

# NOTE ON DIRICHLET AND FACTORIAL SERIES\*

BY

TOMLINSON FORT

Landau† has proved various theorems bearing on the regions of convergence of Dirichlet and factorial series. In the present note I set up a class of series including factorial series and ordinary Dirichlet series, and forming a continuous transition from one to the other. Theorems are proved which include some of Landau's theorems as very special cases.

1. Consider the series

$$(1) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n A_n^{(k)}(z),$$

where

$$A_n^{(k)}(z) = \frac{k}{z+k-1} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(nk)}{\Gamma(z+nk)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(z+k)}{\Gamma(k)},$$

whenever  $z, k$  and  $n$  have such values that this formula defines a definite number. Whenever, for a particular point  $(z_0, k_0, n_0)$ ,  $A_n^{(k)}(z)$  is not defined by the formula but approaches a limit as  $(z, k, n)$  approaches  $(z_0, k_0, n_0)$ ,  $A_n^{(k)}(z)$  is given at this point the limiting value.

2. When  $k = 1$ , (1) reduces to the usual factorial series

$$(2) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \frac{(n-1)!}{z(z+1) \cdots (z+n-1)}.$$

3. When  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , so that  $|\arg k| \leq \pi - \epsilon_1$ ,  $\epsilon_1 > 0$ , (1) reduces to the ordinary Dirichlet series

$$(3) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^z}.$$

To prove this statement consider  $A_n^{(k)}(z)$  for values of  $k$  not equal to zero and so large in absolute value that  $z + nk - 1 \neq 0$  ( $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ) and

---

\* Presented to the Society, December 31, 1919.

† Sitzungsberichte der mathematisch-physikalischen Klasse der Königl. Bayerischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu München, vol. 36 (1906), pp. 151-218; see especially pp. 167-184.

$|\operatorname{am}(z + nk - 1)| \leq \pi - \epsilon_2, \epsilon_2 > 0$ . Then

$$(4) \quad A_n^{(k)}(z)n^z = \frac{k}{z + k - 1} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(nk)(nk)^z}{\Gamma(z + nk)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(z + k)}{\Gamma(k)k^z}.$$

Consider the asymptotic form,\*

$$\Gamma(z) = e^{(z - \frac{1}{2}) \log z - z + \log \sqrt{2\pi} + \omega(z)},$$

where  $\omega(z) = C_1/z + f_1(z)/z^2$ ,  $C_1$  being a constant and  $|f_1(z)| < C_2$ , a constant, which asymptotic form is valid when  $|\operatorname{am} z| < \pi - \epsilon_3, \epsilon_3 > 0$ . From it one can readily establish the following formula which is fundamental in this paper,

$$(5) \quad \frac{q^z \Gamma(q)}{\Gamma(q + z)} = 1 + \frac{z - z^2}{2q} + \frac{\psi(z, q)}{q^2},$$

where  $|\psi| < M$ , a constant, which form is valid over a region where  $q \neq 0$ ,  $q + z \neq 0$  and where  $|\operatorname{am} q|$  and  $|\operatorname{am}(q + z)|$  are both less than  $\pi$  minus some positive constant. From (4) and (5) follows the desired result that when  $k \rightarrow \infty$

$$(6) \quad A_n^{(k)}(z)n^z \rightarrow 1.$$

In the remainder of the paper when the symbol  $A_n^{(k)}(z)$  is used  $k$  is in no way restricted to finite values. We shall understand  $A_n^{(\infty)}(z) = 1/n^z$ .

4.† If the Dirichlet series (3) converges when  $z = z_0$ , then it converges uniformly over a region defined by the inequality

$$|\operatorname{am}(z - z_0)| \leq \pi/2 - \epsilon_3, \epsilon_3 > 0.$$

There exists a straight line  $x = x_0$ , where  $z = x + yi$ , such that when  $x > x_0$  (3) converges and when  $x < x_0$  it diverges. This line is called the "line of convergence."

5. If (1) converges when  $z = z_0$  and  $k = k_0$ , then it converges uniformly in  $z$  and  $k$  over any subregion,  $R$ , of the region defined by the following inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} |z| < M, \quad |\operatorname{am}(z - z_0)| &\leq \pi/2 - \epsilon_4, \\ |\operatorname{am} k| &\leq \pi - \epsilon_5, \quad |k| > \epsilon_6, \end{aligned}$$

$M, \epsilon_4, \epsilon_5$  and  $\epsilon_6$  being positive constants, which subregion is so chosen that each of the numbers  $|z + k + j| > \epsilon_7 > 0$  ( $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ).

\* See for example T. H. Gronwall, *Annals of Mathematics*, vol. 20 (1918-19), pp. 85, 86, 88.

† See for example G. H. Hardy and M. Riesz, *The General Theory of Dirichlet Series*, p. 3.

To prove this theorem we consider  $A_n^{(k)}(z)n^z$  as given by (4). Then by the use of (5) when  $n$  is so large, say greater than  $n_0$ , that  $|\operatorname{am}(nk+z)| < \pi - \epsilon_8$ ,

$$\frac{(nk)^z \Gamma(nk)}{\Gamma(nk+z)} = 1 + \frac{z-z^2}{2nk} + \frac{\psi(z, n, k)}{n^2},$$

where  $|\psi| < M_2$ , a constant. Moreover, again by (5),  $\Gamma(z+k)/(\Gamma(k)k^z) \rightarrow 1$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . And since all its finite singularities are excluded from the region in question and  $\Gamma$  does not vanish, it remains finite and in absolute value greater than a fixed  $\epsilon_8 > 0$ . Consequently for  $n > n_0$ ,

$$(7) \quad A_n^{(k)}(z)n^z = f_2(z, k) + \frac{f_3(z, k)}{n} + \frac{f_4(z, k, n)}{n^2},$$

where  $f_2, f_3$  and  $f_4$  are analytic in  $z$ . Moreover we explicitly remark that  $|f_2|, |f_3|, |f_4| < M$ , a constant. It can readily be shown that  $1/A_n^{(k)}(z)$  is of the same form and consequently  $A_n^{(k)}(z)/A_n^{(k_0)}(z)$  also, even if  $k_0 \neq \infty$ . For smaller values of  $n$ , if desired, a convenient definition can be given to  $f_2, f_3$  and  $f_4$  so that (7) will hold for all values of  $n$ .

Keep  $z$  and  $k$  restricted as above and thus assure the validity of (7). Then

$$(8) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n A_n^{(k)}(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n A_n^{(k_0)}(z) \left[ f_2(z, k) + \frac{f_3(z, k)}{n} + \frac{f_4(z, k, n)}{n^2} \right].$$

Consequently,\* in particular,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n A_n^{(\infty)}(z)$  converges at any point where (1) converges for any other value of  $k$  and conversely. Refer to Section 4, and it is immediate that the domain of  $z$  includes the half plane to the right of  $z_0$ . Moreover, again by (8) and Section 4, (1) converges uniformly in  $z$  and  $k$ .

6. A common line of convergence of  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n A_n^{(k)}(z)$  for all values of  $k$  considered follows immediately from Section 5.

7. Similarly to the results of Section 5, that is by (8), it is shown that if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n A_n^{(k_0)}(z)$  converges absolutely then so does  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n A_n^{(k)}(z)$ ,  $z$  and  $k$  restricted to  $R$ .

8. The finite singularities of  $F_k(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n A_n^{(k)}(z)$  on the line of con-

---

\* Well known results from the theory of infinite series.

vergence, other than a possible ones caused by a singularity of  $\Gamma(z + k)$ , are the same and of the same character as those of  $D(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^z}$ .

By (4) and (5)

$$F_k(z) = \frac{k}{2 + k - 1} \frac{\Gamma(z + k)}{\Gamma(k)k^z} \left[ D(z) + \frac{z - z^2}{k} D(z + 1) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi(z, k, n) \cdot 1/n^z \right].$$

Here  $\frac{\Gamma(z + k)}{\Gamma(k)k^z}$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi(z, k, n) \cdot 1/n^z$ , under the restrictions imposed on  $k$ , are analytic in  $z$  over any finite region exclusive of the singularities of  $\Gamma(z + k)$ , while  $D(z + 1)$  is analytic over a region extending one unit to the left of the line of convergence of the series defining  $D(z)$ . The theorem follows.

UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA.  
UNIVERSITY, ALA.