## PII: S0031-9422(97)00436-6

# STEROIDAL ALKALOID GLYCOSIDES FROM SOLANUM SUAVEOLENS\*†

HELMUT RIPPERGER‡ and ANDREA PORZEL

Institute of Plant Biochemistry, Weinberg 3, D-06120 Halle (Saale), Germany

(Received in revised form 28 April 1997)

**Key Word Index**—*Solanum suaveolens*; Solanaceae; steroidal alkaloid glycosides; solasuaveoline; dihydrosolasuaveoline; isosolasuaveoline.

**Abstract**—In addition to khasianine, solamargine, xylosylsolamargine and solasonine, three steroidal alkaloid glycosides, solasuaveoline, dihydrosolasuaveoline and isosolasuaveoline, have been isolated from aerial parts of *Solanum suaveolens*. The structures have been assigned by NMR investigations as (25R)- $3\beta$ - $\{O-\beta$ -D-gluco-pyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ - $O-\beta$ -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ - $[O-\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ ]- $\beta$ -D-galactopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ - $[O-\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ ]- $\beta$ -D-galactopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ ]- $\beta$ -D-galactopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ - $[O-\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ ]- $\beta$ -D-galactopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ - $[O-\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ ]- $\beta$ -D-galactopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ - $[O-\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ ]- $\beta$ -D-galactopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ - $[O-\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ ]- $\beta$ -D-galactopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ - $[O-\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ ]- $\beta$ -D-galactopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ - $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ - $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ - $(2 \rightarrow 2)$ -D-galactopyranosyl- $(2 \rightarrow 2$ 

### INTRODUCTION

Solanum suaveolens, a plant growing in Central America, belongs to the section Tuberarium (Dun.) Bitt. (subsection Basarthrum Bitt.) of the subgenus Solanum. The steroidal alkaloids of this species have not yet been studied. From the aerial parts khasianine (yield 0.014%), solamargine (yield 0.28%), xylosylsolamargine (yield 0.08%), solasonine (yield 0.08%), solasuaveoline (yield 0.007%), dihydrosolasuaveoline (yield 0.007%) and isosolasuaveoline (yield 0.014%) have now been isolated. Khasianine was identified by its electrospray ionization mass spectrum (ESI-MS) and its 13C NMR spectrum in pyridine-d<sub>5</sub> [2, 3], solamargine, xylosylsolamargine and solasonine were compared with authentic samples [4, 5]. For solasuaveoline, dihydrosolasuaveoline and isosolasuaveoline the structures (25R)- $3\beta$ - $\{O$ - $\beta$ -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ -O- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ -[O- $\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ ]- $\beta$ -D-galactopyranosyloxy\ -  $22\alpha N$  - spirosol - 5 -ene (1), (25*R*)-3 $\beta$ -\{O- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ -O- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ - $[O-\alpha-L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 2)]-\beta-D-gal$ actopyranosyloxy $\}$  -  $5\alpha,22\alpha N$  - spirosolane (2) and (25R)-3 $\beta$ -{O- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 6)$ -O- $\beta$ -D-glu-

see ref. [1].

copyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ - $[O-\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 2)]$ - $\beta$ -D-galactopyranosyloxy $\}$ - $22\alpha N$ -spirosol-5-ene (3), respectively, have been assigned mainly by NMR measurements, as outlined below.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Solasuaveoline (1) and its  $5\alpha$ ,6-dihydro compound 2 were separated by reverse-phase chromatography. In the electrospray ionization mass spectra, solasuaveoline (1), dihydrosolasuaveoline (2) and isosolasuaveoline (3) gave rise to  $[M+H]^+$  peaks.

The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of 1 and 3 indicated gly-

<sup>\*</sup> Part 140 in the series 'Solanum Alkaloids'. For part 139,

 $<sup>\</sup>dagger$  Dedicated to Professor G. Adam with best wishes on the occasion of his 65th birthday.

<sup>‡</sup> Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

cosides with solasodine as the aglycone (Experimental, cf. ref. [6]), the spectrum of **2** was in agreement with a soladulcidine glycoside [7]. The assignment of the signals was supported by DEPT measurements.

The <sup>13</sup>C signals of the oligosaccharide portion of 1 and 2 had the same values (small deviations for C-1 and C-2 of galactose) indicating identical structures. Therefore, only the carbohydrate structure of dihydrosolasuaveoline (2) was studied (Table 1). The values of the <sup>1</sup>H NMR signals were recognized by the gradient-selected HSQC spectrum. The 'H spin systems of the sugar units were assigned by a 1H-1H 2D DQF COSY NMR spectrum and confirmed by 2D TOCSY measurements. Two spin systems were observed for galactose, because  $J_{H-4,H-5} = 0.0$  Hz. The relationships of these systems were detected by the ROESY spectrum (correlation of H-1/H-5, H-3/H-5 and H-4/H-5). The corresponding <sup>13</sup>C NMR signals were assigned by the gradient-selected HSQC spectrum. The value of C-4 of galactose was  $\delta$  80.2 and not 78.2 (signals for H-2 and H-4  $\delta$  4.51), because the

gradient-selected HMBC spectrum displayed coupling of C-4 with H-5, H-6a and H-6b. Other couplings recognized in the HMBC spectrum were in agreement with the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR assignments (coupling via two or three bonds). Each <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H coupling constant (Table 1) could not be derived from the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum because of superimposition. Nevertheless, the sugar moieties were recognized. The coupling constants  $J_{H-1,H-2}$  of the galactose and glucose units corresponded with  $\beta$ -configurations. While the galactose and glucoses showed cross-peaks in the ROESY spectrum relating H-1 and H-5 in agreement with  $\beta$ -configurations, the absence of such a peak in the rhamnose unit indicated an α-configuration. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR signals of rhamnose were in agreement with this configuration [6]. For the galactosyl, glucosyl and rhamnosyl residues the D-, D- and L-configurations, respectively were assumed as these usually occur in glycosides of higher plants.

Finally, the connections of the four sugar components had to be determined. Long-range couplings

Table 1. NMR ( $\delta$  values, J Hz) for compounds 1–3 (oligosaccharide portions)

Sugar Position	1		2			3	
	C	Н	J(H,H)	C	Н	$J\left( \mathrm{H,H}\right)$	C
Galactose							
1	100.3	4.89	7.8 (1,2)	99.9	4.88	7.8 (1,2)	100.4
2	77.9	4.51	8.4 (2,3)	78.2	4.64	9.7 (2.3)	75.1
3	76.1	4.16	3.6 (3,4)	76.1	4.35	3.4 (3.4)	84.8
4	80.2	4.51	0.0 (4,5)	80.2	4.89	0.0(4.5)	70.2
5	75.1	3.98	7.3 (5,6a)	75.2	4.23	6.0 (5.6a)	76.0
6a	60.4	4.20	8.8 (5,6b)	60.6	4.32	5.9 (5.6b)	62.3
6b		4.64	9.5 (6a,6b)		4.42	11.0 (6a,6b)	
Rhamnose							
1	102.2	6.13	1.5 (1,2)	102.3	6.20	1.5 (1,2)	102.2
2	72.3	4.74	3.4 (2,3)	72.3	4.78	3.4 (2.3)	72.5
3	72.7	4.52	9.3 (3,4)	72.6	4.52	9.4 (3.4)	72.8
4	74.0	4.25	9.4 (4,5)	74.0	4.22	9.6 (4.5)	74.2
5	69.8	4.85	6.1 (5,6)	69.8	4.86	6.1 (5.6)	69.4
6	18.4	1.73		18.4	1.66		18.6
Glucose (inner)							
1	104.5	5.10	7.6 (1,2)	104.5	5.05	7.8 (1.2)	105.7
2	84.1	4.17	8.4 (2,3)	84.2	3.87	8.3 (2.3)	74.8
3	78.8	4.20	8.2 (3,4)	78.8	4.05	9.0 (3.4)	78.35
4	71.4*	3.90	7.9 (4,5)	71.4*	3.82	9.1 (4.5)	71.9
5	78.8†	3.89	8.0 (5,6a)	78.8†	3.99	8.8 (5.6a)	77.2
6a	63.0	4.09	11.0 (6a,6b)	63.0	4.05	1.7 (5.6b)	70.4
6b		4.54			4.79	9.0 (6a,6b)	
Glucose (terminal)							
1	105.6	5.46	7.8 (1,2)	105.7	4.97	7.8 (1,2)	105.6
2	75.8	4.07	9.0 (2,3)	75.8	4.01	9.1 (2,3)	75.3
3	78.5	4.14	9.0 (3,4)	78.5	4.24	9.2 (3.4)	78.2
4	71.5*	4.26	9.2 (4,5)	71.5*	4.17	9.3 (4,5)	71.6
5	78.4†	3.89		78.4†	3.85	5.2 (5,6a)	78.4*
6a	62.6	4.54		62.5	4.30	8.9 (5,6b)	62.6
6b		4.54			4.44	10.2 (6a,6b)	

<sup>\*,†</sup> May be exchanged.

were detected in the gradient-selected HMBC spectrum between H-1 of galactose and C-3 of the aglycone soladulcidine, H-1 of rhamnose and C-2 of galactose, H-1 of the inner glucose and C-4 of galactose and H-1 of the terminal glucose and C-2 of the inner glucose. Other interglycosidic couplings to be expected could not unambiguously be assigned, because some 'H NMR signals were superimposed. Correlation in the ROESY spectrum between H-3 of the aglycone soladulcidine and H-1 of galactose was in agreement with these results. Again some expected correlations could not be unambiguously assigned.

The structure of the sugar portion of isosolasuaveoline (3) was elucidated in a similar manner. Again two <sup>1</sup>H spin systems were observed for galactose. The relationships of these systems were detected by ROESY correlations H-1/H-5 and/or H-4/H-5. The anomeric configurations of the sugar moieties were detected, as described for 1 and 2.

The sequence of the sugar chain and its connection with the aglycone solasodine followed from long-range couplings observed in the gradient-selected HMBC spectrum: H-3 of solasodine/C-1 of galactose, H-1 of galactose/C-3 of solasodine, H-2 of galactose, C-1 of rhamnose, H-1 of rhamnose/C-2 of galactose, H-3 of galactose/C-1 of inner glucose, H-1 of inner glucose/C-3 of galactose and H-1 of terminal glucose/C-6 of inner glucose. These results were corroborated by correlation in the ROESY spectrum between H-3 of solasodine and H-1 of galactose, H-2 of galactose and H-1 of rhamnose and H-3 of galactose and H-1 of the inner glucose.

Structure 3 was already proposed for solashabanine isolated from root bark of *Solanum laciniatum* Ait. on the basis of its  $^{13}$ C NMR spectrum, which was compared with those of solasonine and a  $\beta$ -gentiobiose subunit [8]. This is not a rigorous proof, but the structure was in accordance with the claimed enzymatic transformation into solasonine [8]. Unfortunately, only melting point (decomposition) and the  $^{13}$ C NMR spectrum measured in a mixture of pyridine- $d_5$  and CD<sub>3</sub>OD in an unspecified ratio was published. Therefore, our product cannot be exactly compared with solashabanine, although the  $^{13}$ C NMR data seem to suggest non-identity. Attempts to obtain an authentic sample of solashabanine were not successful.

## EXPERIMENTAL

Seeds of *S. suaveolens* Kunth et Bouché were obtained from Hortus Botanicus, Nijmegen. The species was identified by Mr G. M. van der Weerden, Nijmegen, in 1990. Plants were grown in a field in Halle (Saale) and harvested in September 1992. A voucher specimen is retained in the Institute of Plant Biochemistry, Halle.

<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were measured in pyridine-*d*<sub>5</sub> (126 MHz, TMS), <sup>1</sup>H NMR, <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H DQF COSY, 2D TOCSY, gradient-selected HSQC, gradient-selected HMBC and ROESY spectra in pyridine-*d*<sub>5</sub>-CD<sub>3</sub>OD

(19:1; 500 MHz, TMS). The values for the <sup>13</sup>C signals were practically identical in both solvents, however the <sup>1</sup>H signals were better resolved in the latter mixt.

Isolation of alkaloids. Aerial parts were dried at 60°, ground and extracted with MeOH at room temp. Evapn of MeOH in vacuo gave a residue which was partitioned between H<sub>2</sub>O and C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>-Et<sub>2</sub>O (1:1). After addition of KHCO<sub>3</sub> to the aq. layer, the latter was extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub>-EtOH (2:1). Evapn of solvents in vacuo gave a mixt. of alkaloids. This was chromatographed over Merck silica gel with CHCl<sub>3</sub>-MeOH-conc. NH<sub>3</sub> (3:1:1, 12:5:4 and 6:3:2, lower phases) and over Merck LiChroprep RP-8 with 0.4% HOAc-MeOH (1:1). Frs containing alkaloids were basified with conc. NH<sub>3</sub>, the MeOH evapd in vacuo, the aq. soln extracted with CHCl3-EtOH (2:1) and the solvents evapd again in vacuo. TLC: Merck TLC aluminium sheets silica gel 60 WF<sub>2548</sub>, CHCl<sub>3</sub>-MeOHconc. NH<sub>3</sub>(3:3:1), detection by Dragendorff's reagent  $[R_t:$  khasianine 0.58, solamargine 0.38, xylosylsolamargine 0.22, solasonine 0.16, solasuaveoline 0.08, dihydrosolasuaveoline 0.08, isosolasuaveoline 0.04] or Merck TLC plates RP-8 F<sub>2548</sub>, MeOH-buffer soln (3:2; buffer soln: 50 g of NH<sub>4</sub>OAc dissolved in 50 ml of  $H_2O$ , 560 ml of 1 M HCl and 720 ml of  $H_2O$ added; detection by  $I_2$  vapour) [ $R_f$ : khasianine 0.23, solamargine 0.30, xylosylsolamargine 0.34, solasonine 0.31, solasuaveoline 0.39, dihydrosolasuaveoline 0.35, isosolasuaveoline 0.39].

Solasuaveoline, (25R)-3β-{O-β-D-glucopyranosyl-(1  $\rightarrow$  2)-O-β-D-glucopyranosyl-(1  $\rightarrow$  4)-[O-α-L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1  $\rightarrow$  2)]-β-D-galactopyranosyloxy}-22αN-spirosol-5-ene (1). From MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O crystals. Mp 277-278° (dec.). [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>2</sub><sup>2</sup> -64.6° (pyridine, c 1.00). <sup>13</sup>C NMR: δ 15.7 (C-21), 16.5 (C-18), 19.3 (C-19), 19.7 (C-27), 21.1 (C-11), 30.1 (C-2), 30.9 (C-24), 31.6 (C-8, C-25), 32.3 (C-15), 32.5 (C-7), 34.5 (C-23), 37.1 (C-10), 37.5 (C-1), 38.8 (C-4), 40.0 (C-12), 40.6 (C-13), 41.6 (C-20), 47.9 (C-26), 50.3 (C-9), 56.6 (C-14), 63.4 (C-17), 77.7 (C-3), 79.1 (C-16), 98.4 (C-22), 121.8 (C-6), 140.8 (C-5), oligosaccharide signals: cf. Table 1. ESI-MS (positive ion): 1046 [M+H]<sup>+</sup>.

Dihydrosolasuaveoline, (25R)-3 $\beta$ - $\{O$ - $\beta$ -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ -O- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ -[O- $\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ ]- $\beta$ -D-galactopyranosyloxy}-5 $\alpha$ ,22 $\alpha$ N-spirosolane (2). From MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O crystals. Mp 275–277° (dec.).  $[\alpha]_D^{24}$  –43.8° (pyridine, c 0.54). H NMR:  $\delta$  0.81 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, H<sub>3</sub>-27), 0.84  $(s, H_3-19), 0.86 (s, H_3-18), 1.10 (d, J = 6.7 \text{ Hz}, H_3-21).$ <sup>1</sup>H NMR (derived from the gradient-selected HSQC spectrum):  $\delta$  0.80 (H-1), 1.57 (H-1), 1.76 (H-2), 2.07 (H-2), 3.93 (H-3), 1.62 (H-4), 1.89 (H-4), 0.90 (H-5), 1.14 (H-6), 1.14 (H-6), 0.79 (H-7), 1.53 (H-7), 1.43 (H-8), 0.53 (H-9), 1.22 (H-11), 1.42 (H-11), 1.06 (H-12), 1.66 (H-12), 1.05 (H-14), 1.42 (H-15), 2.03 (H-15), 4.46 (H-16), 1.79 (H-17), 0.86 (H-18), 0.84 (H-19), 1.97 (H-20), 1.10 (H-21), 1.70 (H-23), 1.70 (H-23), 1.60 (H-24), 1.60 (H-24), 1.65 (H-25), 2.76 (H-26), 2.76 (H-26), 0.81 (H-27), oligosaccharide signals: cf. Table 1. <sup>13</sup>C NMR:  $\delta$  12.4 (C-19), 15.6 (C-21), 16.7 (C-18), 19.6 (C-27), 21.2 (C-11), 28.9 (C-6), 29.8 (C-2), 30.8 (C-24), 31.2 (C-25), 32.38\* (C-7), 32.43\* (C-15), 34.3 (C-4), 34.4 (C-23), 35.2 (C-8), 35.9 (C-10), 37.2 (C-1), 40.2 (C-12), 40.9 (C-13), 41.6 (C-20), 44.6 (C-5), 47.8 (C-26), 54.4 (C-9), 56.4 (C-14), 63.5 (C-17), 76.9 (C-3), 79.3 (C-16), 98.3 (C-22), oligosaccharide signals: cf. Table 1. ESI-MS (positive ion): 1048 [M+H]<sup>+</sup>.

Isosolasuaveoline, (25R)-3 $\beta$ -{O- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 6)$ -O- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ -[O- $\alpha$ -L-rhamno $pyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 2)]-\beta-D-galactopyranosyloxy\}-22\alpha N$ spirosol-5-ene (3). From MeOH needles. Mp 277-279° (dec.).  $[\alpha]_D^{23} - 71.4^\circ$  (pyridine, c 1.00). H NMR:  $\delta$  0.82  $(d, J = 6.2 \text{ Hz}, H_3-27), 0.88 (s, H_3-18), 1.06 (s, H_3-19),$  $1.09 (d, J = 7.0 \text{ Hz}, \text{H}_3-21), 5.31 (d, J = 5.2 \text{ Hz}, \text{H}-6).$ <sup>1</sup>H NMR (derived from the gradient-selected HSQC spectrum):  $\delta$  0.97 (H-1), 1.74 (H-1), 1.84 (H-2), 2.07 (H-2), 3.89 (H-3), 2.71 (H-4), 2.71 (H-4), 5.31 (H-6), 1.49 (H-7), 2.06 (H-7), 1.57 (H-8), 0.91 (H-9), 1.45 (H-11), 1.45 (H-11), 1.13 (H-12), 1.71 (H-12), 1.09 (H-14), 1.49 (H-15), 1.90 (H-15), 4.44 (H-16), 1.78 (H-17), 0.88 (H-18), 1.06 (H-19), 1.97 (H-20), 1.09 (H-21), 1.70 (H-23), 1.75 (H-23), 1.62 (H-24), 1.62 (H-24), 1.63 (H-25), 2.75 (H-26), 2.75 (H-26), 0.82 (H-27), oligosaccharide signals: cf. Table 1.  $^{13}$ C NMR:  $\delta$ 15.7 (C-21), 16.5 (C-18), 19.4 (C-19), 19.7 (C-27), 21.1 (C-11), 30.1 (C-2), 30.9 (C-24), 31.4 (C-25), 31.7 (C-8), 32.3 (C-15), 32.5 (C-7), 34.6 (C-23), 37.1 (C-10), 37.5 (C-1), 38.8 (C-4), 40.0 (C-12), 40.6 (C-13), 41.6 (C-20), 47.9 (C-26), 50.3 (C-9), 56.6 (C-14), 63.4 (C-17), 77.4 (C-3), 79.0 (C-16), 98.4 (C-22), 121.7 (C-6), 140.9 (C-5), oligosaccharide signals: cf. Table 1. ESI-MS (positive ion): 1046 [M+H]<sup>+</sup>.

Acknowledgements—This work was supported by the Ministerium für Bildung, Wissenschaft, Forschung und Technologie, Bonn. We are grateful to Mrs I. Horn for mass spectrometry measurements. We thank the Hortus Botanicus, Nijmegen, for the generous supply of seeds.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Le thi Quyen, Himmelreich, U. and Schreiber, K., Liebigs Annalen/Recueil, 1997, 1589.
- 2. Mahato, S. B., Sahu, N. P., Ganguly, A. N., Kasai, R. and Tanaka, O., *Phytochemistry*, 1980, **19**, 2017.
- 3. Chun-Nan Lin, Chai-Ming Lu, Ming-Kung Cheng, Kim-Hong Gan and Shen-Jeu Won, *Journal of Natural Products*, 1990, **53**, 513.
- 4. Ripperger, H., Phytochemistry, 1995, 39, 1475.
- 5. Lorey, S., Porzel, A. and Ripperger, H., *Phytochemistry*, 1996, **41**, 1633.
- Ripperger, H. and Himmelreich, U., Phytochemistry, 1994, 37, 1725.
- 7. Ripperger, H. and Porzel, A., *Phytochemistry*, 1992, **31**, 725.
- 8. Neszmelyi, A., Machytka, D. and Shabana, M. M., *Phytochemistry*, 1988, **27**, 603.

<sup>\*</sup> May be exchanged.