PII: S0031-9422(97)00059-9

BENZOPYRANS FROM MELICOPE PTELEFOLIA LEAVES

CHRISTINE KAMPERDICK, NGUYEN HONG VAN.* TRAN VAN SUNG* and GÜNTER ADAM†

Institute of Plant Biochemistry, P.O.B. 110432, D-06018 Halle/Saale, Germany; * Institute of Chemistry, National Centre for Scientific Research of Vietnam, Nghia Do, Tu Liem, Hanoi, Vietnam

(Received in revised form 25 November 1996)

Key Word Index—*Melicope ptelefolia*; Rutaceae; leaves; 2,2-dimethyl-2H-1-benzopyrans.

Abstract—Investigation of leaves of *Melicope ptelefolia* afforded 18 2,2-dimethyl-2H-1-benzopyrans, consisting of 14 new members, including one benzodipyran and four known compounds. Their structures were established by mass and NMR spectroscopy, especially NOE and HMBC experiments. © 1997 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved

INTRODUCTION

Melicope ptelefolia (Champ. ex Benth.) Hartley [1], described by Ho still as Euodia lepta (Spreng.) Merr. (= Evodia lepta = E. roxburghiana Pierre) [2], is a 4-5 m high shrub growing in many areas of Vietnam. Leaves and twigs are used for the treatment of itch and wounds, whereas root and bark serve as an appetizer, digestive and emmenagogue [3]. Until now, only some alkaloids of the quinoline-, furoquinoline- and pyranoquinoline-type have been isolated from this species [4-6]. In the present study, we report on the isolation and structural elucidation of a series of benzopyrans from leaves of M. ptelefolia.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A *n*-hexane extract of leaves afforded, upon repeated chromatographic separation (see Experimental), 14 new (3-5, 8-11, 13-18) and four known (1, 2, 7 and 12) 2,2-dimethyl-2H-1-benzopyrans. Their structures were established by mass and NMR spectroscopy, especially HMBC experiments (benzene ring with proton) or NOE difference spectra (benzene ring without proton). Carbon shifts were assigned using CH long-range correlations or by comparison with already assigned compounds. As no ¹³C NMR reference data of the four known compounds are available in the literature, their structural elucidation and carbon shift assignment was done in the same way and their chemical shifts added in Tables 1-5.

All substances showed the typical NMR signals of 2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-1-benzopyrans (Tables 1–5): a *gem*-dimethyl group at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.32–1.50 and $\delta_{\rm C}$ 26.6–28.4, a

 R_3

 R_4

 R_1

1

2

 R_2

CH(OH)CH₃ 4 CH(OCH₃)CH₃ 5 CH=CH₂ 6 CH₃ OCH₃ COCH₃ CH₃ OCH₃ CH₃ COCH₃ CH₃ OCH₃ CH₃ CH(OH)CH₃ н CH2-CH=C(CH3)2 н COCH₃ 11 Н COCH₃ CH₃ OCH₃ 12 CH₃ COCH₃ CH₃ OCH_3 13 CH₃ CH(OH)CH₃ CH₃ OCH₃ CH₃ CH(OCH₃)CH₃ OCH₃ 14 CH₃ 15 CH₃ CH(OCH₃)CH₃ н CH₃ CH(OC20H39)CH3 Н 16 CH₃ CH₃ 18 CH₃ CH₃ OH

quaternary carbon (C-2) at δ 76.4–79.1, two complementary proton doublets (J = 9.8–10.0 Hz) at δ 5.36–5.70 (H-3) and 6.54–6.77 (H-4) and the corresponding carbons at δ 124.6–129.9 (C-3) and 116.2–117.8 (C-4). The chemical shifts of the carbons of the benzene ring are dependent on the various substituents. The oxygen-substituted carbons in positions 5, 7 and 9 resonate between δ 142 and 166. From these

COCH₃ CH₃ н CH₃ Н CH₃ COCH₃ CH₃ CH₂ н CH₃ н CH₂ CH₃ н CH₃

[†] Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

10

17

structures, which were assigned by HMBC experiments, we could generalize that $\delta_{C-7} > \delta_{C-5} > \delta_{C-9}$ and that C-10 is always the quaternary aromatic carbon with the smallest chemical shift. Thus, the carbons of the residual compound could be assigned without HMBC. HMBC data of compounds 3, 4, 5, 9, 12 and **18** are summarized in Table 6. The ${}^{1}J_{CH}$ -correlations were not completely suppressed in the HMBC experiment and could be observed in the spectra. They were useful for carbon assignment of the methoxyl groups, provided that the proton assignment was already known, thus revealing that the carbon shifts are affected by steric factors. Methoxyl carbons, which possess a substituent in each ortho-position resonate at δ 60– 64. Where an *ortho*-position was unsubstituted there was a chemical shift of δ 55–57.

The first group of compounds with the two-carbon residue in position 8 were compounds 1–10 (Tables 1–3). Compound 1 ($C_{14}H_{16}O_4$, $[M]^+$ m/z 248) was identified as alloevodionol by analysis of its NOE interactions.

Compound **2** ($C_{15}H_{18}O_4$, [M]⁺ m/z 262) is the *O*-methyl derivative of **1**, known as alloevodionol methyl ether. Its structure was also confirmed by its NOE effects.

In compound 3 ($C_{15}H_{20}O_4$, [M]⁺ 264), the acetyl function occurs in its reduced form as 1-hydroxyethyl group consisting of one methyl doublet (δ 1.40,

J=6.7 Hz), one hydroxyl doublet (δ 3.58, J=11.0 Hz) and one methine signal (δ 5.10; dq, J=11.0 and 6.7 Hz). The other substituents are two methoxyl groups at δ 3.83 and 3.86 and one aromatic proton at δ 6.26. The substitution pattern, which is identical to that of **2**, and the chemical shift assignment was established by evaluation of the C-H long-range correlations from the HMBC experiment (Table 6) to yield the new structure 8-(1-hydroxyethyl)-5,7-dimethoxy-2,2-dimethyl-2H-1-benzopyran.

Compound 4 ($C_{16}H_{22}O_4$, [M]⁺ m/z 278) is the methyl ether of 3. The additional aliphatic methoxyl group resonates at δ 3.10. The NOE effects (2-Me₂/11-OMe, 11-OMe/7-OMe, 7-OMe/H-6, H-6/5-OMe, 5-OMe/H-4) lead to the structure 8-(1-methoxyethyl)-5,7-dimethoxy-2,2-dimethyl-2H-1-benzopyran. The carbon assignments are based on the C–H long-range correlations from HMBC (Table 6).

The molecular formula of compound 5 ($C_{15}H_{18}O_{3}$, $[M]^+$ m/z 246) corresponds with dehydrated 3. The proton spectrum exhibits as in 3, two methoxyl groups (δ 3.85 and 3.86) and one lone aromatic proton (δ 6.26). Instead of the hydroxyethyl group, it contains a vinyl function with signals at δ 6.86 (dd, J = 18.0 and 12.2 Hz), δ 6.01 (dd, J = 18.0 and 3.1 Hz) and δ 5.16 (dd, J = 12.2 and 3.1 Hz). Correlations from the HMBC experiment (Table 6) establish the structure as 8-vinyl-5,7-dimethoxy-2,2-dimethyl-2H-1-benzo-pyran.

The benzene ring substituents of $6 (C_{15}H_{18}O_5, [M]^+$ m/z 278) are one acetyl group (δ 2.69), one chelated hydroxy group (δ 13.66) and two methoxyl groups (δ 4.03 and 3.82). From the NOE effects (2-Me₂/Ac, Ac/7-OH, 7-OH/6-OMe, 6-OMe/5-OMe, 5-OMe/H-4), the structure, 8-acetyl-7-hydroxy-5,6-dimethoxy-2,2-dimethyl-2H-1-benzopyran was deduced, hitherto only known as a synthetic precursor of alloevodione [7].

Compound 7 ($C_{16}H_{20}O_5$, [M]⁺ m/z 292) was identified as alloevodione (the *O*-methylated form of **6**) from its NOE interactions.

Compound **8** ($C_{16}H_{22}O_5$ [M]⁺ m/z 294) has three methoxyl groups (δ 3.80, 3.84 and 3.88) and one 1-hydroxyethyl group (δ 1.46, 3.62 and 5.08), which is, according to NOE effects (2-Me₂/H-11, H-11/7-OMe, 5-OMe/H-4), located in position 8. The structure, 8-(1-hydroxyethyl)-5,6,7-trimethoxy-2,2-dimethyl-2H-1-benzopyran, is the reduced form of alloevodione (7).

The characteristic feature of compound 9 ($C_{18}H_{22}O_4$, [M]⁺ m/z 302) is the *iso*pentenyl group, with methyl singlets at δ 1.84 (br s) and 1.78 (d, J = 1.2 Hz), the olefinic proton at δ 5.27 (tm, J = 7.3 and 1.4 Hz) and the methylene group at δ 3.39 (br d, J = 7.3 Hz). The other substituents are one acetyl group (δ 2.67), one chelated (δ 14.13) and one non-chelated hydroxyl group (δ 6.32). Their positions and differentiation between the (E)- and (Z)-methyl group followed from NOE effects (2-Me₂/Ac, Ac/7-OH, 7-OH/H-1', H-1'/6-OH and H-1'/3'-Me (Z)). From these data, compound 9 was regarded as 8-acetyl-5,7-

Table 1. 'H NMR data of compounds 1-9

	1 ^{a,c}	2 ^{a,c}	3 ^{b,d}	4 ^{a,d}	5 ^{b,d}	6 ^{a,c}	7 ^{a,c}	8 ^{a,d}	9 ^{a,c,e}
3	5.42 d	5.47 d	5.52 d (9.9)	5.50 d	5.52 d (9.8)	5.48 d	5.57 d	5.69 d (10.0)	5.44 d
	(10.0)	(10.0)	, ,	(10.0)	, ,	(10.0)	(10.0)	`	(9.9)
4	6.55 d	6.57 d	6.56 d (9.9)	6.56 d	6.77 d (9.8)	6.54 d	6.54 d	6.56 d (10.0)	6.54 d
	(10.0)	(10.0)		(10.0)	* *	(10.0)	(10.0)		(9.9)
6	6.01 s	6.01 s	$6.26^{\circ} s$	6.24 s	$6.26^{g} s$	_	_	_	_
11			5.10 dq	4.89 q	6.86 dd		_	5.08 dq	_
			(11.0/6.7)	(6.7)	(18.0/12.2)			(10.5/6.6)	
12	2.67 s	2.49 s	1.40 d (6.7)	1.45 d	6.01 dd	2.69 s	2.50 s	1.46 d (6.6)	2.67 s
				(6.7)	(18.0/3.1)				
					5.16 dd				
					(12.2/3.1)				
2-M	2 1.49 s	1.41 s	1.411 s	1.37 s	1.40 s	1.49 s	1.41 s	1.43 s	1.49 s
	1.49 s	1.41 s	1. 4 09 s	1.37 s	1.40 s	1.49 s	1.41 s	1.43 s	1.49 s
5-OI	Me 3.84 s	3.85 s	3.83 s	3.83 s	3.86 s	4.03 s	3.89 s	3.84 s	_
6-ON	√le —	_	_		_	3.82 s	3.83 s	3.80 s	_
7-ON	Ие —	3.81 s	3.86 s	3.81 s	3.85 s		$3.88 \ s$	3.88 s	_
5-OF	I	_	_			_	_		6.32 s
7-OF	H 13.83 s		_	_		13.66 s	_	_	14.13 s
11-OF	- H		3.58 d (11.0)		_	_	_	3.62 d (10.5)	
11-ON	√le —		_	$3.10 \ s$		_	_	_	_

^a 300 MHz.

Table 2. ¹³C NMR data of compounds 1-9 (75 MHz)

	1 ^a	2 ^a	3 ^b	4 ^b	5 ^d	6ª	7 ª	8 ^b	9 ^{a,c}
2	77.9	76.8	77.1	76.4	77.0	77.7	76.5	77.0	77.8
3	124.6	126.7	127.0	127.1	126.9	126.0	129.3	129.9	124.9
4	116.5	116.3	117.6	117.8	117.7	116.9	116.5	117.5	116.5
5	161.0	156.7	155.7	156.1	156.1	154.3	150.3	149.1	157.4
6	92.3	87.8	89.5	89.4	89.0	133.5	139.8	140.9	105.2
7	166.4	157.8	158.9	160.9	160.3	158.9	150.6	152.2	162.9
8	106.0	113.6	114.9	111.1	108.6	108.0	121.1	123.1	105.8
9	156.2	151.9	152.1	153.9	153.6	151.9	145.8	147.0	155.3
10	102.8	104.2	105.1	105.0	104.8	105.7	111.3	112.1	101.9
11	203.3	201.1	63.6	70.9	128.0	204.1	200.7	64.1	203.5
12	33.1	32.6	24.5	20.5	115.6	33.4	32.5	24.7	33.2
$2-Me_2$	27.8	27.6	27.9	27.8	27.9	27.8	27.6	27.8	27.8
	27.8	27.6	27.7	27.6	27.9	27.8	27.6	27.6	27.8
5-OMe	55.7	55.8*	56.0*	56.1*	56.0	61.2*	62.1*	61.7*	_
6-OMe				_	_	60.8*	61.4*	61.6*	
7-OMe		55.6*	56.1*	55.9*	56.0	_	61.0*	61.0*	
11-OMe		_	_	55.8*		_			

^a In CDCl₃.

^b 500 MHz.

[°] In CDCl₃.

d In acetone-d6.

^e Isopentenyl moiety: 3.39 (2H, br d, J = 7.3 Hz, H-1'), 5.27 (1H, tm J = 7.3/1.4 Hz, H-2'), 1.84 (3H, br s, 3'-Me (Z)), 1.78 (3H, d, J = 1.2 Hz, 3'-Me (E)).

 $^{^{\}rm f}$ In CDCl₃, δ 6.03.

 $^{^{\}rm g}$ In CDCl₃, δ 6.04 ppm.

^b In acetone-d₆.

^c Isopentenyl moiety: δ 21.5 (C-1'), 121.7 (C-2'), 136.6 (C-3'), 17.9 (3'-Me (Z)), 25.8 (3'-Me (E)).

^{*} Assignment interchangeable in each column.

Table 3. NMR data of compound 10 in CDCl₃ (75/300 MHz)

	$\delta_{ m C}$	δ_{H}	C-H long-range correlations
2	76.9	_	H-3, H-4, 2-Me ₂
3	127.8	5.54 d (10.0)	2-Me ₂
4	116.8	6.49 d (10.0)	
4a	108.2		H-3, H-4
5	153.7	_	H-4, 5-OMe
6	117.7	_	
6a	151.4		H-10
8	77.1		$H-9$, $H-10$, $8-Me_2$
9	127.8	5.52 d (10.0)	8-Me ₂
10	116.2	6.60 d (10.0)	
10a	106.5	_	H-9, H-10
10b	150.6	-	H-4
11	200.9	_	H-12
12	32.6	2.51 s	
$2-Me_2$	27.92	1.427* s	
$8-Me_2$	27.85	1.422 s	
5-OMe	63.6	3.75 s	

^{*} Assignment interchangeable.

dihydroxy-6-isopentenyl-2,2-dimethyl-2H-1-benzopyran, previously known only as a synthetic side-product [8]. The assignment of the carbon shifts resulted from the HMBC correlations (Table 6). The substituents cause some additional correlations, *viz.*, C-5/H-1′, C-6/H-1′, C-7/H-1′, C-10/5-OH, C-2′/3′-Me₂, C-3′/H-1′ and C-3′/3′-Me₂.

Compound 10 ($C_{19}H_{22}O_4$ [M]⁺ m/z 314) possesses two pairs of pyran protons (four doublets with J = 10.0 Hz at δ 5.52, 5.54, 6.49 and 6.60) and two gem-dimethyl groups (δ 1.42 and 1.43), which indicate

a benzodipyran skeleton (Table 3). The two 3H singlets at δ 2.51 and 3.75 characterize an acetyl and a methoxyl substituent, respectively. The NOE effects (H-3/H4, H-4/5-OMe, 5-OMe/Ac, Ac/8-Me₂ and H-9/H-10) confirm the arrangement of the two pyran rings and the position of the substituents, as 6-acetyl-5-methoxy-2,2,8,8-tetramethyl-2H,8H-benzol[1,2-b:3,4-b']dipyran. The carbon assignment followed from HMBC correlations (Table 3). Compound 10 might be formally deduced from 9 by ring-closure and *O*-methylation. After completion of this work, compound 10 was identified as a constituent of *Melicope erromangensis* (Rutaceae) [9].

The second group of compounds with the two-carbon residue in position 6 comprises compounds 11–16 (Tables 4 and 5). Compound 11 ($C_{15}H_{18}O_5$, [M]⁺ m/z 278) is a regio-isomer of 6. The substitution pattern was deduced from NOE experiments (2-Me₂/8-OMe, 8-OMe/7-OMe and 7-OMe/Ac), to yield the structure, 6-acetyl-5-hydroxy-7,8-dimethoxy-2,2-dimethyl-2H-1-benzopyran.

Compound 12 ($C_{16}H_{20}O_5$, [M]⁺ m/z 292), a regioisomer of 7, was identified as evodione, the *O*-methyl derivative of 11, by analysis of NOE effects. Carbon assignments were deduced from a HMBC experiment (Table 6).

Compound 13 (C₁₆H₂₂O₅, [M]⁺ m/z 294) has the same substituents as compound 8. From NOE effects (2-Me₂/8-OMe, 7-OMe/H-11, H-11/5-OMe and 5-OMe/H-4), it was proved to be 6-(1-hydroxyethyl)-5,7,8-trimethoxy-2,2-dimethyl-2H-1-benzopyran, which represents the reduced form of evodione (12).

Compound 14 ($C_{17}H_{24}O_5$, [M]⁺ m/z 308) is the *O*-methyl derivative of 13. Analysis of NOE interactions

Table 4. ¹H NMR data of compounds 11–18

	11 ^{a,d}	12 ^{a,c}	13 ^{a,d}	14 ^{a,c}	15 ^{a,d}	16 ^{a.d.e}	17 ^{a,c}	18 ^{b,d}
3	5.66 d (10.0)	5.62 d (9.9)	5.70 d (9.9)	5.59 d (9.8)	5.60 d (10.0)	5.59 d (9.9)	5.57 d (9.9)	5.50 d (9.9)
4	6.63 d (10.0)	6.50 d (9.9)	6.51 d (9.9)	6.52 d (9.8)	6.50 <i>dd</i> (10.0/0.5)	6.51 <i>dd</i> (9.9/0.6)	6.56 d (9.9)	6.55 d (9.9)
6		_	_			_	_	6.23 s
8	_			_	6.23 s	6.23 s		_
11		M M () ()	5.07 dq (8.4/6.6)	4.75 q (6.7)	4.80 q (6.8)	4.96 q (6.7)	4.89 q (6.8)	_
12	2.62 s	2.51 s	1.49 d(6.6)	1.60 d(6.7)	1.49 d (6.8)	1.50 d(6.7)	1.57 d (6.8)	
$2-Me_2$	1.49 s	1.48 s	1.43 s	1.49 s	1.40 s	1.40 s	1.41 s	1.37 s
	1.49 s	1.48 s	1.43 s	1.47 s	1.39 s	1.38 s	1.40 s	1.37 s
5-OMe	_	3.73 s	3.71 s	3.72 s	3.72 s	3.74 s	$3.88 \ s$	3.76 s
6-OMe	_	_		_	_		3.87 s	_
7-OMe	4.03 s	3.90 s	3.90 s	3.88 s	3.78 s	3.78 s	_	3.81 s
8-OMe	3.78 s	3.85 s	3.79 s	3.85 s	_	_	3.84 s	_
l I-OMe			_	3.26 s	3.12 s	_	3.23 s	_
5-OH	13.70 s		_	_	_		_	_
8-OH	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	$6.70 \ s$

^a 300 MHz.

^b 500 MHz.

^c In CDCl₁.

d In acetone-d₆.

^e Shifts of phytyl moiety are in Experimental.

Table 5.	¹³ C NMR	data of	compounds	11-18
----------	---------------------	---------	-----------	-------

	11 ^{a,d}	12 ^{a,c}	$13^{a,d}$	14 ^{a.c}	15 ^{a,d}	16 ^{a,c}	17 ^{a,c}	18 ^{b,d}
2	79.1	76.8	76.8	76.1	76.7	76.7	75.7	76.6
3	127.8	129.3	129.9	128.8	128.1	128.1	129.3	127.4
4	116.4	116.5	117.9	117.2	117.8	117.9	117.0	117.8
5	156.7	148.4	150.2	150.7	157.3	157.5	148.7*	148.8
6	109.0	122.8	124.7	120.3	116.4	117.2	153.3	90.8
7	157.0	150.4	153.0	152.9	160.5	160.4	118.8	149.2
8	135.3	138.1	139.6	138.7	97.1	97.1	140.1	130.4
9	154.7	148.4	146.9	146.5	155.1	155.1	147.5*	141.9
10	106.5	111.9	112.5	111.7	108.8	109.0	111.2	105.5
11	204.6	201.3	64.0	71.8	71.6	69.3	71.1	
12	32.2	32.6	24.8	20.5	20.5	20.8	20.2	
$2-Me_2$	28.4	27.6	27.8	27.9	28.2	28.2	27.6	27.7
	28.4	27.6	27.7	27.5	27.8	27.8	27.4	27.7
5-OMe	Name (Asset)	63.7	63.3	62.8	62.9	63.0	61.4*	56.3*
6-OMe		_	_			_	61.2*	_
7-OMe	61.6*	61.9	61.8	61.3*	56.1	56.2		56.6*
8-OMe	61.2*	61.0	60.9	60.8*	_		60.8*	_
11-OMe			_	56.4	56.1	_	56.3	_

^a 300 MHz.

Table 6. ¹H-¹³C long-range correlation (HMBC) map of compounds 3, 4, 5, 9, 12 and 18

	C-2	C-3	C-5	C-7	C-8	C-9	C-10	C-11	2-Me ₂
H-3		-					×		×
H-4	×		×			×			
H-6			×	×	×		×		
H-11				×	\times ^b	×			
H-12					×°			×	
$2-Me_2$	×	×							×
5-OR ^a			×						
7-OR ^a				×					
11-OMe								×	

^a R=H or OH.

(2-Me₂/8-OMe, 7-OMe/H-11, H-11/5-OMe and 5-OMe/H-4), establishes its structure as 6-(1-methoxy-ethyl)-5,7,8-trimethoxy-2,2-dimethyl-2H-1-benzo-pyran.

Compound 15 ($C_{16}H_{22}O_4$, [M]⁺ m/z 278) possesses one 1-methoxyethyl group (δ 1.49, 3.12 and 4.80), two aromatic methoxyl groups (δ 3.78 and 3.72) and one lone aromatic proton (δ 6.23). NOE effects (2-Me₂/H-8, H-8/7-OMe, H-11/5-OMe and 5-OMe/H-4) reveal the structure 6-(1-methoxy-ethyl)-5,7-dimethoxy-2,2-dimethyl-2H-1-benzopyran, which is a regioisomer of 4

The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of compound **16** ($C_{35}H_{58}O_4$, [M]⁺ m/z 542) exhibit all signals of compound **15**, with nearly the same chemical shifts. Differences are found only at positions 6 and 11; the

11-methoxyl group is missing. Additionally, all signals of phytol are present. This indicates that the 11-methoxyl group of 15 has been exchanged by a phytyl residue. This derivative might have formed during extraction, because the methoxyethyl group is unstable and phytol has also been found in the extract (see Experimental).

The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra (Tables 4 and 5) of 17 (C₁₇H₂₄O₅, [M]⁺ *m/z* 308) are similar to those of 14. NOE interactions (2-Me₂/8-OMe, 8-OMe/11-OMe, 11-OMe/6-OMe and 5-OMe/H-4) reveal an unusual substitution pattern, with the two-carbon side-chain in position 7. The structure, 7-(1-methoxyethyl)-5,6,8-trimethoxy-2,2-dimethyl-2H-1-benzopyran, was confirmed by HMBC data. Besides the expected cross-peaks for the dimethylbenzopyran moiety (Table 6), the following correlations were observed: C-5/H-11, C-6/H-11, C-7/H-12 and C-9/H-11.

Compound 18 ($C_{13}H_{16}O_4$ [M]⁺ m/z 136) is the only compound isolated without the two-carbon residue. The proton spectrum displays two methoxyl groups (δ 3.76 and 3.81), one non-chelated hydroxyl group (δ 6.70) and one lone aromatic proton (δ 6.23). Their locations were established by the HMBC experiment (Table 6), leading to the structure, 8-hydroxy-5,7-dimethoxy-2,2-dimethyl-2H-1-benzopyran.

Those compounds, which possess a hydroxyethyl or methoxyethyl group, are unstable in CDCl₃, normally containing traces of DCl, with formation of a blue-coloured solution. Thus, decomposition can be avoided using neutral CDCl₃. One of the decomposition products of 3 and 4, is the vinylic compound 5, ident-

^b 500 MHz.

[°] In CDCl₃.

d In acetone-d₆.

^{*} Assignment interchangeable in each column.

^b Missing in 5.

^c Missing in 12, because of different substitution pattern.

ified by comparison of its ¹H spectrum with that of the pure compound. On prolonged standing, **5** undergoes polymerization, indicated in the ¹H-NMR spectrum, by additional olefinic signals and some very broad signals. Compound **15**, the regioisomer of **4**, also formed its corresponding vinyl derivative.

Compounds 3, 4, 8 and 13–17 possess a chiral centre at C-11 but only 8 and 13 exhibit an appreciable optical rotation. Addition of Eu(TFC)₃, as lanthanide shift reagent (see Experimental), revealed in ¹H NMR spectra, that 8 is optically pure and that 13 contains 86% of a main enantiomer. Monitoring the optically inactive compounds 4, 14, 15 and 17 in the same way showed, that they are ca 1:1 mixtures of enantiometers.

Melicope species are known to contain the 6- and 8-acetyl-2,2-dimethyl-2*H*-1-benzopyrans, evodionol, alloevodionol, isoevodionol, evodionol methyl ether, alloevodionol methyl ether, evodione and alloevodione, in their essential oil [10, 11]. Benzopyrans have also been found in the Asteraceae. Mostly, they possess an acetyl group at position 6 or 8 [12]. The benzopyran spectrum of M. ptelefolia is characterized by 6- and 8-acetyl compounds co-occurring with reduced 6- or 8-hydroxyethyl or methoxethyl forms. They differ from the known dimethylbenzopyrans by another substitution pattern and/or a large number of substituents. All benzopyrans, except compound 17, possess two oxygen substituents at positions 5 and 7, according to phloroglucinol oxidation pattern. The two-carbon side-chain may also appear as a vinyl group, as in compound 5. Compound 18 is the only member lacking the two-carbon side-chain, representing a very rare structural type [13].

EXPERIMENTAL

Mps: uncorr. EIMS: 70 eV. CC: silica gel 60, 230–400 mesh ASTM (Merck). TLC: precoated silica gel plates 60 F_{254} (Merck), detection, UV-light, spray reagent, vanillin– H_2SO_4 . Lanthanide shift expts (500 MHz): tris[3(2,2,2-trifluor-1-hydroxyethyliden)-d-camphorato]-europium (Eu(TFC)₃) with a molar ratio between the compound and Eu(TFC)₃ of <math>ca 1.5:1; the ratio of enantiomers was calculated from the ¹H integrals (accuracy \pm 5–10%).

Plant material. Leaves and branches of M. ptelefolia were collected in Lao cai, North Vietnam, in June 1994, and identified by Dr T. D. Dai. A voucher specimen (No. 382) is deposited in the Institute of Ecology and Natural Resources, National Centre for Scientific Research and Technology, Hanoi, Vietnam.

Isolation. Dried leaves (500 g) were extracted $\times 3$ with 80% aq. MeOH at room temp and the organic solvent removed under red. pres. The aq. residue was extracted $\times 3$ with *n*-hexane, EtOAc and *n*-BuOH, successively, to give 17.3 g hexane extract. This was sepd by chromatography on silica gel 60 (200 g, 70–200 mesh) with increasing amounts of EtOAc in *n*-hexane as eluent (2–100% EtOAc, 237 frs, each 20

ml). Frs 1-20 (402 mg) were further purified by CC on silica gel (80 g) with n-hexane-EtOAc (19:1), giving 7.3 mg of 5. Frs 21-37 (369 mg) yielded 192 mg of 1 after purification by CC with n-hexane-EtOAc (9:1). Further purification of frs 38–47 (417 mg), gave 183 mg of 6 (CC with n-hexane-CHCl₃ 1:1), 18 mg of 11 (CC with *n*-hexane–EtOAc, 4:1) and 4 mg of 9 (prep. TLC with *n*-hexane– CH_2Cl_2 , 1:1), CC of frs 67–87 (1.86 g) using CH₂Cl₂-EtOAc (19:1) yielded 50 mg of 7 and some other frs, which were further purified and afforded 24 mg of 17 (CC with *n*-hexane–EtOAc, 4:1) and 15 mg of 15 (prep. TLC with n-hexane-Me₂CO (9:1)). From frs 89-113 (650 mg), were isolated 101 mg of 14 (CC with n-hexane-EtOAc, 4:1), 21 mg of phytol (CC with CH₂Cl₂-EtOAc, 19:1) and 25 mg of **12** (CC with *n*-hexane–EtOAc, 4:1). Frs 114–136 (1.47 g) afforded 51 mg of 8 (CC with n-hexane-EtOAc, 4:1) and 17 mg of 4 (prep. TLC with *n*-hexane–EtOAc, 4:1). Purification of frs 137-153 (939 mg) yielded 56 mg of 13 (CC with CHCl₃-EtOAc, 9:1) and 10 mg of **16** (prep. TLC with *n*-hexane–EtOAc, 4:1). **10** (6 mg) was obtained by prep. TLC of frs 154-166 (1.29 g) using *n*-hexane-EtOAc (7:3). Frs 167-194 (401 mg) gave 3 mg of 18 (CC and prep. TLC with *n*-hexane-EtOAc, 7:3), 20 mg of 3 (CC with CH₂Cl₂-EtOAc, 49:1) and 257 mg of 2 (CC with n-hexane–EtOAc,

Alloevodionol (1). Yellow crystals from *n*-hexane, mp 68–69°. R_f 0.56 (*n*-hexane–EtOAc, 7:3). UV $\lambda_{\rm max}^{\rm MeOH}$ nm (log ε): 276 (4.48), 221 (3.10). IR $\nu_{\rm max}^{\rm KBr}$ (cm⁻¹): 3446, 3051, 2969, 2865, 1638, 1611, 1587, 1365, 1267, 1122, 900, 820, 692, 583. MS m/z (rel. int.): 248 [M]⁺ (50), 234 [M – CH₂]⁺ (30), 233 [M – Me]⁺ (100), 215 [M – Me – H₂O]⁺ (34), 202 [M – 3Me]⁺ (10), 200 [M – 2Me – H₂O]⁺ (15).

Alloevodionol methyl ether (2). Crystals from *n*-hexane, mp 103–104°. R_f 0.56 (CH₂Cl₂–EtOAc, 25:1). UV $\lambda_{\rm max}^{\rm MeOH}$ nm (log ε): 278 (4.28), 204 (4.27). IR $\nu_{\rm max}^{\rm KBr}$ (cm $^{-1}$): 3002, 2986, 2964, 2945, 2845, 1705, 1636, 1607, 1582, 1469, 1413, 1334, 1265, 1216, 1157, 1125–1100, 909, 881, 795, 771, 560. MS m/z (rel. int.): 262 [M]+ (15), 247 [M – Me]+ (100), 232 [M – 2Me]+ (5), 217 [M – 3Me]+ (16), 116 (10).

8-(1-Hydroxyethyl)-5,7-dimethoxy-2,2-dimethoxy-2,2-dimethyl-2H-1-benzopyran (3). Crystals from *n*-hexane, mp 75–76°. R_f 0.43 (CH₂Cl₂–EtOAc, 25:1). [α] $_{0}^{30}$ – 0.7° (CHCl₃, c 0.38). UV $\lambda_{\max}^{\text{MeOH}}$ nm (log ε): 286 (4.19), 227 (4.42), 203 (4.26). IR ν_{\max}^{KBr} (cm $^{-1}$): 3538, 2966, 2842, 1636, 1607, 1465, 1324, 1211, 1145–1070, 879, 795, 772. MS m/z (rel. int.): 264 [M] $^+$ (12), 249 [M $^-$ Me] $^+$ (100), 231 [M $^-$ Me $^-$ H₂O] $^+$ (12), 219 [M $^-$ 3Me] $^+$ (12); HRMS 264.1370 [M] $^+$ (C₁₅H₂₀O₄ requires 264.1362).

8-(1-Methoxyethyl)-5,7-dimethoxy-2,2-dimethyl-2H-1-benzopyran (4). Amorphous solid. R_f 0.34 (n-hexane–EtOAc, 7:3). [α] $_D^{25}$ +2.2°, (MeOH, c 0.33). UV λ_{max}^{MeOH} nm (log ε): 287 (4.88), 229 (5.12), 204 (4.91). IR ν_{max}^{KBr} (cm⁻¹): 2971, 2924, 1638, 1602, 1581, 1466, 1326, 1253, 1214, 1145–1090, 853, 773. MS m/z (rel. int.): 278 [M]+ (35), 263 [M-Me]+ (84), 246 (24),

231 (100), 216 (12), 201 (12); HRMS 278.1515 [M]⁺ (C₁₆H₂₂O₄ requires 278.1518).

8-Vinyl-5,7-dimethoxy-2,2-dimethyl-2H-1-benzopy-ran (5). Amorphous solid. R_f 0.22 (n-hexane–EtOAc, 19:1). UV $\lambda_{\text{Max}}^{\text{MeOH}}$ nm (log ε): 260 (4.48), 204 (4.28). IR $\nu_{\text{max}}^{\text{KBr}}$ (cm $^{-1}$): 2926, 1641, 1605, 1125. MS m/z (rel. int.): 246 [M] $^+$ (36), 232 [M-CH $_2$] $^+$ (15), 231 [M-Me] $^+$ (100), 216 [M-2Me] $^+$ (8), 201 (7); HRMS 246.1260 [M] $^+$ ($C_{15}H_{18}O_3$ requires 246.1256).

8-Acetyl-7-hydroxy-5,6-dimethoxy-2,2-dimethyl-2H-1-benzopyran (6). Yellow oil. R_f 0.55 (n-hexane-EtOAc, 7:3). UV $\lambda_{\max}^{\text{MeOH}}$ nm (ε): 369 (3.54), 280 (4.40), 220 (4.28). IR ν_{\max}^{KBr} (cm $^{-1}$): 3434, 2975, 2934, 1639, 1615, 1580, 1426, 1361, 1318, 1279, 1211, 1142, 1044, 905, 863, 742, 622. MS m/z (rel. int.): 278 [M] $^+$ (58), 263 [M $^-$ Me] $^+$ (100), 248 [M $^-$ 2Me] $^+$ (10), 245 [M $^-$ Me $^-$ H $_2$ O] $^+$ (11), 233 [M $^-$ 3Me] $^+$ (7); HRMS 278.1152 [M] $^+$ ($C_{15}H_{18}O_5$ requires 278.1254).

Alloevodione (7). Amorphous solid. UV $\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{MeOH}}$ nm (log ε): 321 (3.59), 222 (4.41), 252 (4.22). IR $\nu_{\text{max}}^{\text{KBr}}$ (cm⁻¹): 2973, 2936, 2852, 1706, 1589, 1458, 1414, 1293, 1135, 1051. MS m/z (rel. int.): 292 [M]⁺ (31), 277 [M – Me]⁺ (100), 261 [M – 2Me]⁺ (2), 247 [M – 3 Me]⁺ (16), 229 (3).

8-(1-Hydroxyethyl)-5,6,7-trimethoxy-2,2-dimethyl-2H-1-benzopyran (8). Oil. R_f 0.38 (n-hexane—EtOAc, 7:3). [α]_D²⁵ -24.4° (MeOH, c 0.33). UV λ _{max}^{MeOH} nm (log ε): 272 (3.87), 231 (4.39). IR ν _{max}^{RBr} cm⁻¹: 3547, 3019, 2978, 2938, 2876, 2852, 2832, 1639, 1595, 1466, 1419, 1344, 1281, 1131, 1033, 966. MS m/z (rel. int.): 294 [M]⁺ (27), 279 [M – Me]⁺ (100), 261 [M – OMe]⁺ (21), 249 (8), 231 (8), 85 (42), 83 (68); HRMS 294.1478 [M]⁺ (C₁₆H₂₂O₅ requires 294.1467).

8-Acetyl-5,7-dihydroxy-6-isopentenyl-2,2-dimethyl-2H-1-benzopyran (9). Amorphous solid. R_r 0.53 (n-hexane–EtOAc, 7:3). UV $\lambda_{\max}^{\text{MeOH}}$ nm (log ε): 283 (4.50), 222 (4.42), 205 (4.44). IR $\nu_{\max}^{\text{CHCI}_3}$ (cm $^{-1}$): 3590, 3364, 2979, 2928, 2856, 1644, 1612, 1430, 1365, 1134, 1085. MS m/z (rel. int.): 302 [M] $^+$ (56), 287 [M – Me] $^+$ (60), 259 [M – Ac] $^-$ (8), 247 [M – C $_4$ H $_7$] $^+$ (11), 246 (9), 231 [M – C $_4$ H $_7$ –Me] $^+$ (100), 213 [231 – H $_2$ O] $^+$ (16); HRMS 302.1531 [M] $^+$ (C $_{18}$ H $_{22}$ O $_4$ requires 302.1518).

6-Acetyl-5-methoxy-2,2,8,8-tetramethyl-2H,8H-benzo [1,2-b:3,4-b']-dipyran (10). Oil. R_f 0.54 (n-hexane–EtOAc, 7:3). UV $\lambda_{\rm max}^{\rm MeOH}$ nm (log ε): 257 (4.44). IR $\nu_{\rm max}^{\rm CHCL}$ (cm⁻¹): 2979, 2937, 2858, 1692, 1640, 1591, 1577, 1465, 1363, 1300, 1215, 1135, 1001, 883. MS m/z (rel. int.): 314 [M]⁺ (19), 299 [M – Me]⁺ (100), 281 (4), 269 (4), 267 (4), 142 (6), 124 (4); HRMS: 314.1503 [M]⁺ (C₁₉H₂₂O₄ requires 314.1518).

6-Acetyl-5-hydroxy-7,8-dimethoxy-2,2-dimethyl-2H-1-benzopyran (11). Oil. R_7 0.64 (n-hexane—EtOAc, 3:2). UV $\lambda_{\max}^{\text{MeOH}}$ nm (log ε): 310 (4.04), 262 (4.61). IR $\nu_{\max}^{\text{CHCI}_3}$ (cm⁻¹): 3016, 2983, 2937, 1640, 1610, 1459, 1378, 1282, 1132, 1119, 1055, 979, 890. MS m/z (rel. int.): 278 [M]⁺ (31), 263 [M-Me]⁺ (100), 248 [M-2Me]⁺ (4), 245 [M-Me-H₂O]⁺ (8), 233 [M-3Me]⁺ (7), 230 [M-2Me-H₂O]⁺ (8); HRMS 278.1152 [M]⁻ ($C_{15}H_{18}O_5$ requires 278.1154).

Evodione (12). Oil. R_t 0.40 (n-hexane-EtOAc, 7:3).

UV $\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{MeOH}}$ nm (log ϵ): 260 (4.17), 204 (4.00). IR $\nu_{\text{max}}^{\text{CHCl}_3}$ (cm⁻¹): 2978, 2939, 1697, 1590, 1470, 1375, 1296, 1239, 1133, 1059. MS m/z (rel. int.): 292 [M]⁺ (43), 277 [M – Me]⁺ (100), 247 [M – 3Me]⁺ (19), 107 (4).

6-(1-Hydroxyethyl)-5,7,8-trimethoxy-2,2-dimethyl-2H-1-benzopyran (13). Oil. R_f 0.37 (n-hexane–EtOAc, 3:2). [α]_D²⁵ -14.1°, (MeOH, c 0.36). UV λ ^{MeOH}_{max} nm (log ε): 274 (3.78), 228 (4.29). IR ν ^{CHCI}_{max} (cm⁻¹): 3543, 2980, 2938, 2838, 1636, 1596, 1472, 1376, 1233, 1134, 1059, 1015, 975. MS m/z (rel. int.): 294 [M]⁺ (19), 279 [M-Me]⁺ (100), 261 [M-Me-H₂O]⁺ (9), 249 [M-3Me]⁺ (19), 231 [M-3Me-H₂O]⁺ (3); HRMS 294.1439 [M]⁺ ($C_{16}H_{22}O_5$ requires 294.1467).

6-(1-Methoxyethyl)-5,6,8-trimethoxy-2,2-dimethyl-2H-1-benzopyran (14). Amorphous solid. R_f 0.58 (n-hexane—EtOAc, 3:2). [α] $_D^{32}$ - 3.2° (CHCl $_3$, c 0.24). UV $\lambda_{\max}^{\text{MeOH}}$ nm (log ε): 274 (3.99), 229 (4.52). IR ν_{\max}^{KBr} (cm $^{-1}$): 2970, 2932, 2833, 1636, 1591, 1472, 1376, 1137, 1077, 1050, 1012, 981, 928, 874. MS m/z (rel. int.): 308 [M]+ (35), 293 [M - Me] $^+$ (190), 277 [M - OMe] $^+$ (6), 261 [M - Me - MeOH] $^+$ (16), 247 (5), 245 (4), 231 (4), 83 (6); HRMS 308.1637 [M] $^+$ ($C_{17}H_{24}O_5$ requires 308.1624).

6-(1-Methoxyethyl)-5,7-dimethoxy-2,2-dimethyl-2H-1-benzopyran (15). Amorphous solid. R_f 0.48 (n-hexane—EtOAc, 7:3). [α] $_{\rm D}^{24}$ -1.5° (MeOH, c 0.32). UV $\lambda_{\rm max}^{\rm MeOH}$ nm (log ε): 285 (3.68), 228 (4.28). IR $\nu_{\rm max}^{\rm KBr}$ (cm $^{-1}$): 2967, 2932, 2839, 1605, 1572, 1471, 1447, 1357, 1285, 1257, 1200, 1150–1080, 1010, 819, 745. MS m/z (rel. int.): 278 [M] $^+$ (23), 263 [M $^-$ Me] $^+$ (100), 247 [M $^-$ OMe] $^+$ (12), 233 [M $^-$ 3Me] $^+$ (10), 231 [M $^-$ Me $^-$ MeOH] $^+$ (8), 217 [M $^-$ 2Me $^-$ OMe] $^+$ (9), 124 (6); HRMS 278.1518 [M] $^+$ ($C_{16}H_{22}O_4$ requires 278.1518).

11-O-Phytyl-6-(1-hydroxyethyl)-5,7-dimethoxy-2,2dimethyl-2H-1-benzopyran (16). Oil. R_{ℓ} 0.80 (n-hexane-EtOAc, 3:2). $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ 0.9° (CHCl₃, c 0.30). UV λ_{max}^{MeOH} nm (log ϵ): 286 (4.21), 232 (4.86), 203 (4.63). IR $v_{\text{max}}^{\text{CHCl}_3}$ (cm⁻¹): 3010–2830, 1668, 1634, 1606, 1572, 1465, 1362, 1191, 1150-1080, 1045, 1017, 887, 828. MS m/z (rel. int.): 542 [M]⁺ (11), 527 [M – Me]⁺ (16), 322 (7), 279 (6), 249 (20), 248 (18), 247 (35), 246 (28), 231 (100), 215 (26); HRMS: 527.4111 [M – Me]⁺ (C₃₄H₅₅O₄ requires 527.4100). ¹³C and ¹H NMR (75/300 MHz, acetone- d_6) of the phytyl moiety: δ_C : 138.9 (C-3'), 123.3 (C-2'), 65.5 (C-1'), 40.4 (C-4'), 40.1 (C-14'), 38.1 (C-8', C-10'), 38.0 (C-12'), 37.3 (C-6'), 33.5 (C-11'), 33.4 (C-7'), 28.6 (C-15'), 25.8 (C-5'), 25.5 (C-13'), 25.1 (C-9'), 23.0 (C-16'), 22.9 (C-20'), 20.1 (C-18', C-19'), 16.3 (C-17'); $\delta_{\rm H}$: 5.30 (1H, td, J = 6.6 and 1.2 Hz, 2'-H), 3.82 (2H, t, J = 6.6 Hz, 1'-H₂), 1.97 $(2H, td, J = 7.3 \text{ and } 1.2 \text{ Hz}, 4'-H_2), 1.55 (3H, s, 3'-$ Me) 1.5-1.0 (19H, m, 5'-H-15'-H), 0.872 (6H, d, $J = 6.6 \text{ Hz}, \text{ Me}_2$, 0.866 (3H, d, J = 6.6 Hz, Me).

7-(1-Methoxyethyl)-5,6,8-trimethoxy-2,2-dimethyl-2H-1-benzopyran (17). Amorphous solid. R_f 0.64 (n-hexane–EtOAc, 3:2). [α] $_D^{31}$ – 1.5° (CHCl $_3$, c 0.31). UV $\lambda_{\max}^{\text{MeOH}}$ nm (log ϵ): 315 (3.65), 272 (4.01), 231 (4.54). IR ν_{\max}^{KBr} (cm $^{-1}$): 3427, 2923, 2850, 1465, 1419, 1136–1084, 1043. MS m/z (rel. int.): 308 [M] $^+$ (25), 293 [M $^-$ Me] $^+$ (100), 277 [M $^-$ OMe] $^+$ (5), 263 [M $^-$ 3Me] $^+$ (9), 261

 $[M-Me-MeOH]^+$ (16); HRMS 308.1600 $[M]^+$ (C₁₇H₂₄O₅ requires 308.1623).

8-Hydroxy-5,7-dimethoxy-2,2-dimethyl-2H-1-benzopyran (18). Amorphous solid. R_f 0.25 (n-hexane–EtOAc, 7:3). UV λ_{\max}^{MeOH} nm (log ε): 284 (3.94), 221 (4.16). IR $\nu_{\max}^{CHCl_3}$ (cm⁻¹): 3548, 2976, 2937, 2842, 1628, 1505, 1465, 1438, 1341, 1252, 1229, 1136, 1104, 1042, 925, 877. MS m/z (rel. int.): 236 [M]⁺ (92), 222 [M-CH₂]⁺ (37), 221 [M-Me]⁺ (100), 206 [M-2Me]⁺ (49), 191 [M-3Me]⁺ (18); HRMS 236.1031 [M]⁺ (C₁₃H₁₆O₄ requires 236.1049).

Phytol. Carbon shifts of isolated compound were in agreement with ref. [14].

Acknowledgements—We thank the BMBF (Bonn, Germany) and the Volkswagen-Stiftung (Hannover, Germany) for financial support, as well as Dr J. Schmidt for MS.

REFERENCES

- 1. Editorial Committee of the Flora of Taiwan, *Flora of Taiwan*, 2nd edn, Vol. 3. Taipei, Taiwan, 1993, p. 521.
- 2. Pham-hoang Ho, Cayco Vietnam, An Illustrated Flora of Vietnam, Vol. 11. Montreal, 1993, p. 515.
- 3. Do Tat Loi, *Nhung cay thuoc va vi thuoc Viet Nam* (glossary of Vietamese medicinal plants). Nha xhuat ban Khoa hoc va Ky thuat (Science and Technics Publication), Hanoi, 1977, p. 145.

- 4. Goh, S. H., Chung, V. C. and Jones, D., Bulletin of the Singapore National Institute of Chemistry, 1989, 17, 21.
- Gunawardana, Y. A. G. P., Cordell, G. A., Ruangrungsi, N., Chomya, S. and Tantivatana, P.,
 Journal of the Science Society of Thailand, 1987,
 13, 107–112.
- McCormick, J. L., McKee, T. C., Cardellina II, J. H. and Boyd, M. R., *Journal of Natural Products*, 1996, 59, 469.
- Ahluwalia, V. K., Jain, A. and Goyal, M., Indian Journal of Chemistry, Section B, 1984, 23B(2), 166
- 8. Collins, E. and Shannon, P. V. R., Journal of the Chemical Society, Perkin Transactions 1, 1973, 419.
- Muyard, F., Bissoue, A. N., Bevalot, F., Tillequin, F., Cabalion, P. and Vaquette, J., Phytochemistry, 1996, 42, 1175.
- Hegnauer, R., Chemotaxonomie der Pflanzen, Vol. VI. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1973, p. 177.
- Quader, A., Armstrong, J. A., Gray, A. I., Hartley, T. G. and Waterman, P. G., Biochemistry, Systematics and Ecology, 1991, 19, 171.
- Proksch, P. and Rodriguez, E., *Phytochemistry*, 1983, 22, 2335.
- Hegnauer, R., Chemotaxonomie der Pflanzen, Vol. 8. Burkhäuser, Basel, 1989, p. 291.
- Ahrends, E. H., Williams, D. C. and Battersby,
 A. R., Journal of the Chemical Society, Perkin Transactions 1, 1977, 2540.