REMARKS ON THE PRECEDING PAPER OF JAMES A. CLARKSON*

RΥ

NELSON DUNFORD AND ANTHONY P. MORSE

1. In the preceding paper Clarkson has introduced the interesting concept of a Banach space X with a uniformly convex norm and has shown that for such spaces the following theorem holds.

THEOREM. If the additive function F(R) which is defined for elementary figures R contained in a fixed figure R_0 in Euclidean space of n dimensions and has its values in the Banach space X, is of bounded variation, \dagger then it has a derivative F'(P) for almost all points P in R_0 . F'(P) is summable \dagger on R_0 and if F(R) is absolutely continuous \S then for every elementary figure R in R_0 we have

$$F(R) = \int_{R} F'(P) dP.$$

In this paper it is shown that the theorem holds for all Banach spaces X with a base $\{\phi_i\}$ which satisfies the following postulate:

(A) If a_1, a_2, \cdots is any sequence of real numbers such that $\sup_n \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \phi_i \right\| < \infty$, then the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \phi_i$ converges.

It is obvious that l_p $(p \ge 1)$ or any Hilbert space satisfies (A).

In §3 it is shown that L_p (p>1) does likewise. The method of proof is entirely different from that of Clarkson. In §4 it is shown that if X is any Banach space having the property that every function on a linear interval to X, which satisfies a Lipschitz condition, is differentiable almost everywhere, then also every function of bounded variation from the linear interval to X is differentiable almost everywhere and its derivative is summable.

2. Proof of the theorem. It should first be noted that it is no loss of generality to assume besides (A) the property

(B)
$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \phi_i \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i \phi_i \right\| \text{ for any constants } a_i, i = 1, 2, \cdots.$$

^{*} Presented to the Society, April 11, 1936; received by the editors February 16, 1936.

[†] I.e., $\sum_{i=1}^{k} ||F(R_i)||$ is bounded for all finite sets of non-overlapping elementary figures R_1, \dots, R_k contained in R_0 .

[‡] Here, as well as elsewhere in this note, the concept of summability is that of Bochner or of Dunford. The two notions are equivalent.

[§] I.e., $\lim_{|R|=0} F(R) = 0$.

[¶] See Banach, Théorie des Opérations Linéaires, Warsaw, 1932, p. 110.

This is made evident by the following two considerations. If a Banach space Y is isomorphic* to X then the theorem holds for X if and only if it holds for Y. Now associated with X is a space Y composed of all sequences $y = \{\eta_i\}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \eta_i \phi_i$ converges. If the metric in Y is defined by $||y|| = \sup_{n} ||\sum_{i=1}^{n} \eta_i \phi_i||$ then† Y is a Banach space and isomorphic to X. By taking $y_k = \{\eta_i^k\}$ where $\eta_i^k = 0$ if $k \neq i$, $\eta_i^i = 1$, it is easily seen that y_i forms a base for Y which has the properties (A) and (B). Thus it will be assumed in what follows that X has the properties (A) and (B). Now

$$F(R) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i(R)\phi_i,$$

where the coefficients $a_i(R)$ are given by means of the limited linear functionals $\dagger T_i$ defined on X according to the equation $a_i(R) = T_i F(R)$. Thus it is immediate that the functions $a_i(R)$ are additive, real functions of bounded variation with summable derivatives $a_i'(P)$. The functions $F_n(R) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(R)\phi_i$ thus have derivatives $F_n'(P) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i'(P)\phi_i$ summable on R_0 . Let $V(F_n, R)$ be the total variation of F_n on R, then the positive real function $S_n(R) = V(F_n, R)$ is additive and of bounded variation on R_0 with $S_n(R) \ge ||F_n(R)||$. Hence $S_n'(P) \ge ||F_n'(P)||$ and thus

(1)
$$V(F_n, R) = S_n(R) \ge \int_R S_n'(P) dP \ge \int_R ||F_n'(P)|| dP.$$

Now from postulate (B) and (1)

(2)
$$||F_n'(P)|| \le ||F_{n+1}'(P)||, \qquad \int_{R_0} ||F_n'(P)|| dP \le V(F_n, R_0) \le V(F, R_0).$$

If we let $b(P) = \lim_{n} ||F_{n}'(P)||$, the inequality (2) shows that b(P) is summable and hence finite almost everywhere. Postulate (A) then insures the convergence of the series

$$G(P) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n'(P)\phi_n$$

for almost all P in R_0 . Since ||G(P)|| = b(P) and G(P) is measurable it is summable on R_0 . It will now be shown that G(P) is the derivative of F(R). To do this we need the following lemmas which, in the case of real-valued functions, are well known.

^{*} Banach, loc. cit., p. 180.

[†] Banach, loc. cit., p. 111.

[‡] Banach, loc. cit., p. 111.

LEMMA 1. Let G(R) be an additive function of bounded variation defined for elementary figures R contained in a fixed figure R_0 and with values in an arbitrary Banach space. Then G(R) has a derivative equal to zero at almost all points of R_0 if and only if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is an open set E in R_0^0 with measure less than ϵ such that $V(G, E) = V(G, R_0^0)$.

By the variation V(G, D) of G on an open set D in R_0 is meant the upper bound of all finite sums $\sum_{i=1}^k ||G(R_i)||$ where R_1, R_2, \dots, R_k are non-overlapping elementary figures in D. Now suppose $|E| < \epsilon$ and $V(G, E) = V(G, R_0^0)$. Define S(C) = V(G, C) where C is either an open set or an elementary figure and let R_n be a sequence of elementary figures such that

$$R_n \subset R_{n+1} \subset E$$
, $S(R_n) \to S(E)$.

Let $R_n' = R_0^0 - R_n$, $E' = R_0^0 - E$, so that

$$S(R_0^0) \ge S(R_n) + S(R_n') \ge S(R_n) + \int_{R_n} S'(P) dP.$$

Thus since $R'_n \supset E'$ we have

$$S(R_0^0) \ge S(R_n) + \int_{R} S'(P) dP$$

or

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^r} S'(P)dP \leq S(R_0^0) - S(R_n) \to 0.$$

Whence it follows that S'(P) = 0 almost everywhere on E', and since $|R_0 - E'| < \epsilon$ we conclude that S'(P) = 0 almost everywhere on R_0 which implies G'(P) = 0 almost everywhere on R_0 .

To prove the converse let $\eta > 0$ and let R_1, R_2, \dots, R_k be non-overlapping elementary figures contained in R_0 with

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^{k} R_{i} \right| > \left| R_{0} \right| - \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left| |G(R_{i})| \right| \ge V(G, R_{0}^{0}) - \eta.$$

Now define the set E_n as follows: a point P is in E_n if for every cube I containing P with $|I| \leq 1/n$ it follows that $||G(I)||/|I| \leq \eta$. Thus the set $\lim E_n$ contains all points at which G'(P) = 0, i.e., almost all points in R_0 . Hence $\lim E_n \sum_{i=1}^k R_i^0$ contains almost all points of $\sum_{i=1}^k R_i^0$. Consequently there is an n_0 and a closed set C contained in $E_{n_0} \sum_{i=1}^k R_i^0$ for which $|C| > |R_0| - \epsilon$. Thus $C \subset \sum_{i=1}^k R_i^0$ and $||G(I)||/|I| \leq \eta$ for any cube I containing a point of C and having $|I| \leq 1/n_0$. Let d be the distance from C to the boundary of $\sum_{i=1}^k R_i^0$ and I_1, I_2, \cdots, I_l be non-overlapping cubes satisfying the conditions

$$\sum_{i=1}^{l} I_i \supset R_0; \quad |I_i| \leq 1/n_0, \quad \text{diameter } I_i < d, \qquad (i = 1, 2, \dots, l).$$

Define δ_i $(j=1, 2, \dots, l)$ to be 0 or 1 according as I_iC is null or non-null and let $\delta'_i = 1 - \delta_i$. Now $D = D(\epsilon, \eta)$, the complement of C with respect to R_0 , is open and since R_iI_i $(j=1, 2, \dots, l)$ is null or a cube if $\delta_i = 1$, it follows that

$$V(G, R_0^0) - \eta \leq \sum_{i=1}^k ||G(R_i)|| \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^l ||G(R_i I_j)||$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^l \delta_j ||G(R_i I_j)|| + \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^l \delta_j' ||G(R_i I_j)||$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^l \eta ||R_i I_j|| + V(G, E) \leq \eta ||R_0|| + V(G, D).$$

By defining $E = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} D(\epsilon/2^n, 1/n)$ the conclusion is immediate.

LEMMA 2. If F(R) is an additive function of bounded variation defined for elementary figures R contained in a fixed figure R_0 with values in a Banach space satisfying postulates (A) and (B) then there exist additive functions $\alpha(R)$, $\beta(R)$ such that

$$F(R) = \alpha(R) + \beta(R),$$

 $\alpha(R)$ is an indefinite integral and $\beta(R)$ has a derivative equal to zero almost everywhere on R_0 .

Let $F(R) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i(R) \phi_i$ and define

$$\alpha(R) = \int_{R} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a'_{i}(P)\phi_{i} \right) dP, \qquad \beta(R) = F(R) - \alpha(R).$$

If we write $\beta(R) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i(R)\phi_i$ and denote $\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i(R)\phi_i$ by $\beta_n(R)$ then $\beta_n'(P) = 0$ almost everywhere on R_0 . Take $\epsilon > 0$ and let (Lemma 1) E_n be an open set with $|E_n| < \epsilon/2^n$ such that $V(\beta_n, E_n) = V(\beta_n, R_0^0)$ $(n = 1, 2, \cdots)$. Let $E = E_1 + E_2 + E_3 + \cdots$. Now the $|E| < \epsilon$ and $V(\beta_n, E) = V(\beta_n, R_0^0)$. Since $V(\beta_n, R_0^0) \rightarrow V(\beta, R_0^0)$ by semi-continuity,* we have

$$V(\beta, E) \ge V(\beta_n, E) \longrightarrow V(\beta, R_0^0).$$

Hence $V(\beta, E) = V(\beta, R_0^0)$ so that by Lemma 1, $\beta'(P) = 0$ almost everywhere on R_0 . This completes the proof of Lemma 2.

Returning to the argument of the theorem itself we see immediately that

^{*} It is well known that the relation $\beta_n(R) \to \beta(R)$ for $R \subset R_0$ implies $\lim \inf_n V(\beta_n, R_0) \ge V(\beta, R_0)$. It is likewise readily seen that $\lim \inf_n V(\beta_n, R_0^0) \ge V(\beta, R_0^0)$.

F(R) has a derivative F'(P) = G(P) almost everywhere on R_0 . This follows from the fact that $\alpha(R)$, being an indefinite integral, is differentiable with $\alpha'(P) = G(P)$ almost everywhere on R_0 . Now if F(R) is absolutely continuous so are the functions $a_i(R)$ and hence

$$\int_{R} F'(P)dP = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \phi_{i} T_{i} \int_{R} F'(P)dP = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \phi_{i} \int_{R} T_{i} F'(P)dP \dagger$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \phi_{i} \int_{R} a'_{i}(P)dP = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i}(R) \cdot \phi_{i} = F(R)$$

for every elementary figure R in R_0 .

3. $L_p(p>1)$ has the property (A). Let $\{\phi_i\}$ be the orthonormal sequence of Haar. Schauder‡ has shown that $\{\phi_i\}$ is a base for $L_p(p \ge 1)$. The sequence $\{\phi_i\}$ also determines the sequence $\{T_i\}$ of linear functionals on L_p by the formula

 $T_i\psi = \int_0^1 \phi_i(t)\psi(t)dt.$

If p>1 this sequence forms a fundamental set in \overline{L}_p (the space conjugate to L_p) in the sense that every point in \overline{L}_p can be approached by finite linear combinations of the elements of the sequence $\{T_i\}$. Now suppose a_1, a_2, \cdots is an arbitrary sequence of real numbers such that $||x_n||$ is bounded, where $x_n = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \phi_i$. We have

$$(5) T_i x_n = a_i, (i \leq n),$$

and so § x_n is a weakly convergent sequence in L_p . Since L_p is weakly complete there is a point $x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} T_i x \phi_i$ in L_p such that $Tx_n \rightarrow Tx$ for every T in \overline{L}_p . Now from (5) $a_i = \lim_n T_i x_n = T_i x$ and so $x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \phi_i$, which was to be proved.

4. Differentiability of functions of bounded variation. It is the purpose of this paragraph to prove the final assertion in the introduction. Let f(t) be of bounded variation on (0, 1) to X, and let E be the set of functional values of the strictly monotone real function

$$\sigma(t) = t + V(f; 0, t),$$
 $(0 \le t \le 1).$

The symbol V(f; a, b) stands for the total variation of f on $a \le t \le b$. Let $\tau(s)$

[†] For the interchange of T_i and f_R see Garrett Birkhoff, these Transactions, vol. 38 (1935), p. 371.

[‡] J. Schauder, Eine Eigenschaft des Haarschen Orthogonalsystem, Mathematische Zeitschrift, vol. 28 (1928), pp. 317-320.

[§] Banach, loc. cit., p. 133, Theorem 1. This theorem needs to be modified so as to apply to weakly convergent sequences rather than sequences weakly convergent to a point.

on E to (0, 1) be the inverse of $\sigma(t)$ and let g(s) be defined on E by the equation $g(s) = f(\tau(s))$. Now for any two points s < s' in E,

(6)
$$\begin{aligned} \|g(s') - g(s)\| &\leq V(f; \tau(s), \tau(s')) \\ &\leq \tau(s') - \tau(s) + V(f; \tau(s), \tau(s')) \\ &\leq \sigma(\tau(s')) - \sigma(\tau(s)) = s' - s. \end{aligned}$$

By first extending the domain of definition of g(s) to \overline{E} (the closure of E) in the natural way and then in a linear fashion on each of the intervals which make up the complement of \overline{E} with respect to the interval $0 \le s \le 1 + V(f; 0, 1)$ it is seen that the extended function satisfies the same Lipschitz condition (6) on the whole of $0 \le s \le 1 + V(f; 0, 1)$. Thus g(s) has a derivative almost everywhere on (0, 1 + V(f; 0, 1)) and hence almost everywhere on E with respect to E. Now $\tau(s)$ satisfies the Lipschitz condition $|\tau(s') - \tau(s)| \le |s' - s|$, and hence if we let E^* be those points of E at which E has a derivative with respect to E we have $m[\tau(E - E^*)] = 0$. That is, for almost all E in E is in E. Thus for almost all E in E is in E. Thus for almost all E in E in E is in E. Thus for almost all E in E in E is in E.

$$\begin{split} \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(t+h) - f(t)}{h} &= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{g(\sigma(t+h)) - g(\sigma(t))}{\sigma(t+h) - \sigma(t)} \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\sigma(t+h) - \sigma(t)}{h} \\ &= g'(\sigma(t)) \cdot \sigma'(t), \end{split}$$

so that f(t) has a derivative at almost all points of (0, 1), and since this derivative is the product of a bounded measurable function and a real summable function it follows that f'(t) is summable.

Brown University, Providence, R. I.