

ON ABSOLUTE CONVERGENCE OF MULTIPLE FOURIER SERIES

BY

S. MINAKSHISUNDARAM AND OTTO SZÁSZ

Introduction. The results of this paper are extensions of corresponding results for simple Fourier series, given by one of the authors (cf. [5])(¹). The main problem was to study the relationship between the mean modulus of a function $f(x)$ and series of the type $\sum |c_n|^\beta$, $\beta > 0$, where the c_n are the Fourier coefficients of $f(x)$. We obtain here analogous results, employing spherical means of a function of several variables. These means were first used by Bochner [1] in the study of summation of multiple Fourier series.

A particular result is: if $a_{n_1} \dots a_{n_k}$ are the Fourier coefficients of $f(x_1, \dots, x_k)$, and f satisfies a Lipschitz condition of degree α , then $\sum |a_{n_1} \dots a_{n_k}|^\beta < \infty$ for $\beta > 2\kappa/(\kappa + 2\alpha)$, while the series may be divergent for $\beta = 2\kappa/(\kappa + 2\alpha)$. For some previous results concerning the absolute convergence of double Fourier series cf. [3].

1. Notations. We denote by capital letters vectors in the κ -dimensional space, so that $X = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$, $N = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$; $|N| = (\sum_1^k n_i^2)^{1/2}$ is the norm of N ; $NX = \sum_1^k n_i x_i$ is the scalar product of N and X . The x_1, \dots, x_k are real variables, the n_1, \dots, n_k are integers. $f(x_1, \dots, x_k) = f(X)$ is a real-valued integrable function of period 2π in each variable. The formal Fourier series of $f(X)$ is

$$(1.1) \quad f(X) \sim \sum_{n_1}^{-\infty, \infty} \dots \sum_{n_k} c_{n_1, \dots, n_k} e^{i(n_1 x_1 + \dots + n_k x_k)} = \sum c_N e^{iNX},$$

where

$$(1.2) \quad c_N = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^\kappa} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \dots \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(X) e^{-iNX} dX.$$

$J_\mu(x)$ is the Bessel function of order $\mu \geq 0$:

$$J_\mu(x) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} (-1)^\nu \frac{(x/2)^{\mu+2\nu}}{\nu! \Gamma(\mu + \nu + 1)};$$

we put

$$\alpha_\mu(x) = \frac{2^\mu \Gamma(\mu + 1) J_\mu(x)}{x^\mu} = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} (-1)^\nu \frac{x^{2\nu} \Gamma(\mu + 1)}{4^\nu \nu! \Gamma(\mu + \nu + 1)},$$

Presented to the Society, April 27, 1946; received by the editors April 15, 1946.

(¹) Numbers in brackets refer to the bibliography at the end of the paper.

$$A_n(X) = \sum_{|N|^2=n} c_N \exp(iNX), \quad \text{so that} \quad f(X) \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(X).$$

We shall denote by $\omega(t)$ a positive function of t , decreasing to zero as $t \downarrow 0$.

2. **Lemmas.** We give here some auxiliary theorems.

LEMMA 1. If $R_\kappa(n)$ is the number of lattice points in the sphere $\sum_1^n x_i^2 \leq n$, then

$$(2.1) \quad R_\kappa(n) = O(n^{\kappa/2}) \equiv On^{\kappa/2}, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Actually the sharper estimate is known [cf. 2, p. 825]:

$$R_\kappa(n) = \frac{\pi^{\kappa/2} n^{\kappa/2}}{\Gamma(1 + \kappa/2)} + On^{\kappa(\kappa-1)/2(\kappa+1)}.$$

LEMMA 2. For $\mu \geq 0$, x real or complex,

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha_\mu(x) &= \frac{2\Gamma(\mu+1)}{\Gamma(\mu+1/2)\Gamma(1/2)} \int_0^{\pi/2} \cos(x \cos t) \sin^{2\mu} t dt \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\mu+1)}{\Gamma(\mu+1/2)\Gamma(1/2)} \int_0^\pi e^{ix \cos t} \sin^{2\mu} t dt. \end{aligned}$$

The proof follows on using the cosine series or exponential series and integrating termwise [6, pp. 47-48].

COROLLARY. For real x

$$(2.3) \quad |\alpha_\mu(x)| \leq \frac{2\Gamma(\mu+1)}{\Gamma(\mu+1/2)\Gamma(1/2)} \int_0^{\pi/2} \sin^{2\mu} t dt = \alpha_\mu(0) = 1.$$

For $\mu=0$, (2.3) reduces to $|J_0(x)| \leq 1$, an inequality given by Hansen [6, p. 31].

LEMMA 3. For any $u > 0$ and a corresponding constant $b(u) > 0$, $b(u) < 1 - \alpha_\mu(x) < 2$ for $x > u$; moreover

$$1 - \alpha_\mu(x) > \frac{x^2}{\pi^2(\mu+1)} \quad \text{for } 0 < x < \pi,$$

and

$$1 - \alpha_\mu(x) < (x/2)^2 \frac{1}{\mu+1} \quad \text{for } x > 0.$$

Proof. From (2.2) and (2.3), putting $2\Gamma(\mu+1)/\Gamma(\mu+1/2)\Gamma(1/2) = \gamma(\mu)$, we have

$$(2.4) \quad 1 - \alpha_\mu(x) = \gamma(\mu) \int_0^{\pi/2} \{1 - \cos(x \cos t)\} \sin^{2\mu} t dt > 0 \quad \text{for } x > 0.$$

It is known that $J_\mu(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, hence $\alpha_\mu(x) \rightarrow 0$; thus for some $b(u) > 0$

$$1 - \alpha_\mu(x) > b(u) \quad \text{for } x > u.$$

Furthermore from (2.4) and (2.3)

$$1 - \alpha_\mu(x) < 2\gamma(\mu) \int_0^{\pi/2} \sin^{2\mu} t dt = 2, \quad \text{for } x > 0.$$

Finally, for $0 < x < \pi$,

$$1 - \cos(x \cos t) = 2 \sin^2 \left(\frac{x}{2} \cos t \right) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} > \frac{2x^2}{\pi^2} \cos^2 t \\ < \frac{x^2}{2} \cos^2 t, \end{array} \right.$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \alpha_\mu(x) &> \frac{2\gamma(\mu)}{\pi^2} x^2 \int_0^{\pi/2} \cos^2 t \sin^{2\mu} t dt = \frac{2\gamma(\mu)}{\pi^2} x^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{\gamma(\mu)} - \frac{1}{\gamma(\mu+1)} \right\} \\ &= \frac{x^2}{\pi^2(\mu+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$1 - \alpha_\mu(x) < \frac{\gamma(\mu) x^2}{2} \int_0^{\pi/2} \cos^2 t \sin^{2\mu} t dt = \frac{x^2}{4(\mu+1)};$$

this proves the lemma.

LEMMA 4. *Let h be real, $r > 0$, $\delta > 0$, then the following statements are equivalent:*

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{rh-1} \omega(\delta n^{-r}) < \infty,$$

$$(2.5') \quad \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\infty} 2^{\lambda rh} \omega(\delta \cdot 2^{-\lambda r}) < \infty,$$

$$(2.6) \quad \int_1^{\infty} t^{h-1} \omega\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) dt < \infty.$$

Proof. We have for $rh \geq 1$

$$2^{(\lambda-1)rh} \omega(\delta \cdot 2^{-\lambda r}) < \sum_{\nu=2^{\lambda-1}}^{2^\lambda-1} \nu^{rh-1} \omega(\delta \cdot \nu^{-r}) < 2^{\lambda rh} \omega(\delta \cdot 2^{-(\lambda-1)r}),$$

hence

$$2^{-rh} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\infty} 2^{\lambda rh} \omega(\delta \cdot 2^{-\lambda r}) < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{rh-1} \omega(\delta \cdot n^{-r}) < 2^{rh} \sum_0^{\infty} 2^{\lambda rh} \omega(\delta \cdot 2^{-\lambda r}),$$

with similar inequalities for $rh < 1$; hence (2.5) and (2.5') are equivalent. We also have for $rh \leq 1$

$$\int_n^{n+1} x^{rh-1} \omega(\delta x^{-r}) dx < n^{rh-1} \omega(\delta n^{-r}) < \int_{n-1}^n x^{rh-1} \omega(\delta x^{-r}) dx,$$

hence

$$\int_1^\infty x^{rh-1} \omega(\delta x^{-r}) dx < \sum_1^\infty n^{rh-1} \omega(\delta n^{-r}) < \int_0^\infty x^{rh-1} \omega(\delta x^{-r}) dx,$$

with similar inequalities for $rh > 1$; the substitution $x^r = \delta t$ yields the equivalence of (2.5) and (2.6). This proves the lemma.

In view of (2.6), r and δ are not necessarily the same in the different statements.

COROLLARY. *The following statements are equivalent:*

$$\sum n^{(h/\kappa)-1} \omega(\delta n^{-1/\kappa}) < \infty$$

and

$$\sum 2^{\lambda h/2} \omega(\delta \cdot 2^{-\lambda/2}) < \infty.$$

This follows on putting $r = 1/\kappa$ in (2.5), and $r = 1/2$ in (2.5').

LEMMA 5. *If $a_r \geq 0$, and $r > 0$, then the two statements are equivalent:*

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_1^\infty a_r |1 - \alpha_\mu(t\nu^{1/2})|^r = O\omega(t) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0,$$

and

$$(2.8) \quad n^{-r} \sum_1^n \nu^r a_r + \sum_{n+1}^\infty a_r = O\omega(\delta n^{-1/2}) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

δ being an arbitrary positive number.

Assume first that (2.8) holds; given $t > 0$ choose

$$n = [\delta^2 t^{-2}] \leq \delta^2 t^{-2} < n + 1;$$

then from Lemma 3

$$\sum_1^{n+1} a_r |1 - \alpha_\mu(t\nu^{1/2})|^r < \frac{t^{2r}}{4^r(\mu + 1)^r} \sum_1^{n+1} \nu^r a_r = O t^{2r} n^r \omega(\delta(n+1)^{-1/2}) = O\omega(t),$$

and

$$\sum_{n+2}^\infty a_r |1 - \alpha_\mu(t\nu^{1/2})|^r < 2 \sum_{n+2}^\infty a_r = O\omega(\delta(n+1)^{-1/2}) = O\omega(t).$$

Conversely, if (2.7) holds, choose for a given n and $\delta > 0$

$$t = \min (\pi n^{-1/2}, \delta n^{-1/2}),$$

then

$$\sum_1^n a_r |1 - \alpha_\mu(t\nu^{1/2})|^r > \frac{t^{2r}}{\pi^{2r}(\mu+1)^r} \sum_1^n \nu^r a_r,$$

hence

$$n^{-r} \sum_1^n \nu^r a_r = O\omega(t) = O\omega(\delta n^{-1/2}).$$

Furthermore, using again Lemma 3, we have

$$\sum_{n+1}^\infty a_r |1 - \alpha_\mu(t\nu^{1/2})|^r > b \sum_{n+1}^\infty a_r \quad (b \text{ a constant}),$$

hence

$$\sum_{n+1}^\infty a_r = O\omega(t) = O\omega(\delta n^{-1/2}).$$

This proves the lemma. It follows that if (2.8) holds for some $\delta > 0$, it holds for any $\delta > 0$.

LEMMA 6. Assume that for some $\delta > 0$

$$(2.9) \quad \sum_{\lambda=1}^\infty \omega(\delta 2^{-\lambda} n^{-1/2}) = O\omega(\delta n^{-1/2}), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and let $r > 0$, $a_r \geq 0$; then the following statements are equivalent:

$$(2.10) \quad n^{-r} \sum_1^n \nu^r a_r + \sum_{n+1}^\infty a_r = O\omega(\delta n^{-1/2}), \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(2.11) \quad n^{-r} \sum_1^n \nu^r a_r = O\omega(\delta n^{-1/2}),$$

$$(2.12) \quad \sum_1^\infty a_r |1 - \alpha_\mu(t\nu^{1/2})|^r = O\omega(t), \quad t \rightarrow 0.$$

The equivalence of (2.10) and (2.11) follows from Lemma (2.5) in [5]; the equivalence of (2.11) and (2.12) follows from Lemma 5. This proves Lemma 6.

LEMMA 7. Young-Hausdorff inequality. If $1 < p \leq 2$, and

$$f(X) \sim \sum c_N \exp(iNX),$$

then

$$(2.13) \quad \left\{ \sum |c_N|^{p'} \right\}^{1/p'} \leq M_p(f) \equiv M_{p'} f,$$

and

$$M_p^p f \leq \sum |c_N|^p,$$

where $1/p + 1/p' = 1$, and

$$M_p^p f = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^k} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(X)|^p dX$$

(cf. [4]).

Denote by $f(X; t)$ the spherical mean of $f(X)$ over the surface of the sphere of radius t and center x ; then [1, p. 177]

$$\begin{aligned} f(X; t) &= (2\pi)^{-k/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{\kappa}{2}\right) \int_{\sigma} f(x_1 + t\xi_1, \dots, x_k + t\xi_k) d\sigma_{\xi} \\ (2.14) \quad &\sim \sum c_N \alpha_{\mu}(t|N|) \exp(iNX) \\ &\sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{\mu}(tn^{1/2}) A_n(x), \end{aligned} \quad \mu = (\kappa - 2)/2;$$

σ denotes the unit sphere $\xi_1^2 + \dots + \xi_k^2 = 1$, $d\sigma_{\xi}$ its $(\kappa - 1)$ -dimensional volume element. Thus, putting $f(X; t) - f(X) = \phi(X; t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(X; t) &\sim \sum c_N \{ \alpha_{\mu}(t|N|) - 1 \} \exp(iNX) \\ &\sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \{ \alpha_{\mu}(tn^{1/2}) - 1 \} A_n(x). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 8. If $M_1\phi(X; t) = O\omega(t)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, then for any $\delta > 0$

$$c_N = O\omega\left(\frac{\delta}{|N|}\right) \quad \text{as } |N| \rightarrow \infty.$$

It follows from (1.1), (1.2) and (2.14) that

$$c_N \{ \alpha_{\mu}(t|N|) - 1 \} = (2\pi)^{-k} \Gamma\left(\frac{\kappa}{2}\right) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \phi(X; t) \exp(-iNX) dX,$$

hence

$$|c_N| |1 - \alpha_{\mu}(t|N|)| \leq M_1\phi(X; t) = O\omega(t).$$

Lemma 8 now follows from Lemma 3, on putting $t|N| = \delta$.

LEMMA 9. Let $P_n(z) = \sum_{r=0}^n c_r z^r$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$; if

$$M_p P_n(z) \leq 1 \quad \text{for } |z| \leq 1,$$

then

$$M_p P_n'(z) \leq n$$

(cf. [5, p. 385]).

Note. For $p = \infty$, $M_p P(z) = \max |P(z)|$ for $|z| \leq 1$.

We shall frequently use Hölder's and Minkowski's well known inequalities for multiple series and integrals (cf. Hardy, Littlewood, and Pólya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge, 1934).

3. A theorem on absolute convergence. We now present our main criterion for absolute convergence.

THEOREM 1. *If, with the notations of §2, $1 \leq p \leq 2$, $f(X) \in L_p$,*

$$(3.1) \quad M\phi(X; t) = O\omega(t) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0,$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_1^\infty n^{-\beta/p'} \omega^\beta(\delta n^{-1/k}) < \infty \quad \text{for some } \beta > 0,$$

then

$$(3.3) \quad \sum |c_N|^\beta < \infty.$$

By (3.1) and Lemma 7 for $1 < p \leq 2$, $\sum |c_N|^{p'} |1 - \alpha_\mu(t|N)|^{p'} = O\omega^{p'}(t)$, or

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_1^\infty \rho_n^{p'} |1 - \alpha_\mu(tn^{1/2})|^{p'} = O\omega^{p'}(t),$$

where $\rho_n = \rho_n(p)$ is defined by

$$\rho_n^{p'} = \sum_{|N|^2=n} |c_N|^{p'} = \sum |c_{n_1} \dots c_{n_k}|^{p'} \quad (n_1^2 + \dots + n_k^2 = n).$$

By Lemma 5, (3.4) is equivalent to

$$n^{-p'} \sum_1^n \nu^{p'} \rho_\nu^{p'} + \sum_{n+1}^\infty \rho_\nu^{p'} = O\omega^{p'}(\delta n^{-1/2}),$$

hence

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{n+1}^{2n} \rho_\nu^{p'} = O\omega^{p'}(\delta n^{-1/2}).$$

By the Hölder inequality for $q > 1$, $1/q + 1/q' = 1$,

$$\sum_n^{2n} \rho_\nu^\beta = \sum_{n \leq |N|^2 \leq 2n} |c_N|^\beta \leq (\sum |c_N|^{\beta q})^{1/q} (\sum 1)^{1/q'};$$

let first $\beta < p'$; choose

$$\beta q = p', \quad \text{hence} \quad q' = \frac{q}{q-1} = \frac{p'}{p' - \beta}.$$

Now, from (3.5) and (2.1)

$$\sum_n^{2n} \rho_n^\beta = O\omega^\beta(\delta n^{-1/2})(R_\kappa(2n))^{1-\beta/p'} = On^{(1-\beta/p')\kappa/2}\omega^\beta(\delta n^{-1/2}).$$

Putting $n=2^\lambda$, $\lambda=0, 1, \dots$, and summing over λ yields

$$\sum_1^\infty \rho_n^\beta = O \sum_{\lambda=0}^\infty 2^{\lambda\kappa(1-\beta/p')/2} \omega^\beta(\delta 2^{-\lambda/2});$$

the right side is convergent by the corollary to Lemma 4 (with $h=\kappa(1-\beta/p')$) and by (3.2). Hence (3.3) holds.

Next if $\beta=p'>1$, then (3.3) follows from (2.13), if we assume only, instead of (3.1), that $f(X) \in L_p$; a fortiori

$$\sum |c_N|^\beta < \infty \quad \text{for } \beta \geq p'.$$

Finally let $p=1$; (3.2) becomes

$$(3.6) \quad \sum \omega^\beta(\delta n^{-1/\kappa}) < \infty.$$

Denote by $r_\kappa(n)$ the number of lattice points on the circle $\sum_1^{\kappa} x_i^2 = n$; thus $\sum_0^n r_\kappa(\nu) = R_\kappa(n)$.

From Lemma 8, for any $\delta > 0$

$$\sum_{|N|^2=n} |c_N|^\beta = O \sum \omega^\beta(\delta |N|^{-1}) = Or_\kappa(n) \omega^\beta(\delta n^{-1/2});$$

furthermore from (3.6) and Lemma 4 (with $h=\kappa$)

$$\sum_1^\infty n^{\kappa/2-1} \omega^\beta(\delta n^{-1/2}) < \infty.$$

Now, using (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_1^n r_\kappa(\nu) \omega^\beta(\delta \nu^{-1/2}) &= \sum_1^n R_\kappa(\nu) \omega^\beta(\delta \nu^{-1/2}) - \sum_0^{n-1} R_\kappa(\nu) \omega^\beta(\delta(\nu+1)^{-1/2}) \\ &\leq R_\kappa(n) \omega^\beta(\delta n^{-1/2}) + \sum_1^{n-1} R_\kappa(\nu) \{ \omega^\beta(\delta \nu^{-1/2}) - \omega^\beta(\delta(\nu+1)^{-1/2}) \} \\ &= On^{\kappa/2} \omega^\beta(\delta n^{-1/2}) + O \sum_1^{n-1} \nu^{\kappa/2} \{ \omega^\beta(\delta \nu^{-1/2}) - \omega^\beta(\delta(\nu+1)^{-1/2}) \} \\ &= O \sum_1^n \{ \nu^{\kappa/2} - (\nu-1)^{\kappa/2} \} \omega^\beta(\delta \nu^{-1/2}) \\ &= O(1), \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Actually we can prove for $\beta = p'$ that

$$\sum \rho_n^{p'} \log n < \infty.$$

4. Converse theorems. We give here two theorems to be employed in subsequent sections.

THEOREM 2. Let $1 \leq p \leq 2$; assume that

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\infty} \omega^p(\delta 2^{-\lambda} n^{-1/2}) = O\omega^p(\delta n^{-1/2}), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and that

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_1^n \nu^p \rho_{\nu}^p = O n^p \omega^p(\delta n^{-1/2}), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty;$$

then

$$M_p \phi(X; t) = O\omega(t), \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0.$$

Note. If $p=1$, $p'=\infty$, then $M_{p'}$ means the effective upper bound of $|\phi(X; t)|$ in the region of X .

Proof. By Lemma 6, (4.2) is equivalent to

$$\sum_1^{\infty} \rho_n^p |1 - \alpha_{\mu}(tn^{1/2})|^p = O\omega^p(t),$$

that is,

$$\sum |c_N|^p |1 - \alpha_{\mu}(t|N|)|^p = O\omega^p(t).$$

Now from (2.14) and Lemma 7 (which holds also for $p=1$)

$$M_p \phi(X; t) = O\omega(t) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0;$$

this proves the theorem.

Note that (4.2) means:

$$\sum_{|N|^2 \leq n} |N|^p |c_N|^p = O n^p \omega^p(\delta n^{-1/2}).$$

THEOREM 3. Assume that $\omega(t) \downarrow 0$ as $t \downarrow 0$, and that

$$\sum_{\lambda=1}^{\infty} \omega^2(2^{-\lambda} \delta n^{-1/2}) = O\omega^2(\delta n^{-1/2}) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then a necessary and sufficient condition that

$$(4.3) \quad M_2 \phi(X; t) = O\omega(t) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0,$$

is that

$$(4.4) \quad \sum_1^n \nu^2 \rho_r^2 = O n^2 \omega^2 (\delta n^{-1/2}) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

First if (4.4) holds then (4.3) follows by Theorem 2 (for $p=2$). Conversely if (4.3) holds, then from (2.14) and Lemma 7

$$\sum |c_N|^2 |1 - \alpha_\mu(t|N)|^2 = O\omega^2(t),$$

which by Lemma 6 is equivalent to (4.4).

5. Counter examples. For $\beta=1$, Theorem 1 becomes:

THEOREM 1'. If $M_p\phi(t) = O\omega(t)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, and

$$(5.1) \quad \sum n^{-1/p'} \omega(\delta n^{-1/k}) < \infty, \quad 1 \leq p \leq 2,$$

then

$$\sum |c_N| < \infty.$$

To show that this result is the best possible we shall prove:

THEOREM 4. Let $\omega(t)$, in addition to having the property $\omega(t) \downarrow 0$ as $t \downarrow 0$, be such that

$$(5.2) \quad \int_1^u \omega(t^{-1}) dt = O u \omega(u^{-1}) \quad \text{as } u \rightarrow \infty,$$

while

$$(5.3) \quad \sum n^{-1/p'} \omega(\delta n^{-1/k}) = \infty, \quad \text{where } 1 \leq p \leq 2.$$

Then there exists a function $f(X) \in L_p$, such that

$$(5.4) \quad M_p\phi(X; t) = O\omega(t),$$

while

$$\sum |c_N| = \infty.$$

By Lemma 4 and its corollary (with $h=\kappa/p$) (5.1) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{\lambda=1}^{\infty} 2^{\lambda/p} \omega(\delta 2^{-\lambda}) < \infty,$$

while (5.3) is equivalent to

$$(5.3') \quad \sum 2^{\lambda/p} \omega(\delta 2^{-\lambda}) = \infty.$$

We define $\epsilon_n = \omega(2^{-n}\delta)$, $\lambda_n = 2^{n+1} + n - 2$,

$$(5.5) \quad g_n(z) = 2^{-n(1+1/p')} \left(\sum_0^{2^n} z^r \right)^2, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots;$$

so that

$$\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n = 2^{n+1} + 1.$$

Construct the power series

$$G(Z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\epsilon_n - \epsilon_{n+1}) (z_1 \cdots z_\kappa)^{\lambda_n} \prod_{p=1}^{\kappa} g_n(z_p);$$

then $G(Z)$ has the formal power series

$$G(Z) = \sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{n_\kappa=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{n_1 \dots n_\kappa} z_1^{n_1} \cdots z_\kappa^{n_\kappa}.$$

It is clear from the construction that $\gamma_N \geq 0$; putting $Z=1$ we find

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \gamma_N &> \sum_{n=0}^m (\epsilon_n - \epsilon_{n+1}) 2^{-\kappa n(1+1/p')} (2^{n+1} - 1)^{2\kappa} > \sum_0^m (\epsilon_n - \epsilon_{n+1}) 2^{\kappa n/p} \\ &> \sum_1^m (\epsilon_n - \epsilon_{m+1}) 2^{\kappa n/p} (1 - 2^{-\kappa/p}). \end{aligned}$$

For a given integer l choose m so large that $\epsilon_l > 2\epsilon_{m+1}$, then

$$\sum \gamma_N > \frac{1}{2} (1 - 2^{-\kappa/p}) \sum_1^l \epsilon_n 2^{\kappa n/p} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } l \rightarrow \infty,$$

by (5.3'). Hence

$$\sum \gamma_N = \infty.$$

We next show that for $z_p = e^{iz_p}$, $p=1, 2, \dots, \kappa$, $G(Z)$ becomes the Fourier power series of a function $F(X) \in L_p$. Write

$$(5.6) \quad u_n(Z) = (\epsilon_n - \epsilon_{n+1}) (z_1 \cdots z_\kappa)^{\lambda_n} \prod_{p=1}^{\kappa} g_n(z_p),$$

then for $z_p = e^{iz_p}$

$$\begin{aligned} M_p u_n &= (\epsilon_n - \epsilon_{n+1}) \frac{1}{(2\pi)^\kappa} 2^{-\kappa n(1+1/p')} \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} | \sum_0^{2^n} e^{i\nu x} |^{2p} dx \right)^{\kappa/p} \\ (5.7) \quad &= O(\epsilon_n - \epsilon_{n+1}) 2^{-\kappa n(1+1/p')} \left(\int_0^\pi \left| \frac{\sin(2^n + 1)x/2}{x} \right|^{2p} dx \right)^{\kappa/p} \\ &= O(\epsilon_n - \epsilon_{n+1}) 2^{-\kappa n(1+1/p')} 2^{n(2p-1)\kappa/p} = O(\epsilon_n - \epsilon_{n+1}); \end{aligned}$$

hence, by Minkowski's inequality,

$$M_p G \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M_p u_n = O(1).$$

We shall finally prove (5.4); we have

$$F(X; t) - F(X) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \{u_p(X; t) - u_p(X)\},$$

hence $M_p \phi \leq \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} M_p \{u_p(X; t) - u_p(X)\} = \sum_{p=0}^n + \sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} = S_1 + S_2$, say. Now, by Minkowski's inequality and (2.14),

$$\begin{aligned} M_p u_p(X; t) &= \Gamma\left(\frac{\kappa}{2}\right) 2^{-\kappa-1} \pi^{3\kappa/2} \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \int_{\sigma} u_p(x_1 + t\xi_1, \dots, x_{\kappa} + t\xi_{\kappa}) d\sigma_{\xi} \right|^p dX \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \Gamma\left(\frac{\kappa}{2}\right) 2^{-\kappa-1} \pi^{3\kappa/2} \int_{\sigma} \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |u_p(x_1 + t\xi_1, \dots, x_{\kappa} + t\xi_{\kappa})|^p dX \right)^{1/p} d\sigma_{\xi} \\ &= 2^{-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{\kappa}{2}\right) \pi^{-\kappa/2} \int_{\sigma} M_p(u_p) d\sigma_{\xi}; \end{aligned}$$

hence, if we use (5.7), $S_2 = O\epsilon_n$. Furthermore

$$M_p \{u_p(X; t) - u_p(X)\} \leq 2^{-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{\kappa}{2}\right) \pi^{-\kappa/2} \int_{\sigma} M_p \{u_p(X; t) - u_p(X)\} d\sigma_{\xi};$$

from the mean value theorem

$$u_p(X; t) - u_p(X) = t \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\kappa} \xi_{\lambda} \frac{\partial u_p}{\partial x_{\lambda}}(X; \theta t), \quad \text{where } 0 < \theta < 1;$$

hence from Minkowski's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} M_p \{u_p(X; t) - u_p(X)\} &\leq t \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\kappa} |\xi_{\lambda}| M_p \frac{\partial u_p}{\partial x_{\lambda}}(X; \theta t) \\ &\leq (2\pi)^{-\kappa/2} t \int_{\sigma} M_p \frac{\partial u_p}{\partial x_{\lambda}}(X) d\sigma_{\xi}. \end{aligned}$$

We now employ Lemma 9; thus from (5.5) and (5.6)

$$M_p \{u_p(X; t) - u_p(X)\} = t O(\epsilon_r - \epsilon_{r+1}) (2^{r+1} + \lambda_r) = t O 2^r (\epsilon_r - \epsilon_{r+1}).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= t O \sum_0^n 2^r (\epsilon_r - \epsilon_{r+1}) = O t \sum_0^n (2^{r+1} - 2^r) \epsilon_r \\ &= O t \sum_0^n (2^{r+1} - 2^r) \omega(\delta 2^{-r}) = O t \int_0^{2^n} \omega(\delta x^{-1}) dx \\ &= O t 2^n \omega(\delta 2^{-n}), \end{aligned}$$

by (5.2). We now choose n so that for a given positive $t < \delta$

$$2^{n-1} < \delta t^{-1} \leq 2^n, \quad n \geq 1;$$

then

$$S_1 = O\omega(t), \quad \text{and} \quad S_2 = O\omega(t),$$

and the proof of Theorem 4 is complete.

A simpler example, but of a special type, is

$$G(Z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\epsilon_n - \epsilon_{n+1}) \sum_{r=1}^k z_r^{\lambda_n} g_n(z_r).$$

6. The case $p=2$ and arbitrary $\beta > 0$. For the case $p=2$, Theorem 1 becomes:

THEOREM 1''. *If $M_2\phi(t) = O\omega(t)$, and for some $\beta > 0$*

$$\sum n^{-\beta/2} \omega^\beta(\delta n^{-1/k}) < \infty,$$

then

$$\sum |c_N|^\beta < \infty.$$

We now prove:

THEOREM 5. *Let $\omega(t)$, in addition to having the property $\omega(t) \downarrow 0$ as $t \downarrow 0$, be such that*

$$(6.1) \quad \int_1^u x \omega^2(x^{-1}) dx = O u^2 \omega^2(u^{-1}) \quad \text{as } u \rightarrow \infty,$$

while for a given positive $\beta < 2$

$$(6.2) \quad \sum n^{-\beta/2} \omega^\beta(\delta n^{-1/k}) = \infty.$$

Then there exists a function $f(X) \in L_2$, such that

$$(6.3) \quad M_2\phi(X; t) = O\omega(t), \quad t \rightarrow 0,$$

but

$$\sum |c_N|^\beta = \infty.$$

We employ again the polynomial (5.5), where now $p'=2$, and the polynomial (5.6), replacing the factor $\epsilon_n - \epsilon_{n+1}$ by

$$(\epsilon_n^\beta - \epsilon_{n+1}^\beta)^{1/\beta} = \alpha_n,$$

say.

As before $\epsilon_n = \omega(\delta 2^{-n})$. On writing

$$(6.4) \quad G(Z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n(Z) = \sum \gamma_{N Z_1^{n_1} \cdots Z_k^{n_k}},$$

we have again $\gamma_N \geq 0$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \gamma_N^\beta &> \sum \alpha_n^\beta 2^{-3n\beta\kappa/2} \left(\sum_1^{2^n} \nu^\beta \right) \\ &> \frac{1}{(\beta+1)^\kappa} \sum_{n=0}^m \alpha_n^\beta 2^{n\kappa(1-\beta/2)} = \frac{1}{(\beta+1)^\kappa} \sum_0^m (\epsilon_n^\beta - \epsilon_{n+1}^\beta) 2^{n\kappa(1-\beta/2)} \\ &> (2^{\kappa(1-\beta/2)} - 1) \sum_1^m (\epsilon_n^\beta - \epsilon_{m+1}^\beta) 2^{(n-1)(1-\beta/2)\kappa}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence for $\epsilon_i^\beta > 2\epsilon_{m+1}^\beta$

$$\sum \gamma_N^\beta > \frac{1}{2} (2^{\kappa(1-\beta/2)} - 1) \sum_1^l \epsilon_n^\beta 2^{\kappa(n-1)(1-\beta/2)}.$$

By the corollary to Lemma 4, (6.2) is equivalent to

$$(6.5) \quad \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\infty} 2^{(1-\beta/2)\lambda\kappa} \omega^\beta (\delta 2^{-\lambda}) = \infty, \quad \text{or} \quad \sum_{\lambda} 2^{(1-\beta/2)\lambda\kappa} \epsilon_\lambda^\beta = \infty,$$

hence $\sum \gamma_N^\beta = \infty$.

Next, in the same manner as in §5, one can prove that

$$(6.6) \quad M_2^2(u_n) = O\alpha_n^2;$$

it is easily seen that [5, formula (6.14)]

$$(6.7) \quad \alpha_n^2 = (\epsilon_n^\beta - \epsilon_{n+1}^\beta)^{2/\beta} = O(\epsilon_n^2 - \epsilon_{n+1}^2),$$

hence

$$M_2^2(G) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M_2^2(u_n) = O \sum_n (\epsilon_n^2 - \epsilon_{n+1}^2) = O(1).$$

Finally, to prove (6.3), write

$$M_2^2\phi = \sum_0^\infty M_2^2\{u_r(X; t) - u_r(X)\} = \sum_0^n + \sum_{n+1}^\infty = T_1 + T_2,$$

say. From (6.6) and (6.7), $T_2 = O\epsilon_n^2$, while, if we employ Lemma 9 (as in §5)

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= i^2 O \sum_0^n 2^{2r} \alpha_r^2 = i^2 O \sum_0^n 2^{2r} (\epsilon_r^2 - \epsilon_{r+1}^2) \\ &= i^2 O \sum_1^n \epsilon_r^2 (2^{2r} - 2^{2r-2}) = i^2 O \sum_1^n (2^r - 2^{r-1}) 2^r \epsilon_r^2 \\ &= i^2 O \int_1^{2^n} x \omega^2(\delta x^{-1}) dx = i^2 O \int_1^{\delta^{-1} 2^n} y \omega^2(y^{-1}) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Employing (6.1), we now get

$$T_1 = t^2 O 2^{2n} \omega^2(\delta 2^{-n}).$$

Given a positive t , choose n so that

$$2^n < \delta/t \leq 2^{n+1};$$

then

$$T_1 = t^2 O t^{-2} \omega^2(t) = O \omega^2(t), \quad \text{and} \quad T_2 = O \omega^2(t),$$

hence

$$M_2 \phi(t) = O \omega(t) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0.$$

This proves Theorem 5.

Remark. The conditions (5.2) and (6.1) are equivalent (cf. [5, Remark 6.1]).

7. A continuous function as counter example. In [5, §6] we have employed polynomials

$$(7.1) \quad g(z) = \sum_{r=0}^{2(q-1)} a_r^{(q)} z^r, \quad q \text{ a prime} \equiv 1 \pmod{4},$$

with the following properties

$$\begin{aligned} |g(z)| &\leq 1 && \text{for } |z| \leq 1, \\ |a_r^{(q)}| &= q^{-\beta/2}(\nu+1), && \nu = 0, 1, \dots, q-2. \end{aligned}$$

On putting $g(z_1) \cdots g(z_\kappa) = \sum b_N z_1^{n_1} \cdots z_\kappa^{n_\kappa}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum |b_N|^\beta &> (\sum |a_r^{(q)}|^\beta)^\kappa > q^{-3\beta\kappa/2} (1^\beta + 2^\beta + \cdots + (q-1)^\beta)^\kappa \\ (7.2) \quad &> \frac{1}{\kappa+1} q^{-3\beta\kappa/2} (q-1)^{\kappa(\beta+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $1 < q_1 < q_2 < \cdots$ be a sequence of primes congruent to 1 (mod 4), and such that for all large n

$$(7.3) \quad 2^{n-1} < q_n < 2^n;$$

denote by $g_n(z)$ the polynomial (7.1) with $q = q_n$, and let

$$(7.4) \quad \lambda_1 = 0, \quad \lambda_{n+1} = 2(q_1 + \cdots + q_n) - n, \quad n \geq 1;$$

ϵ_n , α_n , and u_n are defined as in §6. We assume that $\omega(t)$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 5 and, in case $1 < \beta < 2$, the additional conditions

$$(7.5) \quad \int_1^\infty x^{-1} \omega(x^{-1}) dx = \int_0^1 \tau^{-1} \omega(\tau) d\tau < \infty,$$

$$(7.6) \quad \int_{t^{-1}}^{\infty} x^{-1} \omega(x^{-1}) dx = \int_0^t \tau^{-1} \omega(\tau) d\tau = O\omega(t) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0.$$

Now, as shown in [5, §6],

$$(7.7) \quad \sum_1^n 2^{\nu \alpha_\nu} < 2 \int_1^{2^n} \omega(x^{-1}) dx,$$

and

$$(7.8) \quad \sum_{n+1}^{\infty} \alpha_\nu < \begin{cases} \epsilon_{n+1} & \text{for } 0 < \beta \leq 1, \\ 2 \int_{2^n}^{\infty} x^{-1} \omega(x^{-1}) dx & \text{for } 1 < \beta < 2. \end{cases}$$

We define as before

$$(7.9) \quad G(Z) = \sum_1^{\infty} u_n(Z) = \sum \gamma_N z_1^{n_1} \cdots z_\kappa^{n_\kappa}.$$

By (7.1)

$$(7.10) \quad |u_n(Z)| \leq \alpha_n \quad \text{for } |z_1| \leq 1, \dots, |z_\kappa| \leq 1,$$

hence the simple series in (7.9) converges uniformly and defines a continuous function in $|z_1| \leq 1, \dots, |z_\kappa| \leq 1$. Putting $z_\nu = \exp(ix_\nu)$, $\nu = 1, \dots, \kappa$, (7.9) becomes the Fourier power series of a continuous function $F(x_1, \dots, x_\kappa)$. Furthermore, using (7.2) and (7.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum |\gamma_N|^\beta &> \frac{1}{\kappa + 1} \sum_n \alpha_n^\beta q_n^{-\beta \kappa/2} (q_n - 1)^{\kappa(\beta+1)} \\ &> b \sum_n (\epsilon_n^\beta - \epsilon_{n+1}^\beta) 2^{n\kappa(1-\beta/2)}, \end{aligned} \quad b \text{ a constant,}$$

and the divergence of this series follows from (6.2) as in §6.

We shall finally show that the modulus of continuity of $F(X)$ is majorized by $\omega(t)$. We define the modulus of continuity of $F(X)$ by

$$\max_{|H| \leq t} \max_{(X)} |F(X+H) - F(X)| = \zeta(t),$$

where $|H| = (h_1^2 + \dots + h_\kappa^2)^{1/2}$, and each x_ν varies in $(-\pi, \pi)$. Now, in view of (7.9),

$$\begin{aligned} |F(X+H) - F(X)| &\leq \sum_1^{\infty} |u_\nu(e^{i(x_1+h_1)}, \dots, e^{i(x_\kappa+h_\kappa)}) - u_\nu(e^{ix_1}, \dots)| \\ &= \sum_1^n + \sum_{n+1}^{\infty} = V_1 + V_2, \end{aligned}$$

say. From (7.10) and (7.8)

$$V_2 < 2 \sum_{n+1}^{\infty} \alpha_n < \begin{cases} 2\epsilon_n & \text{for } 0 < \beta \leq 1, \\ 2 \int_{2^n}^{\infty} x^{-1} \omega(x^{-1}) dx & \text{for } 1 < \beta < 2; \end{cases}$$

in view of (7.6) we have in either case

$$V_2 = O\omega(\delta 2^{-n}).$$

To estimate V_1 , we employ as in §5 the mean value theorem, and Lemma 9 for $p = \infty$. We then get

$$V_1 < \left(\sum_1^k |h_r| \right) \left(\sum_1^n \alpha_r \lambda_{r+1} \right)$$

and, using (7.7) and (5.2) (which is equivalent to (6.1)),

$$V_1 = O \left| H \right| 2^n \omega(\delta 2^{-n}).$$

For $|H| \leq t$ choose n so that $2^{n-1} < t^{-1} \leq 2^n$, then

$$V_1 = O\omega(t) \quad \text{and} \quad V_2 = O\omega(t)$$

hence

$$\zeta(t) = O\omega(t).$$

We have thus proved the theorem:

THEOREM 6. *If the assumptions of Theorem 5 are satisfied and if $0 < \beta \leq 1$, then there exists a continuous function $F(X)$ with modulus of continuity $\zeta(t) < \omega(t)$, while $\sum |c_N|^\beta = \infty$. The same result holds for $1 < \beta < 2$ under the additional assumptions (7.5) and (7.6).*

As an example choose $\omega(t) = t^\alpha$, $0 < \alpha < 1$; it is seen easily that now (6.1), (7.5), and (7.6) hold. Theorem 1'' yields the convergence of $\sum |c_N|^\beta$ whenever $M_2 \phi = O t^\alpha$, and if $\beta > 2\kappa/(\kappa + 2\alpha)$. For $\beta = 2\kappa/(\kappa + 2\alpha)$, however, there exists a continuous function whose modulus of continuity is less than t^α , while $\sum |c_N|^\beta = \infty$.

Closing remark. In a similar manner the convergence of the series $\sum |N|^\alpha |c_N|^\beta$ can be discussed. The mode of procedure applies as well to Fourier integrals. We may also consider instead of the spherical mean (2.14) the more general average

$$f_p(X; t) = \frac{c_p}{t^\kappa} \int_0^t \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{t^2} \right)^{p-1} f(X; r) r^{\kappa-1} dr.$$

Finally, if we denote the linear operator which transforms $f(X)$ into $f(X; t) - f(X)$ by $\Delta f(X; t)$, iteration yields

$$\Delta_m f(X; t) \sim \sum c_N(\alpha_\mu(t|N|) - 1)^m \exp(iNX), \quad m = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

and in Theorem 1 the assumption $M_p \phi(X; t) \equiv M_p \Delta_1 f(X; t) = O\omega(t)$ can be replaced by $M_p \Delta_m f(X; t) = O\omega(t)$.

REFERENCES

1. S. Bochner, *Summation of multiple Fourier series by spherical means*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 40 (1936) pp. 175-207.
2. H. Bohr and H. Cramér, *Encyklopädie der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*, vol. II, Part 3, No. 8.
3. G. E. Reves and O. Szász, *Some theorems on double trigonometric series*, Duke Math. J. vol. 9 (1942) pp. 693-705.
4. F. Riesz, *Über eine Verallgemeinerung der Parsevalschen Formel*, Math. Zeit. vol. 18 (1923) pp. 117-124.
5. O. Szász, *Fourier series and mean moduli of continuity*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 42 (1937) pp. 366-395.
6. G. N. Watson, *Theory of Bessel functions*, 2d ed., 1944.

ANDHRA UNIVERSITY,
WALT AIR, INDIA.
UNIVERSITY OF CINCINNATI,
CINCINNATI, OHIO.