



Phytochemistry 61 (2002) 171-174

www.elsevier.com/locate/phytochem

# Ellagic acid derivatives and cytotoxic cucurbitacins from *Elaeocarpus mastersii*

Aiko Ito<sup>a</sup>, Hee-Byung Chai<sup>a</sup>, Dongho Lee<sup>a</sup>, Leonardus B.S. Kardono<sup>b</sup>, Soedarsono Riswan<sup>c</sup>, Norman R. Farnsworth<sup>a</sup>, Geoffrey A. Cordell<sup>a</sup>, John M. Pezzuto<sup>a</sup>, A. Douglas Kinghorn<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Program for Collaborative Research in the Pharmaceutical Sciences and Department of Medicinal Chemistry and Pharmacognosy,
College of Pharmacy, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL 60612, USA

<sup>b</sup>Research and Development Chemistry, Indonesian Institute of Science, Serpong, 15310 Tangerang, Indonesia

<sup>c</sup>Herbarium Bogoriense, Research and Development Center for Biology, Indonesian Institute of Science, 16122 Bogor, Indonesia

Received 24 April 2002; received in revised form 18 June 2002

#### Abstract

Bioassay-guided investigation of the bark of *Elaeocarpus mastersii* using KB (human oral epidermoid carcinoma) cells as a monitor led to the isolation of two cucurbitacins, cucurbitacin D and cucurbitacin F as cytotoxic principles, together with two ellagic acid derivatives, 4'-O-methylellagic acid 3-(2",3"-di-O-acetyl)-α-L-rhamnoside (1) and 4,4'-O-dimethylellagic acid 3-(2",3"-di-O-acetyl)-α-L-rhamnoside (2). These compounds were evaluated against a panel of human tumor cell lines. © 2002 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Elaeocarpus mastersii; Elaeocarpaceae; Cytotoxicity; Ellagic acid derivatives; Cucurbitacins

# 1. Introduction

Elaeocarpus mastersii King (Elaeocarpaceae) is a tree indigenous to Malaysia and Indonesia. In the only previous phytochemical report on *E. mastersii*, an extract of young-leaf pigments afforded several anthocyanins (Lowry, 1970). The crude extracts of some species of this genus have shown biological activity, such as a central nervous system depressant effect and with a direct musculotropic action (Bhattacharya et al., 1975). Alkaloids (Johns et al., 1969a–c; Ray et al., 1979), cucurbitacins (Fang et al., 1984), and flavonoids (Ray et al., 1976) have been isolated from other species in this genus.

As a part of our ongoing program for the discovery of new anticancer agents from plants (Kinghorn et al., 1999), a chloroform-soluble extract of the bark of *E. mastersii* was found to exhibit significant cytotoxic activity when evaluated against a panel of human cancer cell lines. Bioassay-guided phytochemical investigation

E-mail address: kinghorn@uic.edu (A.D. Kinghorn).

of this extract, using a human oral epidermoid carcinoma cell line (KB) to monitor fractionation, led to the isolation of two new ellagic acid derivatives, 4'-O-methylellagic acid 3-(2'',3''-di-O-acetyl)- $\alpha$ -L-rhamnoside (1) and 4,4'-O-dimethylellagic acid 3-(2'',3''-di-O-acetyl)- $\alpha$ -L-rhamnoside (2), together with cucurbitacin D (3) and cucurbitacin F (4) as cytotoxic principles. The structures of compounds 1 and 2 were determined based on various 1D and 2D NMR spectroscopic experiments. Isolates 1–4 were evaluated for their cytotoxicity against a human cancer cell line panel.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +1-312-996-0914; fax +1-312-996-7107

#### 2. Results and discussion

The HRFABMS of compound 1 showed a protonated molecular ion at m/z 547.1061, indicating an elemental formula of C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>14</sub>. In the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 1, signals for a methoxyl group at  $\delta_H$  3.87, two sets of methyl protons at  $\delta_H$  2.10 and  $\delta_H$  2.04, two aromatic singlets ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.98 and 7.84), and five oxygen-bearing methine protons were observed ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  6.52–4.44), along with a doublet methyl signal ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.87), indicating the presence of a deoxy sugar. The configuration of the sugar was determined as  $\alpha$  from the coupling constant of 1.6 Hz for the anomeric proton (Marzouk et al., 1999). In its <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum, 25 signals were observed, including those for the two 7-carbon units of an ellagic acid skeleton ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  159.7–107.5), as well as for a deoxy sugar unit  $(\delta_{\rm C} 100.7, 70.6, 73.1, 70.5, 72.2, 18.4)$ , a methoxy at  $\delta_{\rm C} 56.6$ , and two acetyl groups ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  170.6, 170.3, 20.9, and 20.7). The HMBC spectrum showed cross-peaks between H-5 and the C-3, C-4, C-6, and C-7 signals, as well as between the analogous H-5' signal and the C-3', C-4', C-6', and C-7' resonances. These interactions gave evidence for the presence of the ellagic acid moiety. Further correlations in the HMBC spectrum between H-1" and C-3, H-2" ( $\delta_{H}$  6.52) and the C-2" acetyl carbonyl ( $\delta_{C}$ 170.3), H-3" ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  6.30) and the C-3" acetyl carbonyl ( $\delta_{\rm C}$ 170.6), the C-2" acetyl methyl proton ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.10) and the C-2" acetyl carbonyl carbon ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  170.3), and C-3" acetyl methyl proton ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.04) and the C-3" acetyl carbonyl carbon ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  170.6), supported the presence of acetate groups at C-2" and C-3", and the linkage of the sugar unit to the C-3 position of the ellagic acid moiety. Also, a HMBC correlation between the methoxy methyl proton signal and C-4' was observed. The glycoside was determined as α-rhamnose through analysis of the chemical shifts and coupling patterns of its proton signals (Marzouk et al., 1999), which were confirmed by the <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY spectrum. In addition, in a NOESY experiment, a correlation was observed between H-5' and OMe-4', although no correlation was observed for H-5 to any proton of the rhamnose unit. These results confirmed the attachment of the methoxyl group and rhamnose at C-4' and C-3 of the ellagic acid unit, respectively. Thus, compound 1 was determined as a new ellagic acid glycoside, 4'-O-methylellagic acid 3-(2",3"-di-O-acetyl)-α-Lrhamnoside.

Compound **2** exhibited a molecular formula of  $C_{26}H_{24}O_{14}$  from its HRFABMS. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of **2** was very similar to that of **1**, except for the presence of signals consistent with an additional methoxy group at  $\delta_H$  3.83 (3H, s) at C-4. In the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of **2**, when compared with that of **1**, one more methoxyl carbon at  $\delta_C$  56.7 was observed, indicating methylation of the hydroxy signal at C-4. The structure of **2** was characterized therefore as 4,4'-O-dimethylellagic acid 3-(2",3"-di-O-acetyl)- $\alpha$ -L-rhamnoside.

Compounds 3 and 4 were identified as cucurbitacin D and cucurbitacin F, respectively, on the basis of their physical and spectral data comparison with literature values (Kupchan et al., 1972; Fang et al., 1984; Konoshima et al., 1993; Fujita et al., 1995).

As summarized in Table 1, compounds 1-4 were evaluated against a panel of human tumor cell lines (Likitwitayawuid et al., 1993; Seo et al., 2001). Cucurbitacin D (3) and cucurbitacin F (4) showed significant cytotoxicity against all of the cell lines in which they were tested. These compounds have not been previously evaluated against the hTERT-RPE1 and HUVEC cell lines. In contrast, the two ellagic acid derivatives did not exhibit strong cytotoxic effects, although 1 mediated a weak response against all of the cell lines. Cucurbitacin D (3) has been found to exhibit significant cytotoxicity against human tumor cells (Konopa et al., 1974; Ryu et al., 1994; Kim et al., 1997), antagonizes the action of insect steroid hormones (Dinan et al., 1997; Sarker et al., 1999), and affects the growth in vitro of symbiotic bacteria of entomopathogenic nematodes (Barbercheck and Wang, 1996). Cucurbitacin F (4) also has been found to be cytotoxic against human tumor cells (Fang et al., 1984; Mata et al., 1990; Kim et al., 1997) and to antagonize the action of insect steroid hormones (Dinan et al., 1997, 2001; Sarker et al., 1999).

## 3. Experimental

### 3.1. General

Melting points were determined using a Fisher-Johns melting point apparatus, and are uncorrected. Optical rotations were obtained on a Perkin-Elmer model 241 polarimeter. UV spectra were measured on a Beckman DU-7 spectrometer. IR spectra were taken on a JASCO FT/IR-410 spectrophotometer. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data (including DEPT, HMQC, HMBC, and <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>1</sup>H COSY spectra) were measured on a Bruker DRX-500 instrument operating at 500.1 and 125.7 MHz, respectively.

Table 1 Cytotoxic activity of compounds **1–4**<sup>a,b</sup>

Compound	Lu1	Col2	KB	LNCaP	hTERT- RPE1	HUVEC
1	18.4	14.7	13.6	12.9	8.2	13.8
2	> 20	> 20	> 20	> 20	> 20	> 20
3	0.06	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02
4	0.2	1.9	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Results are expressed as  $ED_{50}$  values ( $\mu g/mL$ ).

b Key to cell lines used: Lu1=human lung cancer; Col2=human colon cancer; KB=human oral epidermoid carcinoma; LNCaP=hormone-dependent human prostate cancer; hTERT-RPE1=human telomerase reverse transcriptase—retinal pigment epithelial cells; HUVEC=human umbilical vein endothelial cells.

Compounds were analyzed in CDCl<sub>3</sub>, with tetramethylsilane (TMS) as internal standard. <sup>13</sup>C NMR multiplicity was determined using DEPT experiments. FABMS and HRFABMS were recorded on a Finnigan MAT-90 spectrometer.

## 3.2. Plant material

The bark of *E. mastersii* King was collected at Kalteng, in Indonesia, in October 1999 and identified by S.R. A voucher specimen (A4740, TWH020) has been deposited at the Field Museum of Natural History, Chicago, IL.

#### 3.3. Extraction and isolation

The dried bark of E. mastersii (970 g) was extracted three times with MeOH at room temperature. The resultant extracts were combined, concentrated under vacuum, dissolved in MeOH (500 ml), and washed with hexane ( $3 \times 500$  ml). The lower layer was concentrated to dryness under reduced pressure and partitioned between 5% MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>O (500 ml) and CHCl<sub>3</sub> ( $3\times500$  ml). The CHCl<sub>3</sub>-soluble extract [2.9 g, ED<sub>50</sub> 1.3 µg/ml against the KB cell line (human oral epidermoid carcinoma)] was subjected to Si gel (150 g) column chromatography and eluted with a gradient mixture of hexane-Me<sub>2</sub>CO-MeOH (8:1:0.1 $\rightarrow$ 2:1:0.1, 50 ml per fraction) to give 14 pooled fractions. Fractions 6, 8, and 9 were active when tested against the KB cell line (ED<sub>50</sub> 0.1, 0.7, and 0.4  $\mu$ g/ ml, respectively). Compound 1 (20 mg) was isolated from fraction 8, using Si gel column chromatography eluted with hexane-Me<sub>2</sub>CO-MeOH (5:1:0.1). Additional chromatographic separation of active fraction 6 over reversed-phase Si gel with 50% MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O afforded compound 2 (1 mg) and cucurbitacin D (3, 127 mg) (Kupchan et al., 1972; Fujita et al., 1995). Further chromatography of fraction 9 over reversed-phase Si gel with 50% MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O afforded cucurbitacin F (4, 61 mg) (Fang et al., 1984; Konoshima et al., 1993).

# 3.3.1. Compound 1

4'-*O*-Methylellagic acid 3-(2",3"-di-*O*-acetyl)-α-L-rhamnoside. Needles (MeOH); mp 217–218 °C. [α]<sub>D</sub> –24.0° (MeOH; c 0.1). UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{\rm max}$  nm (log  $\varepsilon$ ): 215 (4.54), 276 (4.45), 360 (4.06). IR  $\nu_{\rm max}$  <sup>NaCl</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1743, 1609, 1490, 1362, 1246, 1079. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, pyridine- $d_5$ ): δ 7.98 (1H, s, H-5), 7.84 (1H, s, H-5'), 6.52 (1H, dd, J= 3.3, 1.6 Hz, H-2"), 6.47 (1H, d, J= 1.6 Hz, H-1"), 6.39 (1H, dd, J= 9.9, 3.3 Hz, H-3"), 5.53 (1H, dd, J= 9.9, 6.1 Hz, H-5"), 4.44 (1H, dd, J= 9.9, 9.9 Hz, H-4"), 3.87 (3H, s, OMe-4'), 2.10 (3H, s, OAc-3"), 2.04 (3H, s, OAc-2"), 1.87 (3H, d, d, d= 6.1 Hz, H-6"). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.6 Hz, pyridine- $d_5$ ): δ 170.6 (s, OAc-2"), 170.3 (s, OAc-3"), 159.7 (s, C-7), 159.5 (s, C-7'), 154.4 (s, C-4), 151.0 (s, C-4'), 143.9 (s, C-3'), 142.8 (s, C-2'), 137.7 (s, C-3), 136.9 (s, C-2), 115.3 (s, C-1), 114.6 (s, C-6'), 112.5

(*d*, C-5), 112.2 (*s*, C-6), 107.7 (*d*, C-5'), 107.5 (*s*, C-1'), 100.7 (*d*, C-1"), 73.1 (*d*, C-3"), 72.2 (*d*, C-5"), 70.6 (*d*, C-2"), 70.5 (*d*, C-4"), 56.6 (*q*, OMe-4'), 20.9 (*q*, OAc-2"), 20.7 (*q*, OAc-3"), 18.4 (*q*, C-6"). FABMS m/z (rel. int.): 547 [M+H]<sup>+</sup> (32), 460 (21), 308 (50), 289 (100), 231 (52). HRFABMS m/z 547.10608 (calcd for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>23</sub>O<sub>14</sub>, 547.10878).

# 3.3.2. Compound 2

4,4'-O-Dimethylellagic acid 3-(2",3"-di-O-acetyl)-α-Lrhamnoside. Amorphous powder;  $[\alpha]_D$  –21.6° (c 0.1, MeOH); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  nm (log  $\varepsilon$ ): 205 (4.47), 254 (4.64), 276 (4.48), 322 (4.12), 359 (4.11). IR  $v_{\text{max}}^{\text{NaCl}}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1700, 1576, 1356, 1316, 1090. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (pyridine-d<sub>5</sub>, 500 MHz): δ 7.86 (1H, s, H-5), 7.82 (1H, s, H-5'), 6.37 (1H, dd, J=3.4, 1.6 Hz, H-2''), 6.23 (1H, dd, J=9.9, 3.4)Hz, H-3"), 6.15 (1H, d, J = 1.6 Hz, H-1"), 5.38 (1H, dd, J=9.9, 6.2 Hz, H-5"), 4.42 (1H, dd, J=9.9, 9.9 Hz, H-4"), 3.88 (3H, s, OMe-4'), 3.83 (3H, s, OMe-4), 2.12 (3H, s, OAc-3"), 2.06 (3H, s, OAc-2"), 1.85 (3H, d, J = 6.2 Hz, H-6"). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (pyridine- $d_5$ , 75.6 Hz):  $\delta$  170.7 (s, OAc-2"), 170.3 (s, OAc-3"), 159.7 (s, C-7), 159.2 (s, C-7'), 154.8 (s, C-4), 151.4 (s, C-4'), 143.2 (s, C-3'), 142.9 (s, C-2'), 137.8 (s, C-3), 136.0 (s, C-2), 115.2 (s, C-1), 114.1 (s, C-6'), 113.9 (*d*, C-5), 113.9 (*s*, C-6), 107.8 (*s*, C-1'), 107.8 (d, C-5'), 101.1 (d, C-1"), 72.9 (d, C-3"), 72.2 (d, C-5"), 70.5 (d, C-2"), 70.4 (d, C-4"), 56.7 (q, OMe-4), 56.6 (q, OMe-4'), 20.9 (q, OAc-2"), 20.7 (q, OAc-3"), 18.3 (q, C-6"). FABMS m/z [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 561. HRFABMS m/z 583.10648 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for  $C_{26}H_{24}O_{14}Na$ , 583.10638).

# 3.3.3. Bioassay evaluation

Compounds 1–4 were evaluated for cytotoxicity against a panel of human cancer cell lines, according to established protocols (Likitwitayawuid et al., 1993; Seo et al., 2001). ED<sub>50</sub> values of  $> 5 \mu g/ml$  are regarded as inactive.

## Acknowledgements

This investigation was supported by grant U19-CA-52956, funded by the National Cancer Institute, NIH, Bethesda, Maryland. We thank Dr. K. Fagerquist, Mass Spectrometry Facility, Department of Chemistry, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN and Dr. J. Anderson, Research Resources Center, University of Illinois at Chicago for the mass spectral data. We are grateful to the Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Laboratory of the Research Resources Center, University of Illinois at Chicago, for the provision of certain NMR spectral facilities used in this investigation.

# References

Barbercheck, M.E., Wang, J., 1996. Effect of cucurbitacin D on *in vitro* growth of *Xenorhabdus* and *Photorhabdus* spp., symbiotic bacteria of

- entomopathogenic nematodes. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 68, 141–145.
- Bhattacharya, S.K., Debnath, P.K., Pandey, V.B., Sanyal, A.K., 1975.
  Pharmacological investigations on *Elaeocarpus ganitrus*. Planta Medica 28, 174–177.
- Dinan, L., Whiting, P., Girault, J.-P., Lafont, R., Dhadialla, T.S., Cress, D.E., Mugat, B., Antoniewski, C., Lepesant, J.-A., 1997. Cucurbitacins are insect steroid hormone antagonists acting at the ecdysteroid receptor. Biochemical Journal 327, 643–650.
- Dinan, L., Bourne, P.C., Meng, Y., Sarker, S.D., Tolentino, R.B., Whiting, P., 2001. Assessment of natural products in the *Droso-phila melanogaster* BII cell bioassay for ecdysteroid agonist and antagonist activities. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences 58, 321–342.
- Fang, X., Phoebe Jr., C.H., Pezzuto, J.M., Fong, H.H.S., Farnsworth, N.R., 1984. Plant anticancer agents, XXXIV. Cucurbitacins from Elaeocarpus dolichostylus. Journal of Natural Products 47, 988–993.
- Fujita, S., Kasai, R., Ohtani, K., Yamasaki, K., Chiu, M., Nie, R., Tanaka, O., 1995. Dammarane glycosides from aerial parts of *Neoallsomita integrifolia*. Phytochemistry 38, 465–472.
- Johns, S.R., Lamberton, J.A., Sioumis, A.A., Willing, R.I., 1969a. Elaeocarpus alkaloids. I. The structures of (±)-elaeocarpine, (±)isoelaeocarpine, and (+)-isoelaeocarpicine, three new indolizidine alkaloids from Elaeocarpus polydactylus. Australian Journal of Chemistry 22, 775–792.
- Johns, S.R., Lamberton, J.A., Sioumis, A.A., 1969b. Elaeocarpus alkaloids. II. (+)-Elaeocarpine, and (-)-isoelaeocarpine, new indolizidine alkaloids from *Elaeocarpus polydactylus*. Australian Journal of Chemistry 22, 793–800.
- Johns, S.R., Lamberton, J.A., Sioumis, A.A., 1969c. Elaeocarpus alkaloids. III. The structures of elaeocarpidine, a new indole alkaloid. Australian Journal of Chemistry 22, 801–806.
- Kim, D.K., Choi, S.H., Lee, J.O., Ryu, S.Y., Park, D.K., Shin, D.H., Jung, J.H., Pyo, S.K., Lee, K.R., Zee, O.P., 1997. Cytotoxic constituents of *Sorbaria sorbifolia* var. *stellipila*. Archives of Pharmacal Research 20, 85–87.
- Kinghorn, A.D., Farnsworth, N.R., Soejarto, D.D., Cordell, G.A., Pezzuto, J.M., Udeani, G.O., Wani, M.C., Wall, M.E., Navarro, H.A., Kramer, R.A., Menendez, A.T., Fairchild, C.R., Lane, K.E., Forenza, S., Vyas, D.M., Lam, K.S., Shu, Y.-Z., 1999. Novel stra-

- tegies for the discovery of plant-derived anticancer agents. Pure and Applied Chemistry 71, 1611–1618.
- Konopa, J., Matuszkiewicz, A., Hrabowska, M., Onozka, K., 1974. Cucurbitacins, cytotoxic and antitumor substances from *Bryonia alba*. II. Biological studies. Arzneimittel-Forschung 24, 1741–1743.
- Konoshima, T., Takasaki, M., Kozuka, M., Haruna, M., Ito, K., Estes, J.R., Lee, K., 1993. Constituents of Rosaceous plants. I. Structures of new triterpenoids from *Cownia mexicana*. Chemical and Pharmaceutical Bulletin 41, 1612–1615.
- Kupchan, S.M., Sigel, C.W., Guttman, L.J., Restivo, R.J., Bryan, R.F., 1972. Datiscoside, a novel antileukemic cucurbitacin glycoside from *Datisca glomerata*. Journal of the American Chemical Society 94, 1353–1354.
- Likhitwitayawuid, K., Angerhofer, C.K., Cordell, G.A., Pezzuto, J.M., 1993. Cytotoxic and antimalarial bisbenzylisoquinoline alkaloids from *Stephania erecta*. Journal of Natural Products 56, 30–38.
- Lowry, J.B., 1970. New anthocyanins from *Elaeocarpus mastersii*. Phytochemistry 9, 2411.
- Marzouk, M.S., El-Toumy, S.A.A., Merfort, I., Nawwar, M.A.M., 1999. Polyphenolic metabolites of *Rhamnus disperma*. Phytochemistry 52, 943–946.
- Mata, R., Albor, C., Pereda-Miranda, R., McLaughlin, J.L., 1990.
  Chemical studies of Mexican plants used in traditional medicine.
  Part X. Cytotoxic constituents of Exostema mexicanum. Planta
  Medica 56, 241.
- Ray, A.B., Dutta, S.C., Dasgupta, S., 1976. Flavonoids of *Elaeocarpus lanceofolius*. Phytochemistry 15, 1797–1798.
- Ray, A.B., Chand, L., Pandey, V.B., 1979. Rudrakine, a new alkaloid from *Elaeocarpus ganitrus*. Phytochemistry 18, 700–701.
- Ryu, S.Y., Lee, S.H., Choi, S.U., Lee, C.O., No, Z., Ahn, J.W., 1994.
  Antitumor activity of *Trichosanthes kirilowii*. Archives of Pharmacal Research 17, 348–353.
- Sarker, S.D., Whiting, P., Sik, V., Dinan, L., 1999. Ecdysteroid antagonists (cucurbitacins) from *Physocarpus opulifolius* (Rosaceae). Phytochemistry 50, 1123–1128.
- Seo, E.-K., Kim, N.-C., Mi, Q., Chai, H., Wall, M.E., Wani, M.C., Navarro, H.A., Burgess, J.P., Graham, J.G., Cabieses, F., Tan, G.T., Farnsworth, N.R., Pezzuto, J.M., Kinghorn, A.D., 2001. Macharistol, a new cytotoxic cinnamylphenol from the stem of *Machaerium aristulatum*. Journal of Natural Products 64, 1483–1485.