

DIHYDROFLAVONOL GLYCOSIDES FROM *RHODODENDRON FERRUGINEUM*

E. CHOSSON,* A. CHABOUD, A. J. CHULIA† and J. RAYNAUD

Département de Botanique, Homéopathie, Pharmacognosie et Biologie Cellulaire, I.S.P.B.-Faculté de Pharmacie, Université Claude Bernard, Lyon I, 8 av. Rockefeller F-69373 Lyon Cedex, France; † Laboratoire de Pharmacognosie, UFR de Pharmacie, Université de Limoges, 2, rue du Docteur Marcland F-87025 Limoges Cedex, France

(Received in revised form 8 January 1998)

Key Word Index—*Rhododendron ferrugineum*; Ericaceae; dihydroflavonol; acetylated flavonoids.

Abstract—Chemical investigation of the leaves and the flowers of *Rhododendron ferrugineum* afforded six known flavonoids: hyperoside, myricetin 3-*O*- β -galactopyranoside, kaempferol 3-*O*-(6''-*O*-acetyl)-glucoside, quercetin 3-*O*-(6''-*O*-acetyl)-glucoside, quercetin 3-*O*-(6''-*O*-acetyl)-galactoside, quercetin 3-*O*-(3'',6''-*O*-diacetyl)-galactoside and two new dihydroflavonol glycosides: *trans*-taxifolin 3-*O*- α -arabinopyranoside and *cis*-taxifolin 3-*O*- α -arabinopyranoside. © 1998 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved

INTRODUCTION

Rhododendron ferrugineum, commonly known as rosalie, is a small shrub widespread in European mountains. Popularly known to be medicinal and toxic, its leaves are used against rheumatism in Germany [1]. Chemical studies of the Ericaceae have shown this family to be a rich source of polyphenols [2]. We report here the isolation and the structure determination of two new dihydroflavonoids and six other flavonoids, four of which are acetylated.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The ^{13}C NMR data of **1** exhibited typical signals of flavanonol-type skeletons (Table 1): C-2 value at 83.77 ppm and C-3 value at 76.33 ppm. The saturated bond between C-2 and C-3 was confirmed by the presence of 2 doublets at 4.78 ppm (H-3) and 5.13 ppm (H-2) in the ^1H NMR spectrum. Thus, the aglycone moiety was identified easily as the known dihydroquercetin [3]. The OH group attached to C-3 was assigned to the *trans* configuration in relation to the B-ring because H-2/H-3 system showed a high coupling constant ($J = 10.6$ Hz) [4]. Two main fragments were observed in the mass spectrum, m/z 437 and m/z 305; the latter was obtained by losing the sugar indicating that it is a pentose. Hydrolysis of **1** yielded dihydroquercetin and arabinose, determined by TLC vs authentic samples. Close similarities of chemical shifts

from C-2'' to C-5'' with reported values for the same carbons indicated that the sugar was arabinopyranose [5]. It can be deduced from the anomeric proton coupling constant ($J = 3.9$ Hz) that it is the α -anomer [4]. Therefore, the structure of **1** is the novel *trans*-taxifolin 3-*O*- α -L-arabinopyranoside. NMR spectra showed that the structures of **1** and **2** were very similar. In ^1H NMR spectrum, **2** showed signals which were in agreement with those of **1** except for the H-2/H-3 coupling constant ($J = 3$ Hz). These data suggested **2** to be an isomer of **1** with the *cis* configuration [4]. On this basis, **2** was identified as the novel *cis*-taxifolin 3-*O*- α -L-arabinopyranoside. Dihydroflavonoids are widespread in Ericaceae and *Rhododendron*, especially glucosides and galactosides of dihydroflavonols [6], but arabinosides seem to be much rarer [7]. **3** was recognized as hyperoside by cochromatography. Compounds **4–8** were identified by ^{13}C NMR data comparison with literature as myricetin 3-*O*- β -D-galactopyranoside, kaempferol 3-*O*-(6''-*O*-acetyl)-glucoside, quercetin 3-*O*-(6''-*O*-acetyl)-glucoside, quercetin 3-*O*-(6''-*O*-acetyl)-galactoside and quercetin 3-*O*-(3'',6''-di*O*-acetyl)-galactoside [8–12]. Since about 1980, descriptions of natural occurring acetylated compounds have increased. In the Ericaceae, acetylated flavonoids have been described in only two species: *Ledum palustre* [11] and *Calluna vulgaris* [13–15]. Thus we report here the first isolation of acetylated flavonoids in *Rhododendron*. Except **7** known in *Ledum palustre*, acetylated flavonoids from *Rhododendron ferrugineum* are all new in the Ericaceae; they are all known in the Asteraceae, however [12, 16, 17].

* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

Table 1. ^1H and ^{13}C NMR chemical shift assignments of compounds **1** and **2** in CD_3OD

| Position | 1 | | | 2 | | |
|----------|--|------------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|
| | ^1H | J (Hz) | ^{13}C | ^1H | J (Hz) | ^{13}C |
| 2 | 5.13 <i>d</i> | 10.6 | 83.77 | 5.45 <i>d</i> | 3.0 | 81.98 |
| 3 | 4.78 <i>d</i> | 10.6 | 76.33 | 4.55 <i>d</i> | 3.0 | 76.65 |
| 4 | | | 196.07 | | | 194.45 |
| 5 | | | 165.41 | | | 165.89 |
| 6 | 5.90 <i>d</i> | 2.1 | 97.39 | 5.89 <i>d</i> | 2.1 | 97.28 |
| 7 | | | 168.98 | | | 168.79 |
| 8 | 5.92 <i>d</i> | 2.1 | 96.39 | 5.93 <i>d</i> | 2.1 | 96.38 |
| 9 | | | 164.26 | | | 164.24 |
| 10 | | | | | | |
| 1' | | | 128.78 | | | 128.41 |
| 2' | 6.96 <i>d</i> | 1.8 | 115.65 | 7.04 <i>d</i> | 1.9 | 115.99 |
| 3' | | | 146.52 | | | 146.02 |
| 4' | | | 147.42 | | | 146.63 |
| 5' | 6.79 <i>d</i> | 8.1 | 116.28 | 6.72 <i>d</i> | 8.2 | 116.14 |
| 6' | 6.85 <i>dd</i> | 8.2, 1.9 | 120.75 | 6.82 <i>dd</i> | 8.2, 1.9 | 120.46 |
| 1'' | 3.83 <i>d</i> | 3.9 | 102.36 | 4.57 <i>d</i> | 5.9 | 102.85 |
| 2'' | 3.59 <i>dd</i> | 6.0, 3.9 | 73.09 | | | 72.02 |
| 3'' | 3.55 <i>dd</i> | 6.1, 3.3 | 66.78 | | | 73.64 |
| 4'' | 3.79 <i>m</i> | | 71.09 | | | 68.57 |
| 5'' | ax: 3.92 <i>dd</i> eq: 3.37 <i>dd</i> | 11.7, 7.4 11.7, 3.6 | 63.39 | | | 65.69 |

EXPERIMENTAL

Plant material

See Ref. [18]

General

See Ref. [18], TLC: polyamide DC6 (Macherey-Nagel), cellulose MN 301 (Macherey-Nagel). hydrolyses and sugar analyses: HCl 2N for 30 min at 100° ; TLC examination on silica gel (Merk), $\text{AC}_2\text{O}-\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (9:1).

Extraction and isolation

Flowers: see Ref. [18]; 400 g of dried and powdered leaves were extracted with MeOH at room temp. The conc. methanolic soln was extracted successively with hexane, CH_2Cl_2 , and EtOAc. The EtOAc soluble phase (43 g) was applied to a Sephadex LH20 column; MeOH elution gave 4 frs (I–IV). Fr. I, chromatographed over polyamide with EtOAc containing increasing amount of MeOH, gave 8 frs. Frs 1, 3 and 8 were purified by preparative TLC, respectively on cellulose [$\text{HOAc}-\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (2:98)], polyamid [toluene–MeOH–MeCOEt (4:3:3)], cellulose [$\text{HOAc}-\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (15:85)]. Fr. 1 yielded **5** and **6**, fr. 3 afforded **7** and fr. 8 gave **8**.

trans-taxifolin 3-*O*- α -L-arabinopyranoside (**1**). λ^{MeOH} 291, 319 *sh*; (AlCl_3): 314, 381; (NaOAc): 320, 365 *sh*. Negative FAB-MS: m/z 435 $[\text{M}-\text{H}]^-$. Positive

FAB-MS: m/z 459 $[\text{M}+\text{Na}^+]^+$; m/z 437 $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$; 305 $[(\text{M}+\text{H})-\text{C}_5\text{H}_8\text{O}_4]^+$. ^1H NMR and ^{13}C NMR. See Table 1.

cis-taxifolin 3-*O*- α -L-arabinopyranoside (**2**). λ^{MeOH} 293, 381 *sh*; (AlCl_3): 314, 384; (NaOAc): 322, 365 *sh*. Negative FAB-MS: m/z 435 $[\text{M}-\text{H}]^-$. Positive FAB-MS: m/z 459 $[\text{M}+\text{Na}^+]^+$; m/z 437 $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$. ^1H NMR and ^{13}C NMR. See Table 1.

Acknowledgements—The authors are grateful to F. Thomasson and C. Bosso for NMR and MS analyses.

REFERENCES

- Bezanger-Beauquesne, L., Pinkas, M., Torck, M. and Trotin, F., *Plantes médicinales des régions tempérées* 2nd edn. Maloine, Paris, 1990, p. 224.
- Paris, R.R. and Moyse, H., *Précis de matière médicale* Vol 3. Masson, Paris, 1971, p. 1.
- Lundgren, L.N. and Theander, O., *Phytochemistry*, 1988, **27**, 829.
- Markham, K.R. and Geiger, H., in *The flavonoids: Advances in research since 1986*, ed. J. B. Harborne. Chapman and Hall, London, 1994, p. 441.
- Sakushima, A. and Nishibe, S., *Phytochemistry*, 1988, **27**, 948.
- King, B.L., *Am. J. Bot.*, 1997, **64**, 350.
- Harborne, J.B. and Williams, C.A., *Phytochemistry*, 1971, **10**, 2727.
- Agrawal, P.K., *Phytochemistry*, 1992, **31**, 3307.

9. Merfort, I., *Phytochemistry*, 1988, **27**, 3281.
10. Reynaud, J. and Raynaud, J., *Bioch. Syst. Ecol.*, 1986, **14**, 191.
11. Zapesochaynaya, G. and Pangarova, T., *Dokl. Bolg. Akad. Nauk.*, 1980, **33**, 933.
12. D'Agostino, M., De Simone, F., Zhou, Z.L. and Pizza, C., *Phytochemistry*, 1992, **31**, 4387.
13. Simon, A., Chulia, A.J., Kaouadji, M., Allais, D.P. and Delage, C., *Phytochemistry*, 1993, **33**, 1237.
14. Simon, A., Chulia, A.J., Kaouadji, M. and Delage, C., *Phytochemistry*, 1994, **36**, 1043.
15. Allais, D.P. Chulia, A.J., Kaouadji, M., Simon, A. and Delage, C., *Phytochemistry*, 1995, **39**, 427.
16. Merfort, I. and Wendisch, D., *Planta Medica*, 1987, **53**, 434.
17. Budzianowski, D., Skrzypczak, L. and Wesolowska, M., *Sci. Pharm.*, 1990, **58**, 15.
18. Chosson, E., Chaboud, A., Chulia, A.J. and Raynaud J., *Phytochemistry*, 1998, **47**, 87.