PII: S0031-9422(97)01006-6

A-RING CONTRACTED TRITERPENOID FROM ROSA MULTIFLORA

HOSUP YEO, SHIN-YOUNG PARK and JINWOONG KIM*

College of Pharmacy, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742, Korea

(Received in revised form 13 October 1997)

Key Word Index—*Rosa multiflora*; Rosaceae; triterpenes; rosamultic acid; 2-hydroxymethyl A(1)nor-19α,24-dihydroxyurs-2,12-dien-28-oic acid.

Abstract—A new A-ring contracted triterpene, rosamultic acid, was isolated from the roots of *Rosa multiflora*, together with five known triterpenes: sericic acid, euscaphic acid, myrianthic acid, kaji-ichigoside F1 and niga-ichigoside F2. The structure of the new compound was elucidated as 2-hydroxymethyl A(1)nor-19α,24-dihydroxyurs-2,12-dien-28-oic acid by spectroscopic methods. © 1998 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved

INTRODUCTION

Rosa multiflora Thunberg is a small tree widespread in Korea, the various parts of which have been used for several purposes in folk medicine [1]. Previous phytochemical investigations on the roots of this species led to the identification of a pentacyclic triterpene, tormentic acid and its glucoside, rosamultin [2, 3]. In this paper, we report on the isolation and structural elucidation of a new A-ring contracted triterpene, rosamultic acid (1), along with five known triterpenes (2-6).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The methanolic extract of the roots of *R. multiflora* was diluted with water, and then extracted with chloroform and *n*-BuOH. Repeated chromatography of the two extracts on silica gel and Sephadex LH-20 afforded 1-4 from the chloroform extract, and 5 and 6 from the *n*-BuOH extract, respectively. Compounds 2-6 were identified as sericic acid (2), euscaphic acid (3), myrianthic acid (4), kaji-ichigoside F1 (5) and niga-ichigoside F2 (6) by comparison with previously reported physical and spectral data [4, 5]. Compound 2 has been isolated from *Terminalia sericea* [4], *T. ivorensis* (Combretaceae) [6], *Quercus ilex* (Fagaceae) [7] and *Vochysia divergens* (Vochysiaceae) [8]. However, to our knowledge, this is the first report of the ¹³C NMR data of 2.

Compound 1 gave a positive Liebermann-Burchard test for triterpenes and its 1R spectrum showed the absorption bands for hydroxyl (3422 cm⁻¹), carboxyl (1686 cm⁻¹), and olefinic (1633 cm⁻¹) groups. The EI

* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

mass spectrum exhibited [M]⁺ at m/z 486, and the HR-FAB mass spectrum [M+Na]⁻ at m/z 509.3247, corresponding to the molecular formula $C_{30}H_{46}O_5$. The ¹H NMR spectrum of 1 revealed the presence of one secondary methyl ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.07), five tertiary methyls ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.10, 1.20, 1.38, 1.43 and 1.67), four carbinolic [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.81, 4.00 (each 1H, d, J = 10.4 Hz) and $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.44, 4.59 (each 1H, d, J = 14.4 Hz)] and two olefinic [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.56 (br s) and 6.07 (br s)] protons.

The ¹³C NMR and DEPT spectra of 1 displayed signals for two trisubstituted double bonds [δ_C 128.10 (d), 131.22 (d), 140.26 (s) and 158.14 (s)], one-COOR (δ_C 180.73), two-CH₂OH (δ_C 61.01 and 66.51) and one tertiary-OH (δ_C 72.68) groups. The chemical shifts of C-12 (δ_C 128.10) and C-13 (δ_C 140.26) indicated 1 was a Δ^{12} -ursene triterpenoid [9, 10], which was further supported by the appearance of the prominent retro-Diels-Alder fragment ions (m/z 221 and 264) in its EI mass spectrum [11, 12].

In addition, the characteristic fragment peaks at [RDA], 246 264 $[264 - H_2O]^+$ [264-H₂O-CO₂H]⁺, and 187, suggested that 1 was an urs-12-en-28-oic acid derivative having one free hydroxyl function in ring D or E[11, 12]. The position of the hydroxyl group was confirmed from the HMBC and NOE difference spectra of 1. The HMBC spectrum showed cross-peaks from the one-proton singlet at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.00 (H-18) to the quaternary carbon bearing an oxygen atom at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 72.68 (C-19), and the carbonyl carbon atom at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 180.73 (C-28). Moreover, irradiation of Me-29 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.38) showed NOEs for H-12 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.56), H-18 β ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.00) and Me-30 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.07) in its NOE difference experiments, indicating the placement of hydroxyl group at C-19a position.

The remaining trisubstituted double bond $[\delta_C]$ 131.22 (d) and 158.14 (s)] and two-CH₂OH $[\delta_C]$ 61.01 (t) and 66.51 (t)] groups were suggested to be present

1400 H. Yeo et al.

in ring A or B based on the appearance of the retro-Diels-Alder breakdown fragment at m/z 221 in the EI mass spectrum. In the ¹H-¹H and ¹H-¹³C COSY spectra of 1, the hydroxymethylene signals at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.44 and 4.59 $[\delta_C 61.01 (t)]$ showed allylic correlation peaks with the signal at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.07 attributed to the olefinic proton on a trisubstituted double bond [$\delta_{\rm C}$ 131.22 (d) and 158.14 (s)], indicating the presence of -CH=C-CH2OH moiety in 1. Furthermore, the signals for the A/B ring junction carbons [$\delta_{\rm C}$ 63.67 (C-5) and 50.92 (C-10)] of 1 were significantly downfield shifted than those of typical ursane or oleananes [10]. These findings indicated that 1 possessed a seco or five-membered A-ring skeleton bearing a hydroxymethylene group attached to a trisubstituted double bond. However, the eight degrees of unsaturation from the molecular formula (C₃₀H₄₆O₅) suggested that 1 was a pentacyclic triterpene with two double bonds and one carboxyl group. The partial structure for the five membered A-ring was confirmed from the HMBC spectrum, which showed distinct cross-peaks of correlation through two and three bonds from $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.44 and 4.59 (H₂-1) to $\delta_{\rm C}$ 158.14 (C-2), 131.22 (C-3) and 50.92 (C-10), and from $\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.07 (H-3) to $\delta_{\rm C}$ 63.67 (C-5), 50.92 (C-10) and 49.16 (C-4).

The second hydroxymethylene group ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.81, 4.00 and $\delta_{\rm C}$ 66.51) was located at C-4 as shown by the ¹H¹³C long range correlations from $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.81 and 4.00 (H₂24) to $\delta_{\rm C}$ 131.22 (C-3), 63.67 (C-5), 49.16 (C-4) and
25.11 (C-23). Moreover, NOEs were observed between Me-25 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.20) and H₂-24 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.81 and 4.00) in the NOE difference experiments, which indicated the primary hydroxyl substituent was on the axially β -oriented C-24 rather than on the equatorially α -oriented C-23. Thus, the two primary hydroxyl groups were placed at C-1 and C-24 in 1.

From all the above data, the structure of rosamultic acid 1 was elucidated as 2-hydroxymethyl A(1)nor-19α,24-dihydroxyurs-2,12-dien-28-oic acid. In fact, this is the second example of an A-ring contracted ursene triterpene isolated from natural sources, the

first having been reported from Hyptis suaveolens (Labiatae) [13].

EXPERIMENTAL

General

Mps: uncorr.; EIMS and HR-FABMS: VG Trio 2 and JEOL JMS AX505WA mass spectrometer, respectively; IR: JASCO 300E spectrometer; NMR: JEOL JNM-LA 300 spectrometer (300 MHz for ¹H and 75 MHz for ¹³C) with reference to the residual solvent signals; TLC: precoated silica gel 60 F₂₅₄ (Merck) and detection by spraying anisaldehyde-10% H₂SO₄ followed by heating.

Plant material

The roots of Rosa multiflora Thunberg were collected at Mt. Chunma (Korea) in July 1995, and identified by Dr Dae Suk Han, an emeritus professor of College of Pharmacy, Seoul National University. A voucher specimen (SNUPH-0026) has been deposited in the herbarium of our institute.

Extraction and isolation

The air-dried roots of *R. multiflora* (2.3 kg) were cut into pieces and extracted with 80% MeOH. The MeOH extract was evapd *in vacuo* to give a crude extract (300 g), which was successively extracted with CHCl₃ and *n*-BuOH. The CHCl₃ extract (26 g) was chromatographed over silica gel using *n*-hexane-EtOAc and CHCl₃-MeOH gradient to give 3 (32 mg), 4 (54 mg), and a mixture of 1 and 2 (107 mg). The mixture was subjected to the repeated CC over silica gel (*n*-hexane-Me₂CO, 4:1) and Sephadex LH-20 (MeOH) to afford 1 (49 mg) and 2 (8 mg). The *n*-BuOH extract (127 g) was chromatographed on silica

Table 1. 13C NMR spectral data for compounds 1 and 2

	•	•
С	1	2
1	61.01 t	47.43 t
2	158.14 s	68.65 d
3	131.22 d	85.74 d
4	49.16 s	43.94 s
5	63.67 d	56.58 d
6	18.04 t	19.37 t
7	35.10 t	33.61 t
8	42.00 s	40.02 s
9	43.92 d	48.49 d
10	50.92 s	38.47 s
11	27.16 t	28.82 t
12	128.10 d	123.64 d
13	140.26 s	144.90 s
14	42.34 s	42.11 s
15	29.70 t	29.17 t
16	26.41 t	24.48 t
17	48.32 s	46.05 s
18	54.82 d	44.80 d
19	72.68 s	81.21 d
20	42.34 d	35.70 s
21	26.96 t	28.36 t
22	38.51 t	29.96 t
23	25.11 q	24.13 q
24	66.51 t	65.62 t
25	19.56 q	17.37 q
26	18.81 <i>q</i>	17.12 q
27	25.39 q	$24.79 \ q$
28	180.73 s	180.95 s
29	27.16 q	$29.10 \ q$
30	16.76 q	24.77 q

gel, eluting with CHCl₃-MeOH gradient to obtain 5 (379 mg) and 6 (17 mg).

Rosamultic acid (2-hydroxymethyl A(1)nor-19 α ,24dihydroxyurs-2,12-dien-28-oic acid) (1). White amorphous powder, mp 239-241° (dec.). $[\alpha]_D^{25} + 57.4^{\circ}$ (MeOH; c 0.1); IR $v_{\text{max}}^{\text{KBr}}$ cm⁻¹: 3422 (OH), 2932, 1686 (C=O), 1633 (C=C), 1459, 1383, 1027; HR-FABMS (positive) m/z: 509.3247 [M+Na]⁺, Calcd for $C_{30}H_{46}O_5Na: 509.3243$; FABMS (positive) m/z: 509 $[M+Na]^+$, 487 $[M+1]^+$; EIMS (70 eV) m/z: 486 [M]⁺, 468, 440, 438, 437, 424, 406, 393, 376, 264, 246, 239, 231, 222, 221, 203, 201, 191, 189, 187, 185, 173, 161, 146, 119, 105, 91; ¹H NMR (pyridine- d_5): δ 1.07 (3H, d, J = 6.4 Hz, H-30), 1.10 (3H, s, H-26), 1.20(3H, s, H-25), 1.38 (3H, s, H-29), 1.43 (3H, s, H-23), 1.67 (3H, s, H-27), 3.00 (1H, s, H-18), 3.08 (1H, td, J = 12.7, 4.4 Hz, H-16ax), 3.81 (1H, d, J = 10.4 Hz, H-24a), 4.00 (1H, d, J = 10.4 Hz, H-24b), 4.44 (1H, d, J = 14.4 Hz, H-1a, 4.59 (1H, d, J = 14.4 Hz, H-1b), 5.56 (1H, br s, H-12), 6.07 (1H, br s, H-3).

Sericic acid $(2\alpha,3\beta,19\alpha,24$ -tetrahydroxyolean-12-en-28-oic acid) (2). White amorphous powder, mp 282–284° (dec.). $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ +37.3° (MeOH; c 0.15); IR v_{max}^{Kgn} cm⁻¹: 3436 (OH), 2925, 1691 (C=O), 1643 (C=C), 1463, 1380, 1051; FABMS (positive) m/z: 527 $[M+Na]^+$; EIMS (70 eV) m/z: 486 $[M-H_2O]^+$, 468, 458, 442, 424, 406, 264, 246, 239, 231, 221, 213, 203, 201, 189, 187, 173, 146, 131, 119, 105, 91; 1H NMR (pyridine- d_5): δ 1.01 (3H, s, H-26), 1.03 (3H, s, H-25), 1.11 (3H, s, H-30), 1.19 (3H, s, H-29), 1.58 (3H, s, H-23), 1.62 (3H, s, H-27), 2.80 (1H, s, H-16ax), 3.55 (1H, s, s, H-30, 3.61 (1H, s, s, H-16ax), 3.55 (1H, s, s, H-18), 3.73 (1H, s, s, H-19, 3.62 (1H, s, s, H-18), 3.73 (1H, s, s, H-10.4 Hz, H-24a), 4.30 (1H, s, H-2s, 4.46 (1H, s, s, H-12).

Acknowledgements—This work was supported by the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation (KOSEF) through the Research Centre for New Drug Development at Seoul National University.

REFERENCES

- Kim, J. G., Illustrated Natural Drugs Encyclopedia (Color Edition), Vol 1. Namsandang, Seoul, 1984, p. 424.
- Takahashi, K., Ogura, M. and Tanabe, Y., Chemical and Pharmaceutical Bulletin, 1969, 17, 2223.
- Du, H.-Q., Zhao, X., Zhao, T.-Z., Wang, M.-T., Zhang, Z.-W., Yao, M. and Yu, S.-Z., Yaoxue Xuebao, 1983, 18, 314.
- 4. Bombardelli, E., Bonati, A., Gabetta, B. and Mustich, G., *Phytochemistry*, 1974, 13, 2559.
- Seto, T., Tanaka, T., Tanaka, O. and Naruhashi, N., Phytochemistry, 1984, 23, 2829.
- Iwu, M. M. and Anyanwu, B. N., Fitoterapia, 1982, 53, 19.
- Romussi, G., Parodi, B. and Falsone, G., Pharmazie, 1983, 38, 787.
- 8. Hess, S. C., Brum, R. L., Honda, N. K., Cruz, A. B., Moretto, E., Cruz, R. B., Messana, I., Ferrari, F., Filho, V. C. and Yunes, R. A., *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, 1995, 47, 97.
- 9. Doddrell, D. M., Khong, P. W. and Lewis, K. G., Tetrahedron Letters, 1974, 2381.
- Mahato, S. B. and Kundu, A. P., *Phytochemistry*, 1994, 37, 1517.
- Budzikiewicz, H., Wilson, J. M. and Djerassi, C., Journal of the American Chemical Society, 1963, 85, 3688.
- 12. Ogunkoya, L., Phytochemistry, 1981, 20, 121.
- Raja Rao, K. V., Rao, L. J. M. and Prakasa Rao, N. S., *Phytochemistry*, 1990, 29, 1326.