

SOME PROPERTIES OF PONTRYAGIN CLASSES MOD 3

BY
YASURÔ TOMONAGA

Introduction. In this paper we shall study some properties of Pontryagin classes mod 3 in a way similar to that of Massey in the case of Stiefel-Whitney classes [1; 2]. In the case of Pontryagin classes mod q , where q denotes a prime number larger than 2, Hirzebruch has established a relation similar to that of Wu concerning Stiefel-Whitney classes [3;4]. In the case $q = 3$ the relation takes a simple form and plays a basic role in this paper. After the submission of this paper the author learned that H. Roberts had dealt with a similar problem [6].

1. Let q be a prime number larger than 2 and let X_n be a compact orientable differentiable n -manifold. We denote by \mathcal{P}_q^r the Steenrod power [5]

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{P}_q^r : H^i(X_n, Z_q) \rightarrow H^{i+2r(q-1)}(X_n, Z_q).$$

For $n = i + 2r(q-1)$ an element

$$(1.2) \quad s_q^r \in H^{2r(q-1)}(X_n, Z_q)$$

is characterized by the Poincaré duality theorem and the relation

$$(1.3) \quad \mathcal{P}_q^r v = s_q^r v \quad [3;4]$$

for all

$$(1.4) \quad v \in H^{n-2r(q-1)}(X_n, Z_q).$$

Let $\{L_i\}$ be the multiplicative sequence of polynomials corresponding to the power series

$$(1.5) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i z^i = \frac{\sqrt{z}}{\operatorname{tgh} \sqrt{z}}.$$

It is known that

$$(1.6) \quad s_q^r = q^r L_{r(q-1)/2}(p_1, \dots) \bmod q$$

where $p_i \in H^{4i}(X_n, Z)$ denotes the Pontryagin class and we put

Received by the editors April 23, 1963.

$$(1.7) \quad p = \sum_{i \geq 0} p_i = \prod_i (1 + \gamma_i).$$

It is known that the mod q $4j$ -cohomology classes

$$(1.8) \quad b_{q,j} = \sum \mathcal{P}_q^i s_q^r,$$

where the sum is extended over all i, r with

$$(1.9) \quad 2j = i(q-1) + r(q-1),$$

satisfy the relation

$$(1.10) \quad \sum_{j \geq 0} b_{q,j} = \prod_i (1 + \gamma_i^l)$$

where

$$(1.11) \quad l = \frac{1}{2}(q-1) \quad [4].$$

When $q = 3$ (1.10) takes the form

$$(1.12) \quad \sum_{j \geq 0} b_{3,j} = \prod_i (1 + \gamma_i) = \sum_{i \geq 0} p_i \pmod{3}.$$

Hence we have from (1.8), (1.9) and (1.12)

$$(1.13) \quad p_j = \sum_{j=i+r} \mathcal{P}_3^i s_3^r \pmod{3}.$$

Hereafter we shall deal exclusively with the case $q = 3$ and use the following notations:

$$(1.14) \quad \mathcal{P}_3^i = \mathcal{P}^i \quad \text{and} \quad s_3^r = s^r.$$

The following arguments will be confined to Z_3 and we shall not use the symbol mod 3 except in important cases. We define a class $\sum_{j \geq 0} \bar{s}^j$ ($\bar{s}^j \in H^{4j}(X_n, Z_3)$) by

$$(1.15) \quad \sum_{i \geq 0} s^i \sum_{j \geq 0} \bar{s}^j = 1.$$

The dual Pontryagin classes $\sum_{j \geq 0} \bar{p}_j$ ($\bar{p}_j \in H^{4j}(X_n, Z)$) are defined by

$$(1.16) \quad \sum_{i \geq 0} p_i \sum_{j \geq 0} \bar{p}_j = 1.$$

As for the Steenrod powers we shall use the following relations:

$$(1.17) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{(i)} \quad \mathcal{P}^0 = \text{identity} \quad [5], \\ \text{(ii)} \quad \mathcal{P}^r(uv) = \sum_{s=0}^r \mathcal{P}^s u \mathcal{P}^{r-s} v, \\ \text{(iii)} \quad \mathcal{P}^r \mathcal{P}^s = \sum_i (-1)^{r+i} \mathcal{P}^{r+s-i} \mathcal{P}^i \quad (r < 3s), \\ \text{(iv)} \quad \mathcal{P}^j u_k = 0, \quad j > k/2, \quad u_k \in H^k(X_n, Z_3). \end{array} \right.$$

From (1.13), (1.15), (1.16) and (1.17)(i),(ii),(iii) we have

$$(1.18) \quad \bar{p}_j = \sum_{i+r=j; i, r \geq 0} \mathcal{P}^i \bar{s}^r \pmod{3}$$

because

$$(1.19) \quad \begin{aligned} 1 &= \left(\sum_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{P}^i \right) \left\{ \left(\sum_{j \geq 0} s^j \right) \left(\sum_{k \geq 0} \bar{s}^k \right) \right\} = \left(\sum_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{P}^i \right) \sum_{j, k \geq 0} s^j \bar{s}^k \\ &= \sum_{i \geq 0} \sum_{j, k \geq 0} \sum_{q=0}^i \mathcal{P}^{i-q} s^j \mathcal{P}^q \bar{s}^{k-q} = \sum_{t, j \geq 0} \mathcal{P}^t s^j \sum_{q, k \geq 0} \mathcal{P}^q \bar{s}^k = p \sum_{q, k \geq 0} \mathcal{P}^q \bar{s}^k. \end{aligned}$$

2. Let us prove

LEMMA 1. For any $x \in H^{n-4k}(X_n, Z_3)$ ($0 < k < n/4$), it holds that

$$(2.1) \quad x \bar{p}_k = \sum_{r=1}^k (-\mathcal{P}^r x) \bar{p}_{k-r} \pmod{3}.$$

Proof. We have from (1.18)

$$(2.2) \quad \bar{p}_k = \sum_{i+r=k} \mathcal{P}^i \bar{s}^r = \bar{s}^k + \sum_{i=1}^k \mathcal{P}^i \bar{s}^{k-i}.$$

On the other hand we have from (1.15)

$$(2.3) \quad 0 = \bar{s}^k + \sum_{i=1}^k s^i \bar{s}^{k-i}.$$

Hence we have from (2.2) and (2.3)

$$(2.4) \quad \bar{p}_k = \sum_{i=1}^k (\mathcal{P}^i \bar{s}^{k-i} - s^i \bar{s}^{k-i}).$$

We have from (2.4)

$$(2.5) \quad x \bar{p}_k = \sum_{i=1}^k (x \mathcal{P}^i \bar{s}^{k-i} - x s^i \bar{s}^{k-i}).$$

Meanwhile we have from (1.1) and (1.17)(ii)

$$(2.6) \quad x s^i \bar{s}^{k-i} = s^i (x \bar{s}^{k-i}) = \mathcal{P}^i (x \bar{s}^{k-i}) = \sum_{r=0}^i \mathcal{P}^r x \mathcal{P}^{i-r} \bar{s}^{k-i}.$$

Hence we have from (2.5), (2.6) and (1.18)

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} x \bar{p}_k &= \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{r=1}^i (-\mathcal{P}^r x \mathcal{P}^{i-r} \bar{s}^{k-i}) = \sum_{0 < r \leq i \leq k} (-\mathcal{P}^r x) (\mathcal{P}^{i-r} \bar{s}^{k-i}) \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^k \left[(-\mathcal{P}^r x) \sum_{j \geq 0} \mathcal{P}^j \bar{s}^{k-j-r} \right] = \sum_{r=1}^k (-\mathcal{P}^r x) \bar{p}_{k-r}. \quad \text{Q.E.D.} \end{aligned}$$

Applying the formula (2.1) many times we can express $x \bar{p}_k$ as a product of x and a certain sum of iterated Steenrod powers:

$$(2.8) \quad x\bar{p}_k = \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_r = k} \mathcal{P}^{i_1} \dots \mathcal{P}^{i_r} x.$$

3. Suppose that

$$(3.1) \quad \bar{p}_k \neq 0 \pmod{3}, \quad 0 < k < \frac{n}{4}.$$

Then the homomorphism

$$(3.2) \quad x \rightarrow x\bar{p}_k, \quad H^{n-4k}(X_n, Z_3) \rightarrow H^n(X_n, Z_3)$$

is not zero and takes the form (2.8). On the other hand any iterated Steenrod power $\mathcal{P}^{i_1} \dots \mathcal{P}^{i_r}$ can be expressed as a sum of the admissible ones by virtue of (1.17)(iii):

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{P}^I = \mathcal{P}^{i_1} \dots \mathcal{P}^{i_r},$$

$$(3.4) \quad n(I) = i_1 + \dots + i_r = k,$$

$$(3.5) \quad i_1 \geq 3i_2, \quad i_2 \geq 3i_3, \dots, i_{r-1} \geq 3i_r > 0.$$

Hence we have

THEOREM 1. *Let X_n be a compact orientable differentiable n -manifold. If $\bar{p}_k \neq 0 \pmod{3}$ ($0 < k < n/4$), then it holds for some admissible iterated Steenrod power \mathcal{P}^I ($n(I)=k$) and some nonzero $x \in H^{n-4k}(X_n, Z_3)$ that $\mathcal{P}^I x \neq 0 \pmod{3}$.*

We put as follows:

$$(3.6) \quad i_1 = 3i_2 + \alpha_1, \quad i_2 = 3i_3 + \alpha_2, \dots, i_{r-1} = 3i_r + \alpha_{r-1}, \quad i_r = \alpha_r,$$

$$(3.7) \quad e(I) = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_r.$$

Let us prove

LEMMA 2. *For any admissible iterated Steenrod power \mathcal{P}^I we have $\mathcal{P}^I x = 0 \pmod{3}$ provided that $\text{degree } x < 2e(I)$.*

Proof. We have from (3.4), (3.6) and (3.7)

$$(3.8) \quad n(I) = e(I) + 3(i_2 + \dots + i_r).$$

Hence we have

$$(3.9) \quad i_1 - 2i_2 - \dots - 2i_r = e(I) > \frac{1}{2}(\text{degree } x),$$

i.e.,

$$(3.10) \quad 2i_1 - 4i_2 - \dots - 4i_r > \text{degree } x.$$

Therefore we have

$$(3.11) \quad 2i_1 > \text{degree } (\mathcal{P}^{i_2} \dots \mathcal{P}^{i_r} x).$$

Hence we have from (1.17)(iv)

$$(3.12) \quad \mathcal{P}^{i_1} \dots \mathcal{P}^{i_r} x = 0. \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

We put $q = n - 4k$ and assume that \mathcal{P}^I is admissible and

$$(3.13) \quad e(I) \leq \frac{q}{2}, \quad n(I) = k, \quad 1 < q < n.$$

First we consider the case where $e(I) = q/2$. For any $x \in H^q(X_n, \mathbb{Z}_3)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{degree } \mathcal{P}^I x &= 4n(I) + q = 6i_1 - 2e(I) + q \\ (3.14) \quad &= 2(3\alpha_1 + 3^2\alpha_2 + \dots + 3^r\alpha_r) - 2e(I) + q \\ &= 2(3\alpha_1 + 3^2\alpha_2 + \dots + 3^r\alpha_r). \end{aligned}$$

The last bracket of (3.14) consists of powers of 3 whose number is equal to

$$(3.15) \quad \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_r = e(I) = q/2.$$

Hence we have from (3.14)

$$(3.16) \quad n = \text{degree } \mathcal{P}^I x = 2(3^{h_1} + 3^{h_2} + \dots + 3^{h_{q/2}}) \quad (h_1 \geq h_2 \geq \dots \geq h_{q/2} \geq 1)$$

provided that $\mathcal{P}^I x$ is not zero mod 3. Next we consider the case where $2e(I) < q$. We put

$$(3.17) \quad \alpha_0 = q - 1 - 2e(I).$$

In the same way we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3.18) \quad n &= \text{degree } \mathcal{P}^I x = 2(3\alpha_1 + 3^2\alpha_2 + \dots + 3^r\alpha_r) + \alpha_0 + 1 \\ &= \{2(3\alpha_1 + 3^2\alpha_2 + \dots + 3^r\alpha_r) + 3\alpha_0\} - 2\alpha_0 + 1. \end{aligned}$$

The number of powers of 3 in the last bracket of (3.18) is equal to $\alpha_0 + 2e(I) = q - 1$. Hence we have

$$(3.19) \quad n = (3^{h_1} + 3^{h_2} + \dots + 3^{h_{q-1}}) - 2\alpha_0 + 1$$

where $h_1 \geq h_2 \geq \dots \geq h_{q-1} \geq 1$ and $0 \leq \alpha_0 \leq q - 3$.

In the case $q = 1$ it follows from (1.17)(iv) that

$$\mathcal{P}^I x = 0 \pmod{3}$$

for any nonidentity \mathcal{P}^I . Hence we have from Theorem 1

$$\bar{p}_k = 0 \pmod{3}.$$

The same thing holds for the case $n = 4k$ by (1.3) and (2.4). Thus we have

THEOREM 2. *Let X_n be a compact orientable differentiable n -manifold. If $\bar{p}_k \neq 0 \pmod{3}$ and $n > n - 4k > 1$ we have either*

$$n = 2(3^{h_1} + 3^{h_2} + \dots + 3^{h_{q/2}}) \quad (h_1 \geq h_2 \geq \dots \geq h_{q/2} \geq 1, \quad q = n - 4k)$$

or

$$n = 3^{h_1} + 3^{h_2} + \cdots + 3^{h_{q-1}} - 2\alpha_0 + 1 \quad (h_1 \geq h_2 \geq \cdots \geq h_{q-1} \geq 1, \quad q = n - 4k, \\ 0 \leq \alpha_0 \leq q - 3).$$

If $0 \leq n - 4k \leq 1$, then $\bar{p}_k = 0 \pmod{3}$.

4. We can derive various corollaries from Theorems 1 and 2. For example we consider the case where $n = 14$. If $\bar{p}_3 \neq 0 \pmod{3}$ we have from Theorem 2

$$(4.1) \quad 14 = 2 \cdot 3^h, \quad h \geq 1,$$

and this is impossible. The second case of Theorem 2 is also impossible because $q = 2$. Hence we have

$$(4.2) \quad \bar{p}_3 = 0 \pmod{3}.$$

Thus we have

COROLLARY 1. For any compact orientable differentiable 14-manifold X_{14}

$$\bar{p}_3 = 0 \pmod{3}.$$

We can prove the above corollary otherwise. If $\bar{p}_3 \neq 0 \pmod{3}$ we have from Theorem 1

$$\mathcal{P}^I x \neq 0 \pmod{3} \quad (n(I) = 3)$$

for some nonzero $x \in H^2(X_{14}, \mathbb{Z}_3)$ and some admissible \mathcal{P}^I . However, the only possible \mathcal{P}_I is \mathcal{P}^3 and we have

$$\mathcal{P}^3 x = 0 \pmod{3}$$

by (1.17)(iii). Hence we have $\bar{p}_3 = 0 \pmod{3}$. In such a way we see that in most cases $\bar{p}_k = 0 \pmod{3}$ provided that $4k$ is close enough to n .

REFERENCES

1. W. S. Massey, *On the Stiefel-Whitney classes of a manifold*, Amer. J. Math. **82** (1960), 92-102.
2. ———, *On the Stiefel-Whitney classes of a manifold. II*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **13** (1962), 938-942.
3. F. Hirzebruch, *On Steenrod's reduced powers, the index of inertia and the Todd genus*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **39** (1953), 951-956.
4. ———, *Some problems on differentiable and complex manifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2) **60** (1954), 213-236.
5. J. Adem, *The relations on Steenrod powers of cohomology classes*, Algebraic geometry and topology, pp. 191-238, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1957.
6. H. Roberts, *On the Pontryagin classes of a manifold*, Abstract 63T-23, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **10** (1963), 129.

UTSUNOMIYA UNIVERSITY,
UTSUNOMIYA, JAPAN