M]^+$ at *m/z* 592. The ^{13}C NMR spectra showed that Ps1 has the same aglycone as **1**, but only one sugar unit, glucose (based on the coupling constant of the sugar). From the HMBC measurement, the anomeric proton of glucose (δ 4.81) was long-range coupled with C-26 (δ 78.31) of the aglycone. Based on the above data, the structures of Ps1 and **1** were established as 26-*O*-β-D-glucopyranosyl-5α-furosto-20(22)-en-12-one-3β,26-diol and 26-*O*-β-D-glucopyranosyl-3-*O*-[{β-D-xylopyranosyl(1 → 3)}{β-D-galactopyranosyl(1 → 2)}-β-D-glucopyranosyl(1 → 4)-β-D-glucopyranosyl]-5α-furost-20(22)-en-12-one-3β,26-diol.

FAB mass spectrometry of saponin **2** showed molecular ion peaks at *m/z* 1267 $[M + K]^+$, and 1251 $[M + Na]^+$. By comparison with **1**, the ^{1}H NMR spectrum of **2** exhibited a signal at δ 1.52 (3H, *d*, $J = 6.4$ Hz), whereas the signal at δ 1.72 (3H, *s*) corresponding to (H-21) was absent. The ^{13}C NMR spectrum showed signals at δ 41.44, and 110.5, whereas the signals at δ 102.83 and 152.80 (C-20, C-22) were not evident, indicating that the structure of **2** has a 22-hydroxyl-5α-furostanol moiety. DQF-COSY, HMQC, HMBC and 1D TOCSY experiments showed that the signals due to the sugar moieties were identical with those of **1**. Thus, the structure of **2** was established as 26-*O*-β-D-glucopyranosyl-3-*O*-[{β-D-xylopyranosyl(1 → 3)}{β-D-galactopyranosyl(1 → 2)}-β-D-glucopyranosyl(1 → 4)-β-D-glucopyranosyl]-5α-furostan-12-one-3β,22,26-triol.

Table 2. Assignment of anomeric protons by NMBC and NOESY experiments (saponin **1**)

Anomeric proton	NMBC	NOE
H11	C22 (sugar 2)	H22
H21	C44 (sugar 4)	H44
H31	C23 (sugar 2)	H23
H41	C3 (aglycone)	H3
H51	C26 (aglycone)	—

EXPERIMENTAL

General. Mps are uncorr. NMR spectra were measured at 400 and 600 MHz in pyridine-d_5 with TMS as int. standard. TLC: silica gel GF_{254}: CC: 200–300 mesh silica gel. MPLC: pre-packed column RP-18. MCI: gel CHP-20p.

Plant material. Tribulus terrestris L. (Zygophyllaceae) was collected in ShanDong province, China, and identified by Prof. S. H. Jiang, Department of Phytochemistry, Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica, Academia Sinica. A voucher specimen is deposited in the herbarium of this institute.

Extraction and isolation. Air-dried and powdered aerials parts of *T. terrestris* (5 kg) were extracted with hot H_2O. After removal of solvent by evapn, the residue was then extracted with CH_2Cl_2, EtOAc and *n*-BuOH, respectively. The *n*-BuOH layers were then concd to dryness giving a crude saponin fr. (350 g). Part of this extract (50 g) was subjected to CC on a silica gel, eluted with $CHCl_3$–MeOH–H_2O (90:10:1–10:10:1), and were combined by the results detected on the HPTLC plate. The mixts were subjected to CC on MCI (gel CHP20p) and MPLC (pre-packed column RP-18), eluted with MeOH–H_2O mixts, yielding **1**–**3**.

Saponin **1**. Powder from EtOH (50 mg), mp. 218–220°, $[\alpha]_D$ 3.82° (pyridine; *c* 0.3); IR ν_{max}^{KBr} cm^{-1}: 3400 (br), 1705 (CO); FAB MS *m/z* (rel. int): 1249 $[M + K]^+$ (33), 1233 $[M + Na]^+$ (42), 1210 $[M]^+$ (18); UV λ_{max} nm: 202, 240, 255.

Saponin **2**. Powder from EtOH (40 mg), mp. 211–213°; $[\alpha]_D$ 46.7° (pyridine; *c* 0.1); IR ν_{max}^{KBr} cm^{-1}: 3400 (br), 1705 (CO), FAB MS *m/z* (rel. int): 1267 $[M + K]^+$ (26), 1251 $[M + Na]^+$ (28); UV λ_{max} nm: 199, 240, 255.

Saponin **3**. Powder from EtOH (10 mg); mp. 262–264° (lit. [3] 268–270°); $[\alpha]_D$ 150.72° (pyridine; *c* 0.4); IR ν_{max}^{KBr} cm^{-1}: 3410 (br); FAB MS *m/z* (rel. int): 777 $[M + Na]^+$ (7), 754 $[M]^+$ (28); UV λ_{max} nm: 199, 255.

Partial hydrolysis of saponin **1**. Saponin **1** (50 mg) was heated on a boiling-water bath with 1 N HCl in 50% *n*-BuOH for 6 hr. After cooling, the reaction mixt. was neutralized with $NaHCO_3$ and extracted with *n*-BuOH. The *n*-BuOH frs were combined and concd to dryness. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel, eluted with $CHCl_3$–MeOH–H_2O (14:6:1), then subjected to MPLC (pre-packed column RP-18) to give Ps1.

Table 3. ^{1}H and ^{13}C NMR data for saponins

	1		2		3		PS1	
C	$^{13}C(\delta)$	$^{1}H(\delta)$	$^{13}C(\delta)$	$^{1}H(\delta)$	$^{13}C(\delta)$	$^{1}H(\delta)$	$^{13}C(\delta)$	$^{1}H(\delta)$
1	36.35	0.75	36.49	0.70	36.55	0.70	36.74	0.70
		1.30		1.21		1.25		1.36
2	29.38	1.55	29.62	1.40	29.69	1.45	31.21	1.55
		1.95		2.01				1.80
3	76.99	3.85	77.28	3.90	76.78	3.84	70.16	3.77
4	34.38	1.32	34.57	1.45	34.26	1.25	33.98	1.40
		1.80		1.65		1.76		1.75
5	43.61	0.85	44.22	0.82	44.31	0.80	44.75	0.90
6	28.25	1.15	28.46	1.10	28.53	1.10	28.49	1.19
7	31.50	0.77	31.55	0.71	31.73	1.35	31.71	0.85
		1.50		1.52				1.55
8	33.76	1.63	34.13	1.79	34.54	1.60	33.61	1.70
9	55.21	0.87	55.32	1.89	55.43	0.85	55.50	0.95
10	35.93	—	36.13	—	36.21	—	36.13	—
11	37.83	2.20	37.88	2.20	37.93	2.20	38.11	2.29
		2.30		2.35		2.35		2.39
12	212.47	—	213.06	—	210.8	—	213.19	—
13	57.22	—	55.70	—	55.30	—	62.63	—
14	53.84	1.12	55.48	1.25	55.48		56.10	1.16
15	33.45	1.55	31.56	1.51	31.64	1.50	31.87	1.60
		2.12		1.98		2.06		2.25
16	82.63	4.69	79.56	4.90	79.64	4.45	82.83	4.70
17	55.89	3.38	55.68	2.88	54.23	2.75	54.13	3.39
	d (J = 10.2 Hz)						*d* (J = 10.4 Hz)	
18	13.81	0.90 *s*	16.14	1.10 *s*	16.04	1.05 *s*	14.01	0.91 *s*
19	11.96	0.63 *s*	11.57	0.62 *s*	11.50	0.62 *s*	11.48	0.78 *s*
20	102.83	—	41.44	2.17	42.58	1.86	101.0	—
21	11.96	1.72 *s*	15.18	1.52	13.88	1.34	11.73	1.72 *s*
			d (J = 6.4 Hz)		*d* (J = 6.9 Hz)			
22	152.80	—	110.5	—	109.27	—	152.92	—
23	23.36	2.20	34.45	1.82	31.73	1.65	23.51	2.25
24	31.07	1.42	28.27	2.00	29.16	1.95	33.29	1.43
		1.79						1.80
25	33.15	1.90	24.13	1.90	29.95	1.55	33.61	1.90
26	74.78	3.60	74.90	3.57	66.89	3.45	78.31	3.58
		3.87		3.90		3.55		3.95
27	16.94	1.00	17.32	0.92	17.26	0.65	17.11	0.98
	d (J = 6.6 Hz)		*d* (J = 6.5 Hz)		*d* (J = 6.8 Hz)		*d* (J = 6.0 Hz)	
Glu (sugar 5, 26-O-)								
1	104.53	4.81	104.80	4.79	107.10	5.29	104.66	4.81
	d (J = 8.0 Hz)		*d* (J = 7.7 Hz)		*d* (J = 7.8 Hz)		*d* (J = 7.7 Hz)	
2	74.83	4.01	75.19	4.04	75.94	4.45	74.99	4.02
3	78.25	4.20	78.48	4.22	78.71	4.22	78.39	4.25
4	71.41	4.18	71.56	3.97	72.26	4.05	71.53	4.20
5	78.11	3.92	78.49	4.01	78.50	4.06	78.39	3.94
6	62.24	4.59	62.68	4.39	63.09	4.10	62.63	4.35
		4.37		4.66		4.60	*dd* (J = 5.2, 12 Hz)	
								4.55
Gal (sugar 1)								
1	104.95	5.45	105.25	5.45	102.43	4.86	*dd* (J = 2.4, 12 Hz)	
	d (J = 8.0 Hz)		*d* (J = 7.7 Hz)		*d* (J = 7.6 Hz)			
2	73.41	4.57	73.67	4.60	73.06	4.35		
3	73.66	3.88	73.88	3.90	75.22	4.25		
4	70.05	4.25	70.19	4.22	80.80	4.78		
5	75.05	4.01	77.29	4.01	75.45	4.05		
6	60.19	4.63	60.43	4.17	61.03	4.25		
		4.15		4.68		4.65		

Table 3. (continued)

C	1		2		3		PS1	
	$^{13}C(\delta)$	$^{1}H(\delta)$	$^{13}C(\delta)$	$^{1}H(\delta)$	$^{13}C(\delta)$	$^{1}H(\delta)$	$^{13}C(\delta)$	$^{1}H(\delta)$
Glu (sugar 2)								
1	105.17	5.15	105.43	5.15				
	d (J = 8.0 Hz)		*d* (J = 7.6 Hz)					
2	80.70	4.51	85.01	4.51				
3	85.31	4.11	85.36	4.11				
4	70.36	3.74	70.63	3.75				
5	77.26	3.85	77.41	3.88				
6	62.60	4.00	62.96	4.02				
		4.49		4.49				
Xyl (sugar 3)								
1	104.47	5.04	104.67	5.10				
	d (J = 7.2 Hz)		*d* (J = 7.2 Hz)					
2	74.83	3.91	74.16	3.92				
3	78.11	3.96	78.38	4.20				
4	70.05	4.04	70.48	4.07				
5	66.80	3.55	67.11	3.58				
		4.17		4.19				
Glu (sugar 4, 3-O-)								
1	101.97	4.87	102.22	4.85				
	d (J = 7.2 Hz)		*d* (J = 7.2 Hz)					
2	72.69	4.32	72.96	4.28				
3	75.43	4.08	75.01	4.09				
4	75.06	4.54	79.48	4.55				
5	75.06	4.01	74.85					
6	62.54	4.35	62.28	4.56				
		4.53		4.49				

Ps1. Amorphous powder (4 mg); mp 223–225°; $[\alpha]_D$ −17.21° (pyridine; *c* 0.036); IR ν_{max}^{KBr} cm^{-1}: 3390 (br), 1700 (C=O); FAB MS *m/z* (rel. int): 592 $[M]^+$ (19); UV λ_{max} nm: 203.

REFERENCES

1. Qian, B. Y. (1990) *Zhong Cheng Yao* **12**, 34.
2. Bose, B. C., Saifi, A. Q., Vijayvargiya, R. and Bhatnagore, J. N. (1963) *Indian J. Med. Res.* **17**, 291.
3. Li, X. C., Wang, D. Z. and Yang, C. R. (1990) *Phytochemistry* **29**, 3893.
4. Tori, K., Seo, S., Terui, Y. Nishikawa, J. and Yasuda, F. (1981) *Tetrahedron Letters* **22**, 2405.
5. Pant, G., Sati, O. P., Miyahara, K. and Kawasaki, T. (1986) *Phytochemistry* **25**, 1491.
6. Agrawal, P. K. (1992) *Phytochemistry* **31**, 3307.
7. Wessel, H. P., Englert, G. and Stangier, P. (1991) *Helv. Chim. Acta* **74**, 682.