

# NEW DIVISION ALGEBRAS\*

BY  
L. E. DICKSON

**1. Introduction.** The chief outstanding problem in the theory of linear algebras (or hypercomplex numbers) is the determination of all division algebras. We shall add here very greatly to the present meager knowledge of them, since we shall show how to construct one or more types of division algebras of order  $n^2$  corresponding to every solvable group of order  $n$ .

While it was long thought that the theory of continuous groups furnishes an important tool for the study of linear algebras, the reverse position is now taken. But this memoir shows how vital a rôle the theory of finite groups plays in the theory of division algebras.

Fields and the algebra of real quaternions were the only known division algebras until the writer's discovery in 1905 of a division algebra  $D$ , over a field  $F$ , whose  $n^2$  basal units are  $i^a j^b$  ( $a, b = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ ), where  $i$  is a root of an irreducible cyclic equation of degree  $n$  for  $F$ . Recently, Cecioni† gave a further division algebra of order 16.

It is here shown that the algebras  $D$  form only the first of an infinitude of systems of division algebras. The next system is composed of algebras  $\Gamma$  of order  $p^2 q^2$  over  $F$  with the basal units  $i^a j^b k^c$  ( $a < pq, b < q, c < p$ ). We start with an irreducible equation of degree  $pq$ , three of whose roots are  $i$ , and the rational functions  $\theta(i)$  and  $\psi(i)$  with coefficients in  $F$ , such that the  $q$ th iterative  $\theta^q(i)$  of  $\theta(i)$  is  $i$ , and likewise  $\psi^p(i) = i$ , while all the roots are given by

$$\theta^k[\psi^r(i)] = \psi^r[\theta^k(i)] \quad (k=0, 1, \dots, q-1; r=0, 1, \dots, p-1).$$

The complete multiplication table of the units follows by means of the associative law from

$$i^q = g, \quad k^p = \gamma, \quad kj = \alpha jk, \quad ji = \theta(i)j, \quad ki = \psi(i)k,$$

where  $g, \gamma$  and  $\alpha$  are in the field  $F(i)$ . The conditions for associativity all reduce to

$$\begin{aligned} g &= g(\theta), & \alpha\alpha(\theta)\alpha(\theta^2) \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha(\theta^{q-1})g &= g(\psi), \\ \gamma &= \gamma(\psi), & \alpha\alpha(\psi)\alpha(\psi^2) \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha(\psi^{p-1})\gamma(\theta) &= \gamma. \end{aligned}$$

\* Presented to the Society, October 31, 1925; received by the editors in October, 1925.

† Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, vol. 47 (1923), pp. 209-54.

The subalgebra with the units  $i^a j^b$  may be regarded as an algebra  $\Sigma$  of type  $D$  with  $q^2$  units  $i^d j^b$  ( $d, b = 0, 1, \dots, q-1$ ) over the field  $F_1$  derived from  $F$  by the adjunction of all the elementary symmetric functions of  $i, \theta(i), \theta^2(i), \dots, \theta^{q-1}(i)$ . This  $\Sigma$  is a division algebra if and only if  $g$  (which is in  $F_1$ ) is not the norm, relative to  $F_1$ , of any number of the field  $F(i)$ . For  $p=2$  and  $p=3$ , it is shown that  $\Gamma$  is then a division algebra if and only if

$$\gamma \neq X^{(p-1)} X^{(p-2)} \cdots X'' X' X$$

for any  $X$  in  $\Sigma$ , where  $X^{(r)}$  denotes  $k^r X k^{-r}$ , which is an element of  $\Sigma$ . This result indicates that we have investigated  $\Gamma$  as a quasi algebra over  $\Sigma$ , where  $\Sigma$  is an algebra over  $F$ . Such a treatment is far simpler than the more direct study of  $\Gamma$  as an algebra over  $F$  (see the end of §10). The former method accomplishes a factorization of the difficulties both as to the conditions for associativity and the conditions that  $\Gamma$  be a division algebra.

The preceding  $\Gamma$  was obtained from an equation whose Galois group  $G$  is an abelian group with two independent generators of orders  $p$  and  $q$ . There are treated the generalizations when  $G$  is an arbitrary abelian group, and when  $G$  is any solvable group.

If in the above relations  $j^q = g$ , etc., we replace each number of the field  $F(i)$  by unity, we obtain the generating relations  $j^q = 1, k^p = 1, kj = jk$  of  $G$ . Similarly for any  $\Gamma$ , provided we reverse the order of multiplication (i.e., pass to the reciprocal algebra) in case  $G$  is not abelian. While any  $\Gamma$  thus shows at once its  $G$ , it is a long story to construct  $\Gamma$  from  $G$ .

In §§2, 3 are recast and amplified some known proofs partly to make the paper elementary and self-contained and partly to emphasize the minimum assumptions involved. The paper presupposes only the simplest notions concerning linear algebras\* and the elements of the theory of finite groups. Further developments of the paper will be given in Chicago theses.

**2. A class of division algebras.** Let  $A$  be any associative division algebra, with the modulus 1, over any field  $F$  such that†

- (I)  $A$  is of order  $n^2$ ;
- (II)  $A$  contains an element  $i$  which satisfies an equation  $f(x) = 0$  of degree  $n$  irreducible in  $F$ ;

---

\* Cf. the writer's *Algebras and their Arithmetics*, University of Chicago Press, 1923.

† Assumptions I–III do not impose actual limitations on the generality of our study of division algebras  $A$ . For, any  $A$  may be regarded as an algebra over the field  $B$  composed of all those elements of  $A$  which are commutative with every element of  $A$ . Taking  $B$  as a new field  $F$  of reference, we call  $A$  a *normal* division algebra over  $F$ . By *Algebras and their Arithmetics*, pp. 227–28, this normal  $A$  is of order a square, say  $n^2$ . In the German translation to be published in 1926 by Orell Füssli Verlag, Zürich, I prove at the end of Chapter VIII that  $A$  is then of rank  $n$  and deduce II and III at once.

(III) The only elements of  $A$  which are commutative with  $i$  are polynomials in  $i$  with coefficients in  $F$ ;

(IV) The roots of  $f(x)=0$  are all rational functions  $\theta_r(i)$  of  $i$  with coefficients in  $F$ .

All rational functions of  $i$  with coefficients in  $F$  form a field  $F(i)$ , whose numbers are known to be expressible as polynomials of degree  $< n$  in  $i$  with coefficients in  $F$ .

There exist elements  $z_1=1, z_2, \dots, z_n$  of  $A$  such that every element of  $A$  can be expressed in one and only one way in the form  $\sum g_k z_k$ , where the  $g_k$  are in  $F(i)$ . For, we may choose as  $z_2$  any element of  $A$  not in  $F(i)$ . If  $g_1+g_2 z_2$  is equal to  $h_1+h_2 z_2$ , then  $g_1=h_1, g_2=h_2$ . For, their difference  $a+b z_2$  is zero. If  $b=0$ , then  $a=0$  and the statement is proved. If  $b \neq 0$ ,  $z_2$  would be the element  $-b^{-1}a$  of  $F(i)$ , contrary to hypothesis. Next, we may choose as  $z_3$  any element not of the form  $a+b z_2$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are in  $F(i)$ . As before, if  $g_1+g_2 z_2+g_3 z_3$  is equal to  $h_1+h_2 z_2+h_3 z_3$ , then  $g_k=h_k (k=1, 2, 3)$ . In this manner we obtain elements  $z_1=1, z_2, \dots, z_m$  of  $A$  such that every element of  $A$  can be expressed in one and only one way in the form  $\sum g_k z_k$ . Hence  $A$  is an algebra over  $F$  with the  $nm$  basal units  $i^r z_k (r=0, 1, \dots, n-1; k=1, \dots, m)$ . Since  $A$  is of order  $n^2$ , we have  $nm=n^2, m=n$ .

Applying this result to the particular element  $z_s i$  of  $A$ , we have

$$(1) \quad z_s i = \sum_{k=1}^n g_{sk} z_k \quad (s=1, \dots, n),$$

where the  $g_{s,k}$  are in  $F(i)$ . Let  $G$  be the matrix having the element  $g_{s,k}$  in the  $s$ th row and  $k$ th column. Let  $Z$  be the matrix composed of a single column of elements  $z_1$  (at the top),  $z_2, \dots, z_n$ . Then equations (1) are equivalent to the single equation  $Zi=GZ$  in matrices. By induction on  $r$ , we get  $Zi^r=G^r Z$ . Multiply this by the coefficient of  $i^r$  in any assigned polynomial  $h(i)$  with coefficients in  $F$  and sum as to  $r$ . We get  $Zh(i)=h(G)Z$ . Thus  $h(G)=0$  implies  $z_1 h=h(i)=0$ . Next, take  $h(i)=f(i)=0$ . Then  $f(G)Z=0$ , which implies  $f(G)=0$ . For, if the element in the  $s$ th row and  $k$ th column of  $f(G)$  is  $f_{s,k}$ , then  $f(G)Z$  is a matrix having a single column whose element in the  $s$ th row is  $\sum_k f_{s,k} z_k=0$ . By the remark preceding (1), this implies that every  $f_{s,k}=0$ . The two results show that the minimum equation  $f(x)=0$  of  $i$  for  $F$  is also the minimum equation of matrix  $G$  for  $F$ .

Let the minimum equation of  $G$  for any field  $F'$  containing  $F$  be  $h(x)=0$  of degree  $d$ . Write  $f(x)=g(x)h(x)+r(x)$ , where  $r(x)$  is either identically zero or has a degree  $< d$ . Then  $r(G)=0$ , so that  $r(x) \equiv 0$ . Take  $F'$  to be the field  $F(i)$  to which belong all elements of  $G$ . Thus each root of the minimum equation of  $G$  for  $F(i)$  is a root of  $f(x)=0$ . The former has the same roots

apart from multiplicity as the characteristic equation of  $G$  (*Algebras*, p. 110). Hence every root of  $|G - xI| = 0$  is a root of  $f(x) = 0$ .

Let  $\theta$  be any root of  $|G - xI| = 0$ . By IV,  $\theta$  is a rational function  $\theta(i)$  of  $i$  with coefficients in  $F$ . Write  $\alpha = \sum a_s z_s$ , where the  $a_s (s=1, \dots, n)$  are numbers of  $F(i)$ . By (1),

$$\alpha i = \sum_{s,k=1}^n a_s g_{sk} z_k.$$

Hence  $\alpha i = \theta \alpha$  if and only if

$$\sum_{s=1}^n g_{sk} a_s - \theta a_k = 0 \quad (k=1, \dots, n).$$

The determinant of the coefficients of  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  is  $|G - \theta I|$ , which is zero. Hence there exist solutions  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ , not all zero, which are rational functions of the  $g_{sk}$  and  $\theta(i)$  with rational coefficients and hence are numbers of  $F(i)$ . Thus  $\alpha$  is in algebra  $A$  and  $\alpha \neq 0$ .

We next prove that the assumption that  $\theta$  is a multiple root of  $|G - xI| = 0$  leads to a contradiction. Take the  $\alpha$  just found as a new  $z_1$  and retain the notation (1). Then

$$z_1 i = \theta z_1, \quad g_{11} = \theta, \quad g_{1k} = 0 \quad (k > 1).$$

We can find numbers  $a_2, \dots, a_n$ , not all zero, and a number  $c$ , all in  $F(i)$ , such that

$$\alpha = \sum_{s=2}^n a_s z_s, \quad \alpha i = \theta \alpha + c z_1.$$

The conditions are

$$(2) \quad c = \sum_{s=2}^n a_s g_{s1}, \quad \sum_{s=2}^n a_s g_{sk} - \theta a_k = 0 \quad (k=2, \dots, n).$$

Let  $M_x$  be the minor of  $g_{11} - x$  in  $G - xI$ . Since the remaining elements  $g_{12}, \dots, g_{1n}$  of the first row are zero,  $|M_x|$  vanishes when  $x = \theta$ . The matrix of the coefficients of  $a_2, \dots, a_n$  in equations (2), other than the first, is derived from  $M_\theta$  by interchanging rows and columns, whence its determinant is zero. Hence those equations can be satisfied by choice of numbers  $a_2, \dots, a_n$ , not all zero, of  $F(i)$ . Thus  $\alpha$  is in algebra  $A$  and  $\alpha \neq 0$ . Since  $\alpha$  lacks  $z_1$ ,

$$(2') \quad g(i)z_1 + h(i)\alpha = 0 \quad \text{implies } g = h = 0.$$

If  $c = 0$ ,  $z_1 i = \theta z_1$  and  $\alpha i = \theta \alpha$  imply

$$\alpha^{-1} z_1 i = \alpha^{-1} \theta z_1 = i \alpha^{-1} z_1,$$

so that  $\alpha^{-1} z_1$  is commutative with  $i$  and hence by III is a number  $\phi(i)$  of  $F(i)$ . By induction on  $k\alpha i$ ,  $k = \theta^k \alpha$ . Multiply by the coefficient of  $i^k$  in  $\phi(i)$  and sum as to  $k$ . Hence

$$\alpha \phi(i) = \phi(\theta) \alpha.$$

Then  $z_1 = \alpha\phi(i) = \phi(\theta)\alpha$  contradicts (2').

Hence  $c \neq 0$ . Then  $\beta = cz_1$  is not zero in the division algebra  $A$ , and

$$\beta i = cz_1 i = c\theta z_1 = \theta cz_1 = \theta\beta, \quad \alpha i = \theta\alpha + \beta.$$

By induction on  $k$ ,

$$\alpha i^k = \theta^k \alpha + k\theta^{k-1} \beta.$$

Multiply by the coefficient of  $i^k$  in  $f(i)$  and sum as to  $k$ . We get

$$\alpha f(i) = f(\theta)\alpha + f'(\theta)\beta, \quad 0 = f'(\theta)\beta.$$

This is impossible in a division algebra since  $\beta \neq 0$  and  $f'(\theta) \neq 0$ , there being no double root  $\theta$  of the irreducible equation  $f(x) = 0$ . Hence  $|G - xI| = 0$  has no multiple root, so that its  $n$  distinct roots coincide with the  $n$  roots  $\theta_r(i)$  of  $f(x) = 0$ .

We have now proved\* that  $A$  contains elements  $j_r (r=0, 1, \dots, n-1)$ , each not zero, where  $j_0 = 1$ , such that

$$(3) \quad j_r i = \theta_r(i) j_r, \quad (r=0, 1, \dots, n-1),$$

$$(4) \quad j_r i^k = [\theta_r(i)]^k j_r,$$

$$(5) \quad j_r \phi(i) = \phi[\theta_r(i)] j_r \quad (r=0, 1, \dots, n-1).$$

**3. Algebras of types  $B$  and  $C$ .** Discarding the assumption that  $A$  is a division algebra, we shall say that an associative algebra  $A$ , having the modulus 1, over a field  $F$ , is of type  $B$  if it has properties I, II, IV, if it contains elements  $j_r \neq 0 (r=1, \dots, n-1)$  satisfying (3), and finally if every  $c_r$  in Lemma 2 is not zero.

**LEMMA 1.**  $A$  has the basis  $i^k j_r (k, r=0, 1, \dots, n-1)$ .

For, if these  $n^2$  elements are linearly dependent with respect to  $F$ , there exist polynomials  $\phi_r(i)$ , not all zero, of degree  $< n$  in  $i$  with coefficients in  $F$  such that

$$\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \phi_r(i) j_r = 0.$$

Multiply by  $i^k$  on the right and apply (4); we get

$$\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \phi_r(i) [\theta_r(i)]^k j_r = 0 \quad (k=0, 1, \dots, n-1).$$

The determinant  $\Delta$  of the coefficients of the  $\phi_r(i) j_r$  is equal to the product of the differences of the  $n$  distinct roots  $\theta_r(i)$  of  $f(x) = 0$  and hence is not zero.

\* Stated by Wedderburn, these Transactions, vol. 22 (1921), pp. 133-34 (§4). The proof is based on suggestions made by him to the writer.

Multiply\* the displayed equation on the left by the cofactor of the element of  $\Delta$  in the  $(k+1)$ th row and  $(r+1)$ th column and sum for  $k$ . We get  $\Delta\phi_r(i)j_r=0$ . If  $\phi_r(i)$  is not zero, it has an inverse in  $F(i)$ , and  $j_r=0$ , contrary to its origin. Hence every  $\phi_r(i)=0$ .

Since  $f[\theta_s(x)]=0$  is satisfied by the root  $i$  of the equation  $f(x)=0$  irreducible in  $F$ , it is satisfied by the root  $\theta_r(i)$  of the latter. Hence  $\theta_s[\theta_r(i)]$  is a root  $\theta_u(i)$  of  $f(x)=0$ .

**LEMMA 2.** *If  $r$  and  $s$  are any two equal or distinct integers  $\leq n-1$  and if  $u$  is the uniquely determined integer for which  $\theta_s[\theta_r(i)]=\theta_u(i)$ , then*

$$(6) \quad j_r j_s = c_{rs} j_u,$$

where  $c_{rs}$  is in  $F(i)$ .

For by Lemma 1, there exist numbers  $d_{rst}$  in  $F(i)$  such that

$$j_r j_s = \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} d_{rst} j_t.$$

Multiply by  $i$  on the right and apply (3), (5); we get

$$\theta_u(i) j_r j_s = \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} d_{rst} \theta_t(i) j_t.$$

Eliminate  $j_r j_s$  and apply Lemma 1. Hence

$$d_{rst} [\theta_u(i) - \theta_t(i)] = 0,$$

whence  $d_{rst}=0$  if  $t \neq u$  and therefore  $\theta_t(i) \neq \theta_u(i)$ .

An algebra of type  $B$  shall be called of type  $C$  if it has the commutivity property

$$(7) \quad \theta_r[\theta_s(i)] = \theta_s[\theta_r(i)] \quad (r, s = 0, 1, \dots, n-1).$$

**4. Algebras of type D.** Consider the case of an algebra of type  $C$  for which  $f(x)=0$  is an irreducible cyclic equation, having therefore the roots

$$i, \quad \theta_1(i), \quad \theta_2(i) = \theta_1[\theta_1(i)], \quad \dots, \quad \theta_{r+1}(i) = \theta_1[\theta_r(i)], \quad \dots,$$

while  $\theta_n(i) = i$ . By Lemma 2,

$$j_r j_1 = a_r j_{r+1} \quad (r = 1, \dots, n-1; j_n = 1).$$

By induction on  $k$ ,  $j_1^k = a_1 a_2 \dots a_{k-1} j_k$ . Since each  $a_r \neq 0$  by hypothesis, we may introduce  $a_1 j_2, a_1 a_2 j_3, \dots, a_1 \dots a_{n-2} j_{n-1}$  as new units  $j_2, j_3, \dots, j_{n-1}$ , and then have  $j_1^k = j_k$  ( $k=2, \dots, n-1$ ), while  $j_1^n = g$ , where  $g$  is in  $F(i)$ . The associative law implies that  $j_1^k$  and  $j_1^n$  are commutative, whence

---

\* This step is an improvement on the proof by Cecioni, from whom we borrow also Lemma 2.

$gj_k = j_k g = g(\theta_k)j_k$ , by (5). Thus  $g = g(\theta_k)$  for every  $k$ , so that  $g$  is a symmetric function of the roots of  $f(x) = 0$  and hence is in  $F$ . Writing  $j$  for  $j_1$ , we obtain the algebra\*  $D$  over  $F$  having the  $n^2$  basal units  $i^s j^k (s, k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1)$ , where  $ji = \theta(i)j$ ,  $j^n = g$ . The condition that  $g$  is in  $F$  insures that the algebra is associative (§9). It will be shown incidentally in §§12, 13 that for  $n = 2$  or 3  $D$  is a division algebra if  $g$  is not the norm  $\Pi \phi[\theta_k(i)]$  of any polynomial  $\phi(i)$  in  $i$  with coefficients in  $F$ . For any  $n$ , it was proved by Wedderburn† that  $D$  is a division algebra if no power of  $g$  less than the  $n$ th is the norm of a number of  $F(i)$ .

5. **Galois group  $G$ .** Let  $G$  be the Galois group for the field  $F$  of the irreducible equation  $f(x) = 0$  whose roots are rational functions  $i_r = \theta_r(i)$  of  $i$  with coefficients in  $F$  for  $r = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ . If a substitution of  $G$  leaves  $i$  unaltered, it leaves each  $i_r$  unaltered and is the identity substitution. Since the equation is irreducible, its group  $G$  is transitive. Hence  $G$  is of order  $n$  and contains one and only one substitution  $\Theta_r$  which replaces  $i$  by  $\theta_r(i)$ . When it is applied to the rational relation  $i_t = \theta_t(i)$ , we obtain a true relation. Hence  $\Theta_r$  replaces  $i_t$  by  $\theta_t[\theta_r(i)]$ , which is a certain  $i_v = \theta_v(i)$ . Similarly,  $\Theta_s$  replaces  $i_v$  by  $\theta_v[\theta_s(i)]$ . Hence the product  $\Theta_r \Theta_s$  replaces  $i_t$  by

$$\theta_v[\theta_s i] = \theta_t[\theta_r(\theta_s i)] = \theta_t[\theta_i(i)] \quad , \quad \text{if} \quad \theta_r[\theta_s(i)] = \theta_t(i) \quad .$$

But  $\Theta_t$  replaces  $i_t$  by  $\theta_t[\theta_i(i)]$ . Hence

$$(8) \quad \Theta_r \Theta_s = \Theta_t \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \theta_r[\theta_s(i)] = \theta_t(i) \quad .$$

First, let  $f(x) = 0$  be an abelian equation so that the roots have the commutivity property (7). Then  $\Theta_r \Theta_s = \Theta_s \Theta_r$  by (8), and  $G$  is an abelian (commutative) group. It is well known that any abelian group  $G$  has a set of independent generators  $g_1, \dots, g_k$  such that every substitution of  $G$  can be expressed in one and only one way in the form  $g_1^{e_1} \dots g_k^{e_k}$  ( $e_i = 0, 1, \dots, h_i - 1$ ), where  $h_i$  is the order of  $g_i$ . Select any one of the independent generators of  $G$ , denote its order by  $p$ , and write  $q = n/p$ . Adopting a new subscript notation for the  $n$  substitutions of  $G$ , we shall write  $\Theta_q$  for the selected generator and write  $\Theta_0 = 1, \Theta_1, \dots, \Theta_{q-1}$  for the substitutions of the subgroup  $G_q$  which is generated by the generators other than  $\Theta_q$  of  $G$ . The substitutions of  $G$  are therefore

$$\Theta_q^r \Theta_k \quad (r = 0, 1, \dots, p-1; k = 0, 1, \dots, q-1) \quad .$$

\* Discovered by the writer and announced as a division algebra in the *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 22 (1905-06), p. 442; details in these *Transactions*, vol. 15 (1914), p. 31.

† These *Transactions*, vol. 15 (1914), p. 162. Amplified in Dickson's *Algebras and their Arithmetics*, 1923, p. 221.

Since we have defined  $\Theta_s$  only when  $s \leq q$ , we are at liberty to write

$$\Theta_{k+rq} = \Theta_q^r \Theta_k .$$

By (8) we see that the roots have now been assigned a subscript notation such that  $\theta_q^p(i) = i$  and

$$(9) \quad \theta_{rq}(i) = \theta_q^r(i) , \quad \theta_{k+rq}(i) = \theta_{rq}[\theta_k(i)] \quad (r < p , k < q) ,$$

where  $\theta^r(i)$  is the  $r$ th iterative of the function  $\theta(i)$ .

Second, let  $G$  be not abelian. We assume that  $G$  has an invariant subgroup  $G_q$  which is extended to  $G$  by a substitution  $\Theta_q$  (whose order may exceed the index  $p$  of  $G_q$  under  $G$ ). Since  $\Theta_q$  transforms each substitution  $\Theta_k$  of  $G_q$  into a substitution  $\Theta_{k_0}$  of  $G_q$ , we have  $\Theta_k \Theta_q = \Theta_q \Theta_{k_0}$ . Hence in any product of factors  $\Theta_k (k < q)$  and  $\Theta_q$ , we can move the factors  $\Theta_q$  to the front. Thus every substitution of  $G$  can be expressed in the form  $\Theta_q^s \Theta_k (k < q)$ . If the  $s$ th, but no lower, power of  $\Theta_q$  belongs to  $G_q$ , the preceding formula with  $r=0, 1, \dots, s-1; k=0, 1, \dots, q-1$ , gives all the  $pq$  substitutions of  $G$  without repetition, whence  $s=p$ . Hence we may assign a subscript notation to the roots such that (9) holds. We assume that  $G_q$  has an invariant subgroup  $G_q'$ , which is extended to  $G_q$  by a single substitution; similarly for  $G_q''$ , etc. These assumptions imply that  $G$  is a solvable group. For, let  $p$  be the product of the primes  $a_1, \dots, a_e$ , not necessarily distinct. Then  $G_q$  is an invariant subgroup of index  $a_1$  of the extension  $G_{a_1q}$  of  $G_q$  by  $\Theta_q^{p/a_1}$ , the  $a_1$ th, but no lower, power of which is in  $G_q$ . Similarly,  $G_{a_1q}$  is an invariant subgroup of index  $a_2$  of the extension  $G_{a_1a_2q}$  of  $G_q$  by  $\Theta_q^{p/(a_1a_2)}$ , whose  $a_2$ th power is the former extender. Finally, if  $\pi = a_1 a_2 \dots a_{e-1}$ ,  $G_{\pi q}$  is an invariant subgroup of index  $a_e$  of  $G = G_{\pi q}$ . The same argument applies to  $G_q''$ , etc. Hence we may proceed from  $G$  to the identity group through a series of groups each an invariant subgroup of prime index of its predecessor. This is the definition of a solvable group.

Conversely, any solvable group serves as a  $G$ . For, it has an invariant subgroup  $G_q$  of prime index  $a$ . We may take  $p=a$  and  $\Theta_q$  to be any substitution of  $G$  which is not in  $G_q$ . If the  $s$ th, but no lower, power of  $\Theta_q$  belongs to  $G_q$ , we see as above that  $\Theta_q$  extends  $G_q$  to a group of order  $sq$ , which must divide  $pq$ , whence  $s=p$ .

Algebras which satisfy the present and earlier assumptions shall be said to be of type  $E$ .

**6. Algebra  $\Sigma$ .** Let  $\Gamma$  be an algebra over  $F$  of type  $E$ . We saw that the  $\Theta_r$  ( $0 \leq r < q$ ) form a group  $G_q$ . Hence if  $r$  and  $s$  belong to the set  $0, 1, \dots, q-1$ , we can find an integer  $u$  of the same set such that  $\Theta_r \Theta_s = \Theta_u$ . By (8), we have



(6), whence the totality of linear functions of  $1, j_1, \dots, j_{q-1}$  with coefficients in  $F(i)$  is a subalgebra  $\Sigma$  of  $\Gamma$ . Thus  $\Sigma$  is of order  $nq = pq^2$  over  $F$ .

Each  $j_s (s < q)$  is commutative with every elementary symmetric function  $E$  of  $\theta_0(i) = i, \dots, \theta_{q-1}(i)$ . For by (5),  $j_s E = H j_s$ , where  $H$  is the same elementary symmetric function of  $\theta_0[\theta_s(i)], \dots, \theta_{q-1}[\theta_s(i)]$ , which by (8) are equal to  $\theta_0(i), \dots, \theta_{q-1}(i)$  in a new order.

Let  $F_1$  be the field obtained by adjoining to  $F$  all these functions  $E$ . Then  $i$  is a root of the equation

$$f_1(x) = \prod_{k=0}^{q-1} [x - \theta_k(i)] = 0,$$

whose coefficients belong to  $F_1$ . This equation is irreducible in  $F_1$ . For, suppose that  $f_1(x)$  has a factor  $q(x)$  with coefficients in  $F_1$  which vanishes for  $x = i$ , but not for  $\theta_s(i)$ . Let  $e$  be any elementary symmetric function of the roots of  $q(x) = 0$ . Thus  $\pm e$  is equal to a coefficient and belongs to  $F_1$ . Hence  $e$  is equal to a polynomial in the adjoined  $E$ 's and hence is a symmetric function of  $\theta_0(i), \dots, \theta_{q-1}(i)$  with coefficients in  $F$ . Thus  $e$  is commutative with every  $j_k (k < q)$ . Also  $j_s e = h j_s$ , where  $h$  is the same elementary symmetric function of the  $\theta_k[\theta_s(i)]$ , where the  $\theta_k(i)$  are the roots of  $q(x) = 0$ . Thus  $e j_s = h j_s$ , whence  $e = h$ . Since this is true for every  $e$ , the  $\theta_k(i)$  coincide in some order with the  $\theta_k[\theta_s(i)]$ . But for  $k = 0$  the latter is  $\theta_s(i)$ , which is not one of the roots  $\theta_k(i)$  of  $q(x) = 0$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Algebra  $\Sigma$  of order  $pq^2$  over  $F$  may be regarded as an algebra of type  $E$  of order  $q^2$  over  $F_1$  obtained by means of an equation  $f_1(x) = 0$  of degree  $q$  which is irreducible in  $F_1$ . Here  $F_1$  is the field derived from  $F$  by adjoining the elementary symmetric functions of the roots  $\theta_0(i) = i, \dots, \theta_{q-1}(i)$  of  $f_1(x) = 0$ .*

#### ALGEBRAS WITH AN ABELIAN GROUP $G$ , §§7-14

7.  $\Gamma$  as an algebra over  $\Sigma$ . By (9) and Lemma 2,

$$j_q^2 = c_2 j_{2q}, j_q^3 = c_3 j_{3q}, \dots, j_q^{p-1} = c_{p-1} j_{(p-1)q},$$

where each  $c_k$  is a number  $\neq 0$  of  $F(i)$ . The second members may be introduced as new units  $j_{2q}, j_{3q}, \dots$ . Then

$$(10) \quad j_q^r = j_{rq} \quad (r = 2, \dots, p-1), j_q^p = \gamma \neq 0,$$

where  $\gamma$  is in  $F(i)$ . In the same manner,

$${}_k j_{rq} = d_{kr} j_{k+rq} \quad (k = 1, \dots, q-1; r = 1, \dots, p-1),$$

where each  $d_{kr}$  is a number  $\neq 0$  of  $F(i)$ , and the second members may be introduced as new units  $j$ . Thus

$$(11) \quad j_k j_{rq} = j_{k+rq}, \quad j_q j_k = \alpha_k j_{q+k} \quad (k=1, \dots, q-1; r=1, \dots, p-1),$$

where each  $\alpha_k$  is a number  $\neq 0$  of  $F(i)$ . By the first relations in (10) and (11), any  $j_s (s \geq q)$  may be expressed as a product of a certain  $j_k (0 \leq k < q)$  by a certain  $j_q^r$ . Hence every element of algebra  $\Gamma$  is of the form

$$(12) \quad \mathcal{A} = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} A_k j_q^k,$$

where each  $A_k$  is in  $\Sigma$ , being of the form

$$(13) \quad A = \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} f_k j_k,$$

where  $f_k$  is a polynomial  $f_k(i)$  in  $i$  with coefficients in  $F$ .

By (5) and (11<sub>2</sub>),

$$j_q A = f_0(\theta_q) j_q + \sum_{k=1}^{q-1} f_k(\theta_q) \alpha_k j_{q+k}.$$

The final  $j$  is equal to  $j_k j_q$  by (11<sub>1</sub>). Hence

$$(14) \quad j_q A = A' j_q, \quad A' = f_0(\theta_q) + \sum_{k=1}^{q-1} f_k(\theta_q) \alpha_k j_k \quad \text{for } A \text{ in (13)}.$$

Thus  $j_k$  transforms any element  $A$  of  $\Sigma$  into an element of  $A'$  of  $\Sigma$ . Write  $A''$  for  $(A')'$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $A^{(r+1)}$  for  $(A^{(r)})'$ . By induction,

$$(15) \quad j_q^r A = A^{(r)} j_q^r.$$

In particular, since  $\gamma = j_q^p$  is commutative with  $j_q$ ,

$$(16) \quad \gamma' = \gamma \neq 0.$$

By (15) for  $r = p + s$  and (10<sub>2</sub>),

$$(17) \quad A^{(p+s)} \gamma j_q^s = \gamma j_q^s A = \gamma A^{(s)} j_q^s,$$

$$A^{(p+s)} = \gamma A^{(s)} \gamma^{-1}.$$

For any elements  $A$  and  $B$  in  $\Sigma$ ,

$$(18) \quad (AB)^{(r)} j_q^r = j_q^r AB = A^{(r)} j_q^r B = A^{(r)} B^{(r)} j_q^r,$$

$$(AB)^{(r)} = A^{(r)} B^{(r)}, \quad (A+B)^{(r)} = A^{(r)} + B^{(r)}.$$

Let

$$(19) \quad \mathcal{B} = \sum_{l=0}^{p-1} B_l j_q^l.$$

Then

$$(20) \quad \mathcal{AB} = \mathcal{P} = \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} P_s j_q^s,$$

$$P_s = \sum_{k=0}^s A_k B_{s-k}^{(k)} + \sum_{k=s+1}^{p-1} A_k B_{p+s-k}^{(k)} \gamma.$$

This gives the product of any two elements of  $\Gamma$  as an element of  $\Gamma$ .

Heretofore we have assumed that  $\Gamma$  is associative and deduced various needed formulas. We shall now proceed conversely and abstractly and establish the following result.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be an associative algebra to every element  $A$  of which corresponds a unique element  $A'$  of  $\Sigma$ . Define  $A'' = (A')'$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $A^{(r)} = (A^{(r-1)})'$ , so that  $(A^{(r)})^{(s)} = A^{(r+s)}$ . Let  $\Sigma$  contain an element  $\gamma = \gamma' \neq 0$ . Let the  $A^{(r)}$  have the properties (17) and (18). Consider the set  $\Gamma$  of elements  $\mathcal{A} = (A_0, A_1, \dots, A_{p-1})$  in which the  $A_k$  range independently over  $\Sigma$ . Define the product of  $\mathcal{A}$  by  $\mathcal{B} = (B_0, \dots, B_{p-1})$  to be  $\mathcal{P} = (P_0, \dots, P_{p-1})$ , where  $P_s$  is given by (20). Then this multiplication is associative.*

We shall now give a direct proof, and later an indirect proof (§8). Let  $\mathcal{C} = (C_0, \dots, C_{p-1})$  be any third element of  $\Gamma$ . Then  $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D}$ , where

$$D_r = \sum_{s=0}^r P_s C_{r-s}^{(s)} + \sum_{s=r+1}^{p-1} P_s C_{p+r-s}^{(s)} \gamma.$$

Inserting the value (20) of  $P_s$ , we get  $D_r = d_1 + d_2 + d_3 + d_4$ , where

$$d_1 = \sum_{s=0}^r \sum_{k=0}^s A_k B_{s-k}^{(k)} C_{r-s}^{(s)}, \quad d_2 = \sum_{s=0}^r \sum_{k=s+1}^{p-1} A_k B_{p+s-k}^{(k)} \gamma C_{r-s}^{(s)},$$

$$d_3 = \sum_{s=r+1}^{p-1} \sum_{k=0}^s A_k B_{s-k}^{(k)} C_{p+r-s}^{(s)} \gamma, \quad d_4 = \sum_{s=r+1}^{p-1} \sum_{k=s+1}^{p-1} A_k B_{p+s-k}^{(k)} \gamma C_{p+r-s}^{(s)} \gamma.$$

Next,  $\mathcal{B}\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{Q} = (Q_0, \dots)$ , where

$$Q_s = \sum_{t=0}^s B_t C_{s-t}^{(t)} + \sum_{t=s+1}^{p-1} B_t C_{p+s-t}^{(t)} \gamma,$$

and  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{E} = (E_0, \dots)$ , where

$$E_r = \sum_{k=0}^r A_k Q_{r-k}^{(k)} + \sum_{k=r+1}^{p-1} A_k Q_{p+r-k}^{(k)} \gamma.$$

We insert the value of  $Q^{(k)}$  found from  $Q_s$  by use of (18) and  $\gamma^{(k)} = \gamma$ . We get  $E_r = M + R + S + T$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \sum_{k=0}^r \sum_{t=0}^{r-k} A_k B_t^{(k)} C_{r-k-t}^{(t+k)}, & R &= \sum_{k=0}^r \sum_{t=r-k+1}^{p-1} A_k B_t^{(k)} C_{p-t+r-k}^{(t+k)} \gamma, \\ S &= \sum_{k=r+1}^{p-1} \sum_{t=0}^{p+r-k} A_k B_t^{(k)} C_{p+r-k-t}^{(t+k)} \gamma, & T &= \sum_{k=r+1}^{p-1} \sum_{t=p+r-k+1}^{p-1} A_k B_t^{(k)} C_{2p-t+r-k}^{(t+k)} \gamma \gamma. \end{aligned}$$

In  $M$  write  $s = t + k$ ; we get  $d_1$ . In  $T$ ,  $t + k \geq p + r + 1 > p$ ; we write  $s = t + k - p$ , apply (17), and get

$$T = \sum_{k=r+1}^{p-1} \sum_{s=r+1}^{k-1} A_k B_{s-k+p}^{(k)} \gamma C_{p+r-s}^{(s)} \gamma = \sum_{s=r+1}^{p-2} \sum_{k=s+1}^{p-1} = d_4.$$

In the terms of  $R$  having  $t + k < p$ , we set  $s = t + k$  and get  $R_1$ ; in those having  $t + k \geq p$ , we set  $s = t + k - p$ , apply (17), and get  $R_2$ :

$$R_1 = \sum_{k=0}^r \sum_{s=r+1}^{p-1} A_k B_{s-k}^{(k)} C_{p+r-s}^{(s)} \gamma, \quad R_2 = \sum_{k=0}^r \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} A_k B_{p+s-k}^{(k)} \gamma C_{r-s}^{(s)} = \sum_{s=0}^r \sum_{k=s+1}^r.$$

Treating  $S$  as we did  $R$ , we get  $S = S_1 + S_2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \sum_{k=r+1}^{p-1} \sum_{s=k}^{p-1} A_k B_{s-k}^{(k)} C_{p+r-s}^{(s)} \gamma = \sum_{s=r+1}^{p-1} \sum_{k=r+1}^s, \\ S_2 &= \sum_{k=r+1}^{p-1} \sum_{s=0}^r A_k B_{p+s-k}^{(k)} \gamma C_{r-s}^{(s)}. \end{aligned}$$

In  $R_1$  and  $S_2$  we may interchange the summation signs since the limits are constants. We see that  $R_1 + S_1 = d_3$ ,  $R_2 + S_2 = d_2$ . Hence  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B}\mathcal{C}$  for any three elements of  $\Gamma$ .

8.  $\Gamma$  as an algebra of matrices with elements in  $\Sigma$ . To  $\mathcal{A}$  in (12) we make correspond the square matrix

$$(21) \left\{ \begin{array}{ccccccc} A_0 & A_1 & A_2 & \cdots & A_{p-3} & A_{p-2} & A_{p-1} \\ A'_{p-1}\gamma & A'_0 & A'_1 & \cdots & A'_{p-4} & A'_{p-3} & A'_{p-2} \\ A''_{p-2}\gamma & A''_{p-1}\gamma & A''_0 & \cdots & A''_{p-5} & A''_{p-4} & A''_{p-3} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ A_2^{(p-2)}\gamma & A_3^{(p-2)}\gamma & A_4^{(p-2)}\gamma & \cdots & A_{p-1}^{(p-2)}\gamma & A_0^{(p-2)} & A_1^{(p-2)} \\ A_1^{(p-1)}\gamma & A_2^{(p-1)}\gamma & A_3^{(p-1)}\gamma & \cdots & A_{p-2}^{(p-1)}\gamma & A_{p-1}^{(p-1)}\gamma & A_0^{(p-1)} \end{array} \right\},$$

denoted by  $[\mathcal{A}]$ , in which any row is derived from the preceding row by permuting its elements cyclically, adding another accent, and multiplying the new first element by  $\gamma = \gamma'$  on the right. Using  $\gamma' = \gamma$ , (18) and the case  $A^{(p)} = \gamma A \gamma^{-1}$  of (17), we find that\*  $[\mathcal{A}][\mathcal{B}] = [\mathcal{P}]$ , where the  $P_i$  are given by (20). However, it is sufficient to compute only the elements of the first row of the product in view of the corollary below.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $M = (m_{ij})$  be any  $p$ -rowed square matrix and  $M' = (m'_{ij})$ . Let  $T$  be the  $p$ -rowed square matrix† whose elements one place to the right of the diagonal are all 1, whose first element in the last row is  $\gamma = \gamma' \neq 0$ , and whose further elements are all zero. Then  $TMT^{-1} = M'$  if and only if  $M$  is of the form (21) and  $A_i^{(p)} = \gamma A_i \gamma^{-1} (i=0, 1, \dots, p-1)$ , where  $A'' = (A')'$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $A^{(k)} = A^{(k-1)}$ .*

For, if we multiply the first row of  $M$  on the left by  $\gamma$  and carry it to the new last row, we get  $TM$ . If we multiply the last column of  $M'$  by  $\gamma$  on the right and carry it to the new first column, we get  $M'T$ . Thus  $TM = M'T$  if and only if the second row of  $M$  (which is the first row of  $TM$ ) is the first row of  $M'T$  and hence is derived from the first row of  $M'$  by permuting its elements cyclically and multiplying the new first element by  $\gamma$  on the right, and if the third row of  $M$  (second of  $TM$ ) is derived as before from the second row of  $M'$  and hence is derived in the same way from the second row of  $M$  with the addition of another accent,  $\dots$ , and finally if we permute cyclically the elements of the last row of  $M$ , add an accent, and multiply the new first element by  $\gamma$  on the right we get the last row of  $TM$  (which is the product of the first row of  $M$  by  $\gamma$  on the left).

Let also a second matrix  $N$  have the property that  $TNT^{-1} = N'$ . For any two elements  $m$  and  $n$  of  $M$  and  $N$ , let  $(mn)' = m'n'$ . Then evidently  $(MN)' = M'N'$ . Thus

$$TMNT^{-1} = M'N' = (MN)'.$$

Hence by Theorem 3,  $MN$  is of the form (21).

**COROLLARY.** *Under the assumptions (18),  $\gamma' = \gamma \neq 0$ , and  $A^{(p)} = \gamma A \gamma^{-1}$ , the product of any two matrices of type (21) is of that type.*

**9. Associativity conditions.** We seek the conditions on the constants of multiplication of  $\Gamma$  that  $\Gamma$  be an associative algebra. For  $A'$  defined in (14),

---

\* Under the usual rule of multiplication of matrices, provided an element of  $[\mathcal{A}]$  is kept as a left factor and one of  $[\mathcal{B}]$  as a right factor.

† For  $p=3$ ,  $T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \gamma & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

we see that  $(A+B)' = A' + B'$  is satisfied identically. Hence  $(AB)' = A'B'$  for all  $A$  and  $B$  in  $\Sigma$  if and only if it holds for  $A = fj_k$ ,  $B = hj_r$  ( $k, r = 0, 1, \dots, q-1$ ), where  $f$  and  $h$  are arbitrary numbers of  $F(i)$ . This property holds identically if  $k=0$  or  $r=0$ . Hence let  $k>0, r>0$ . Then

$$A' = f(\theta_q)\alpha_k j_k, \quad B' = h(\theta_q)\alpha_r j_r.$$

By Lemma 2,  $j_k j_r = c_{kr} j_u$ , where  $c_{kr}$  is in  $F(i)$  and  $u$  is determined so that  $\theta_r[\theta_k(i)] = \theta_u(i)$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} A'B' &= f(\theta_q)\alpha_k h[\theta_q(\theta_k i)]\alpha_r(\theta_k)c_{kr}j_u, \\ (AB)' &= f(\theta_q)h[\theta_k(\theta_q i)]c_{kr}(\theta_q)\alpha_u j_u, \end{aligned}$$

if we take  $\alpha_0 = 1$ . The two  $h$ 's are equal by (7). Hence\*

$$(22) \quad \alpha_k \alpha_r(\theta_k)c_{kr} = c_{kr}(\theta_q)\alpha_u \quad (k, r = 1, \dots, q-1; \alpha_0 = 1).$$

It remains to consider  $A^{(p)} = \gamma A \gamma^{-1}$ . From (14) we get by induction

$$A^{(s)} = f_0(\theta_q^s) + \sum_{k=1}^{q-1} f_k(\theta_q^s)\alpha_k(\theta_q^{s-1})\alpha_k(\theta_q^{s-2}) \cdots \alpha_k(\theta_q)\alpha_k j_k,$$

where  $\theta_q^s$  denotes the  $s$ th iterative of  $\theta_q(i)$ . By (9),  $\theta_q^p = i$ . Taking  $s = p$ , we get

$$(23) \quad \alpha_k \alpha_k(\theta_q)\alpha_k(\theta_q^2) \cdots \alpha_k(\theta_q^{p-1}) = \gamma \gamma^{-1}(\theta_k) \quad (k = 1, \dots, q-1).$$

Finally,  $\gamma = \gamma'$  if and only if

$$(24) \quad \gamma = \gamma(\theta_q).$$

**THEOREM 4.** *If  $\Sigma$  is associative, algebra  $\Gamma$  with an abelian group is associative if and only if conditions (22)–(24) hold.*

If  $q=1$ , conditions (22) and (23) are satisfied vacuously, while  $\Sigma$  is the field  $F(i)$  and  $\Gamma$  is of type  $D$  of §4.

**COROLLARY.** *An algebra of type  $D$  is associative if and only if  $\gamma = \gamma(\theta_1)$ , which implies that  $\gamma$  is in  $F$ .*

**10. Algebras  $\Gamma$  whose abelian group has two generators.** The subgroup  $G_q$  is now cyclic. Hence by Theorem 1, algebra  $\Sigma$  is now of type  $D$  of order  $q^2$  over the field  $F_1$  derived from  $F$  by adjoining all the symmetric functions of  $i, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_{q-1}$ . By §4, we may take  $j_k = j_1^k$  ( $k = 2, \dots, q-1$ ),  $j_1^q = g$ , where  $g$  is in  $F_1$ , a necessary and sufficient condition for which is  $g = g(\theta_1)$ . In (6),

\* Note that (22) is the condition that we obtain equal results when we express  $j_q \cdot j_k j_r$  and  $j_q j_k \cdot j^r$  in the form  $(\ )j_q$ . This interpretation is useful when we consider a  $\Gamma$  whose  $G$  has more than two generators.

$$\begin{aligned} j_r j_k &= j_u, & u &= r+k, & c_{rk} &= 1 & (r+k < q), \\ j_r j_k &= g j_u, & u &= r+k-q, & c_{rk} &= g & (r+k \geq q, r < q, k < q). \end{aligned}$$

Hence associativity conditions (22) become

$$(25) \quad \alpha_k \alpha_r (\theta_1^k) = \alpha_{r+k} \quad (r, k = 1, \dots, q-1; r+k < q),$$

$$(26) \quad \alpha_k \alpha_r (\theta_1^k) g = g (\theta_q) \alpha_{r+k-q} \quad (r < q, k < q, r+k \geq q),$$

where  $\alpha_0 = 1$ . Write  $\alpha$  for  $\alpha_1$ . For  $r = 1$ , (25) gives by induction

$$(27) \quad \alpha_k = \alpha \alpha (\theta_1) \alpha (\theta_1^2) \cdots \alpha (\theta_1^{k-1}) \quad (k = 1, \dots, q-1).$$

Then every (25) follows at once from (27). For  $r+k = q$  in (26), we replace the  $\alpha$ 's by their values (27), note that the final  $\alpha$  is  $\alpha_0 = 1$ , and get at once

$$(28) \quad \alpha \alpha (\theta_1) \alpha (\theta_1^2) \cdots \alpha (\theta_1^{q-1}) g = g (\theta_q).$$

For  $r+k > q$ , the value from (27) of the final  $\alpha$  in (26) cancels with part of the the left member, whence

$$\alpha (\theta_1^{r+k-q}) \alpha (\theta_1^{r+k-q+1}) \cdots \alpha (\theta_1^{r+k-1}) g = g (\theta_q).$$

The  $q$  exponents form an arithmetical progression with the common difference 1 and hence are congruent modulo  $q$  to  $0, 1, \dots, q-1$  in some order. Hence the relation is (28).

Relations (23) all follow from the case  $k = 1$ :

$$(29) \quad \alpha \alpha (\theta_q) \alpha (\theta_q^2) \cdots \alpha (\theta_q^{p-1}) = \gamma \gamma^{-1} (\theta_1).$$

For, if in (29) we replace  $i$  by  $i, \theta_1, \theta_1^2, \dots, \theta_1^{k-1}$  in turn, multiply together the resulting equations and apply (27), we obtain (23). Hence  $\Gamma$  is associative if and only if  $g = g(\theta_1)$ ,  $\gamma = \gamma(\theta_q)$ , and (27), (28), (29) hold. Of these, (27) serve merely to express the  $\alpha_k$  in terms of  $\alpha$ .

**THEOREM 5.** *Let  $f(x) = 0$  be an equation of degree  $pq$  irreducible in a field  $F$  whose Galois group for  $F$  is abelian and has two independent generators  $\Theta_1$  and  $\Theta_q$  of orders  $q$  and  $p$  respectively. Then its roots\* are*

$$\theta_1^k [\theta_q^r(i)] = \theta_q^r [\theta_1^k(i)] \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots, q-1; r = 0, 1, \dots, p-1),$$

where  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_q$  are rational functions of  $i$  with coefficients in  $F$  such that the

---

\* We may ignore the group and start with any irreducible equation whose  $pq$  roots are of the specified type.

$q$ th iterative  $\theta_1^q(i)$  of  $\theta_1(i)$  is  $i$  and likewise  $\theta_q^p(i) = i$ . There exists an associative algebra  $\Sigma$  whose elements are

$$(30) \quad f_0 + f_1 j_1 + f_2 j_1^2 + \cdots + f_{q-1} j_1^{q-1},$$

where the  $f_k$  are polynomials in  $i$  of degree  $\leq pq - 1$  with coefficients in  $F$ , while

$$j_1^q = g(i) = g(\theta_1), \quad j_1^r \phi(i) = \phi[\theta_1^r(i)] j_1^r \quad (r = 1, \cdots, q-1),$$

so that the product of any two elements (30) of  $\Sigma$  is another element (30) of  $\Sigma$ . Under multiplication defined by (20), the totality of polynomials in  $j_q$  with coefficients in  $\Sigma$  form an algebra  $\Gamma$  of order  $p^2 q^2$  over  $F$  which is associative if and only if the four conditions (24), (28), (29), and  $g = g(\theta_1)$  hold between the parameters  $g, \gamma, \alpha$  of  $\Gamma$ .

The conditions for associativity are not inconsistent since they are satisfied when  $\alpha = 1$  and  $g$  and  $\gamma$  are both in  $F$ . In this case the constants of multiplication of  $\Gamma$  all belong to  $F$ . It follows by induction from (22)–(24) that the corresponding results hold when  $G$  is abelian and has any number of generators.

Note that  $\Gamma$  has the basis  $i^r j_1^a j_q^b$  ( $r < pq, a < q, b < p$ ). The laws of multiplication follow readily by the associative law from

$$(31) \quad j_1^q = g, \quad j_q^p = \gamma, \quad j_q j_1 = \alpha j_1 j_q, \quad j_i i = \theta_i(i) j_i \quad (i = 1, q).$$

We find by induction that

$$(32) \quad j_q^r j_1^k = A_{rk} j_1^k j_q^r, \quad A_{rk} = \alpha_k \alpha_k(\theta_q) \cdots \alpha_k(\theta_q^{r-1}),$$

while  $\alpha_k = \alpha \alpha(\theta_1) \cdots \alpha(\theta_1^{k-1})$ , in accord with (27). Then for any polynomials  $u$  and  $w$  in  $F(i)$ , we have

$$(33) \quad u j_1^a j_q^b \cdot w j_1^c j_q^d = uw (\theta_1^a \theta_q^b) A_{bc}(\theta_1^a) j_1^{a+c} j_q^{b+d}.$$

If  $z j_1^f j_q^h$  is any third element of  $\Gamma$ , we find that the associative law holds if and only if\*

$$(34) \quad A_{bc} A_{b+d, f}(\theta_1^c) = A_{b, c+f} A_{df}(\theta_1^c \theta_q^b),$$

which is independent of  $a, h, u, w, z$ . Since (34) involves four indices  $b, c, d, f$ , whereas (25), etc., involved only two, the present direct method of finding the conditions for associativity is far more complicated than our earlier indirect method.

**11. Representation as a matric algebra.** We shall represent algebra

---

\* The initial condition was (34) with  $i$  replaced by  $\theta^a$ . If  $f = 1$ , (34) reduces to (25).



$\Gamma$  of §10 as an algebra of matrices with elements in  $F(i)$ . First we give such a representation of its subalgebra  $\Sigma$  of elements (30). We make use of §8 with  $\Gamma$  and  $\Sigma$  replaced by our present  $\Sigma$  and  $F(i)$ , respectively, and  $j_q A = A'j_q$  in (14) replaced by  $j_1 a = a(\theta_1)j_1$ , where  $a$  is in  $F(i)$ . Hence to the element  $f$  given by (30) we make correspond the matrix

$$(35) \left\{ \begin{array}{cccccc} f_0 & f_1 & f_2 & \cdots & f_{q-1} \\ f_{q-1}(\theta_1)g & f_0(\theta_1) & f_1(\theta_1) & \cdots & f_{q-2}(\theta_1) \\ f_{q-2}(\theta_1^2)g & f_{q-1}(\theta_1^2)g & f_0(\theta_1^2) & \cdots & f_{q-3}(\theta_1^2) \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ f_1(\theta_1^{q-1})g & f_2(\theta_1^{q-1})g & f_3(\theta_1^{q-1})g & \cdots & f_0(\theta_1^{q-1}) \end{array} \right\},$$

which we denote by  $(f)$ . Since  $g(\theta_1) = g$ , and  $\theta_1^q(i) = i$ , it follows from §8 without further discussion that  $fr = s$  implies  $(f)(r) = (s)$ . These results have been established otherwise,\* since  $\Sigma$  is an algebra of type  $D$  of order  $q^2$  over  $F_1$ .

In matrix (21) replace each entry  $f$ , which is an element of  $\Sigma$ , by its matrix representation  $(f)$ . Such a  $p$ -rowed matrix  $[\mathcal{A}]$  whose elements are  $q$ -rowed matrices gives rise at once to a  $pq$ -rowed matrix  $\{\mathcal{A}\}$ , formed by erasing the parentheses which enclose the elements of the sub-matrices. For example, let  $p = q = 2$ ,  $A_0 = a + bj_1$ ,  $A_1 = c + dj_1$ , whence  $A'_0 = a_2 + b_2\alpha j_1$ , where  $a_2$  denotes  $a(\theta_2)$ . Writing  $a_k$  for  $a(\theta_k)$ ,  $\theta_3(i) = \theta_1[\theta_2(i)]$ , we have from (35)

$$(A_0) = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b_1g & a_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (A_1) = \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ d_1g & c_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and then from (21)

$$(36) \quad \{\mathcal{A}\} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d \\ b_1g & a_1 & d_1g & c_1 \\ c_2\gamma & d_2\alpha\gamma_1 & a_2 & b_2\alpha \\ d_3\alpha_1\gamma g & c_3\gamma_1 & b_3\alpha_1g & a_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

since  $A'_1\gamma = c_2\gamma + d_2\alpha j_1\gamma$ ,  $j_1\gamma = \gamma_1j_1$ ,  $\gamma_2 = \gamma$ .

The matrices  $\{\mathcal{A}\}$  give the desired matrix representation of  $\Gamma$  since the equation  $\mathcal{AB} = \mathcal{P}$  between any three elements of  $\Gamma$  implies  $[\mathcal{A}][\mathcal{B}] = [\mathcal{P}]$ , by §8, which in turn implies  $\{\mathcal{A}\}\{\mathcal{B}\} = \{\mathcal{P}\}$ . This is due to the following general theorem. Let  $(a)$  be a  $pq$ -rowed square matrix having  $a_{ij}$  as the element in the  $i$ th row and  $j$ th column. Let  $(a)(b) = (c)$ . By grouping the

\* *Algebras and their Arithmetics*, pp. 221-24.

successive rows of (a) in blocks of  $q$  each and its successive columns in blocks of  $q$ , we obtain a compound matrix

$$[A] = \begin{pmatrix} A_{q,q} & A_{q,2q} & \cdots & A_{q,pq} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{pq,q} & A_{pq,2q} & \cdots & A_{pq,pq} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $A_{r,s}$  is a  $q$ -rowed square matrix having  $a_{rs}$  as the last element of the last row. For example, if  $p=q=2$ ,

$$A_{22} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_{24} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{13} & a_{14} \\ a_{23} & a_{24} \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_{42} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{31} & a_{32} \\ a_{41} & a_{42} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$A_{44} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{33} & a_{34} \\ a_{43} & a_{44} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The element in the  $i$ th row and  $j$ th column of  $[A][B]$  is

$$\sum_{s=1}^p A_{iq, sq} B_{sq, jq} = C_{iq, jq},$$

whence  $[A][B] = [C]$ . Conversely, this implies  $(a)(b) = (c)$ .

The present method evidently leads to a representation by matrices with elements in  $F(i)$  of any algebra  $\Gamma$  whatever be the number of generators of its abelian group. The existence of such a representation was proved by Cecioni by means of various technical theorems on linear algebras and matrices.

**12. Division algebras  $\Gamma$  with  $p=2$ .** We assume that  $\Sigma$  is a division algebra. Multiplication (20) in  $\Gamma$  becomes for  $p=2$

$$(37) \quad (A_0 + A_1 j_q)(B_0 + B_1 j_q) = (A_0 B_0 + A_1 B'_1 \gamma) + (A_0 B_1 + A_1 B'_0) j_q.$$

Let this product be zero while neither factor is zero. If  $B_1=0$ , then  $B_0 \neq 0$ ,  $B'_0 \neq 0$ ,  $A_0 B_0 = 0$ ,  $A_1 B'_0 = 0$ ,  $A_0 = A_1 = 0$ , contrary to hypothesis. Since  $B_1 \neq 0$  it has an inverse in  $\Sigma$ , and the product is equal to

$$[(A_0 + A_1 j_q) B_1] [B_1^{-1} B_0 + j_q].$$

Hence it suffices to treat the case  $B_1=1$ . Then

$$A_0 B_0 + A_1 \gamma = 0, \quad A_0 + A_1 B'_0 = 0.$$

If  $A_1=0$ , then  $A_0=0$ , contrary to hypothesis. Elimination of  $A_0$  gives  $A_1(\gamma - B'_0 B_0) = 0$ .

**THEOREM 6.** *If  $p=2$  and if  $\Sigma$  is a division algebra, then  $\Gamma$  is a division algebra if and only if\**

\* Note that  $X'X$  and  $XX'$  are usually distinct. If they are equal when  $X=j_1$ , then  $\alpha(\theta_1) = \alpha$ . Write  $\chi_s$  for  $g\gamma\alpha(\theta_1)$  and  $\chi_s$  for  $\gamma$ . Then  $g=g(\theta_1)$  implies  $\chi_s(\theta_1) : \chi_s = \chi_s(\theta_1) : \chi_s$ . But in Cecioni's example (his §24),  $\chi_s(\theta_1) = -\chi_s$ , while  $\chi_s(\theta_1)$  is distinct from  $-\chi_s$  since  $g_s \neq 0$  by his (52).

$$(38) \quad \gamma \neq X'X \text{ for any } X \text{ in } \Sigma.$$

If  $q=2$ , but  $p$  is arbitrary, Theorem 1 shows that  $\Sigma$  is the algebra over  $F_1$  with the basal units  $1, i, j_1, ij_1$  and is of type  $D$  with  $n=2$ , where  $F_1$  is derived from  $F$  by the adjunction of the sum and the product of  $i$  and  $\theta_1(i)$ . It is associative if and only if  $j_1^2 = g$  is in  $F_1$ , i.e., if  $g = g(\theta_1)$ . We may apply Theorem 6 with  $\Gamma$  replaced by  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma$  replaced by the division algebra  $F_1(i)$ . When  $\Sigma$  is regarded as an algebra  $\Gamma$ , its  $q$  is 1, whence  $X'$  is  $X(\theta_1)$ . Hence for  $q=2$ ,  $\Sigma$  is a division algebra if and only if  $g \neq X(\theta_1)X$  for any  $X$  in  $F_1(i)$ , or in other words if  $g$  is not the norm, relative to  $F_1$ , of any number of  $F_1(i) = F(i)$ .

Applying also Theorem 5 for  $p=q=2$ , we obtain

**THEOREM 7.** *Let a quartic equation irreducible in  $F$  have the roots  $i, \theta_1(i), \theta_2(i), \theta_3(i)$ , where the  $\theta_k(i)$  are rational functions of  $i$  with coefficients in  $F$  such that*

$$\theta_1\theta_1 = \theta_2\theta_2 = \theta_3\theta_3 = i, \quad \theta_1\theta_2 = \theta_2\theta_1 = \theta_3, \quad \theta_1\theta_3 = \theta_3\theta_1 = \theta_2, \quad \theta_2\theta_3 = \theta_3\theta_2 = \theta_1,$$

where  $\theta, \theta_*$  denotes  $\theta, [\theta, (i)]$ . Under the law of multiplication

$$(39) \quad (a + bj_1)(c + dj_1) = ac + gbd(\theta_1) + [ad + bc(\theta_1)]j_1,$$

where  $a, b, c, d, g$  are in  $F(i)$ , the elements  $a + bj_1$  form an associative division algebra  $\Sigma$  if and only if  $g$  is in the field  $F_1 = F(i + \theta_1, i\theta_1)$ , but is not the norm, relative to  $F_1$ , of any number of  $F_1(i) = F(i)$ . For  $A = a + bj_1$ , write  $A' = a(\theta_2) + b(\theta_2)\alpha j_1$ , where  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  are in  $F(i)$ . Under the law of multiplication (37), where  $A_0, A_1, B_0, B_1$  are in  $\Sigma$ , the elements  $A_0 + A_1j_2$  form an associative division algebra  $\Gamma$ , when  $\Sigma$  is one, if and only if (38) holds and

$$(40) \quad \alpha\alpha(\theta_1)g = g(\theta_2), \quad \alpha\alpha(\theta_2)\gamma(\theta_1) = \gamma = \gamma(\theta_2).$$

This  $\Gamma$  is an algebra over  $F$  with the 16 basal units  $ij_s(r, s=0, 1, 2, 3)$ , where  $j_3 = j_1j_2$ . Special cases of our laws of multiplication give

$$(41) \quad \begin{aligned} j_1^2 = g, \quad j_2^2 = \gamma, \quad j_1j_2 = j_3, \quad j_2j_1 = \alpha j_3, \quad j_1j_3 = gj_2, \\ j_2j_3 = \alpha\gamma(\theta_1)j_1, \quad j_3j_1 = g\alpha(\theta_1)j_2, \quad j_3j_2 = \gamma(\theta_1)j_1, \quad j_3^2 = g\gamma\alpha(\theta_1), \end{aligned}$$

as well as  $j, i = \theta_r(i)j_r$  and its consequence (5).

Cecioni gave a special example of  $\Gamma$  in which are satisfied the conditions that it be an associative division algebra.

**13. Division algebras  $\Gamma$  with  $p=3$ .** We assume that  $\Sigma$  is a division algebra. Suppose that  $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q}=0$ , where  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q} = K + Lj_q + Mj_q^2$  are elements  $\neq 0$  of  $\Gamma$ ,  $K, L$  and  $M$  being in  $\Sigma$ . If  $M \neq 0$ , we have

$$\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{Q}(j_q^2 - Z) = U + Vj_q + Wj_q^2, \quad W = K - MZ'',$$

since  $j_q^3 = \gamma$  is in  $\Sigma$ . Then  $W = 0$  if  $Z = (M'\gamma)^{-1}K'\gamma$ . We postpone the subcase  $\mathcal{R} = 0$ . But if  $M = 0$ , write  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{Q}$ . In either case, we have  $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{R} = 0$ , where  $\mathcal{R} = C + Dj_q$ ,  $\mathcal{P} \neq 0$ ,  $\mathcal{R} \neq 0$ . If  $D = 0$ , then  $C \neq 0$ ,  $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{R}C^{-1} = \mathcal{P} = 0$ . Hence  $D \neq 0$ ,  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B} = 0$ , where  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{P}D \neq 0$ ,  $\mathcal{B} = D^{-1}C + j_q$ . Employing the notations (12) and (19) for  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ , we have  $B_1 = 1$ ,  $B_2 = 0$ . For  $p = 3$ , (20) gives

$$(42) \quad \begin{aligned} P_0 &= A_0B_0 + A_1B_2'\gamma + A_2B_1''\gamma, \\ P_1 &= A_0B_1 + A_1B_0' + A_2B_2''\gamma, \quad P_2 = A_0B_2 + A_1B_1' + A_2B_0''. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $B_1 = 1$ ,  $B_2 = 0$ , and each  $P_k = 0$ , we have

$$A_0B_0 + A_2\gamma = 0, \quad A_0 + A_1B_0' = 0, \quad A_1 + A_2B_0'' = 0.$$

Hence  $A_2 \neq 0$ . Elimination of  $A_0$  and  $A_1$  gives

$$A_2(B_0'B_0'B_0 + \gamma) = 0.$$

Write  $B_0 = -X$ . Thus  $\gamma = X''X'X$ . In the postponed case  $\mathcal{R} = 0$ , we have  $\mathcal{R}j_q = 0$ , or  $\mathcal{Q}(\gamma - Zj_q) = 0$ , while neither factor is zero. But this is the case  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B} = 0$  just treated.

**THEOREM 8.** *If  $p = 3$  and if  $\Sigma$  is a division algebra, then  $\Gamma$  is a division algebra if and only if*

$$(43) \quad \gamma \neq X''X'X \text{ for any } X \text{ in } \Sigma.$$

If  $q = 3$ , but  $p$  is arbitrary, and if  $F_1$  is derived from  $F$  by the adjunction of the elementary symmetric functions of  $i$ ,  $\theta_1(i)$ ,  $\theta_2(i)$ , Theorem 1 shows that  $\Sigma$  is an algebra over  $F_1$  of type  $D$  with the basal units  $ij_s$  ( $r, s = 0, 1, 2$ ), where  $j_2 = j_1^2$ . It is associative if and only if  $j_1^3 = g$  is in  $F_1$ . We may apply Theorem 8 with  $\Gamma$  and  $\Sigma$  replaced by  $\Sigma$  and  $F_1(i)$  respectively. When  $\Sigma$  is regarded as an algebra  $\Gamma$ , its  $q$  is 1, whence  $X'$  is  $X(\theta_1)$ . Hence for  $q = 3$ ,  $\Sigma$  is a division algebra if and only if  $g \neq X(\theta_2)X(\theta_1)X$  for any  $X$  in  $F_1(i)$ , or in other words if  $g$  is not the norm, relative to  $F_1$ , of any number of  $F_1(i) = F(i)$ .

Applying also Theorem 5 for  $p = q = 3$ , we obtain

**THEOREM 9.** *Let an equation of degree 9 irreducible in  $F$  have the roots*

$$\theta_1^k[\theta_3^r(i)] = \theta_3^r[\theta_1^k(i)] \quad (k, r = 0, 1, 2),$$

where  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_3$  are rational functions of  $i$  with coefficients in  $F$  such that  $\theta_1^3(i) = i$ ,  $\theta_3^3(i) = i$ . Let  $F_1$  be derived from  $F$  by adjoining the elementary symmetric functions of  $i$ ,  $\theta_1$ ,  $\theta_1^2(i)$ . Let  $\Sigma$  be the set of all linear functions of  $1, j_1, j_2 = j_1^2$  with

coefficients in  $F(i)$  and let multiplication be performed in  $\Sigma$  by means of (5) and  $j_1^3 = g$ . Then  $\Sigma$  is an associative division algebra if and only if  $g$  is in  $F_1$ , but is not the norm, relative to  $F_1$ , of any number of  $F(i)$ . Let

$$(44) \quad A' = a(\theta_3) + b(\theta_3)\alpha j_1 + c(\theta_3)\alpha\alpha(\theta_1)j_2 \quad \text{for} \quad A = a + bj_1 + cj_2.$$

Under the law of multiplication

$$(A_0 + A_1j_3 + A_2j_3^2)(B_0 + B_1j_3 + B_2j_3^2) = P_0 + P_1j_3 + P_2j_3^2,$$

where the  $P_k$  are defined by (42), with  $\gamma$  in  $F(i)$ , the elements  $A_0 + A_1j_3 + A_2j_3^2$  in which the  $A_k$  range independently over  $\Sigma$  form an associative division algebra  $\Gamma$  if and only if  $\gamma \neq X'X'X$  for any  $X$  in  $\Sigma$  and

$$(45) \quad g = g(\theta_1), \quad \gamma = \gamma(\theta_3), \quad \alpha\alpha(\theta_1)\alpha(\theta_1^2)g = g(\theta_3), \quad \alpha\alpha(\theta_3)\alpha(\theta_3^2)\gamma(\theta_1) = \gamma.$$

Evidently  $\Gamma$  is an algebra over  $F$  with the 81 basal units  $i^rj_s$  ( $r, s = 0, 1, \dots, 8$ ). For brevity write  $f_k$  for  $f(\theta_k)$ , and  $C = \alpha\alpha_1\alpha_3\alpha_4$ . Then the multiplication table of  $\Gamma$  is given by (5) and the following relations in which  $j_r$  is denoted by  $r$ :

$$\begin{aligned} 1^2 &= 2, & 12 &= g, & i3 &= 4, & 14 &= 5, & 15 &= g3, & 16 &= 7, & 17 &= 8, & 18 &= g6, \\ 21 &= g, & 2^2 &= g1, & 23 &= 5, & 24 &= g3, & 25 &= g4, & 26 &= 8, & 27 &= g6, & 28 &= g7, \\ 31 &= \alpha 4, & 32 &= \alpha\alpha_1 5, & 3^2 &= 6, & 34 &= \alpha 7, & 35 &= \alpha\alpha_1 8, & 36 &= \gamma, & 37 &= \alpha\gamma_1 1, & 38 &= \alpha\alpha_1\gamma_2 2, \\ 41 &= \alpha_1 5, & 42 &= g\alpha_1\alpha_2 3, & 43 &= 7, & 44 &= \alpha_1 8, & 45 &= g\alpha_1\alpha_2 6, & 46 &= \gamma_1 1, & 47 &= \alpha_1\gamma_2 2, \\ 48 &= g\gamma\alpha_1\alpha_2, & 51 &= g\alpha_2 3, & 52 &= g\alpha\alpha_2 4, & 53 &= 8, & 54 &= g\alpha_2 6, & 5^2 &= g\alpha\alpha_2 7, \\ 56 &= \gamma_2 2, & 57 &= g\gamma\alpha_2, & 58 &= g\gamma_1\alpha\alpha_2 1, & 61 &= \alpha\alpha_3 7, & 62 &= C 8, & 63 &= \gamma, \\ 64 &= \alpha\alpha_3\gamma_1 1, & 65 &= C\gamma_2 2, & 6^2 &= \gamma 3, & 67 &= \alpha\alpha_3\gamma_1 4, & 68 &= C\gamma_2 5, \\ 71 &= \alpha_1\alpha_4 8, & 72 &= gC_1 6, & 73 &= \gamma_1 1, & 74 &= \alpha_1\alpha_4\gamma_2 2, & 75 &= g\gamma C_1, & 76 &= \gamma_1 4, \\ 7^2 &= \alpha_1\alpha_4\gamma_2 5, & 78 &= g\gamma C_1 3, & 81 &= g\alpha_2\alpha_5 6, & 82 &= gC_2 7, & 83 &= \gamma_2 2, \\ 84 &= g\gamma\alpha_2\alpha_5, & 85 &= g\gamma_1 C_2 1, & 86 &= \gamma_2 5, & 87 &= g\gamma\alpha_2\alpha_5 3, & 8^2 &= g\gamma_1 C_2 4. \end{aligned}$$

14. Division algebras  $\Gamma$  with  $p > 3$ . If  $\Gamma$  is a division algebra, then

$$(46) \quad \gamma \neq X^{(p-1)}X^{(p-2)} \cdots X'X \text{ for any } X \text{ in } \Sigma.$$

For, if  $\gamma = X^{(p-1)} \cdots X$ , then  $\mathcal{A}(j_q - X) = 0$ , while neither factor is zero, if

$$\mathcal{A} = \sum_{k=0}^{p-2} X^{(p-1)}X^{(p-2)} \cdots X^{(k+1),k}j_q + j_q^{p-1}.$$

For  $p=2$  and  $p=3$ , we proved that conversely (46) implies that  $\Gamma$  is a division algebra. But for  $p > 3$  no attempt is made here to prove this con-

verse. We cited in §4 Wedderburn's proof for the case in which  $\Gamma$  is an algebra of type  $D$ ; he employed the determinant of matrix (35) in the matrix representation of  $D$ . But for the corresponding matrix (21) for  $\Gamma$ , the notion of a determinant is absent since the elements of (21) are not commutative. This particular difficulty is overcome if we use the representation in §11 of  $\Gamma$  as an algebra of matrices  $\{\mathcal{A}\}$  with elements in  $F(i)$ , whence the elements are now commutative. While the constants of multiplication of  $D$  involve a single parameter  $g$ , those of  $\Gamma$  involve not only the corresponding parameters  $g$  and  $\gamma$ , but also a parameter  $\alpha$  connected with them by relations (28) and (29). Waiving the difficulty\* which thus arises in assuming that  $\gamma$  is an independent variable which may be made zero without altering the analogue of Wedderburn's  $\delta$ , let us attempt to apply his proof to matrix (36). Since  $\gamma = \gamma(\theta_2)$ , also  $\delta = \delta(\theta_2)$ ; otherwise  $\gamma + \delta$  is not zero and has an inverse. Hence in matrix  $\{\gamma + \delta\}$  the elements outside the diagonal are all zero, while those in the diagonal are  $e = \gamma + \delta$  and  $f = \gamma(\theta_1) + \delta(\theta_1)$  each taken twice. Since  $A_1 = 1$ , we have  $c = 1$ ,  $d = 0$  in (36), whose determinant is the sum of  $\gamma\gamma_1$  and a linear function of  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma_1$ . This determinant must divide  $e^2 f^2$  and hence is equal to  $ef$ . For  $\gamma = 0$ , it is seen by inspection to be the product of  $\rho = aa_1 - gbb_1$  by  $\rho(\theta_2) = a_2a_3 - gb_2b_3$  in view of (40<sub>1</sub>). Taking  $\gamma = 0$ , we get  $\delta\delta(\theta_1) = \rho\rho(\theta_2)$ . But  $\gamma + \delta = 0$ . Hence the condition is that  $\gamma\gamma(\theta_1) \neq \rho\rho(\theta_2)$  for any  $\rho$  in  $F(i)$ .

But this is not a necessary condition that  $\Gamma$  be a division algebra. For, in Cecioni's example (his §24), with  $\gamma = \chi_2$ , we find that  $\gamma\gamma(\theta_1) = -k_1^2 = \rho\rho(\theta_2)$  for  $\rho = k_1i$ . Hence no obvious modification of the method used for  $D$  will succeed for  $\Gamma$ .

For  $p = 2$ ,  $q$  arbitrary, the corresponding condition is

$$\gamma\gamma(\theta_1) \cdot \cdot \cdot \gamma(\theta_{q-1}) \neq \sigma\sigma(\theta_q) \text{ for any } \sigma \text{ in } F(i).$$

15. **Algebras  $\Gamma$  whose group  $G$  is not necessarily abelian.** As at the end of §5, let  $G$  have an invariant subgroup  $G_q$  composed of  $\Theta_0 = 1, \Theta_1, \cdot \cdot \cdot, \Theta_{q-1}$  and let  $G_q$  be extended to  $G$  by  $\Theta_q$ . If  $p$  is the index of  $G_q$  under  $G$ , then  $\Theta_q^p$  is a substitution  $\Theta_e$  of  $G_q$ , while no lower than the  $p$ th power of  $\Theta_q$  belongs to  $G_q$ . Also,

$$(47) \quad \Theta_k \Theta_q = \Theta_q \Theta_{k_0} \quad (k < q),$$

and the substitutions of  $G$  are given without repetition by

$$(48) \quad \Theta_{r,q+k} = \Theta_q^r \Theta_k \quad (r = 0, 1, \cdot \cdot \cdot, p-1; k = 0, 1, \cdot \cdot \cdot, q-1).$$

---

\* We assume that  $q = 2$ . In  $(A + j_2)(B + j_2) = \gamma + AB + (A + B')j_2$ , we have  $A + B' = 0$  by hypothesis. Then  $\delta = AB = -B'B$  involves  $\alpha$  and hence depends on  $\gamma$ .

Hence (9) holds. As in §7, we may take

$$(49) \quad j_q^r = j_{rq}, \quad j_k j_{rq} = j_{k+rq} \quad (r=1, \dots, p-1; k=1, \dots, q-1)$$

and conclude that every element of  $\Gamma$  is of the form (12). By (47) and (6),

$$(50) \quad \theta_k[\theta_q(i)] = \theta_q[\theta_{k_0}(i)], \quad j_q j_k = \alpha_k j_{k_0} j_q \quad (k=1, \dots, q-1),$$

where  $\alpha_k$  is in  $F(i)$ . Thus

$$(51) \quad j_q A = A' j_q, \quad A' = f_0(\theta_q) + \sum_{k=1}^{q-1} f_k(\theta_q) \alpha_k j_{k_0} \quad \text{for } A \text{ in (13)}.$$

Since  $\theta_q^p = \theta_*$ ,

$$(52) \quad \theta_q^p(i) = \theta_*(i), \quad j_q^p = \gamma \equiv \beta j_*,$$

where  $\beta$  is a number  $\neq 0$  of  $F(i)$ , and  $e < q$ . Since  $j_q$  is commutative with  $j_q^p$ ,

$$\beta j_{*+q} = \beta j_* j_q = j_q \beta j_* = \beta(\theta_q) j_q j_* = \beta(\theta_q) \alpha_e j_{e_0} j_q,$$

and the final product of the  $j$ 's is  $j_{e_0+q}$ . Hence  $e_0 = e$  and

$$(53) \quad \beta = \beta(\theta_q) \alpha_*,$$

where  $\alpha_* = 1$  if  $e = 0$ . Hence  $\gamma' = \gamma$ . We have (18) and

$$(54) \quad A^{(p)} \gamma = \gamma A.$$

By Theorem 2, these relations imply that  $\Gamma$  is associative. We now investigate the conditions for these relations. We require that  $(AB)' = A'B'$  for  $A = fj_k$ ,  $B = hj_r$  for all  $f$  and  $h$  in  $F(i)$  and for  $k, r = 0, 1, \dots, q-1$ . We may write  $\theta_r[\theta_k(i)] = \theta_u(i)$ , whence  $j_k j_r = c_{kr} j_u$ . Replace  $i$  by  $\theta_q(i)$  and apply (50<sub>1</sub>) three times; we get

$$\theta_r \theta_k \theta_q = \theta_r \theta_q \theta_{k_0} = \theta_q \theta_{r_0} \theta_{k_0} = \theta_u \theta_q = \theta_q \theta_{u_0},$$

whence

$$\theta_{r_0} \theta_{k_0} = \theta_{u_0}, \quad j_{k_0} j_{r_0} = c_{k_0 r_0} j_{u_0}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} A' &= f(\theta_q) \alpha_k j_{k_0}, & B' &= h(\theta_q) \alpha_r j_{r_0}, & AB &= fh(\theta_k) c_{kr} j_u, \\ A'B' &= f(\theta_q) \alpha_k h[\theta_q\{\theta_{k_0}(i)\}] \alpha_r(\theta_{k_0}) c_{k_0 r_0} j_{u_0}, \\ (AB)' &= f(\theta_q) h[\theta_k\{\theta_q(i)\}] c_{kr}(\theta_q) \alpha_u j_{u_0}. \end{aligned}$$

The two  $h$ 's are equal by (50). Hence the conditions are

$$(55) \quad \alpha_k \alpha_r(\theta_{k_0}) c_{k_0 r_0} = c_{kr}(\theta_q) \alpha_u \quad (k, r=1, \dots, q-1; \alpha_0=1),$$

being satisfied identically if  $k=0$  or  $r=0$ .

We next seek the conditions for (54). Write  $k_{00}$  for  $(k_0)_0$ , etc. By (47) we find by induction that

$$(56) \quad \Theta_k \Theta_q^s = \Theta_q^s \Theta_{k_0} \dots \Theta_0,$$

where the number of zeros is  $s$ . The case  $s = p$  gives

$$(57) \quad \theta_k \theta_e = \theta_e \theta_{k_0} \dots \theta_0, \quad j_e j_k = d_k j_{k_0} \dots j_0,$$

where there are  $p$  subscripts 0 to  $k$ , and  $d_k$  is in  $F(i)$ . By induction on  $s$ ,

$$A^{(s)} = f_0(\theta_q^s) + \sum_{k=1}^{q-1} f_k(\theta_q^s) \alpha_k(\theta_q^{s-1}) \alpha_{k_0}(\theta_q^{s-2}) \alpha_{k_{00}}(\theta_q^{s-3}) \dots \alpha_{k_0} \dots \theta_{k_0} \dots \theta_0,$$

where there are  $s-1$  subscripts 0 to  $k$  under the final  $\alpha$ , and  $s$  of them under  $j$ . Take  $s = p$  and apply (52<sub>1</sub>). We see that the desired conditions for (54) are

$$(58) \quad \beta d_k = \alpha_k(\theta_q^{p-1}) \alpha_{k_0}(\theta_q^{p-2}) \alpha_{k_{00}}(\theta_q^{p-3}) \dots \alpha_{k_0} \dots \beta(\theta_{k_0} \dots \theta_0) \\ (k=1, \dots, q-1),$$

where there are  $p-1$  subscripts 0 under the last  $\alpha$ , and  $p$  under the final  $\theta$ .

**THEOREM 10.** *If the subalgebra with the units  $i'j_k$  ( $r < pq$ ,  $k < q$ ) is associative, then  $\Gamma$  is associative if and only if conditions (53), (55), (58) hold.*

Consider the simplest non-abelian case in which  $G_q$  is a cyclic group generated by  $\Theta_1$  such that  $\Theta_q$  transforms  $\Theta_1$  into its inverse. Since  $\Theta_q$  transforms  $\Theta_k = \Theta_1^k$  into  $\Theta_{q-k}$  and into  $\Theta_{k_0}$  by (47), the latter subscripts differ only by a multiple of  $q$ . Hence

$$(59) \quad \text{if } k=0, \quad k_0=0; \quad \text{if } k>0, \quad k_0=q-k.$$

We employ the values of  $c_{rk}$  and  $u$  given above ((25) in §10). In (55),  $k_0 = q - k$ ,  $r_0 = q - r$ . If  $r+k < q$ , then  $r_0 + k_0 > q$  and (55) becomes

$$(60) \quad \alpha_k \alpha_r (\theta_1^{q-k}) g = \alpha_{k+r} \quad (r, k=1, \dots, q-1; r+k < q).$$

For  $r=1$  this gives by induction on  $k$

$$(61) \quad \alpha_k = \alpha \alpha (\theta_1^{q-1}) \alpha (\theta_1^{q-2}) \dots \alpha (\theta_1^{q-k+1}) g^{k-1} \quad (k=1, \dots, q-1),$$

where  $\alpha = \alpha_1$ . Then (60) is seen to be satisfied when we insert the values of  $\alpha_k$ ,  $\alpha_r$ ,  $\alpha_{k+r}$  from (61) and apply  $g(\theta_1) = g$ ,  $\theta_1^{q+s} = \theta_1^s$ .

For  $r+k = q$ , whence  $r_0 + k_0 = q$ , (55) becomes

$$(62) \quad \alpha_k \alpha_r (\theta_1^{q-k}) g = g(\theta_q).$$



Inserting the values of  $\alpha_k$  and  $\alpha_r$  from (61), we get

$$(63) \quad \alpha\alpha(\theta_1)\alpha(\theta_1^2) \cdot \cdot \cdot \alpha(\theta_1^{q-1})g^{q-1} = g(\theta_q) .$$

Finally, for  $r+k > q$ , (55) becomes

$$(64) \quad \alpha_k\alpha_r(\theta_1^{q-k}) = g(\theta_q)\alpha_{k+r-q} .$$

Inserting the values (61) of the three  $\alpha$ 's, we see that the  $\alpha$ 's from the third  $\alpha$  all cancel a like number of  $\alpha$ 's in the new left member, and that the resulting relation is (63).

Since  $\Theta_q$  transforms  $\Theta_1$  into its inverse and vice versa,  $\Theta_q^s$  transforms  $\Theta_1$  into itself or its inverse according as  $s$  is even or odd. Take  $s=p$  and note that  $\Theta_q^p = \Theta_s = \Theta_1^s$  transforms  $\Theta_1$  into itself. We exclude the case  $q=2$  since  $\Theta_q$  then transforms  $\Theta_1$  into itself and  $G$  is abelian. Hence  $p$  is even.

By (59),  $k_{00} = k$ . Thus (57) becomes  $j_s j_k = d_k j_k j_s$ . But  $j_s = j_1^s, j_k = j_1^k$ . Hence  $d_k = 1$ . Thus (58) is

$$(65) \quad \beta = \alpha_k(\theta_q^{p-1})\alpha_{q-k}(\theta_q^{p-2})\alpha_k(\theta_q^{p-3})\alpha_{q-k}(\theta_q^{p-4}) \cdot \cdot \cdot \alpha_k(\theta_q)\alpha_{q-k}\beta(\theta_k) ,$$

for  $k=1, \cdot \cdot \cdot, q-1$ . We need the formula

$$(66) \quad \alpha_{q-1}\alpha_{q-1}(\theta_1) \cdot \cdot \cdot \alpha_{q-1}(\theta_1^{t-1}) = [g(\theta_q)]^{t-1}\alpha_{q-t} .$$

To prove it by induction on  $t$ , multiply it by  $\alpha_{q-1}(\theta_1^t)$  and apply (64) for  $k=q-t, r=q-1$ . We shall prove that (65) follows from the case  $k=1$  by replacing  $i$  by  $i, \theta_1, \cdot \cdot \cdot, \theta_1^{k-1}$  in turn and taking the product. It suffices to watch the product of the general pair of consecutive factors

$$\alpha_1(\theta_q^{p-2s+1})\alpha_{q-1}(\theta_q^{p-2s}) .$$

Replace  $i$  by  $\theta_1^c$  and apply

$$\theta_q^{p-2s+1}\theta_1^c = \theta_1^{q-c}\theta_q^{p-2s+1} , \quad \theta_q^{p-2s}\theta_1^c = \theta_1^c\theta_q^{p-2s} ,$$

which follows from (56) which states that  $\theta_1^k\theta_q^s = \theta_q^s\theta_1^k$  or  $\theta_q^s\theta_1^{q-k}$ , according as  $s$  is even or odd. Hence we get

$$\prod_{c=0}^{k-1} \alpha_1(\theta_1^{q-c}\theta_q^{p-2s+1}) \cdot \prod_{c=0}^{k-1} \alpha_{q-1}(\theta_1^c\theta_q^{p-2s}) .$$

By (61), the first product is derived from  $\alpha_k/g^{k-1}$  by replacing  $i$  by  $\theta_q^{p-2s+1}$ . By (66) with  $t=k$ , the second product is derived from  $[g(\theta_q)]^{k-1}\alpha_{q-k}$  by replacing  $i$  by  $\theta_q^{p-2s}$ . Thus the  $g$ 's cancel and we get

$$\alpha_k(\theta_q^{p-2s+1})\alpha_{q-k}(\theta_q^{p-2s}) ,$$

which is the product of the corresponding pair of consecutive factors in (65). Hence all cases of (65) follow from the case  $k=1$ . We first state our results for the case  $e=0$ , whence  $\beta=\gamma$ .

**THEOREM 11.** *Let  $f(x)=0$  be an equation of degree  $pq$  irreducible in  $F$  whose Galois group  $G$  for  $F$  is generated by two substitutions  $\Theta_1$  and  $\Theta_q$  of respective orders  $q$  and  $p$  such that  $\Theta_q$  transforms  $\Theta_1$  into its inverse, while no lower than the  $p$ th power of  $\Theta_q$  is equal to a power of  $\Theta_1$ . Excluding the case  $q=2$ , we see that  $G$  is not abelian and that  $p$  is even. Then the roots of  $f(x)=0$  are*

$$(67) \quad \theta_q^r[\theta_1^k(i)] = \begin{matrix} \theta_1^k[\theta_q^r(i)] & (r \text{ even}) \\ \theta_1^{q-k}[\theta_q^r(i)] & (r \text{ odd}) \end{matrix} \quad \left( \begin{matrix} r=0,1,\dots,p-1 \\ k=0,1,\dots,q-1 \end{matrix} \right),$$

where  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_q$  are rational functions of  $i$  with coefficients in  $F$  such that the  $q$ th iterative  $\theta_1^q(i)$  of  $\theta_1(i)$  is  $i$  and likewise  $\theta_q^p(i)=i$ . There exists an associative algebra  $\Sigma$  whose elements are

$$(68) \quad A = f_0 + f_1 j_1 + f_2 j_1^2 + \dots + f_{q-1} j_1^{q-1},$$

where the  $f_k$  are polynomials in  $i$  of degree  $< pq$  with coefficients in  $F$ , while

$$(69) \quad j_1^q = g(i) = g(\theta_1), \quad j_1^r \phi(i) = \phi[\theta_1^r(i)] j_1^r \quad (r=1, \dots, q-1),$$

so that the product of any two elements (68) of  $\Sigma$  is another element (68) of  $\Sigma$ . Let

$$A' = f_0(\theta_q) + \sum_{k=1}^{q-1} f_k(\theta_q) \alpha_k j_{q-k},$$

where  $\alpha_k$  is defined by (61). Then under multiplication defined by (20), the totality of polynomials in  $j_q$  with coefficients in  $\Sigma$  form an algebra  $\Gamma$  of order  $p^2 q^2$  over  $F$  which is associative if and only if  $\gamma = \gamma(\theta_q)$ ,

$$(70) \quad \gamma = \alpha(\theta_q^{p-1}) \alpha_{q-1}(\theta_q^{p-2}) \alpha(\theta_q^{p-3}) \alpha_{q-1}(\theta_q^{p-4}) \dots \alpha(\theta_q) \alpha_{q-1} \gamma(\theta_1),$$

and also (63) holds. Hence there are only four conditions on the parameters  $g, \gamma, \alpha$  of  $\Gamma$ .

The associative conditions are not inconsistent, being all satisfied if  $\gamma$  is  $F$ , and  $g(\theta_1) = g, g(\theta_q) = g^{-1}, \alpha = g^{-1}$ , whence every  $\alpha_k(\theta_q^s)$  is  $g$  or  $g^{-1}$  according as  $s$  is odd or even.

For example, let  $p=2, q=3$ , and let

$$\Theta_1 = (0 \ 1 \ 2)(3 \ 5 \ 4), \quad \Theta_3 = (0 \ 3)(1 \ 4)(2 \ 5).$$

Then the roots of the sextic are

$$i, \theta_1, \theta_2 = \theta_1[\theta_1(i)], \quad \theta_3, \theta_4 = \theta_3[\theta_1(i)] = \theta_2[\theta_3(i)], \quad \theta_5 = \theta_3 \theta_2 = \theta_1 \theta_3.$$

For brevity write  $f_k$  for  $f(\theta_k)$  and  $\beta = \alpha\alpha(\theta_2)g$  for our former  $\alpha_2$ . Then the multiplication table of  $\Gamma$  is given by (69<sub>2</sub>) and the following relations in which  $j_r$  is denoted by  $r$ :

$$\begin{array}{lllll} 1^2 = 2, & 12 = g, & 13 = 4, & 14 = 5, & 15 = g3, \\ 21 = g, & 2^2 = g1, & 23 = 5, & 24 = g3, & 25 = g4, \\ 31 = \alpha 5, & 32 = \beta 4, & 3^2 = \gamma, & 34 = \alpha\gamma_2 2, & 35 = \beta\gamma_1 1, \\ 41 = \alpha_1 g 3, & 42 = \beta_1 5, & 43 = \gamma_1 1, & 4^2 = \alpha_1 \gamma g, & 45 = \beta_1 \gamma_2 2, \\ 51 = \alpha_2 g 4, & 52 = \beta_2 g 3, & 53 = \gamma_2 2, & 54 = \alpha_2 \gamma_1 g 1, & 5^2 = \beta_2 \gamma g. \end{array}$$

The conditions for associativity are

$$g_1 = g, \quad \gamma_3 = \gamma, \quad g_3 = \alpha\alpha_1\alpha_2 g^2, \quad \gamma = \alpha\alpha_2\alpha_3 g\gamma_1.$$

Let  $F_1$  be the field obtained from  $F$  by adjoining the elementary symmetric functions of  $1, \theta_1, \theta_2$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is a division algebra if and only if  $g$  is not the norm, relative to  $F_1$ , of any number of  $F(i)$ , and if  $\gamma \neq X'X$  for any  $X = a + bj_1 + cj_1^2$ ,  $a, b, c$  in  $F(i)$ . Here

$$X' = a_3 + c_3\alpha\alpha_2 g j_1 + b_3\alpha j_2.$$

For any  $p$  and  $q$ ,  $p$  even, we readily exhibit the group  $G$  of Theorem 11 in the desired regular form. Take  $\Theta_1 = C_1 C_2 \cdots C_p$ , where each  $C_i$  is a cycle of  $q$  letters, no two  $C$ 's having a letter in common. Write  $\Theta_1^{-1} = C_2^{-1} C_3^{-1} \cdots C_p^{-1} C_1^{-1}$ , where the cycle  $C_i^{-1}$  starts with the same letter as  $C_i$ , so that its remaining letters are those of  $C_i$  taken in reverse order. Then  $\Theta_q$  is the substitution which replaces each letter of  $\Theta_1$  by that in the corresponding position in  $\Theta_1^{-1}$ .

For example, if  $p=2$ , take  $C_1 = (0 \ 1 \cdots q-1)$ ,  $C_2 = (q \ q+1 \cdots 2q-1)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} C_2^{-1} &= (q \ 2q-1 \ 2q-2 \cdots q+2 \ q+1), & C_1^{-1} &= (0 \ q-1 \ q-2 \cdots 2 \ 1), \\ \Theta_q &= (0 \ q)(1 \ 2q-1)(2 \ 2q-2) \cdots (q-1 \ q+1). \end{aligned}$$

For  $p=4$ ,  $q=3$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_1 &= (0 \ 1 \ 2)(3 \ 4 \ 5)(6 \ 7 \ 8)(9 \ 10 \ 11), \\ \Theta_1^{-1} &= (3 \ 5 \ 4)(6 \ 8 \ 7)(9 \ 11 \ 10)(0 \ 2 \ 1), \\ \Theta_3 &= (0 \ 3 \ 6 \ 9)(1 \ 5 \ 7 \ 11)(2 \ 4 \ 8 \ 10). \end{aligned}$$

Next, let  $e > 0$ . Since  $e_0 = e$ , we have  $2e = q$  by (59). Thus  $p$  and  $q$  are now both even.

**THEOREM 12.** *Let  $f(x) = 0$  be an equation of degree  $pq$  irreducible in  $F$  whose Galois group  $G$  is generated by  $\Theta_1$  and  $\Theta_q$ , such that  $\Theta_1$  is of order  $q$ ,  $\Theta_q$*

transforms  $\Theta_1$  into its inverse,  $\Theta_q^p = \Theta_1^{q/2}$ , while no lower than the  $p$ th power of  $\Theta_q$  is equal to a power of  $\Theta_1$ . Excluding the case  $q=2$ , we see that  $G$  is not abelian and that  $p$  and  $q$  are both even. The roots are given by (67), where  $\theta_1^q(i) = i$ ,  $\theta_q^p(i) = \theta_1^{q/2}(i)$ . Consider the algebras  $\Sigma$  and  $\Gamma$  of Theorem 11, where now  $j_q^2 = \gamma = \beta j_{q/2}$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is associative if and only if  $g = g(\theta_1)$ ,  $\beta = \beta(\theta_q)\alpha_{q/2}$ , (63) holds, and (65) holds when  $k=1$ .

The associative conditions are not inconsistent, being all satisfied if  $\beta$  is in  $F$ , and  $g(\theta_1) = g$ ,  $g(\theta_q) = g^{-1}$ ,  $\alpha = g^{-1}$ .

The simplest example is given by  $p=2$ ,  $q=4$ . We may take\*

$$\Theta_1 = (0 \ 1 \ 2 \ 3)(4 \ 5 \ 6 \ 7), \quad \Theta_4 = (0 \ 4 \ 2 \ 6)(1 \ 7 \ 3 \ 5).$$

For any even integers  $p$  and  $q$ , we readily exhibit the group of Theorem 12 in the desired regular form. The only modification to make in the method used under Theorem 11 is that we start the final cycle  $C_1^{-1}$  of  $\Theta_1^{-1}$  with the first letter after the middle of  $C_1$ .

For example, if  $p=q=4$ , take  $\Theta_1 = C_1 C_2 C_3 C_4$ ,  $C_1 = (0 \ 1 \ 2 \ 3)$ ,  $C_2 = (4 \ 5 \ 6 \ 7)$ ,

$$C_3 = (8 \ 9 \ 10 \ 11), \quad C_4 = (12 \ 13 \ 14 \ 15), \quad \Theta_1^{-1} = C_2^{-1} C_3^{-1} C_4^{-1} C_1^{-1},$$

$$\Theta_1^{-1} = (4 \ 7 \ 6 \ 5)(8 \ 11 \ 10 \ 9)(12 \ 15 \ 14 \ 13)(2 \ 1 \ 0 \ 3).$$

The substitution which replaces each letter of  $\Theta_1$  by that in the corresponding position in  $\Theta_1^{-1}$  is

$$\Theta_4 = (0 \ 4 \ 8 \ 12 \ 2 \ 6 \ 10 \ 14)(1 \ 7 \ 9 \ 15 \ 3 \ 5 \ 11 \ 13).$$

Then  $\Theta_4^4 = \Theta_1^2$ . The groups with  $p=2$  are called dicyclic.

---

\* This group is the regular form of the group whose 8 elements are the quaternions  $\pm 1$ ,  $\pm i$ ,  $\pm j$ ,  $\pm k$ .