## DETERMINATION OF AN ABSTRACT SIMPLE GROUP OF ORDER 27.36.5.7

## HOLOEDRICALLY ISOMORPHIC WITH A CERTAIN ORTHOGONAL GROUP AND WITH A CERTAIN HYPERABELIAN GROUP\*

BY

## LEONARD EUGENE DICKSON

1. Among the known simple groups† occur an orthogonal group and a hyperabelian group of the same order  $2^7 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ . They are shown to be holoedrically isomorphic in this paper. We first determine in §§ 2–14 an abstract group  $\Gamma$  (§§ 4, 2) simply isomorphic with the orthogonal group. This is accomplished by means of a rectangular array, a direct method of procedure employed by the writer in two recent papers in the Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society (vol. 31, p. 30; vol. 31, p. 351).

## §§ 2, 3. Rectangular array for the orthogonal group $O_{6,3}$ with respect to the subgroup $O_{5,3}$ .

2. The general orthogonal group of senary linear substitutions of modulus 3 having determinant unity has a subgroup H of index two.‡ The group H has as maximal invariant subgroup the group of order two generated by the substitution C which changes the signs of the six indices. The quotient group is a simple group  $O_{6,3}$  of order  $2^7 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ . The substitutions of H which affect only the indices  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_5$  form a simple group  $O_{5,3}$  of order  $2^6 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5$  and of index  $2 \cdot 126$  under H.

In a paper communicated December 28, 1899, to the London Mathematical Society, the writer has shown that  $O_{5,3}$  is simply isomorphic with the abstract group G generated by the operators  $E_1$ ,  $E_2$ ,  $E_3$ ,  $E_3$ ,  $E_4$ ,  $E_5$ ,  $E_7$ ,  $E_8$ ,

(1) 
$$E_1^3 = E_2^2 = E_3^2 = B_1^2 = W^3 = I$$
,

(2) 
$$(E_1E_2)^3 = (E_2E_3)^3 = (B_1E_1)^3 = (E_1E_3)^2 = (B_1E_2)^2 = (B_1E_3)^2 = I,$$

$$(3) \hspace{1cm} WE_{1}=B_{3}E_{2}E_{1}W, \hspace{0.5cm} WE_{2}=B_{3}W, \hspace{0.5cm} WB_{1}=B_{3}E_{2}W,$$

<sup>\*</sup> Presented to the Society (Chicago) April 14, 1900. Received for publication January 15, 1900.

† See the list of known simple groups in the Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society for July, 1899.

<sup>‡</sup> American Journal of Mathematics, vol. 21 (1899), pp. 193-256.

$$(4) WB_{4} = B_{4}B_{2}E_{1}E_{2}E_{1}^{2}W_{1}^{2},$$

(5) 
$$WE_3E_2E_1WE_3 = E_1^2E_2E_3E_2E_1WE_3E_2E_1W$$
,

where for brevity we have set

(6) 
$$B_{2} \equiv E_{1}B_{1}E_{1}^{2}, \quad B_{2} \equiv E_{1}E_{2}E_{1}^{2}B_{1}E_{1}E_{2}E_{1}^{2}, \quad B_{4} \equiv E_{2}E_{2}B_{2}E_{2}E_{2}.$$

From the above relations we derive the following:

(7) 
$$E_{2}E_{1}W = B_{3}WE_{1} = WE_{2}E_{1}$$

(8) 
$$WE_1^2 = E_1^2 WB_1, \quad E_1B_1B_2 = B_1E_1.$$

Indeed, we have

$$\begin{split} WE_1^2 &\equiv WE_2E_1E_2E_1E_2 = E_2E_1E_2E_1WE_2 = E_1^2E_2 \cdot B_3W = E_1^2WB_1\,, \\ E_1B_1B_2 &= E_1B_1 \cdot E_1B_1E_1^2 = (E_1B_1)^3B_1E_1 = B_1E_1\,. \end{split}$$

The isomorphism is defined by the following correspondences:

(9) 
$$E_1 \sim E_1' \equiv (\xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3), \quad E_2 \sim E_2' \equiv (\xi_1 \xi_2)(\xi_3 \xi_4), \quad E_3 \sim E_3' \equiv (\xi_1 \xi_2)(\xi_4 \xi_5),$$

$$W \sim W' \equiv \begin{cases} \xi_1' = \xi_1 - \xi_2 - \xi_3 - \xi_{41} \\ \xi_2' = \xi_1 - \xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_4 \\ \xi_3' = \xi_1 + \xi_2 - \xi_3 + \xi_4 \\ \xi_4' = \xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3 - \xi_4 \end{cases},$$

(11) 
$$B_1 \sim C_1 C_2$$
,  $B_2 \sim C_2 C_3$ ,  $B_3 \sim C_3 C_4$ ,  $B_4 \sim C_4 C_5$ ,

where  $C_i$  denotes the orthogonal substitution changing the sign of  $\xi_i$ .

3. The group  $\,O_{{}_{\!5}\,,\,3}$  is extended to the orthogonal group H by the substitution

$$F' \equiv (\xi_1 \xi_2) (\xi_2 \xi_3).$$

The substitutions of H replace  $\xi_{\rm 6}$  by every one of the  $2\cdot 126\equiv 3^{\rm 5}+3^{\rm 2}$  linear functions \*

$$(f) \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{6} a_i \xi_i \qquad \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{6} a_i^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \right].$$

It follows that all the substitutions of H are given by the formula

$$S_i O_{5,3}$$
 ( $i=1,2,\cdots,2\cdot 126$ ),

where the  $2 \cdot 126$  substitutions  $S_i$  replace  $\xi_6$  by the  $2 \cdot 126$  distinct linear functions (f). In the quotient group  $O_{6,3}$ ,  $S_i$  and  $CS_i \equiv S_i C$  become identical. Denote by  $S_i'$   $(i = 1, \dots, 126)$  the corresponding distinct substitutions of  $O_{6,3}$ .

<sup>\*</sup>American Journal of Mathematics, l. c., foot of p. 195.

A rectangular array of the substitutions of  $O_{6,3}$  is therefore given by the formula :

$$S_i'O_{5,3}$$
  $(i=1,2,\cdots,126).$ 

To determine the  $S'_i$ , we note that the linear functions (f) are of the forms:

$$\pm \xi_i$$
,  $\pm \xi_i \pm \xi_i \pm \xi_i \pm \xi_i$ .

Since -f is derived from f by the substitution C, we take only one of each pair  $\pm f$  in determining the  $S'_i$ . For six of the  $S'_i$  we may take

(a) 
$$I, F', E'_2F', E'_1^2F', E'_2E'_1^2F', E'_3E'_2E'_1^2F'$$

which replace  $\xi_6$  by  $\xi_6$ ,  $\xi_1$ ,  $\xi_2$ ,  $\xi_3$ ,  $\xi_4$ ,  $\xi_5$  respectively.

The substitution W'F'' replaces  $\xi_6$  by  $w \equiv \xi_1 - \xi_2 - \xi_3 - \xi_4$ . Consider the  $2^5$  products K' of an even number of the  $C_i$  ( $i=1,\cdots,6$ ). If a particular K' replace w by  $\omega$ , the four substitutions K', CK',  $C_5C_6K'$ ,  $C_1C_2C_3C_4K'$  replace w by either  $\omega$  or  $-\omega$ . Hence, of the  $2^5$  substitutions K', we need only consider  $2^3$  representatives, as

$$(k) K'_{1} = I, \quad K'_{i} = C_{1}C_{i} (i = 2, \dots, 5), \quad K'_{6} = C_{1}C_{2}C_{3}C_{5},$$

$$K'_{7} = C_{1}C_{2}C_{4}C_{5}, \quad K'_{8} = C_{1}C_{3}C_{4}C_{5}.$$

The substitutions  $K'_{i}W'F'$  may therefore be taken as eight of the  $S'_{i}$ , distinct from the above six.

Using for the moment the notation 1234 for  $\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_4$ , we find that the substitutions

$$(b) \quad \begin{array}{c} I, \ E_3', \ E_2'E_3', \ E_1'E_2'E_3', \ E_1'^2E_2'E_3', \ F', \ E_2'F', \ E_1'^2F', \ E_2'E_1'^2F', \\ E_3'F', \ E_2'E_3'F', \ E_1'E_2'E_3'F', \ E_1'E_2'E_3'F', \end{array}$$

respectively replace 1234 by 1234, 1235, 1245, 2345, 1345, 2346, 1346, 1246, 1236, 1356, 2456, 1256, 2356, 3456, 1456, giving each of the 15 combinations of 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 four at a time.

It follows that we may take as our 126 substitutions  $S_i'$  the six substitutions (a) and the 120 obtained by multiplying the 16 substitutions (b) on the right hand by  $K_j'W'F'$   $(j=1,2,\cdots,8)$ . We have therefore explained the origin of the table given in § 7. Indeed, from that table we obtain a rectangular array for  $O_{6,3}$  by replacing the group G by  $O_{5,3}$  and accenting all the letters.

§§ 4–14. Determination of the abstract group 
$$\Gamma$$
.

4. Consider the abstract group  $\Gamma$  obtained by the extension of G by an operator F subject to the relations:

(12) 
$$F^2 = I, \quad (E, F)^3 = I,$$

$$(13) B_1 F = F B_1 B_4,$$

Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 24

(14) 
$$E_{2}E_{1}F = FE_{1}^{2}E_{2},$$

$$(15) E_3 E_1 F = F E_3 E_1,$$

$$(16) WFWF = FWFW,$$

(17) 
$$WV = VW, \quad V \equiv FE_{2}E_{1}E_{2}E_{2}E_{3}F \equiv V^{-1},$$

(18) 
$$FW^{2}B_{1}B_{2}FE_{3}WE_{2}FWF = B_{1}E_{3}WE_{3}.$$

We readily verify that the generators  $E_1'$ ,  $E_2'$ ,  $E_3'$ ,  $E_1'$ , W', F' of  $O_{6,3}$  satisfy the relations  $(12)\cdots(18)$ .\* Note that  $V\sim V'\equiv (\xi_2\xi_3)(\xi_3\xi_6)$ . The order of  $\Gamma$  is therefore at least as great as the order of  $O_{6,3}$ . To complete the proof of their simple isomorphism it remains only to prove that the order of  $\Gamma$  is at most as great as the order of  $O_{6,3}$ .

5. From the relations  $(1), \dots, (8), (12), \dots, (18)$ , we proceed to derive a number of relations needed below. From (14) and (15) we find

(19) 
$$E_{3}E_{1}E_{2}E_{1}F = FE_{3}E_{1} \cdot E_{1}^{2}E_{2} = FE_{3}E_{2}.$$

Taking the reciprocal of (19) and multiplying on the right and left by F, we get

(20) 
$$FE_{2}E_{3} = E_{1}^{2}E_{2}E_{1}^{2}E_{3}F = E_{2}E_{1}E_{3}E_{2}F.$$

From (20) we find

(21) 
$$E_1 = E_2 F E_2 E_3 F E_3 E_2.$$

From (12) and (14) we get

(22) 
$$FE_1FE_2F = FE_1FE_1 \cdot E_1^2E_2F = FE_1FE_1FE_2E_1 = E_1^2E_2E_1$$
.

From (12) and (7) we find

$$\begin{split} E_{3}E_{2}E_{1}^{2}FE_{1}E_{2}E_{3} &= E_{3}E_{2}E_{1}\cdot FE_{1}^{2}F\cdot E_{2}E_{3} = E_{3}FE_{1}^{2}E_{2}E_{1}^{2}\cdot E_{2}E_{1}E_{2}E_{3}F\\ &= E_{1}FE_{3}E_{1}\cdot E_{2}E_{1}^{2}E_{2}E_{3}F = E_{1}FE_{3}E_{1}^{2}E_{2}E_{1}E_{3}F = E_{1}V: \end{split}$$

(23) 
$$E_{1}V = E_{2}E_{2}E_{1}FE_{1}E_{2}E_{2}.$$

(24) 
$$E_{1}VE_{1}V \equiv (E_{3}E_{2}E_{1}^{2}FE_{1}E_{2}E_{3})^{2} = I.$$

Taking the reciprocal of (13) and applying  $B_4^2 = I$ ,  $B_1B_4 = B_4B_1$ , we find

$$FB_1 = B_4B_1F = B_4 \cdot FB_1B_4 = B_4FB_4B_1$$
:

$$(25) B_{4}F = FB_{4}.$$

<sup>\*</sup>In regard to the relation corresponding to (18) it should be remarked that for orthogonal substitutions there occurs an additional factor C in one member.

Transforming (13) by  $E_3E_1$ , which by (15) transforms F into itself, we get

(26) 
$$B_1 B_2 F = F B_1 B_2 B_3$$
.

By (13),  $B_1B_2F = B_2FB_1B_4$ . Hence from (26) we find

$$(27) B_2 F = F B_2.$$

Applying (1), (2), (6), (8) and (14), we find \*

$$E_2E_1B_1F = B_1B_2B_3E_2E_1F = B_1B_2B_3FE_1^2E_2,$$
  
 $E_2E_1FB_1B_4 = FE_1^2E_2B_1B_4 = FB_1B_2B_4E_1^2E_2.$ 

Equating these products by virtue of (13), we find

(28) 
$$B_1B_2B_2F = FB_1B_2B_4$$
.

Combining (28) with (26) and (28) with (25), we find respectively

$$(29) B3F = FB2B3,$$

$$(30) B_1 B_2 B_3 B_4 F = F B_1 B_2.$$

Hence F transforms any product formed from  $B_1$ ,  $B_2$ ,  $B_3$ ,  $B_4$  into another such product.

6. Corresponding to the orthogonal substitutions  $K'_i$  defined by formulæ (k) of § 3, we have the following operators of  $\Gamma$ :

$$K_1 = I$$
,  $K_2 = B_1$ ,  $K_3 = B_1B_2$ ,  $K_4 = B_1B_2B_3$ ,  $K_5 = B_1B_2B_4$ ,  $K_6 = B_1B_2B_4$ ,  $K_7 = B_1B_4$ ,  $K_8 = B_1B_2B_4$ .

Any product derived from  $E_1$ ,  $E_2$ ,  $E_3$ ,  $B_1$  transforms any product derived from  $B_1$ ,  $B_2$ ,  $B_3$ ,  $B_4$  into a product of the  $B_i$ , a statement made evident by considering the corresponding substitutions of the isomorphic group  $O_{5,3}$ . In virtue of the theorem at the end of § 5, a like result holds when the transformer is any operator (for example, V) derived from F,  $E_1$ ,  $E_2$ ,  $E_3$ ,  $B_1$ .

Since  $B_1B_3W = WB_1B_3$ , we have on applying (30),

$$B_1B_3WFG=WFB_1B_2B_3B_4G=WFG \,.$$

Hence the products BWFG, where B runs through the 16 distinct products of the  $B_1$ ,  $B_2$ ,  $B_3$ ,  $B_4$ , reduce to the eight distinct products  $K_jWFG$   $(j=1, \dots, 8)$ . For example,  $B_2WFG = K_4WFG$ .

<sup>\*</sup> In establishing identities between operators of G it is frequently simpler to work with the corresponding substitutions of the simply isomorphic group  $O_{5,3}$ .

7. Consider the following set of operators belonging to  $\Gamma$ :

$$\begin{array}{llll} R_0 & \equiv G & R_1 & \equiv FG & R_2 & \equiv E_2FG \\ R_3 & \equiv E_1^2FG & R_4 & \equiv E_2E_1^2FG & R_5 & \equiv E_3E_2E_1^2FG \\ R_{1j} & \equiv K_jWFG & R_{2j} & \equiv E_3K_jWFG & R_{3j} & \equiv E_2E_3K_jWFG \\ R_{4j} & \equiv E_1E_2E_3K_jWFG & R_{5j} & \equiv E_1^2E_2E_3K_jWFG & R_{6j} & \equiv FK_jWFG \\ R_{7j} & \equiv E_2FK_jWFG & R_{8j} & \equiv E_1^2FK_jWFG & R_{9j} & \equiv E_2E_1^2FK_jWFG \\ R_{10j} & \equiv E_3FK_jWFG & R_{11j} & \equiv E_2E_3FK_jWFG & R_{12j} & \equiv E_1E_3FK_jWFG \\ R_{13j} & \equiv E_1^2E_3FK_jWFG & R_{14j} & \equiv E_1E_2E_3FK_jWFG & R_{15j} & \equiv E_2E_1^2E_3FK_jWFG \\ \text{where } j = 1 \,, \, 2 \,, \, \cdots \,, \, 8 \,. \end{array}$$

From the developments given below it will follow that this table is a rectangular array of the operators of the abstract group  $\Gamma$  with G as first row and therefore that  $\Gamma$  is holoedrically isomorphic with  $O_{6,3}$ . Indeed, in §§ 10–14, we prove that the 126 rows of our table are merely permuted amongst themselves on applying as a left hand multiplier any of the generators  $E_1$ ,  $E_2$ ,  $E_3$ ,  $B_1$ , W, F and therefore for an arbitrary operator of  $\Gamma$ . Since the row  $R_0$  contains the identity, it will follow that an arbitrary operator of  $\Gamma$  belongs to the above table. The order of  $\Gamma$  is thus not greater than that of  $O_{6,3}$ .

8. Lemma I.—The rows  $R_{ij}$   $(j=1, \dots, 8)$  are merely permuted upon applying as a left hand multiplier either  $E_1$  or  $E_2$ .

$$\begin{split} E_2 R_{1j} &\equiv E_2 K_j WFG = K_i E_2 WFG = K_i B_3 W B_1 FG \\ &= K_t WF B_1 B_4 G = K_t WFG \equiv R_{1t}. \\ E_2 E_1 K_j WFG &= K_r E_2 E_1 WFG = K_r WFE_1^2 E_2 G = K_r WFG \equiv R_{1r}. \\ E_1^2 K_* WFG &= E_2 E_1 \cdot E_2 E_1 \cdot E_2 K_* WFG = K_s WFG \,, \end{split}$$

by the preceding results. Hence

$$E_{\scriptscriptstyle \rm I}R_{\scriptscriptstyle \rm Ij} \equiv E_{\scriptscriptstyle \rm I}K_{\scriptscriptstyle \rm J}WFG = K_{\scriptscriptstyle \rm S}WFG \equiv R_{\scriptscriptstyle \rm Is}\,.$$

9. Lemma II.—The rows  $R_{ij}$  are permuted upon applying as a left hand multiplier the operator V defined by (17).

$$\begin{split} VR_{ij} &\equiv VK_{j}WFG = K_{i}VWFG \\ &= K_{i}WVFG = K_{i}WFE_{3}E_{1}^{2}E_{2}E_{1}E_{3} \\ &= K_{i}WFG \equiv R_{ii} \,. \end{split} \qquad \text{[by § 6]}$$

10. Theorem.—The application of  $E_2$  as a left hand multiplier permutes the 126 rows.

By inspection we see that  $E_2$  interchanges  $R_1$  with  $R_2$ ,  $R_3$  with  $R_4$ ,  $R_{2j}$  with  $R_{3j}$ ,  $R_{6j}$  with  $R_{7j}$ ,  $R_{8j}$  with  $R_{9j}$ ,  $R_{10j}$  with  $R_{11j}$ ,  $R_{13j}$  with  $R_{15j}$ . Furthermore,

$$\begin{split} E_2R_5 &\equiv E_2E_3E_2E_1^2FG = E_3E_2E_3E_1^2FG = E_3E_2E_1^2E_3E_1FG \\ &= E_3E_2E_1^2FE_3E_1G = E_3E_2E_1^2FG \equiv R_5 \qquad \text{[by use of (15)]}. \\ E_2R_4 &\equiv E_2E_1E_2E_3K_1WFG = E_1^2E_2E_3E_1K_1WFG = R_5, \end{split}$$

upon applying lemma I to replace  $E_1R_{1i}$  by  $R_{1i}$ .

The condition for the identity  $E_2 R_{12i} = R_{12i}$  is

$$E_2 E_1 E_3 F K_i W F G = E_1 E_3 F K_t W F G,$$

or

$$FE_3E_1^2 \cdot E_2E_1E_3FK_iWFG = K_tWFG,$$

which is satisfied in virtue of lemma II.

Likewise, the condition for the identity  $E_2 R_{14i} = R_{14i}$  is

$$(E_1E_2E_3F)^{-1}E_2(E_1E_2E_3F)K_iWFG = K_iWFG$$
,

which is satisfied by lemma II since we have

$$FE_3E_2E_1^2E_2E_1E_2E_3F = FE_3E_1^2E_2E_1E_3F \equiv V.$$

11. Theorem.—The application of  $E_3$  as a left hand multiplier permutes the 126 rows.

By inspection  $E_3$  interchanges  $R_4$  with  $R_5$ ,  $R_{1j}$  with  $R_{2j}$ ,  $R_{6j}$  with  $R_{10j}$ ,  $R_{8i}$  with  $R_{12j}$ .

$$\begin{split} E_3R_1 &\equiv E_3FG = E_2FE_2E_1E_2E_3G = E_2FG \equiv R_2 & \text{[by (21)]}. \\ E_3R_3 &\equiv E_3E_1^2FG = E_1^2E_3E_1FG = E_1^2FE_3E_1G = E_1^2FG \equiv R_3. \\ E_3R_{3j} &\equiv E_2E_3E_2K_jWFG = E_2E_3K_tWFG \equiv R_{3t} & \text{[by lemma I]}. \\ E_3R_{4j} &\equiv E_3E_1E_2E_3K_jWFG = E_1^2E_2E_3E_2K_jWFG \\ &= E_1^2E_2E_3K_tWFG \equiv R_{5t} & \text{[by lemma I]}. \\ E_3R_{7j} &\equiv E_3E_2FK_jWFG = E_1^2E_3E_1^2E_2FK_jWFG \\ &= E_1^2E_3FE_2E_1K_jWFG = E_1^2E_3FK_sWFG \equiv R_{13s}\,, \end{split}$$

upon applying (14) and lemma I.

The condition for the identity  $E_{3}R_{9i}=R_{9i}$  is

$$(E_{2}E_{1}^{2}F)^{-1}E_{3}(E_{2}E_{1}^{2}F)K_{i}WFG = K_{i}WFG$$

and it is satisfied in virtue of lemma II since

$$\begin{split} FE_1E_2E_3E_2E_1^2F &= FE_1E_3E_2E_3E_1^2F = FE_3E_1^2E_2E_1E_3F \equiv V\,.\\ E_3R_{11j} &\equiv E_3E_2E_3FK_j\,WFG = E_2E_3E_2FK_j\,WFG\\ &= E_2E_3E_2\cdot E_2E_1FK_i\,WFG \quad \text{[by lemma I and (14)]}\\ &= E_2E_1^2E_3FK_i\,WFG = R_{15i}\,. \end{split}$$

The condition for the identity  $E_3 R_{14i} = R_{14i}$  is

$$(E_1E_2E_3F)^{-1}E_3(E_1E_2E_3F)K_iWFG = K_iWFG$$
,

and it is satisfied by lemmas I and II since we have

$$\begin{split} FE_3E_2E_1^2E_3E_1E_2E_3F &= FE_3E_2E_1E_3E_2E_3F\\ &= FE_3E_2E_1E_2E_3E_2F = FE_3E_1^2E_2E_2^2E_3E_2F\\ &= FE_3E_1^2E_2E_1E_3\cdot E_1^2E_2F = FE_3E_1^2E_2E_1E_3FE_2E_1 = VE_2E_1\,. \end{split}$$

12. Theorem.—The application of F as a left hand multiplier permutes the 126 rows.

$$\begin{split} FR_0 &= R_1. \\ FR_{1j} &= R_{6j}. \\ FR_2 &\equiv FE_2FG = E_1^2FE_1^2E_2E_1G = E_1^2FG \equiv R_3 \quad \text{[by (22)]}. \\ FR_4 &\equiv FE_2E_1^2FG = E_1^2E_2FE_1FG \quad \text{[by (14)]} \\ &= E_1^2E_2\cdot E_1^2FE_1^2G = E_2E_1E_2FE_1^2G \quad \text{[by (12)]} \\ &= E_2E_1^2E_1^2E_2FE_1^2G = E_2E_1^2FE_2G = R_4 \quad \text{[by (14)]}. \\ FR_5 &\equiv FE_3E_2E_1^2FG = E_3E_2FE_2\cdot FG \quad \text{[by (21)]} \\ &= E_3E_2\cdot FR_2 = E_3E_2R_3 \equiv E_3E_2E_1^2FG \equiv R_5. \\ FR_{2j} &\equiv FE_3K_jWFG = E_3E_1FE_1^2K_jWFG \quad \text{[by (21)]} \\ &= E_1^2E_3FK_tWFG \equiv R_{13}, \quad \text{[by lemma I]}. \end{split}$$

The condition  $FR_{3i} = R_{1ii}$  or

$$(E_{1}E_{2}E_{3}F)^{-1}F(E_{2}E_{3})K_{1}WFG = K_{1}WFG$$

is satisfied by lemma II, since we have, by (20),

$$\begin{split} FE_3E_2E_1^2FE_2E_3 &= FE_3E_2E_1^2 \cdot E_1^2E_2E_1^2E_3F = FE_3E_1^2E_2E_1E_3F = V \,. \\ FR_{5j} &\equiv FE_1^2E_2E_3K_jWFG = E_2E_3FK_tWFG \equiv R_{11t} \,, \end{split}$$

since by (14) and (15) we have

$$FE_1^2E_2E_3 = E_2E_1FE_3 = E_2E_1 \cdot E_3E_1FE_1^2 = E_2E_3FE_1^2$$
.

The condition for the identity  $FR_{ii} = R_{ii}$  is satisfied by lemmas I and II:

$$(E_1 E_2 E_3)^{-1} F E_1 E_2 E_3 K_j W F G \equiv E_1 V K_j W F G = K_t W F G$$
 [by (23)].

$$FR_{7j} \equiv FE_{2}FK_{j}WFG = E_{1}^{2}FE_{1}^{2}E_{2}E_{1}K_{j}WFG$$
 [by (22)]

$$= E_1^2 F K_t W F G \equiv R_{st}$$
 [by lemma I].

$$FR_{g_j} \equiv FE_2E_1^2FK_jWFG = R_{g_t} \equiv E_2E_1^2FK_tWFG$$
 ,

since

$$\begin{split} FE_2E_1^2F &= E_1^2E_2FE_1F = E_1^2E_2\cdot E_1^2FE_1^2 \\ &= E_2E_1^2E_1^2E_2FE_1^2 = E_2E_1^2FE_2E_1\cdot E_1^2 \,. \end{split}$$

$$FR_{10i} \equiv FE_3FK_iWFG = R_{12i} \equiv E_1E_3FK_iWFG$$

since

$$FE_{2}F = FE_{2}E_{1} \cdot E_{1}^{2}F = E_{2}E_{1} \cdot FE_{1}^{2}F = E_{2}E_{1}^{2}FE_{1} = E_{1}E_{2}FE_{1}$$

The condition for the identity  $FR_{15i} = R_{15k}$ , viz.,

$$(E_2E_1^2E_3F)^{-1}F(E_2E_1^2E_3F)K_iWFG = K_kWFG$$
,

is seen to be satisfied in virtue of lemmas I and II as follows:

$$\begin{split} FE_3E_1E_2FE_2E_1^2E_3F &= E_3E_1FE_2FE_2E_1^2E_3F = E_3\cdot FE_1^2E_2E_1\cdot E_2E_1^2E_3F \\ &= E_1E_3E_1\cdot FE_1E_2E_1E_3F \quad \text{[by (22)]} \\ &= E_1FE_3E_1^2E_2E_1E_3F = E_1V. \end{split}$$

13. By (21),  $E_1$  is expressed as a product of the  $E_2$ ,  $E_3$ , F. Hence by §§ 10–12,  $E_1$  permutes the 126 rows when applied as a left hand multiplier. From the following relations given under formulæ (8), (2), (13) above,

$$B_1E_1 = E_1B_1B_2$$
,  $B_1E_2 = E_2B_1$ ,  $B_1E_3 = E_3B_1$ ,  $B_1F = B_1B_4$ ,

and from the remarks in §6 concerning the  $K_j$   $(j=1,\cdots,8)$ , it follows that  $B_1$  applied as a left hand multiplier permutes the 126 rows. Then by (6) a like result holds for  $B_2$ ,  $B_3$  and  $B_4$ .

14. Theorem.—The application of W as a left hand multiplier permutes the 126 rows.

$$WR_0 = R_0$$
.

$$WR_1 \equiv WFG \equiv R_{11}$$
.

$$WR_2 \equiv WE_2FG = B_3WFG = B_1WB_1B_3FG = K_2WFG = R_{12}$$
.

$$\begin{split} WR_3 &\equiv \ WE_1^2FG = E_1^2 \, WB_1FG = E_1^2 \, WFG = K_3 \, WFG \equiv R_{13} \\ &\qquad \qquad \qquad \text{[by lemma I]}. \\ WR_4 &= \ WE_2E_1^2FG = E_2E_1 \, WE_1FG = E_2E_1B_3E_2E_1 \, WFG \\ &= B_2E_2E_1 \cdot E_2E_1 \, WFG = B_2 \, WE_1^2E_2FG = K_4 \, WFG \equiv R_{14} \, . \end{split}$$

The condition for the identity  $WR_5=R_5$  is that  $(E_3E_2E_1^2F)WE_3E_2E_1^2F$  shall belong to G. We shall verify that it equals  $E_1E_2E_3E_2WE_2E_3E_2E_1^2$ . The condition for this equality may be written

$$(E_1E_2E_3E_2)^{-1}FE_1E_2E_3WE_3E_2E_1^2E(E_2E_3E_2E_1^2)^{-1} = W.$$

By (23), the left member is equal to

$$\begin{split} E_{2}E_{1}VWE_{1}VE_{2} &= E_{2}E_{1}WVE_{1}VE_{2} \\ &= E_{2}E_{1}WE_{1}^{2}E_{2} = W \end{split} \qquad \text{[by (17)]}$$

Each row  $R_{ij}$  is of the form  $AK_jWFG$ , where A denotes a product built from  $E_1$ ,  $E_2$ ,  $E_3$ , F. But  $E_1$ ,  $E_2$ ,  $E_3$  each transform  $K_j$  into some  $K_i$ , a statement made evident by considering the isomorphic orthogonal substitutions. Furthermore, by § 6, F transforms  $K_j$  into some  $K_i$ . Hence each row  $R_{ij}$  may be given the form  $K_iAWFG$ .

In virtue of the following relations between orthogonal substitutions,

$$\begin{split} W'\,C_1\,C_2 &= C_3\,C_4E_2'\,W'\,, & W'\,C_1\,C_3 &= C_2\,C_4E_1'^2E_2'E_1'\,W'\,, \\ W'\,C_1\,C_4 &= C_2\,C_3E_1'E_2'E_1'^2\,W'\,, \\ W'\,C_1\,C_5 &= C_1\,C_5\,W'^2\,, & W'\,C_1C_2C_3\,C_5 &= C_1\,C_5E'E_2'E_1'^2\,W'^2\,, \\ W'\,C_1C_2C_4\,C_5 &= C_1\,C_5E_1'^2E_2'E_1'\,W'^2\,, & W'\,C_1C_3C_4\,C_5 &= C_1C_5E_2'\,W'^2\,, \end{split}$$

we have in the isomorphic group G the general relation

$$WK = KA'W^{\pm 1}$$

where A' is derived from  $E_1$  and  $E_2$ . Hence

$$WR_{ij} = WK_iAWFG = K_sA'W^{\pm 1}AWFG$$
.

But, by §§ 10 and 13,  $K_s$  or A' when applied as left hand multiplier permutes the 126 rows. Hence it remains only to prove that W (and hence also  $W^{-1}$ ) permutes the rows  $R_{ii} \equiv AWFG$  when applied as a left hand multiplier.

$$\begin{split} WR_{\mbox{\tiny 11}} &\equiv W^2FG = B_1B_2B_3B_4WB_1B_2B_3B_4FG = B_1B_2B_3B_4WFG \\ &= K_5WFG = R_{\mbox{\tiny 15}}\,. \\ WR_{\mbox{\tiny 61}} &\equiv WFWFG = FWFWG = FWFG = R_{\mbox{\tiny 61}} \end{split}$$
 [by (16)].

The condition for the identity  $WR_{21} = R_{33}$  is that the operator

$$(E_{2}E_{3}K_{3}WF)^{-1}WE_{3}WF \equiv F(W^{2}K_{3}E_{3}E_{2}WE_{3}W)F$$

shall belong to G. To it corresponds in the orthogonal group a substitution which corresponds to

$$S \equiv B_2 B_3 E_1 E_2 E_3 E_2 W^2 E_3 E_2 E_1^2$$
.

We proceed to prove that in the abstract group  $\Gamma$ :

$$FSF = W^2K_1E_2E_2WE_2W \equiv T_1$$

Now

$$\begin{split} FSF &= B_3 F E_1 E_2 E_3 \cdot E_2 W^2 E_3 E_2 E_1^2 F \\ &= B_3 E_1 E_2 E_3 E_1 V \cdot E_2 W^2 E_3 E_2 E_1^2 F \\ &= B_3 E_1 E_2 E_3 V W^2 E_1^2 E_2 \cdot E_3 E_2 E_1^2 F \\ &= B_3 E_1 E_2 E_3 W^2 E_3 E_2 E_1^2 F E_1 E_2 E_3 \cdot E_2 E_3 E_2 E_1^2 F \quad \text{[by (24) and (7)]} \\ &= B_3 E_1 E_2 E_3 W^2 E_3 E_2 E_1^2 F E_1 E_2 E_3 \cdot E_2 E_3 E_2 E_1^2 F \quad \text{[by (17) and (23)]} \\ &= B_3 E_1 E_2 E_3 W^2 E_3 E_2 E_1^2 F E_3 E_1 F \\ &= B_3 E_1 E_2 E_3 W^2 E_2 E_2 E_1 E_2 \equiv T_2 \quad \text{[by (15)]}. \end{split}$$

It remains to prove that the products denoted by  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are equal. Each belongs to the group G; they will therefore be identical if the corresponding orthogonal substitutions are identical. But to both  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  there corresponds the same orthogonal substitution, viz.,

$$\xi'_1 = \xi_1, \quad \xi'_2 = -\xi_2 + \xi_3 - \xi_4 + \xi_5, \quad \xi'_3 = \xi_2 - \xi_3 - \xi_4 + \xi_5,$$
  
 $\xi'_1 = -\xi_2 - \xi_2 - \xi_4 - \xi_5, \quad \xi'_5 = -\xi_2 - \xi_2 + \xi_4 + \xi_5.$ 

The condition for the identity  $WR_{10,1} = R_{10,7}$  is that the product

$$(E_{\mathfrak{o}}FB_{\mathfrak{o}}B_{\mathfrak{o}}WF)^{-1}WE_{\mathfrak{o}}FWF$$

shall belong to G. It is satisfied in virtue of relation (18). In view of the following relations derived from (3) and (8),

$$WE_2 = B_3 W$$
,  $WE_1 = B_3 E_2 E_1 W$ ,  $WE_1^2 = E_1^2 B_3 E_2 W$ ,

 $WR_{31}$ ,  $WR_{41}$  and  $WR_{51}$  are each of the form

$$D \, W E_3 \, W F G \equiv D \, W R_{2\,1} = D R_{3\,3} \, ,$$

where D is derived from  $B_{_3}\,,\ E_{_1}\,,\ E_{_2}\,.$  Also  $WR_{_{7\,1}},\ WR_{_{8\,1}},\ WR_{_{9\,1}}$  are each of the form

$$DWFWFG \equiv DWR_{61} = DR_{61}$$
.

Finally, the products  $WR_{i,1}$  (i = 11, 12, 13, 14, 15) are each of the form

$$DWE_{3}FWFG \equiv DWR_{10,1} = DR_{10,7}$$
.

By the results of §§ 10 and 13, each of the products  $DR_{3\,3}$ ,  $DR_{6\,1}$ ,  $DR_{10\,7}$  equals some row  $R_i$  or  $R_{ii}$ .

§§ 15-17. Isomorphism and correspondence of generators between the orthogonal and hyperabelian groups.

15. The simple group  $H_{4,3}$  of order 25920, which is derived from the decomposition of the Abelian group of modulus 3 on four indices, is simply isomorphic with the simple subgroup  $O_{5,3}$  of the quinary orthogonal group of modulus 3.\* We proceed to determine the operators of the former group which correspond to the generators  $E_1'$ ,  $E_2'$ ,  $E_3'$ ,  $C_1C_2$ , W' of the latter. We first determine the operators of  $O_{5,3}$  which correspond to the generators  $\dagger B_1$ ,  $B_2$ ,  $B_3$ ,  $B_4$ ,  $B_5$ , B of  $H_{4,3}$  given on pages 65 and 67 of volume 31 of the Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society. Of the two possible forms for B, we choose that one given by  $\gamma_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , viz.,

$$B=\pm egin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \ \end{pmatrix}.$$

By the general correspondence set up in §5 of the paper cited in the foot-note, we find that  $\bar{B}$  corresponds to the substitution

leaving invariant modulo 3 the function

$$\phi \equiv \xi_1^2 + Y_{13}Y_{24} - Y_{14}Y_{23}.$$

<sup>\*</sup>Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 1, p. 95.

<sup>†</sup> The substitutions  $B_i$  enter §15 alone and are to be distinguished from the earlier  $B_i$ .

We introduce the new indices

$$\xi_2 \equiv -Y_{13} - Y_{24}, \qquad \xi_3 \equiv Y_{13} + Y_{14} + Y_{23} - Y_{24},$$

$$\xi_4 \equiv -Y_{13} + Y_{14} + Y_{23} + Y_{24}, \quad \xi_5 \equiv -Y_{14} + Y_{23}.$$
Then
$$\phi \equiv \xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 + \xi_3^2 + \xi_4^2 + \xi_5^2 \qquad (\text{mod } 3).$$

Solving modulo 3 the relations (32), we find

$$\begin{split} Y_{13} &\equiv \xi_2 + \xi_3 - \xi_4 \,, \quad Y_{14} \equiv \xi_3 + \xi_4 + \xi_5 \,, \\ Y_{24} &\equiv \xi_2 - \xi_3 + \xi_4 \,, \quad Y_{23} \equiv \xi_3 + \xi_4 - \xi_5 \,. \end{split}$$

Expressing in terms of the new indices  $\xi_i$  the substitution (31) to which  $\bar{B}$  corresponds, we obtain the result:

$$(33) \bar{B} \sim B' \equiv C_{\perp} C_{5}(\xi_{3} \xi_{5} \xi_{4}).$$

Proceeding similarly with the substitutions  $\overline{B_1}$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $\overline{B_5}$ , we find that

$$\overline{B}_{1} \sim B'_{1} \equiv C_{3}C_{4}E'_{3}E'_{1}E'_{2}E'_{3}W'E'_{3}E'_{2}E'^{2}E'_{3}C_{2}C_{5},$$

$$(35) \bar{B}_{2} \sim B_{2}' \equiv C_{2}C_{2}C_{3}C_{5}$$

$$(36) \overline{B}_2 \sim B_2' \equiv C_2 C_4 B_1' C_2 C_4,$$

(37) 
$$B_{4} \sim B'_{1} \equiv C_{4}C_{5}E'_{3}B'_{1}E'_{3}C_{4}C_{5},$$

(38) 
$$\bar{B}_5 \sim B_5' \equiv C_1 C_3 C_4 C_5$$
,

where the substitutions  $B'_1$ ,  $B'_4$  are in matricular notation

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We proceed next to express the generators  $E_1'$ ,  $E_2'$ ,  $E_3'$ ,  $C_1C_2$ , W' of the orthogonal group  $O_{5,3}$  in terms of B',  $B_1'$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $B_5'$ , which correspond to the generators of  $H_{4,3}$ .

$$\begin{split} W' &= B_2' B_3' B_4' B_3' \,, \ E_3' = B' B_5' B' \, W' B_5' \, W' B' \,, \ E_2' = C_1 C_5 \, W' B_5' \, W' \,, \\ C_1 C_5 &= B' \, W' B_5' W' E_3' \,, \ E_1' = C_3 C_5 B_1' B'^2 B_3' B' E_3' C_1 C_2 C_1 C_5 \,, \\ C_1 C_5 &= B_2' B_5' \,, \ C_3 C_5 = E_3' C_3 C_4 E_3' \,, \ C_3 C_4 = B_5' C_1 C_5. \end{split}$$

The corresponding Abelian substitutions have corresponding relations. The substitutions W,  $\bar{W}^2 \equiv W^{-1}$ ;  $\bar{E}_3$ ,  $\bar{E}_2$ ,  $\bar{E}_1$ ;  $\bar{C}_1\bar{C}_5$ ,  $\bar{C}_3\bar{C}_4$ ,  $\bar{C}_3\bar{C}_5$ ,  $\bar{C}_1\bar{C}_2$ ,  $\bar{C}_2\bar{C}_3$  are found to be the following:

These calculations have been checked in several ways.

16. Theorem.—If the hyperabelian group  $HA_{4,3^2}$  be isomorphic with the orthogonal group  $O_{6,3}$  in such a manner that the correspondences of §15 hold between the operators of their respective subgroups  $H_{4,3}$  and  $O_{5,3}$ , then the substitution of the group  $HA_{4,3^2}$ ,

(39) 
$$\overline{I} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I^{-3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I^{3} \end{pmatrix},$$

in which the mark I is a suitably chosen root of the congruence

$$x^2 \equiv x + 1 \pmod{3}$$

must correspond to the substitution  $C_1C_2(\xi_1\xi_6)(\xi_3\xi_4)$  which extends  $O_{5,3}$  to  $O_{6,3}$ . In the quotient group  $HA_{4,3^2}$ , every hyperabelian substitution multiplying all four indices by the same factor corresponds to the identity, viz., the powers of

where we have set

(41) 
$$I^2 \equiv i, \quad I \equiv i - 1, \quad i^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{3}.$$

Then since  $I^8 = 1$ , one has easily

$$\overline{I}^{2} = \overline{C}_{2} \overline{C}_{3} \overline{C}_{4} \overline{C}_{5},$$

so that  $\overline{I}$  is of period four. We readily verify the following relations:

$$(43) \quad \begin{array}{ll} I\overline{C}_3\overline{C}_4 = \overline{C}_3\overline{C}_4\overline{I}, & I\overline{C}_1\overline{C}_2 = \overline{C}_1\overline{C}_2\overline{I}^{-1}, & I\overline{C}_1\overline{C}_5 = \overline{C}_1\overline{C}_5\overline{I}^{-1}, \\ & \overline{I}\overline{C}_2\overline{C}_3 = \overline{C}_3\overline{C}_5\overline{I}^{-1}, & \overline{E}_2\overline{E}_3\overline{I}\overline{E}_2\overline{E}_3 = \overline{I}, & \overline{E}_2\overline{E}_1\overline{I}\overline{E}_2\overline{E}_1 = \overline{C}_3\overline{C}_5\overline{I}^{-1}. \end{array}$$

Suppose that  $O_{6,3}$  contains a substitution I' which combines with the generators  $E_1'$ ,  $E_2'$ ,  $E_3'$ ,  $C_1C_2$ , W' of  $O_{5,3}$  according to the same laws by which  $\bar{I}$  combines with  $\bar{E}_1$ ,  $\bar{E}_2$ ,  $\bar{E}_3$ ,  $\bar{C}_1\bar{C}_2$ ,  $\bar{W}$  of  $H_{4,3}$ . Assume for I' the most general form possible, viz.,

$$I'\equiv\pmegin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{16}\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{26}\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots\ a_{61} & a_{62} & \cdots & a_{66} \end{bmatrix},$$

the simultaneous change of sign of every coefficient leaving I' unchanged. In virtue of the relation corresponding to (42), viz.,

$$I'^{-1} = I' C_2 C_3 C_4 C_5,$$

we find that

According to the sign  $\pm$ , we find for  $I'_{+}$ ,  $I'_{-}$  the respective values:

$$\pm \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{16} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{23} & a_{24} & a_{25} & 0 \\ 0 & -a_{23} & 0 & a_{34} & a_{35} & 0 \\ 0 & -a_{24} - a_{34} & 0 & a_{45} & 0 \\ 0 & -a_{25} - a_{35} - a_{45} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{16} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{66} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \pm \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{16} \\ 0 & a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{24} & a_{25} & 0 \\ 0 & a_{23} & a_{33} & a_{34} & a_{35} & 0 \\ 0 & a_{24} & a_{34} & a_{44} & a_{45} & 0 \\ 0 & a_{25} & a_{35} & a_{45} & a_{55} & 0 \\ -a_{16} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

By the relation corresponding to the first relation (43), I' must be commutative with  $C_3C_4$ . We find in consequence for  $I'_+$ ,  $I'_-$  the values:

$$\pm \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{16} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{25} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{34} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -a_{34} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -a_{25} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{16} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{66} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \pm \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{16} \\ 0 & a_{22} & 0 & 0 & a_{25} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{33} & a_{34} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{34} & a_{44} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{25} & 0 & 0 & a_{55} & 0 \\ -a_{16} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

The resulting substitution  $I'_{+}$  does not satisfy the relation corresponding to (43),

$$I'C_1C_2 = C_1C_2I'^{-1}$$
,

and is therefore excluded. And  $I'_{-}$  satisfies it if and only if  $a_{25} = 0$ .

The relation  $I'C_1C_5 = C_1C_5I'^{-1}$  is then satisfied by  $I'_-$ . But the relation:

$$I'C_{\frac{2}{\lambda}}C_3 = C_3C_5I'^{-1},$$

requires that  $a_{33}=a_{44}=0$  in  $I_-'$  . The relations :

$$E_{2}'E_{3}'I_{-}'E_{2}'E_{3}' = I_{-}', \quad E_{2}'E_{1}'I_{-}'E_{2}'E_{1}' = C_{3}C_{5}I_{-}'^{-1},$$

require in succession that  $a_{34} = a_{55}$ ,  $a_{34} = -a_{22}$ . Evidently  $a_{16} \not\equiv 0$ , so that  $a_{16} \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{3}$ . By suitable choice of the sign  $\pm$  in front of the matrix for  $I'_{-}$ , we may take  $a_{16} = +1$ . Setting, for brevity,  $a_{34} = \gamma$ , we have

$$I_-' \equiv \pm \left[ egin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \ 0 & -\gamma & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & \gamma & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & \gamma & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma & 0 \ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} 
ight].$$

Hence, according as  $\gamma = \pm 1$ , we have

$$I_{-}^{\prime \pm 1} = C_1 C_2(\xi_1 \xi_6) (\xi_3 \xi_4)$$
.

But, by (39),

Hence

$$I^{-1} \equiv ar{I^3} = egin{bmatrix} I^3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & I^{-9} & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & I^{-3} & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & I^9 \end{bmatrix}$$

is derived from  $\overline{I}$  by replacing I by  $I^3$ . But I is defined as one root of the irreducible congruence:

$$I^2 \equiv I + 1 \pmod{3},$$

whose second root is  $I^3$ . Hence, by a proper choice of notation for the root I, we may set

 $I_{-}^{\prime} = C_{1}C_{2}(\xi_{1}\xi_{6}) (\xi_{3}\xi_{4}) = C_{1}C_{2}F^{\prime}E_{1}^{\prime 2}E_{2}^{\prime}.$ 

 $F' = \, C_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} C_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} I_{\scriptscriptstyle -}' E_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}' E_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}' \, .$ 

It follows that to F' must correspond the hyperabelian substitution

(44) 
$$\overline{F} \equiv \overline{C_1} \overline{C_2} \overline{I} \overline{E_2} \overline{E_1} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -I & I \\ I^3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ I^3 & -I^3 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

17. Theorem.—The hyperabelian group  $HA_{4,\;3^2}$  is holoedrically isomorphic\* with the abstract group  $\Gamma$ .

From the simple isomorphism of  $H_{4,3}$  with G, it follows that the operators  $E_1$ ,  $E_2$ ,  $E_3$ ,  $B_1 \equiv C_1 C_2$ , W satisfy the generational relations  $(1), \dots, (6)$  of G, a result capable of verification by direct calculation. It therefore remains only to prove that the operators  $\overline{F}$ ,  $E_1$ ,  $E_2$ ,  $B_1 \equiv C_1 C_2$ , W satisfy the generational relations  $(12), \dots, (18)$ . This result may be verified by simple calculations. We note the auxiliary formulæ:

$$C_4C_5=egin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \ \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_3WE_3=egin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \ 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \ \end{pmatrix},$$

We have therefore proved that the simple groups  $HA_{4,\,3^2}$  and  $O_{6,\,3}$  are holoedrically isomorphic.

UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS, January 12, 1900.

<sup>\*</sup>Addition: May 5, 1900. In the May number of the Bulletin of the Society the writer establishes the holoedric isomorphism of  $O_{6,\,p^n}$  and  $HA_{4,\,p^{2n}}$  for any  $p^n$  of the form 4l-1. As the method there used consists in the transformation of the defining invariant of the former group into that of the second compound of the latter group, it gives no direct knowledge of the correspondences of the generators of the isomorphic groups. For  $p^n=3$ ,  $\r$ 2 15-16 of the present paper enable us to pass readily from an arbitrary substitution of either group to the corresponding substitution of the other.