

PH: S0031-9422(97)00589-X

THE ESSENTIAL OIL COMPOSITION OF FRUITS FROM SMYRNIUM PERFOLIATUM

ULRIKE MÖLLEKEN, VOLKER SINNWELL* and KARL-HEINZ KUBECZKA†

- Department of Pharmaceutical Biology, University of Hamburg, Bundesstrasse 43, 20146 Hamburg, Germany;
- * Institute of Organic Chemistry, University of Hamburg, Martin-Luther-King-Platz 6, 20146 Hamburg, Germany

(Received 28 April 1997)

Key Word Index—Smyrnium perfoliatum; Apiaceae; perfoliate alexanders; essential oil composition; germacrene D; 1β -acetoxyfuranoeudesm-3-ene; 1β -acetoxyfuranoeudesm-4(15)-ene; linderazulene.

Abstract—The essential oil composition of fruits from Smyrnium perfoliatum obtained by hydrodistillation has been investigated. A large proportion of the oil was composed of sesquiterpene hydrocarbons (60.5%) with germacrene D (45.2%) as main constituent. The fraction of oxygenated compounds (29.8%) was dominated by furanosequiterpenoids (17.3%). Among them 1β -acetoxyfuranoeudesm-3-ene (9.0%), 1β -acetoxyfuranoeudesm-4(15)-ene (4.7%) and glechomafuran (1.3%) could be identified. Additionally, small amounts of the furanoazulene linderazulene were isolated and are found to be responsible for the deep violet colour of the oil. (§ 1998 Published by Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved

INTRODUCTION

Smyrnium perfoliatum L. is a biennial umbellifer native to the Mediterranean region of South Europe extending to S. W. Asia. Due to its cultivation as a garden plant in former centuries, it is also naturalized in Western Europe (France, The Netherlands, Austria and G.B.). As its synonym Smyrnium dioscoridis suggests, S. perfoliatum is described in ancient literature as a medicinal plant. Regarding the composition of the essential fruit oil, there is only one publication in 1928 [1]. One monoterpene and one sesquiterpene hydrocarbon were isolated in addition to one oxygenated sesquiterpene, none of which has been identified; however, a dark violet colour of the oil was mentioned. The occurrence of sesquiterpene lactones in fruit and root extracts of S. perfoliatum was reported in 1987 [2]. Additionally, a recent paper deals with the essential oil composition of the epigeous and hypogeous parts of S. perfoliatum [3]. In the epigeous parts, aromadendrene, neryl isovalerate, 7-muurolene and a-santalene were found as major components. whereas α-pinene, aromadendrene and α-terpinyl valerate were described as dominant compounds in the hypogeous parts of the plant. As no further studies have been done concerning the composition of the essential fruit oil we have analysed the volatiles obtained from ripe fruits of S. perfoliatum.

*Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1 gives a complete analysis of the essential oil obtained by hydrodistillation of fruits from *S. perfoliatum*. Using capillary GC, 69 components were detected, out of which 57 compounds comprising 91.78% of the oil could be identified by means of mass and NMR spectroscopy. The oil is characterized by a high content of sesquiterpene hydrocarbons (60.68%), above all germacrene D (45.22%), whereas monoterpene hydrocarbons could only be detected in minor quantities. The fraction of oxygenated compounds (29.89%) is mainly composed of sesquiterpenoids, among them a large proportion of furanosesquiterpenoids (17.49%).

The most prominent furanosesquiterpenoid, compound 1 (Peak no. 64), has been identified as 1β-acetoxyfuranoeudesm-3-ene. Although the mass spectrum of this substance differs completely from data presented in [4], the respective ¹H NMR data are in agreement (Table 2), even if in literature the signals of several protons were not included and the solvent used was not mentioned. The structure could be verified by the ¹³C NMR spectrum (Table 3) and the 2D-NMR data.

The mass spectrum of compound **2** (Peak no. 63), the second important furanosesquiterpenoid, clearly suggests that this substance is an isomer of **1**. Both mass as well as ¹H NMR spectral data (Table 2) of this constituent are in accordance with those published for 1β -acetoxyfuranoeudesm-3(15)-ene [5], which could be confirmed by the ¹³C NMR spectrum (Table 3).

Table 1. Composition of the essential oil of Smyrnium perfoliatum (fruits)

| Peak no. | Compound | R_{\prime} | 0/0 | Method of identification |
|-----------------|---|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| 1 | 2-Butanone | 953 | 0.11 | GC, MS |
| 2 | Pentan-2-one | 976 | 0.05 | GC, MS |
| 3 | n-Pentanal | 982 | 0.19 | GC, MS |
| 4 | α-Pinene | 1037 | 0.19 | GC, MS |
| 5 | n-Hexanal | 1080 | 0.04 | GC, MS |
| 6 | β-Pinene | 1102 | 4.44 | GC, MS |
| 7 | Sabinene | 1112 | 0.03 | GC, MS |
| 8 | Myrcene | 1150 | 0.05 | GC, MS |
| 9 | Limonene | 1186 | 0.06 | GC, MS |
| 10 | 2-Methylbutanol | 1195 | 0.09 | GC, MS |
| 11 | Isoamyl alcohol | 1196 | 0.08 | GC, MS |
| 12 | η-Terpinene | 1234 | 0.02 | GC, MS |
| 13 | α-Cubebene | 1469 | 0.13 | GC, MS |
| 14 | δ -Elemene | 1482 | 0.08 | GC, MS |
| 15 | α-Ylangene | 1485 | 0.08 | GC, MS |
| 16 | α-Copaene | 1508 | 0.44 | GC, MS |
| 17 | β -Bourbonene | 1537 | 0.42 | GC, MS |
| 18 | Benzaldehyde | 1542 | 0.07 | GC, MS |
| 19 | β-Cubebene | 1558 | 0.70 | GC, MS |
| 20 | Linalol | 1568 | 0.07 | GC, MS |
| 21 | β-Ylangene | 1576 | 0.25 | GC, MS |
| 22 | β-Elemene | 1590 | 1.88 | GC, MS |
| 23 | β-Gurjunene | 1617 | 0.24 | GC, MS |
| 24 | β-Caryophyllene | 1623 | 1.13 | GC, MS |
| 25 | y-Elemene | 1665 | 0.71 | GC, MS |
| 26 27 | α-Humulene | 1699 | 0.50 | GC, MS |
| 28 | y-Muurolene | 1720 | 0.71 | GC, MS |
| 20 29 | α-Terpineol Germacrene D | 1729 1746 | 0.09 | GC, MS |
| 30 | Bicyclosesquiphellandrene | 1746 1748 | 45.22 0.31 | GC, MS |
| 31 | α-Muurolene | 1755 | 0.31 | GC, jlMS GC, MS |
| 32 | Bicyclogermacrene | 1766 | 1.54 | GC, MS |
| 33 | Geranyl acetate | 1784 | 0.08 | GC, MS |
| 34 | δ-Cadinene | 1788 | 1.80 | GC, MS |
| 35 | y-Cadinene | 1791 | 0.32 | GC, MS |
| 36 | β-Sesquiphellandrene | 1794 | 0.14 | GC, MS |
| 37 | Methyl salicylate | 1798 | 0.11 | GC. MS |
| 38 | Cadina-1,4-diene | 1813 | 0.10 | GC, MS |
| 39 | α-Cadinene | 1823 | 0.10 | GC. MS |
| 40 | Germacrene B | 1861 | 3.03 | GC, MS |
| 41 | lsofuranogermacrene | 1898 | 0.17 | GC, MS |
| 42 | epi-Cubebol | 1916 | 0.29 | GC, MS |
| 43 | 1,5-Epoxysalvial-4(14)-ene? | 1950 | 0.25 | MS |
| 44 | Cubebol | 1965 | 0.33 | GC, MS |
| 45 | Caryophyllene oxide | 2008 | 0.20 | GC, MS |
| 46 | Salvial-4(14)-en-1-one? | 2030 | 0.09 | MS |
| 47 | Germacra-1(10) E ,5 E -dien-4 β -ol | 2064 | 0.67 | GC, MS |
| 48 | $C_{15}H_{26}O(m/z; 109, 93, 161, 41, 55)$ | 2075 | 0.16 | MS |
| 49 | Epi-cubenol | 2079 | 0.12 | GC, MS |
| 50 | C ₁₅ H ₂₂ O (<i>m/z</i> : 107, 121, 135, 67, 93) | 2089 | 0.17 | GC, MS |
| 51 | β -Elemenone | 2099 | 0.56 | GC, MS |
| 52 | Spathulenol | 2128 | 0.47 | GC, MS |
| 53 | $C_{15}H_{22}O(m/z; 107, 135, 136, 67, 91)$ | 2165 | 0.19 | MS |
| 54 | τ-Cadinol | 2167 | 0.38 | GC, MS |
| 55 | τ- M uurolol | 2182 | 0.76 | GC, MS |
| 56 | δ -Cadinol | 2191 | 0.25 | GC, MS |
| 57 | α-Cadinol | 2219 | 1.54 | GC, MS |
| 58 | Germacrone | 2223 | 4.49 | GC, MS |
| 59 | $C_{15}H_{18}O_2$ (m/z: 43, 230, 187, 109, 145) | 2333 | 0.56 | MS |
| 60 | $C_{15}H_{20}O_{2}$ (m/z: 119, 159, 202, 43, 120) | 2345 | 0.28 | MS |

Table 1. Continued

| Peak no. | Compound | R_t | % | Method of identification |
|-------------|--|-------|-------|--------------------------|
| 61 | $C_{15}H_{18}O_2$ (m/z: 172, 187, 108, 230, 43) | 2594 | 0.59 | MS |
| 62 | Furanosesquiterpene* $(m/z: 199, 214, 274, 43, 172)$ | 2655 | 1.01 | MS |
| 63 | 1β-Acetoxyfuranoeudesm-4(15)-ene | 2663 | 4.65 | NMR, MS |
| 64 | 1β-Acetoxyfuranoeudesm-3-ene | 2677 | 9.04 | NMR, MS |
| 65 | Glechomafuran | 2871 | 1.28 | NMR,MS |
| 66 | | | 0.28 | MS |
| 67 | | | 0.43 | MS |
| 68 | Furanosesquiterpene* $(m/z; 232, 108, 43, 109, 41)$ | 3011 | 0.28 | MS |
| 69 | Linderazulene | 3062 | 0.35 | NMR, MS |
| | Total | | 96.20 | |

^{*}Positive reaction on TLC after spraying with 0.25% dimethylaminobenzaldehyde in acetic acid-phosphoric acid (EP-reagent).

glechomafuran

Compound 3 (Peak no. 65) was identified as glechomafuran by comparing its 6 H and 13 C NMR spectral data (Tables 3 and 4) with the respective data given in [6–8], however in [7] the signals at δ 121.9 and 116.0 were wrongly assigned. As the HMBC demonstrates, the signal at δ 116.0 is coupled with the doublet at δ 3.45 (H-9) while the signal at δ 121.9 shows no connectivity to H-9. Consequently C-7 must be assigned to the signal at δ 121.9 and C-11 to the signal at δ 116.0. The mass spectrum is comparable to the data presented in [8], although the intensive fragments at m/x 43, 108 and 109 were not mentioned in the paper.

linderazulene

Additionally, small amounts of compound 4 (Peak no. 69) were isolated and identified as linderazulene. This substance, which is known as a pigment of gorgonians [9], has been found in a higher plant for the first time. It is responsible for the deep violet colour of the oil. In addition, we have detected the same compound in some oils of *Curcuma zedoaria* as a trace constituent [10].

With the exception of **4** all the furanosesquiterpenoids in this study are known constituents of other *Smyrnium* species. Compound **1** has been found in fruits of *S. galaticum* [4], while **2** and **3** have been isolated from fruits of *S. olusatrum* previously [5].

Comparing these results with those recently published by Tirillini *et al.* [3], it is very surprising that there is no similarity, since the investigations of herbs, roots and fruits of other *Smyrnium* species [10] exhibited only quantitative differences between the respective essential oil compositions. It is particularly astonishing, that furanosesquiterpenoids have not been detected in any of the investigated organs, as the presence of this group of substances has been described for all species of the genus *Smyrnium* [11–15]. Concerning *S. perfoliatum*, furanodiene has been found as a constituent of the roots previously [2].

EXPERIMENTAL

Plant material and isolation of the volatile compounds. The fruits of Smyrnium perfoliatum were harvested in July 1992 from Kirklareli in Turkey. A voucher specimen has been deposited in the Herbarium of the Department of Pharmaceutical Biology. University of Hamburg. The ripe fruits were crushed and subjected to hydrodistillation for 6 h [16] yielding 0.65% of a deep violet coloured oil. Before detailed GC and GC–MS analysis, the oil was prefractionated by silica gel dry CC using n-pentane and a mixture of n-pentane and Et₂O (8:2) as eluents [17].

GC. A Varian series 3700 gas chromatograph equipped with an FID and a DB-Wax fused-silica capillary column (30 m \times 0.25 mm, film thickness: 0.25 μ m) was employed. Oven temp, was programmed from 45° to 220° with a heating rate of 3° min $^{-1}$. Injector and detector temp, were 220°; carrier gas was 0.9 ml min $^{-1}$ N₂. Calculation of the percentages was based on peak areas obtained with a HP 3396 A integrator without response factor correction.

Compounds 1-4 were isolated by prep. GC using a

Table 2. ¹H NMR spectral data of compounds 1 and 2 in comparison to the literature (δ-values)

| Н | 1** | literature [5]‡ | 2 ^{a.} † | literature [4]‡ |
|-----|----------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| 1 | 4.83 dd | 4.83 dd (4.5, 12) | 4.88 dd | 4.92 dd (4,11) |
| | (1a,2e = 4.4) | | (1a,2a = 10.4, | |
| | | | 1a,2e = 6.1) | |
| 2 | 1.47 <i>ddt</i> | 1.58 <i>dddd</i> | 1.98–2.10 m | |
| | (2a, 2e = 13.8, | | | |
| | 2a,3a = 12.0, | | | |
| | 2a, 1a = 12.0, | | | |
| | 2a,3e = 4.41 | | | |
| 2' | 1.81-1.86 m | 1.90 <i>dg</i> | 2.36–2.44 m | _ |
| 3 | 1.90 <i>dt</i> | 2.25 m | 5.34 <i>br s</i> | 5.27 hr d (11) |
| | (3a, 3e = 13.8, | | | |
| | 3a,2e = 4.41 | | | |
| 3′ | 2.09 ddd | 2.25 m | reg o re | |
| | (3e, 2e = 2.5) | | | |
| 5 | 1.77-1.83 m | 2.33 dd (3, 12) | 2.24-2.37 m | _ |
| 6 | 2.28 m | 2.40 dd (5, 12) | 2.58 m | |
| 6' | 2.28 m | 2.47 dd (5, 12) | 2.58 m | |
| 9 | 2.45 d | 2.41 d (16) | 2.33 d | 2.08 d(12) |
| | (9a, 9e = 16.1) | | (9a, 9e = 16.1) | |
| 9′ | 2.76 d | 2.57 d (17) | 2.50 d | 2.27 |
| 12 | 7.02 br s | 7.04 br s | 7.04 br s | 7.08 br s |
| 13 | 1.79 d (13,12 = 1.2) | 1.92 d(1) | 1.93 d (13.12 = 1.0) | 1.94 d(1) |
| 14 | 0.82 s | 0.81 s | 0.86 s | 1.34 s |
| 15 | 4.65 d | 4.79 d(1) | 1.69 d (15,3 = 1.2) | 1.70 s |
| | (15,15'=1.6) | | | |
| 15' | 4.77 d | 4.92 d | | |
| 2ac | 1.64 s | 2.06 s | 2.07 s | 2.14 |

Coupling constants in parentheses in Hz.

Table 3. ¹H NMR spectral data of compounds 3 and 4 in comparison to the literature (δ -values)

| Н | 3 ** | literature [6]† | literature [7]† | 4 a.‡ | literature [9]§ |
|----|---------------------|-------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| ı | 2.37 dd | 2.86 d (11) | 2.86 dd (10.5, 2) | | |
| | (1a, 2a = 10.3, | | | | |
| | 1a,2e = 1.9) | | | | |
| 2 | 1.66-1.72 m | 1.5-2.2 m | 2.14 m (1.2a = 2) | 6.95 d(2.3 = 3.2) | $7.04 \ d(4)$ |
| 2' | $0.98-1.07 \ m$ | 1.5-2.2 m | 1.49 m (1.2b = 10.5) | | |
| 3 | 1.85 m | 1.5-2.2 m | 2.31 m | 7.11 d | 7.25 d |
| 3′ | $0.98-1.07 \ m$ | 1.5-2.2 m | 1.38 m | | |
| 5 | 2.77-2.85 m | 3.16 q (9.5, 2.5) | 3.20 dd (10, 2.5) | | - |
| 6 | 2.18 m | 2.56 d (17) | 2.36 ddd (18, 10, 1.5) | 8.14 s | 8.10 s |
| 6′ | 2.77-2.85 m | 3.03 d (17) | $3.03 \ br \ d (18)$ | | = 1481 |
| 9 | 2.36 d | $2.30 \ m$ | 2.57 br d (17) | $7.03 \ s$ | 7.28 s |
| | (9a.9e = 15.8) | | | | |
| 9' | 3.45 d | 3.45 d (15) | 3.41 d (17) | | |
| 12 | 6.83 br s | 7.06 br s | 7.08 br s | 7.37 br s | 7.13 s |
| 13 | 1.59 d(13,12 = 1.3) | 1.81 d(1.5) | 1.93 d (1.5) | 2.15 d (13.12 = 1.3) | 2.33 s |
| 14 | 0.97 s | 1.30 s | 1.29 s | 2.55 s | 2.75 s |
| 15 | 0.92 s | 1.25 s | 1.18 s | 2.44 s | 2.64 s |

Coupling constants in parentheses in Hz.

^a all protons were assigned by COSY, NOESY, HMBC, and HMQC.

^{*} in C₆D₆.

[†] in CDCl₃.

[‡] solvent not mentioned.

^{*}all protons were assigned by COSY, NOESY, HMBC, and HMQC.

^{*} in C₂D₆.

[†]in CDCl₃.

 $[\]ddagger$ in acetone- d_6 .

[§]in CCl4.

Table 4. ¹³C NMR spectral data of compounds 1–4 (δ -values)

| C | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|-----|-------|-------|-------|--------|
| ı | 80.1 | 77.1 | 67.5 | 133.7 |
| 2 | 28.3 | 29.0 | 24.1 | 116.9 |
| 3 | 34.0 | 119.7 | 36.6 | 132.2 |
| 4 | 147.4 | 134.6 | 58.9 | 136.6a |
| 5 | 44.1 | 44.2 | 60.9 | 127.1ª |
| 6 | 20.7 | 21.2 | 24.8 | 124.9 |
| 7 | 115.7 | 116.6 | 116.2 | 121.2 |
| 8 | 149.1 | 150.1 | 147.0 | 158.8 |
| 9 | 36.0 | 35.0 | 38.5 | 111.4 |
| 10 | 40.2 | 37.5 | 60.3 | 139.5 |
| 11 | 119.3 | 119.4 | 121.9 | 119.7 |
| 12 | 137.8 | 137.8 | 136.7 | 139.1 |
| 13 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 8.4 | 7.6 |
| 14 | 12.0 | 11.4 | 17.4 | 24.3 |
| 15 | 109.0 | 20.8 | 16.2 | 13.2 |
| 1ac | 169.7 | 169.8 | | |
| 2ac | 20.6 | 20.6 | | |

Measured in C_6D_6 . All signals were assigned by HMBC, and HMQC.

Varian aerograph 1700 equipped with a stainless steel column (1.95 m \times 0.5 mm) packed with 20% silicon GE SE-30 on 80–100 mesh Volaspher A2 connected with an outlet splitter/FID (split-ratio: 10:1). The column was held isothermal at 190°, the N_2 flow rate was 190 ml min $^{-1}$.

GC–MS. A Hewlett-Packard 5890 A series II; MSD 5970B GC–MS system equipped with a HP-UX series 9000, Mod.340 data system was used. A DB-Wax fused-silica capillary column (60 m×0.25 mm, film thickness: 0.25 μ m) was directly led into the ion source (220°), temp. program as above, flow: 0.9 ml min⁻¹ He, ionisation voltage: 70 eV (E.L-mode).

Component identification. The identification of the individual constituents was performed by computer search of the Wiley/NBS Registry of Mass Spectral Data, the TNO Library of Mass Spectral Data, and a user generated library with retention indices and mass spectral data of authentic reference substances. The structures of compounds 1–4 were determined by ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy, including COSY, HMBC, HMQC, and NOE measurements.

1*β-Acetoxyfuranoeudesm-3-ene* (1). ¹H NMR: Table 2; ¹³C NMR: Table 4; EIMS (70 eV, 220) *m/z* (rel. int.): 274 [M]⁺ (57), 214 (88), 199 (100), 185 (52), 171 (27), 159 (33), 135 (28), 108 (56), 91 (38), 79 (28), 43 (76).

1β-Acetoxyfuranoeudesm-4(15)-ene (2). ¹H NMR: Table 2; ¹³C NMR: Table 4; MS data are in agreement with [5].

Glechomafuran (3). ¹H NMR: Table 3; ¹³C NMR: Table 4; EIMS (70 eV, 220°) *m/z* (rel. int.): 248 [M]⁺ (39), 161 (13), 135 (17), 109 (44), 108 (81), 91 (27), 79 (31), 77 (22), 65 (13), 55 (15), 43 (100), 41 (26), 39 (19).

Linderazulene (2). ¹H NMR: Table 3; ¹³C NMR: Table 4; EIMS *m/z* (rel. int.): 210 [M]⁺ (85), 209 (100), 195 (29), 166 (12), 165 (41), 152 (17), 82 (11), 45 (20).

Acknowledgements—We thank Dr Wulf Schultze for the GC-MS analysis.

REFERENCES

- 1. Nilow, W., Journal of the Russian Physico-Chemical Society, 1928, 60, 1579.
- Gören, N. and Ulubelen, A. Phytochemistry, 1987, 26, 2585.
- 3. Tirillini, B., Stoppini, A. M. and Pellegrino, R. R., Journal of Essential Oil Research, 1996, 8, 611.
- 4. Ulubelen, A. and Gören, N., Journal of Natural Products, 1986, 49, 1104.
- Ulubelen, A., Öksüz, S., Gören, N., Mabry, T. J. and Bohlmann, F., *Phytochemistry*, 1985. 24, 1105.
- Stahl, E. and Datta, S. N., Liebigs Annalen der Chemie, 1972, 757, 23.
- 7. Ulubelen, A. and Öksüz, S., Journal of Natural Products, 1983, 46, 490.
- 8. Gonzalez, A. G., Grillo, T. A., Ravelo, A. G., Luis, J. G. and Rodriguez, M. L., *Journal of Natural Products*, 1989, **5**, 1307.
- Imre, S., Thomson, R. H. and Yalhi, B., Experientia, 1981, 37, 442.
- 10. unpublished results.
- Bohlmann, F. and Zdero, C., Chemische Berichte, 1973, 106, 3614.
- 12. Gören, N., Ulubelen, A., Jakupovic, J., Bohlmann, F. and Grenz, M., *Phytochemistry*, 1984, **23**, 2281.
- 13. Gören, N. and Ulubelen, A., *Phytochemistry*, 1987, **26**, 2633.
- 14. Ulubelen, A., Gören, N. and Jakupovic, J., *Phytochemistry*, 1987, 1, 312.
- 15. Ulubelen, A., Öksüz, S. and Tanker, N., *Phytochemistry*, 1984, **23**, 1793.
- 16. Kubeczka, K.-H., Chromatographia, 1973, 6, 106.
- 17. Sprecher, E., Deutsche Apotheker Zeitung, 1963, 103, 231.

[&]quot; signals may be interchanged.