



Phytochemistry 53 (2000) 1001-1003

www.elsevier.com/locate/phytochem

Two glycosides from the stem bark of Tetracentron sinense

Jin-hai Yi^a, Guo-lin Zhang^b, Bo-gang Li^b, Yao-zu Chen^{a,*}

^aThe Chemistry Department of Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, People's Republic of China ^bChengdu Institute of Biology, Academia Sinica, Chengdu 610041, People's Republic of China

Received in revised form 28 June 1999

Abstract

Two glycosides, tetracentronsides A and B, were isolated from the stem bark of *Tetracentron sinense* Oliv., along with ten known compounds, β -sitosterol, lupeol, betulinic acid, oleanolic acid, vanillic aldehyde, vanillic acid, maslinic acid, huazhongilexin, daucosterol and catechin. On the basis of spectral and chemical evidence, tetracentronside A and B were identified as 3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl-O-6'-O-vanilloyl- β -D-glucopyranoside and (8R, 8'R) 9- β -D-glucopyranosyl dihydrocubebin, respectively. © 2000 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Tetracentron sinense; Magnoliaceae; Tetracentronside A; Tetracentronside B

1. Introduction

Tetracentron sinense Oliv. is the only representative of the genus Tetracentron (Magnoliaceae) (How, Wu, Ko & Chen, 1982). The chemical constituents of this plant have never been reported. In this investigation, two new compounds, named tetracentronsides A (1) and B (2), as well as ten known compounds, β -sitosterol (3), lupeol (4), betulinic acid (5), oleanolic acid (6), vanillic aldehyde (7), vanillic acid (8), maslinic acid (9), huazhongilexin (10), daucosterol (11) and catechin (12) were isolated from the stem bark of T. sinense and characterized by spectral and chemical methods.

2. Results and discussion

Tetracentronside A (1), isolated as white needles, had the molecular formula $C_{23}H_{28}O_{12}$ as shown by HR-EIMS (m/z 496.1578). It gave a positive colouration with ferric chloride and Molish reagent, indicating that 1 is a glycoside with phenolic hydroxy groups. The UV spectrum showed λ_{max} at 271 and 239 nm.

The IR spectrum suggested the presence of hydroxyl groups (br, 3364 cm⁻¹), carbonyl groups (1732 cm⁻¹) and aromatic rings (1612, 1520 cm⁻¹). After hydrolysis of **1** in 10% methanolic HCl solution, D-glucose and vanillic acid were detected by co-TLC with authentic samples.

The β-D-glucopyranosyl moiety of **1** was recognized from a 1 H-NMR signal at δ 4.86 (d, J=7.5 Hz, H-1') and the evidence mentioned above. The vanilloyl moiety was identified by the mass spectral fragments at m/z 329 [M-vanilloyl]⁺ and 151 [vanilloyl]⁺, and by comparing its 1 H- and 13 C-NMR spectral data with those of vanillic acid (**8**). The presence of a trimethoxyphenyl moiety was inferred based on the above data and further supported by the mass spectral fragments at m/z 313 [M-C₉H₁₁O₄]⁺ and 184 [C₉H₁₁O₄ + H]⁺. In the HMBC experiment of **1**, cross peaks were observed between H-1' and C-1, and H-6' and C=O. (Fig. 1). Therefore, **1** was determined to be 3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl-O-6'-O-vanilloyl- β -D-glucopyranoside.

Tetracentronside B (2) was obtained as white plates, and had the molecular formula $C_{26}H_{32}O_{11}$ as determined by HR-EIMS (m/z 520.1994). The UV spectrum showed λ_{max} at 287 and 234 nm. Hydrolysis of 2 in 7% methanolic HCl solution yielded D-glucose, as detected by co-TLC, in addition to (–)dehydroxycube-

^{*} Corresponding author.

bin (2a) and (-)dihydrocubebin (2b), obtained by CC and whose mp, $[\alpha]_D$ and spectral data were identical to those reported (Carvalho, Yoshida, Gottlieb & Gottlieb, 1987; Anjaneyulu, Atcuta, Ramachandra & Venkateshwarlu, 1981; Koul, Taneija, Pushpangadan & Dhar, 1988). The β-D-glucopyranosyl moiety of 2 was recognized from the ¹H-NMR signal at δ 4.17 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, H-1") and the mass spectral fragment at m/z358 [M-Glc] in the EIMS. The aglycone, a dihydrocubebin moiety, was identified by comparing its ¹Hand ¹³C-NMR spectral with those of **2**b, and based on the evidence mentioned above. Thus, 2 was determined to be (8R, 8'R) 9- β -D-glucopyranosyl dihydrocubebin.

3. Experimental

3.1. General

Mps: uncorr. UV: in MeOH; IR: KBr discs; NMR:

Fig. 1. Structures of 1, 2, 2a and 2b (HMBC correlations).

= H

500 MHz for ¹H and 125 MHz for ¹³C, TMS as internal standard; CC: silica gel, 200-300 mesh. Optical rotations were measured on a PE-241 Polarimeter. TLC was carried out on silica gel (10-40 µ) plates. Spots were detected by spraying with 5% ethanolic phosphomolybdic acid solution followed by heating.

3.2. Plant material

Stem bark of T. sinense Oliv. was collected at Nanchuan, Chongging, China, in August 1995 and identified by Prof. G. M. Shu (Sichuan Institute of Chinese Materia Medica), where a voucher specimen is kept.

3.3. Extraction and separation

The dried and powdered bark (2 kg) was extracted with 95% EtOH (8 1 \times 3). After removing solvent under reduced pressure, 98 g of residue was obtained. This was divided into six frs. by CC with CHCl₃-MeOH (10: 0-3). Fr. 1 was subjected to further CC eluted with a gradient of petroleum ether (bp 60-90°C): EtOAc (10 : 1-3) to yield **3** (98 mg), **4** (16 mg), 5 (45 mg), 6 (20 mg) and 7 (8 mg). From fr. 2, 8 (10 mg) and 9 (22 mg) were obtained by CC (CHCl₃: EtOAc : MeOH, 10 : 10 : 2). 10 was obtained by recrystallization of fr. 3 from EtOAc. Fr. 4 was separated by CC (CHCl₃: MeOH, 10:1) to yield 11 (80 mg), 1 (25 mg) and 2 (580 mg). 12 (32 mg) was isolated by purification of fr. 6 by CC (CHCl₃: MeOH: H_2O , 13 : 5 : 2 lower layer).

3.4. Identification of known compounds

Compounds 3, 4 (Sholichin, Yamasaki, Kasai & Tanaka, 1980), 5 (Sholichin et al., 1980), 6, 7, 8 (Wang, Zhang & Chen, 1991), 9 (Kojima & Ogura, 1986), 10 (Lin, Qin & Xu, 1995), 11, and 12 (Porter, Newman, Foo, Wong & Hemingway, 1982; Yu, Shen, Shen, Chen & Xiao, 1989) were identified by co-TLC with authentic samples and by comparison of their spectral data with those reported.

3.5. Tetracentronside A (1)

White needles. mp 125–126°C. [α]_D²⁵ = -43.6° (c = 0.234, MeOH). UV λ _{max} (nm): 271, 239; IR ν _{max} cm⁻¹: 3364 (OH), 1732 (C=O), 1612, 1520 (aromatic rings), 1462, 1369, 1215, 1119, 1042, 833, 664. ¹H-NMR spectral data (CD₃OD): δ 6.39 (2H, s, 2/6-H), 3.65 (6H, s, 3/5-OMe), 3.67 (3H, s, 4-OMe), 4.87 (1H, d, J = 7.5Hz, 1'-H), 4.72 (1H, dd, J = 12/2 Hz, $6'\alpha$ -H), 4.38 $(1H, dd, J = 12/7 \text{ Hz}, 6'\beta\text{-H}), 7.52 (1H, d, J = 1 \text{ Hz},$ 2"-H), 6.83 (1H, d, J = 8 Hz, 5"-H), 7.53 (1H, dd, J= 8/1 Hz, 6"-H), 3.84 (3H, s, 3"-OMe). ¹³C-NMR spectral data (CD₃OD): δ 155.7 (1-C), 96.8 (2/6-C), 154.8 (3/5-C), 135.0 (4-C), 58.6 (3/5-OMe), 61.2 (4-OMe), 103.1 (1'-C), 74.9 (2'-C), 77.8 (3'-C), 72.0 (4'-C), 75.8 (5'-C), 65.3 (6'-C), 122.5 (1"-C), 113.9 (2"-C), 148.8 (3"-C), 153.1 (4"-C), 116.0 (5"-C), 125.2 (6"-C), 167.9 (C=O), 58.5 (3"-OMe). FABMS (m/z): 497 [M + 1]⁺, 329 [M-vanilloyl]⁺, 313, 295, 184, 169, 151. HR-EIMS (m/z): 496.1578 (M⁺, C₂₃H₂₈O₁₂, calc. 496.1581).

3.6. Hydrolysis of tetracentronside A (1)

Tetracentronside A (10 mg) was dissolved in 10% HCl–MeOH solution and heated at 80° C for 2 h. In the reaction mixture, vanillic acid was identified by TLC on silca gel $60F_{254}$ (CHCl₃: MeOH, 10:0.3); glucose was detected on silica gel G [lower phase of CHCl₃: MeOH: H_2O , 15:6:2-HOAc (9:1)].

3.7. Tetrecentronside B (2)

White plates, mp 156–157°C, $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -12.1^\circ$ (c = 0.280, MeOH). UV λ_{max} (nm): 287, 234. IR ν_{max} cm⁻¹: 3460, 3348 (OH), 1501, 1447, 1393, 1254, 1038, 926, 810, 700. ¹H-NMR spectral data (CD₃OD): δ 6.61 (2H, d, J < 1.0 Hz, 2/2'-H), 6.66 (2H, d, J = 7.8 Hz, 5/5'-H), 6.59 (2H, dd, J = 7.8/ < 1.0 Hz, 6/6'-H), 2.50–2.70 (4H, m, 7/7'-H), 2.05 (1H, m, 8-H), 1.89 (1H, m, 8'-H), 3.87 (2H, m, 9 α /9' α -H), 3.50–3.70 (2H, m, 9 β /9' β -H), 5.87 (4H, s, O₂CH₂ × 2), 4.17 (1H, s, s) (11, s) (12, s) (13, s) (14, s) (15, s) (15, s) (16, s) (17, s) (10, s) (17, s) (10, s) (11, s

(4"-C), 78.8 (5"-C), 63.3 (6"-C). HR-EIMS (m/z): 520.1994 (M⁺, C₂₆H₃₂O₁₁, calc. 520.1945), 358 [M-Glc]⁺, 340, 217, 204, 192, 161, 135.

3.8. Hydrolysis of tetracentronside B (2)

A solution of tetracentronside B (80 mg) in 7% HCl–MeOH (8 ml) and CH_2Cl_2 (2 ml) was refluxed at 60°C for 10 h. The reaction mixture was subjected to CC (CHCl₃: MeOH, 10: 0.3) to yield (–)dehydroxy-cubebin (2a) and (–)dihydrocubebin (2b), whose mp, $[\alpha]_D$ and other spectral data were identical to those reported (Anjaneyulu et al., 1981; Carvalho et al., 1987; Koul et al., 1988).

References

Anjaneyulu, A. S. R., Atchuta, R. P., Ramachandra, R. L., & Venkateswarlu, R. (1981). *Tetrahedron*, 37, 3641.

Carvalho, M. G., Yoshida, M., Gottlieb, O. R., & Gottlieb, H. E. (1987). *Phytochemistry*, 26, 265.

How, F. C., Wu, T. L., Ko, W. C., & Chen, T. C. (1982). In A dictionary of the families and genera of chinese seed plants (p. 483). Beijing: Science Press.

Kojima, H., & Ogura, H. (1986). Phytochemistry, 25, 729.

Koul, S. K., Taneija, S. C., Pushpangadan, P., & Dhar, K. L. (1988). Phytochemistry, 27, 1479.

Lin, L. D., Qin, G. W., & Xu, R. S. (1995). Yaoxue Xuebao, 53, 98.
Porter, L. J., Newman, R. H., Foo, L. Y., Wong, H., & Hemingway, R. W. (1982). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans 1, 5, 1217.

Sholichin, M., Yamasaki, K., Kasai, R., & Tanaka, O. (1980). Chem. Pharm. Bull, 28, 1006.

Wang, M. A., Zhang, H. D., & Chen, Y. Z. (1991). Journal of Lanzhou University (Natural Sciences), 27(2), 93.

Yu, Q. Z., Shen, Z. B., Shen, H. Y., Chen, Q., & Xiao, Z. Y. (1989).
Journal of Chengdu University of Science and Technology, 2, 101.