Phytochemistry 54 (2000) 317-323

www.elsevier.com/locate/phytochem

Covalent anthocyanin–flavonol complexes from flowers of chive, Allium schoenoprasum

Torgils Fossen^a, Rune Slimestad^b, Dag Olav Øvstedal^c, Øyvind M. Andersen^{a,*}

^aDepartment of Chemistry, University of Bergen, Allégt. 41, N-5007 Bergen, Norway ^bPolyphenols AS, Hanabryggene Technology Centre, N-4300 Sandnes, Norway ^cDepartment of Botany, University of Bergen, Allégt. 41, N-5007 Bergen, Norway

Received 13 October 1999; received in revised form 3 March 2000

Abstract

The structures of eight anthocyanins have been determined in acidified methanolic extract of pale-purple flowers of chive, *Allium schoenoprasum*. Four of them have been identified as the anthocyanin–flavonol complexes (cyanidin 3-*O*-β-glucoside^{AII}) (kaempferol 3-*O*-(2-*O*-β-glucosyl^{FIII}-β-glucoside^{FII})-7-*O*-β-glucosiduronic acid^{FIV}) malonate^{AIII} (AII-6 → AIII-1, FIV-2 → AIII-3), **1**, (cyanidin 3-*O*-(3-*O*-acetyl-β-glucoside^{AII}) (kaempferol 3-*O*-(2-*O*-β-glucosyl^{FIII}-β-glucoside^{FII})-7-*O*-β-glucosiduronic acid^{FIV}) malonate^{AIII} (AII-6 → AIII-1, FIV-2 → AIII-3), **2**, and their 7-*O*-(methyl-*O*-β-glucosiduronate^{FIV}) analogous, **3** and **4**. Pigments **1** and **2** are the first final identification of covalent complexes between an anthocyanin and a flavonol, while **3** and **4** are formed during the isolation process. The other four anthocyanins (**5**-**8**) were found to be the 3-acetylglucoside, 3-glucoside, 3-(6-malonylglucoside) and 3-(3,6-dimalonylglucoside) of cyanidin. The three latter pigments have earlier been identified as the major anthocyanins of the chive stem. The covalent anthocyanin–flavonol complexes show intramolecular association between the anthocyanidin (cyanidin) and flavonol (kaempferol) units, which influence the colour. © 2000 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Allium schoenoprasum; Liliaceae; Covalent anthocyanin–flavonol complexes; (Cyanidin 3-glucoside) (kaempferol 3-(2-glucosylglucoside)-7-glucosiduronic acid) malonate; Acetylation; Co-pigmentation; Intramolecular association; Flower colour

1. Introduction

After the report of widespread occurrence in angiosperms of anthocyanins substituted by aliphatic dicarboxylic acids (Harborne and Boardley, 1985), an increase in complete identification of such pigments has been experienced (Strack and Wray, 1994; Harborne and Williams, 1995, 1998). The function(s) of dicarboxylic acid moieties in anthocyanins are, however, at the discussion level. It is clear that dicarboxylic acids, among which malonic acid is the most common type, make a covalent linkage between the anthocyanin

E-mail address: oyvind.anderson@kj.uib.no (Ø.M. Andersen).

to another moiety through the dicarboxylic acid possible. Nevertheless, very few of such complexes have been identified. The only covalent complex between an anthocyanin and another flavonoid moiety has been isolated from *Eichhornia crassipes* (Pontederiaceae) (Toki et al., 1994). This pigment contains delphinidin 3-gentiobiosyl and the flavone apigenin 7-glucosyl attached to each end of a malonyl unit. The existence of similar complexes has been indicated in orchids (Uphoff, 1982; Strack et al., 1989) and lupins (Takeda et al., 1993). A modified version of a diesterified dicarboxylic acid has recently been reported for an anthocyanin having the same malic acid unit connected to both the 3- and 5-sugar (Bloor, 1998).

Chives, Allium schoenoprasum L., is used in salads and culinary cookery. From the stem the following four anthocyanins have been reported: the 3-(3,6-dima-

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +47-55-583460; fax: +47-55-589490.

lonylglucoside), 3-(6-malonylglucoside), 3-(3-malonylglucoside) and 3-glucoside of cyanidin (Fossen et al., 1996). Chemotaxonomically, species belonging to the *Allium* genus seem to be remarkable containing at least some anthocyanins with substitution by either sugar or aliphatic acid in their sugar 3-position (Du et al., 1974; Terahara et al., 1994; Andersen and Fossen, 1995; Fossen et al., 1996; Fossen and Andersen, 1997). The anthocyanin content of *Allium* flowers has not been reported previously.

This paper describes the identification of two novel anthocyanin–flavonol covalent complexes in addition to other anthocyanins from the pale–purple flowers of chives, *Allium schoenoprasum*. A new intramolecular association mechanism is indicated.

2. Results and discussion

The HPLC chromatogram of the weakly acidified methanolic extract of chives detected in the visible spectral region, revealed a complex anthocyanin mixture. On the basis of the UV-VIS spectra recorded online during HPLC analysis (Table 1 and Section 3.4), the anthocyanins could be assigned into two groups. Pigments of one of these groups showed visible absorption maxima around 540 nm and extraordinary absorbtion around 354 nm, indicating anthocyanin-flavonol complexes. This group constituted above 65% of the total anthocyanin content. The anthocyanins in the extract were purified by partition against ethyl acetate followed by chromatography on a bed of Amberlite XAD-7 material. They were separated on a Sephadex LH-20 column, and individual anthocyanins were isolated by preparative HPLC.

Anthocyanins **5–8** had visible maxima around 520 nm, and their A_{440}/A_{520} ratio of 29% indicated 3-substituted cyanidin or peonidin derivatives (Andersen, 1987). The identity of **5**, **7** and **8** were determined to be cyanidin 3-glucoside, cyanidin 3-(6-malonylglucoside) and cyanidin 3-(3,6-dimalonylglucoside), respectively, by on-line co-chromatography (UV–VIS spectra

and t_R -values) with authentic pigments from other *Allium* species (Fossen et al., 1996). The identity of **5** and **7** were also confirmed by their molecular ions in the electrospray mass spectra (m/z 449 and 535). These three pigments have previously been reported to constitute jointly 96% of the anthocyanins in the stem (Fossen et al., 1996). The identity of **6** was tentatively determined to be cyanidin 3-acetylglucoside by its online UV–VIS spectrum and molecular ion at m/z 491.

The downfield region of the ¹H NMR spectrum of pigment **1** showed a singlet at δ 8.72 (H-A4), a 3H AMX system at δ 8.31 (dd, 8.6 Hz, 1.6 Hz, H-A6^I), δ 8.00 (d, 1.6 Hz, H-A2^I) and δ 7.05 (d, 8.6 Hz, H-A5^I) and an AX system at δ 6.87 (s, broad, H-A8) and δ 6.60 (d, 1.8 Hz, H-A6) in accordance with a cyanidin derivative. This region also contained a 4H AA'XX' system at δ 8.03 (d, 8.7 Hz, H-F2^I/ δ ^I) and δ 6.90 (H-F3^I/ δ ^I) and one AX system at δ 6.58 (H-F8) and δ 6.22 (H-F6) corresponding to a kaempferol derivative.

The sugar region in the ¹H NMR spectrum of 1 revealed the presence of four sugar units. To determine the linkages between the building blocks and to assign all the ¹H and ¹³C resonances (Tables 2 and 3), it was necessary to apply a combination of several 1D and 2D NMR spectroscopic techniques. The seven ¹H resonances (Table 2) revealed in the 1D TOCSY spectrum, the corresponding six ¹³C resonances (Table 3) assigned from the HSOC spectrum, and the large anomeric coupling constant (7.7 Hz) of three of the sugars, were in accordance with three β-glucopyranosyl moieties. The crosspeak at δ 5.15/145.7 (H-A1^{II}/C-A3) in the HMBC spectrum confirmed that one of these units was connected to the anthocyanidin 3-position. The downfield shifts of H-A6AII and H-A6BII of this sugar indicated 6^{II} -substitution. The crosspeaks at δ 4.69/167.9 (H-A6A^{II}/C-A1^{III}) and δ 4.34/167.9 (H-A6B^{II}/C-A1^{III}) confirmed the A6^{II}-linkage between the anthocyanidin 3-sugar and the malonate moiety. The five ¹H resonances in the 1D TOCSY spectrum of the last sugar unit (Table 2), the corresponding six ¹³C resonances (Table 3) assigned from the HSQC spectrum, and the large ¹H-¹H coupling constants showing that

Table 1
Chromatographic and spectral data for cyanidin 3-glucoside (5) and the covalent anthocyanin–flavonol complexes (1–4)

Compound	TLC (R _F)		UV-Vis (nm) ^a		Absorbance (%)		On-line ^b UV–Vis (nm)		HPLC t _R (min)	MS [M ⁺]
	FHW	BAW	An-band I	Fl-band I	$A_{ m An-band~I}/A_{ m Fl-band~I}$	$A_{440}/A_{ m Vis-max}$	An-band I	Fl-band I	_	
1	0.79	0.17	537	352	65	22	538	354	10.51	1303
2	0.88	0.25	537	352	69	24	540	354	10.79	1345
3	0.79	0.17	537	352	63	22	538	354	12.00	1317
4	0.88	0.25	537	352	67	23	540	354	12.64	1359
5	0.29	0.32	528			24	522		9.49	449

^a An = anthocyanin moiety; F1 = flavonol moiety.

^b Recorded on-line during HPLC analysis.

all the sugar ring protons were positioned di-axial to each other, were in accordance with a β -glucuronic acid on a pyranosyl form. The crosspeak at δ 5.07/163.7 (H-F1^{IV}/C-F7) in the HMBC spectrum confirmed that this sugar unit was connected to the kaempferol 7-position. The downfield shift value of H-F2^{IV} (δ 5.00) of this sugar indicated F2^{IV}-substitution. The crosspeak at δ 5.00/167.3 (H-F2^{IV}/C-A3^{III}) confirmed the linkage between the kaempferol 7-sugar and

the malonic acid at the F2^{IV}-position. The crosspeak at δ 5.51/135.3 (H-F1^{II}/C-F3) confirmed that one of the β -glucopyranosyl moieties was connected to the kaempferol 3-position. The downfield shift value of C-F2^{II} (δ 82.34) of this moiety and the crosspeak at δ 4.89/82.3 between C-F2^{II} and the anomeric proton of the last β -glucopyranosyl moiety confirmed the sugar in the kaempferol 3-position to be the disaccharide sophorose. Together with a molecular ion at m/z 1303

Table 2 ¹H NMR spectral data for covalent anthocyanin–flavonol complexes in CD₃OD:CF₃COOD (19:1, v/v) at 25°C

	$\delta_{\rm H}$ (ppm) J (Hz)	5 (Fossen et al., 1996) $\delta_{\rm H}$ (ppm)			
cyanidin					
A4	8.72 s	8.71 s	8.72 s	8.70 s	9.03
A6	6.60 d, 1.8	6.61 d, 1.8	6.61 d, 1.8	6.61 d, 1.8	6.71
A8	6.87 <i>s</i> (broad)	6.90 s (broad)	6.86 s (broad)	6.89 s (broad)	6.90
$A2^{I}$	8.00 d, 1.6	7.99 d, 1.5	8.01 m*	7.99 d, 1.8	8.05
A5 ^I	7.05 d, 8.6	7.07 d, 8.6	7.07 d, 8.8	7.08 d, 8.7	7.04
A6 ^I	8.31 <i>dd</i> , 8.6, 1.6	8.29 <i>dd</i> , 8.6, 1.5	8.32 <i>dd</i> , 8.7, 1.8	8.29 <i>dd</i> , 8.6, 1.8	8.25
A3-O-β-glucopyrano		0.27 44, 0.0, 1.3	0.32 uu, 0.7, 1.0	0.27 tat, 0.0, 1.0	0.23
A1 ^{II}	5.15 d, 7.7	5.31 d, 7.8	5.14 d, 7.8	5.33 d, 7.7	5.37
A2 ^{II}	3.72 m	3.77 dd, 7.7, 9.5	3.71 m	3.79 m	3.76
A3 ^{II}	3.53 t, 9.5	5.10 t, 9.5	3.52 t, 9.2	5.12 t, 9.3	3.67
A4 ^{II}	3.45 t, 9.5	3.58 t, 9.5	3.44 t, 9.2	3.60 m	3.56
A5 ^{II}	3.72 m	3.91 m	3.71 m	3.94 m	3.67
A6A ^{II}	4.69 <i>d</i> (broad), 12.0	4.74 <i>d</i> (broad), 12.0	4.67 <i>d</i> (broad), 12.0	4.77 <i>d</i> (broad), 12.0	4.02
$A6A$ $A6B^{II}$	4.34 <i>dd</i> , 12.0, 8.2	4.43 <i>dd</i> , 11.9, 8.0	4.33 <i>dd</i> , 11.9, 8.0	4.46 dd, 12.0, 8.0	3.83
A3 ^{II} -O-acetyl	4.54 44, 12.0, 6.2	4.43 aa, 11.9, 8.0	4.55 aa, 11.9, 8.0	4.40 <i>aa</i> , 12.0, 8.0	3.63
•		2.27		2.27	
2		2.27 s		2.27 s	
A6 ^{II} -O-malonate A2 ^{III}	2.64	2.66	2.62	2.45	
	3.64 s	3.66 s	3.63 s	3.67 s	
kaempferol					
F6	6.22 s (broad)	6.19 s (broad)	6.24 s (broad)	6.18 <i>s</i> (broad)	
F8	6.58 <i>s</i> (broad)	6.56 <i>s</i> (broad)	6.58 d, 1.8	6.54 <i>d</i> , 1.8	
$F2^{I}/6^{I}$	8.03 d, 8.7	8.09 d, 8.6	8.02 d, 8.7	8.09 d, 8.8	
$F3^{I}/5^{I}$	6.90 d, 8.7	6.94 d, 8.6	6.90 d, 8.8	6.94 d, 8.8	
F3-O-β-glucopyrano					
F1 ^{II}	5.51 d, 7.7	5.43 d, 7.7	5.51 d, 7.7	5.44 d, 7.7	
F2 ^{II}	3.84 m	3.86 m	$3.85 \ m$	3.88 dd, 7.9, 9.4	
F3 ^{II}	3.71 t, 9.0	$3.70 \ m$	3.71 t, 9.0	3.72 t, 9.0	
F4 ^{II}	3.41 t, 9.4	$3.43 \ m$	3.41 t, 9.7	3.47 t, 9.5	
F5 ^{II}	3.35 m	3.32 m	3.35 m	3.35 m	
F6A ^{II}	3.84 m	3.82 m	3.84 m	3.84 d (broad), 12.0	
$F6B^{II}$	3.56 m	3.57 m	3.57 dd, 11.9, 6.4	3.60 dd, 12.0, 6.4	
F2 ^{II} -O-β-glucopyran	osyl				
F1 ^{III}	4.89 d, 7.7	4.92 d, 7.8	4.89 d, 7.7	4.94 d, 7.7	
F2 ^{III}	3.50 m	3.52 m	3.50 m	3.54 m	
F3 ^{III}	3.47 m	3.54 m	3.51 m	3.56 m	
$F4^{III}$	3.47 m	3.47 m	3.45 m	3.50 m	
F5 ^{III}	3.44 m	3.47 m	3.42 m	3.48 m	
$F6A^{III}$	3.92 d (broad), 12.1	3.95 m	3.92 dd, 12.0, 1.8	3.97 d (broad), 11.9	
$F6B^{III}$	3.81 dd, 12.1, 5.7	3.84 m	3.81 <i>dd</i> , 12.1, 5.0	3.86 dd, 11.7, 5.0	
F7-O-β-glucopyrano		•	, , , , , ,	,,	
F1 ^{IV}	5.07 d, 7.7	4.92 d, 7.7	5.07 d, 8.0	4.91 d, 8.0	
F2 ^{IV}	5.00 dd, 7.7, 9.5	5.03 dd, 7.8, 9.5	4.98 dd, 8.0, 9.5	5.02 dd, 8.0, 9.5	
F3 ^{IV}	3.74 t, 9.4	3.75 t, 9.5	3.72 t, 9.5	3.74 t, 9.5	
F4 ^{IV}	3.86 t, 9.4	3.87 t, 9.5	3.84 t, 9.5	3.86 t, 9.4	
F5 ^{IV}	4.19 d, 9.4	4.19 <i>d</i> , 9.7	4.22 d, 9.5	4.18 <i>d</i> , 9.5	
F6 ^{IV} –OMe	1.17 11, 7.7	1.17 u, 7.1	3.96 s	3.98 s	

Table 3 ^{13}C NMR spectral data for covalent anthocyanin–flavonol complexes in CD₃OD:CF₃COOD (19:1, v/v) at 25°C a

	1	2	3	4	5 (Fossen et al., 1996)
	$\delta_{\rm C}$ (ppm)				
cyanidin					
A2	164.11	164.99	164.18	163.88	163.91
A3	145.73	145.60	145.74	145.60	145.57
A4	135.94	135.96	135.91	135.91	136.68
A5	158.73	158.7	158.7	158.9	159.25
A6	103.39	103.45	103.41	103.40	103.45
A7	169.95	170.50	169.97	170.44	170.55
A 8	95.63	95.54	95.63	95.5	95.17
A9	157.18	157.12	157.21	157.35	157.58
A10	112.72	112.57	112.74	112.58	113.32
$A1^{I}$	121.10	121.02	121.13	121.03	121.16
$A2^{I}$	118.66	118.70	118.70	118.60	118.39
A3 ^I	147.32	147.44	147.34	147.41	147.34
$A4^{I}$	156.21	156.40	156.21	156.41	155.77
$A5^{I}$	117.56	117.78	117.56	117.74	117.46
$A6^{I}$	129.29	129.30	129.26	129.43	128.25
A3- <i>O</i> -β-glucopyranoside	127.27	127.50	127.20	127.43	120.23
A1 ^{II}	104.23	103.59	104.25	103.59	103.72
A2 ^{II}	74.41	72.58	74.42	72.59	74.79
A3 ^{II}	77.94	78.56	77.84	78.54	78.14
A4 ^{II}	71.33	69.30	71.34	69.34	71.08
A5 ^{II}	76.15	76.13	76.15	76.11	78.77
$A6^{II}$	66.11	65.55	66.08	65.57	62.36
A3 ^{II} -O-acetyl	00.11	03.33	00.00	03.37	02.30
1		172.65		172.68	
2					
A6 ^{II} - <i>O</i> -malonate		21.13		21.13	
At -O-maionate A1 ^{III}	167.96	167.74	167.96	177.77	
A1 A2 ^{III}	167.86	167.74	167.86	167.77	
A2 A3 ^{III}	41.4	41.7	41.6	41.4	
	167.31	167.41	167.31	167.45	
kaempferol	150.22	150.1	150.4	150.2	
F2	159.33	159.1	159.4	159.3	
F3	135.31	135.75	135.34	135.41	
F4	179.56	179.52	179.47	179.52	
F5	162.48	162.47	162.54	162.48	
F6	100.76	100.57	100.77	100.55	
F7	163.69	163.63	163.68	163.64	
F8	96.15	96.63	96.20	96.6	
F9	157.45	157.12	157.45	157.35	
F10	107.89	107.89	107.93	107.88	
F1 ^I	122.32	122.26	122.29	122.26	
$F2^{I}/6^{I}$	132.52	132.62	132.52	132.63	
$F3^{I}/5^{I}$	116.27	116.40	116.30	116.42	
$F4^{I'}$	161.73	161.87	161.74	161.87	
F3- <i>O</i> -β-glucopyranoside					
F1 ^{II}	100.97	101.19	100.98	101.16	
F2 ^{II}	82.34	82.52	82.37	82.55	
F3 ^{II}	77.81	77.93	77.82	77.93	
F4 ^{II}	71.20	71.06	71.15	71.02	
F5 ^{II}	78.31	78.37	78.35	78.31	
F6 ^{II}	62.58	62.62	62.59	62.62	
F2 ^{II} - <i>O</i> -β-glucopyranosyl					
F1 ^{III}	104.62	104.81	104.65	104.81	
$F2^{III}$	75.46	75.56	75.48	75.57	
F3 ^{III}	78.21	77.97	77.95	77.97	
$F4^{III}$	71.21	71.39	71.20	71.39	
$F5^{III}$	78.21	78.21	78.20	78.21	
F6 ^{III}	62.72	62.65	62.71	62.66	

	$\delta_{\rm C}$ (ppm)	$\delta_{\rm C}$ (ppm)	$\delta_{\rm C}$ (ppm)	$\delta_{\rm C}$ (ppm)	5 (Fossen et al., 1996) $\delta_{\rm C}$ (ppm)
F7- <i>O</i> -β-glucopyranosiduronio	e acid				
F1 ^{IV}	100.14	100.45	100.22	100.44	
$F2^{IV}$	75.03	75.04	75.04	75.02	
F3 ^{IV}	74.53	74.57	74.53	74.55	
$\mathrm{F4^{IV}}$	72.84	72.81	72.81	72.81	
F5 ^{IV}	76.72	76.78	76.74	76.78	
$F6^{IV}$	170.58	171.52	170.56	170.55	
F6 ^{IV} –OMe			53.25	53.27	

^a Values given with one decimal are determined from the heteronuclear experiments.

in the electrospray MS spectrum, these data confirmed the identity of pigment 1 to be the covalent anthocyanin-flavonol complex (cyanidin 3-O- β -glucoside^{AII}) (kaempferol 3-O-(2-O- β -glucosyl^{FIII}- β -glucoside^{FII})-7-O- β -glucosiduronic acid^{FIV}) malonate^{AIII} (AII-6 \rightarrow AIII-1, FIV-2 \rightarrow AIII-3).

The 1 H and 13 C NMR spectra of pigment 2 contained, in addition to the analogous signals of 1, a 3H singlet at δ 2.27 and two 13 C resonances at δ 172.65 and δ 21.13, respectively, which is in accordance to a unit of acetic acid (Tables 2 and 3). The downfield shift of H-A3^{II} (δ 5.10) and the crosspeak at δ 5.10/172.7 (H-A3^{II}/C-1 acetyl) in the HMBC spectrum of 2 verified that the acetyl was connected to the A3^{II}-position. After recording of the molecular ion at m/z 1345, pigment 2 was found to be (cyanidin 3-O-(3-O-acetyl-β-glucoside^{AII}) (kaempferol 3-O-(2-O-β-glucosyl^{FIII}-β-glucoside^{FII})-7-O-β-glucosiduronic acid FIV) malonate^{AIII} (AII-6 \rightarrow AIII-1, FIV-2 \rightarrow AIII-3).

The 1 H and 13 C NMR spectra of **3** contained, in addition to the corresponding signals of **1**, a 3H singlet at δ 3.96 and a 13 C resonance at δ 53.25 (Tables 2 and 3). The crosspeak at δ 3.96/ δ 170.7 (OCH₃/C-F6^{IV}) in the HMBC spectrum of **3** showed that the acid function of the glucuronyl was methylesterified. The molecular ion at m/z 1317 confirmed **3** to be (cyanidin 3-O- β -glucoside^{AII}) (kaempferol 3-O-(2-O- β -glucosyl^{FIII}- β -glucoside^{FIII})-7-O-(methyl-O- β -glucosiduronate^{IV})) malonate^{AIII} (AII-6 \rightarrow AIII-1, FIV-2 \rightarrow AIII-3).

The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of **4** contained, in addition to the analogous signals of **3**, resonances corresponding to one acetic acid moiety (Tables 2 and 3). The downfield shift of H-3^{II} (δ 5.12) and the crosspeak at δ 5.12/172.7 (H-A3^{II}/C-1 acetyl) in the HMBC spectrum of **4** were in accordance with acetylation at a A3^{II}-linkage position. A molecular ion at m/z 1359 confirmed **4** to be (cyanidin 3-*O*-(3-*O*-acetylβ-glucoside^{AII}) (kaempferol 3-*O*-(2-*O*-β-glucosyl^{FIII}-β-glucoside^{FII})-7-*O*-(methyl-*O*-β-glucosiduronate^{IV})) malonate^{AIII} (AII-6 \rightarrow AIII-1, FIV-2 \rightarrow AIII-3).

Pigments 1 and 2 are the first final identification

of covalent complexes between an anthocyanin and a flavonol. Uphoff (1982) has suggested that the anthocyanin orchicyanin I, which was isolated from several orchids, contained a co-pigment. Later Strack et al. (1989) gave a hypothetical structure for this pigment, cyanidin oxalyl-3,5-diglucoside-kaempferol 7-glucoside. A covalent complex between an anthocyanin and a flavone isolated from *Eichhornia crassipes* (Pontederiaceae) has recently been fully characterised (Toki et al., 1994). A similar complex has been suggested to occur in lupins (Takeda et al., 1993).

Pigments 3 and 4 are the 7-O-(methyl-O- β -glucosiduronate^{FIV}) analogous of 1 and 2, respectively, formed during extraction with acidified methanol. The acid function of the glucuronic acid was considerably methylesterified during extraction with methanol containing as little as 1% trifluoroacetic acid.

Table 1 shows that the R_F -values of 1–4 are higher and lower than the corresponding values of simple anthocyanins like cyanidin 3-glucoside in acidified aqueous and acidified alcoholic TLC solvent systems, respectively. However, in a typical HPLC-RP18 system their retention times are similar to those of ordinary anthocyanins (Table 1).

Co-pigmentation is known to play a crucial role in the pigmentation of fruit and flowers (Brouillard, 1988; Kondo et al., 1998). The visible absorption maxima in spectra of 1–4 showed significant bathochromic shifts compared to analogous values of similar anthocyanins (e.g. 5) without the flavonol moiety (Table 1). This association between anthocyanin and flavonol units was also supported by the NMR data on 1-4. Especially ¹H chemical shifts are very sensitive to the magnetic anisotropy of aromatic systems and may thus serve as a probe for the spacial proximity of aromatic rings to specific protons. The chemical shifts of the anthocyanidin H-4 in all the anthocyanin-flavonol complexes (1-4) were 0.3 ppm upfield for the same shifts of anthocyanins without connection to a flavonol moiety (Table 2). These spectroscopic data may be interpreted as intramolecular ' π - π ' stacking (Nerdal

and Andersen, 1992; Yoshida et al., 1992; Gakh et al., 1998) between the anthocyanidin (cyanidin) and the flavonol (kaempferol). Unusual high field shift of H-4 has previously been used as indicator for stacking between an anthocyanin and an aromatic acyl group like hydroxy-cinnamic acids (e.g. Gläßgen et al., 1992). Intramolecular association involving an anthocyanin and a flavonol has previously not been reported, however, flavonols like rutin has been reported as excellent co-pigments in intermolecular associations (Brouillard and Dangles, 1994). The covalent linkage through the malonic acid in 1-4 seems to allow the molecules enough rotational freedom for intramolecular association. Work is now in progress to investigate this intramolecular association at the molecular level.

3. Experimental

3.1. Plant material

Flowers of chives, *Allium schoenoprasum* (several cultivars), were collected in the Botanical Garden, Minde, Bergen in June 1997. Voucher specimens have been deposited in Bergen (BG).

3.2. Isolation of pigments

The flowers (2000 g) were extracted with 1% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) in MeOH. The filtered extract was concd under red. pres., purified by partition (several times) against EtOAc and applied to an Amberlite XAD-7 column (Andersen, 1988). The eluate was divided in two portions of equal size, and the anthocyanins in one of these portions were separated into two groups by a Sephadex LH-20 column (100×2.6 cm). The anthocyanin–flavonol complexes, 1–4, were eluted first using MeOH–TFA–H₂O (19.8:0.2:80.0, v/v). Thereafter, pigments 5–8 were eluted with increas-

ing amounts of MeOH and TFA in H2O (from 19.8% and 0.2%, respectively, to 69.8% and 0.7%, v/v). Individual pigments were then separated by preparative HPLC (Gilson 305/306 pump equipped with an HP-1040A detector) to yield the pure pigments 1 (6.7 mg), 2 (3.2 mg), 3 (3.3 mg) and 4 (3.0 mg). Preparative HPLC was performed with an ODS-Hypersil column $(25 \times 2.2 \text{ cm}, 5 \text{ } \mu\text{m})$ using the solvents HCOOH-H₂O (1:19, v/v) (A) and HCOOH-H₂O-MeOH (1:4:5, v/v) (B). The elution profile consisted of a linear gradient from 10% B to 100% B for 45 min, isocratic elution (100% B) for the next 13 min, followed by linear gradient from 100% B to 10% B for 1 min. The flow rate was 14 ml min⁻¹, and aliquots of 300 μl were injected. Analyt. HPLC (HP-1050) was performed with an ODS-Hypersil column (20 \times 0.5 cm, 5 μ m) using the solvents HCOOH-H₂O (1:9, v/v) (A) and HCOOH-H₂O-MeOH (1:4:5, v/v) (B). The elution profile consisted of a linear gradient from 10% B to 100% B for 17 min, isocratic elution (100% B) for the next 4 min, followed by linear gradient from 100% B to 10% B for 1 min. The flow rate was 1.2 ml min⁻¹, and aliquots of 15 µl were injected. The chromatograms were recorded as average values of absorptions on every second nm between 500 and 540 nm using a photo diode array detector (HP-1050). Relative amount of each anthocyanin was reported as percentages of total peak area in each chromatogram without taking into account the different molar absorption coefficients. TLC was carried out on microcrystalline cellulose (F 5565, Merck) with the solvents BAW (1-BuOH-HOAc-H₂O; 4:1:5 v/v, upper phase) and FHW (HCO₂H-conc HCl-H₂O; 1:1:2 v/v).

3.3. Spectroscopy

UV-VIS absorption spectra were recorded in 0.01% conc. HCl in MeOH and on-line during HPLC analysis. Spectral measurements for the latter were made over the wavelength range 240-600 nm in steps of 2 nm. The NMR experiments were obtained at 600.13 and 150.92 MHz for ¹H and ¹³C, respectively, on a Bruker DRX-600 instrument at 25°. The deuteriomethyl ¹³C signal and the residual ¹H signal of the solvent (CF₃CO₂D-CD₃OD; 1:19, v/v) were used as secondary references (δ 49.0 and δ 3.4 from TMS for ¹H and ¹³C, respectively). The 1D ¹H NMR, the 1D TOCSY NMR experiments and the 2D HMBC, HSQC and DQF-COSY NMR experiments were obtained with a TBI probe. The 1D ¹³C CAPT experiments were obtained with a BBO probe. Mass spectra were obtained with a Micromass LCZ instrument equipped with an electrospray probe. Data were recorded in the positive mode, and ionisation were promoted by addition of 0.5% TFA to the sample (v/v).

3.4. Mass spectral and HPLC-diode array detector data for 6–8

Cyanidin 3-acetylglucoside, **6**: $[M^+]$ 491; Vis-max 520 nm; $A_{440}/A_{Vis-max}$ 29%; t_R 10.85 min. Cyanidin 3-(6-malonylglucoside), **7**: $[M^+]$ 535; Vis-max 520 nm; $A_{440}/A_{Vis-max}$ 29%; t_R 11.56 min. Cyanidin 3-(3,6-dimalonylglucoside), **8**: Vis-max 522 nm; $A_{440}/A_{Vis-max}$ 29%; t_R 13.39 min.

References

- Andersen, Ø.M., 1987. Anthocyanins in fruits of Vaccinium-uliginosum L. (Bog whortleberry). J. Food Sci. 52, 665, 666, 680.
- Andersen, Ø.M., 1988. Semipreparative isolation and structure determination of pelargonidin $3-O-\alpha-L$ -rhamnopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 2)-\beta-D$ -glucopyranoside and other anthocyanins from the tree *Dacrycarpus dacrydioides*. Acta Chem. Scand. B. 42, 462–468.
- Andersen, Ø.M., Fossen, T., 1995. Anthocyanins with an unusual acylation pattern from stem of *Allium victorialis*. Phytochemistry 40, 1809–1812.
- Bloor, S., 1998. A macrocyclic anthocyanin from red/mauve carnation flowers. Phytochemistry 49, 225–228.
- Brouillard, R., 1988. Flavonoids and flower colour. In: Harborne, J.B. (Ed.), The Flavonoids. Advances in Research Since 1980. Chapman and Hall, London, p. 525.
- Brouillard, R., Dangles, O., 1994. Flavonoids and flower colour. In: Harborne, J.B. (Ed.), The Flavonoids. Advances in Research Since 1986. Chapman and Hall, London, p. 565.
- Du, C.T., Wang, P.L., Francis, F.J., 1974. Cyanidin-3-laminariobioside in spanish red onion (*Allium cepa L.*). J. Food Sci. 39, 1265– 1266
- Fossen, T., Andersen, Ø.M., 1997. Malonated anthocyanins of garlic *Allium sativum* L. Food Chem. 57, 215–217.
- Fossen, T., Andersen, Ø.M., Øvstedal, D.O., Pedersen, A.T., Raknes, Å., 1996. Characteristic anthocyanin pattern from onions and other *Allium* spp. J. Food Sci. 62, 703–706.
- Gakh, E.G., Dougall, D.K., Baker, D.C, 1998. Proton nuclear mag-

- netic resonance studies of monoacylated anthocyanins from the wild carrot. Part 1: Inter- and intra-molecular interactions in solution. Phytochem. Anal. 9, 28–34.
- Gläßgen, W.E., Wray, V., Strack, D., Metzger, J.W., Seitz, H.U., 1992. Anthocyanins from cell-suspension cultures of *Daucus car-ota*. Phytochemistry 31, 1593–1601.
- Harborne, J.B., Boardley, M., 1985. The widespread occurrence in nature of anthocyanins as zwitterions. Z. Naturforsch. 40C, 305– 308.
- Harborne, J.B., Williams, C.A., 1995. Anthocyanins and other flavonoids. Natural Product Reports 12, 639–657.
- Harborne, J.B., Williams, C.A., 1998. Anthocyanins and other flavonoids. Natural Product Reports 15, 631–652.
- Kondo, T., Ueda, M., Isobe, M., Goto, T., 1998. A new molecular mechanism of blue color development with protocyanin a supramolecular pigment from cornflower, *Centaurea cyanus*. Tetrahedron Lett. 39, 8307–8310.
- Nerdal, W., Andersen, Ø.M., 1992. Intermolecular aromatic acid association of an anthocyanin (petanin) evidenced by 2-dimensional nuclear overhauser enhancement nuclear-magnetic-resonance experiments and distance geometry calculations. Phytochem. Anal. 3, 182–189.
- Strack, D., Wray, V., 1994. Anthocyanins. In: Harborne, J.B. (Ed.), The Flavonoids. Advances in Research Since 1986. Chapman and Hall, London, p. 1.
- Strack, D., Busch, E., Klein, E., 1989. Anthocyanin patterns in european orchids and their taxonomic and phylogenetic relevance. Phytochemistry 28, 2127–2139.
- Takeda, K., Harborne, J.B., Waterman, P.G., 1993. Malonylated flavonoids and blue flower color in lupin. Phytochemistry 34, 421–423
- Terahara, N., Yamaguchi, M.-A., Honda, T., 1994. Malonylated anthocyanins from bulbs of red onion, *Allium cepa* L. Biosci. Biotech. Biochem. 58, 1324–1325.
- Toki, K., Saito, N., Iimura, K., Suzuki, T., Honda, T., 1994. (Delphinidin 3-gentiobiosyl) (apigenin 7-glucosyl) malonate from the flowers of *Eichhornia crassipes*. Phytochemistry 36, 1181– 1183.
- Yoshida, K., Kondo, T., Goto, T., 1992. Intramolecular stacking conformation of gentiodelphin, a diacylated anthocyanin from *Gentiana makinoi*. Tetrahedron 48, 4313–4326.