SYMBOLIC DYNAMICS AND TRANSFORMATIONS OF THE UNIT INTERVAL

BY WILLIAM PARRY

0. Introduction. This paper extends some results from [1] and applies them to certain transformations of the unit interval. §§2-4 are concerned with symbolic dynamics and §§5-6 are concerned with their application to the proof of our main theorem which states sufficient conditions for a piecewise continuous transformation of the unit interval to be conjugate to a (uniformly) piecewise linear transformation.

The main result includes a classical theorem of Poincaré-Denjoy [2] on homeomorphisms of the circle onto itself. It also provides a partial answer to a question of Ulam's [3] concerning the possibility of piecewise linearising continuous transformations of the unit interval. This problem was also mentioned by Stein and Ulam in [4], together with the remark that necessary conditions can be given in terms of the trees of points, but that no meaningful sufficient conditions are known. In the same work a few special examples are examined. Our main theorem also has a bearing on certain transformations discussed by Rényi [5].

In §§2-4 we consider the shift transformation acting on a compact invariant subset of the space of one-way infinite sequences of symbols chosen from a finite set. The shift transformation on such a set is continuous but not necessarily open. If X, T are the compact invariant set and the shift transformation, respectively, we refer to (X, T) as a symbolic dynamical system [6]. For a symbolic dynamical system (X, T) we define a number called the absolute entropy(1) which dominates the entropy of T with respect to each normalised T invariant Borel measure, and show that if T is regionally transitive then there is always one invariant measure with respect to which the entropy of T equals the absolute entropy of T. When T is open, (or equivalently, when (X, T) is an intrinsic Markov chain) this "maximal" measure is unique. A further theorem states that, under certain conditions, there exists a normalised Borel measure with respect to which T acts in a "linear" fashion.

In §§5-6 we apply this latter theorem to certain transformations of the unit interval and obtain our main result, Theorem 5.

Received by the editors October 5, 1965.

⁽¹⁾ For such systems the absolute entropy and the topological entropy of Adler, Konheim and McAndrew [7] can be shown to coincide.

1. **Definitions.** Let $X_0 = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} Z_n$ where $Z_n = Z = (0, 1, \dots, s)$ $(s \ge 1)$. We consider X_0 as a topological space endowed with the compact metric totally disconnected product topology which arises from the discrete topology on Z. Let T_0 denote the shift transformation $T_0x = x'$ where $x = \{Z_n(x)\}, x' = \{Z_n(x')\}, Z_n(x') = Z_{n+1}(x)$. By a symbolic dynamical system we mean a pair (X, T) where X is a compact T_0 invariant $(T_0X = X)$ subset of X_0 and T is the restriction of T_0 to X. We shall be interested in normalised Borel measures defined on the Borel field generated by cylinders of X. A cylinder of X_0 is a set of the form

$$C \equiv \{x \colon Z_i(x) = a_i, \ 0 \le i \le n\} \equiv (a_0, \dots, a_n).$$

A cylinder of X is a set of the form $C \cap X$ where C is a cylinder of X_0 . We assume, as we may, that the cylinders $(i) \cap X$ are not empty for $i = 0, 1, \dots, s$. An important property of the relative topology of X is that cylinders are both open and closed. T is continuous but not necessarily open.

We shall always impose the following condition of regional transitivity on (X,T):

(1.1) For every pair of nonempty cylinders C, D of X there exists an integer n such that

$$T^nC \cap D \neq \emptyset$$
 or equivalently $C \cap T^{-n}D \neq \emptyset$.

Condition (1.1) is satisfied if:

(1.2) For every nonempty cylinder C of X

$$\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} T^n C = X.$$

One can prove that (1.1) and (1.2) are equivalent when T is open. Let X' be the unit interval with or without each end point. Let

$$0 = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_{s+1} = 1$$

 $(s \ge 1)$ and $A'(i) = (a_i, a_{i+1})$ and let T' be a transformation of X' onto itself such that for each $i = 0, 1, \dots, s$, T' is either strictly increasing and continuous on A'(i) or strictly decreasing and continuous on A'(i). Suppose also that T is continuous from the right at 0 if $0 \in X'$, continuous from the left at 1 if $1 \in X'$ and at each a_i , T' is either continuous from the left or continuous from the right. T' is then called a piecewise monotonic transformation of X' onto itself.

A piecewise monotonic transformation T' is called uniformly piecewise linear if there exists $\beta \ge 1$, $\{\alpha_i\}$ such that

$$T'(x) = \alpha_i \pm \beta x'$$
 for $x' \in A'(i)$

and the sign involved is constant for each $i = 0, 1, \dots, s$.

A transformation T' is called strongly transitive if for every nonempty open set U there exists an integer m such that

$$\bigcup_{n=0}^{m} T^{\prime n} U = X^{\prime}.$$

Two transformations S', T' of X' onto itself are said to be conjugate if there exists a homeomorphism ϕ of X' onto itself such that

$$S' = \phi T' \phi^{-1}.$$

- 2. Intrinsic Markov chains. A symbolic dynamical system (X, T) is said to be an intrinsic Markov chain of order r if the following condition is satisfied:
- (2.1) If $(a_0, \dots, a_n) \cap X \neq \emptyset$ $(n \ge r)$ and $(a_{n-r+1} \dots a_n a_{n+1}) \cap X \neq \emptyset$ implies $(a_0 \dots a_{n-r+1} \dots a_n a_{n+1}) \cap X \neq \emptyset$.

Before stating our result concerning intrinsic Markov chains we prove the following.

THEOREM 1. A symbolic dynamical system (X, T) is an intrinsic Markov chain if and only if T is open.

Proof. Suppose (X,T) is an intrinsic Markov chain of order r and let $(a_0,\cdots,a_n)\cap X\neq\varnothing$ where $n\geq r$. It will suffice to show that $T[(a_0\cdots a_n)\cap X]$ = $(a_1\cdots a_n)\cap X$. Obviously $T[(a_0\cdots a_n)\cap X]\subset (a_1\cdots a_n)\cap X$. Suppose $x\in (a_1\cdots a_n)\cap X$; we have to show that there exists a point $y\in (a_0\cdots a_n)\cap X$ with Ty=x, or in other words, that $a_0\cdots a_n\cdots\in X$ if $x=a_1a_2\cdots a_n\cdots$. But $(a_0\cdots a_n)\cap X\neq\varnothing$ and $x\in (a_1\cdots a_{n+1})\cap X\neq\varnothing$. Consequently $T^{n-r}x\in (a_{n-r+1}\cdots a_{n+1})\cap X\neq\varnothing$ and since (X,T) is an intrinsic Markov chain of order r we have $(a_0\cdots a_{n+1})\cap X\neq\varnothing$. Repeating this argument indefinitely we have, for every k, $(a_0\cdots a_k)\cap X\neq\varnothing$ and the point $y=a_0\cdots a_na_{n+1}\cdots\in X$ where $x=a_1,a_2\cdots$.

Suppose the shift transformation T of X onto itself is open. We first show that every point $x = a_0 a_1 \cdots \in X$ belongs to a cylinder $(a_0 \cdots a_n)$ such that;

$$(2.2) T[(a_0 \cdots a_n) \cap X] = (a_1 \cdots a_n) \cap X.$$

Quite generally one can show that

$$T[(a_0 \cdots a_n) \cap X] = (a_1 \cdots a_n) \cap T[(a_0) \cap X].$$

Obviously $Tx \in T[(a_0) \cap X]$ and the latter is open. We have to show that $X \cap (a_1 \cdots a_n) \subset T[(a_0) \cap X]$ for some n. If this were not true then the decreasing sequence of nonempty closed sets $X \cap (a_1 \cdots a_n) - T[(a_0) \cap X]$ would have a nonempty intersection, and this is impossible since the only point in all the sets $X \cap (a_1 \cdots a_n)$ is Tx and this point belongs to $T[(a_0) \cap X]$.

For each point $x \in X$ let S(x) denote the nonempty cylinder $(a_0 \cdots a_n) \cap X$ of smallest length which satisfies (2.2). Since X is compact a finite number of the cynliders S(x) will cover X. If $X \cap (a_0 \cdots a_n) \neq \emptyset$ satisfies (2.2) and

 $X \cap (a_0 \cdots a_{n+k}) \neq \emptyset$ then $X \cap (a_0 \cdots a_{n+k})$ satisfies (2.2). Therefore there exists a smallest integer n such that X can be partitioned into a set \mathcal{P} of nonempty cylinders $X \cap (a_0 \cdots a_n)$ of the same length n each satisfying (2.2). It follows from this that for every $C \in \mathcal{P}$, TC is a disjoint union of sets from \mathcal{P} . This property will ensure that (X, T) is an intrinsic Markov chain of order (n + 1).

3. Maximal measures for intrinsic Markov chains. Let μ be a normalised invariant measure for a symbolic dynamical system (X, T). The entropy of T with respect to μ is defined as

$$h_{\mu}(T) = \lim_{n \to \infty} -\frac{1}{n} \sum \mu(C) \log \mu(C)$$

where the summation is over cylinders of length n. The absolute entropy of T is defined as

$$e(T) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \theta(n)$$

where $\theta(n)$ is the number of nonempty cylinders of X of length n. It is easy to verify that for all normalised invariant measures μ

$$(3.1) h_u(T) \le e(T).$$

THEOREM 2 [1]. If (X,T) is a regionally transitive intrinsic Markov chain of order t then there exists one and only one normalised Borel invariant measure μ such that $e(T) = h_{\mu}(T)$. With respect to μ , (X,T) is a multiple Markov chain of order t and the regional transitivity ensures that t is ergodic with respect to t. Moreover, there exists a normalised measure t equivalent to t and a number t 1 (in fact, t e(t) = log t) such that for all cylinders t of t ergodic with respect to t.

We are interested in extending the above theorem to the case where (X, T) is not intrinsically Markovian, i.e. to the case where T is not open. The theorems which follow only partially solve this problem.

Theorem 3. If (X,T) is a regionally transitive symbolic dynamical system then there exists a normalised Borel invariant measure μ (with respect to which T is ergodic) such that

$$h_{\mu}(T)=e(T).$$

Proof. We omit the proof that T is ergodic with respect to some maximal measure but remark that this can be shown by decomposing a maximal measure into its ergodic parts and using the affinity of $h_{\mu}(T)$ as a function of μ [8, p. 183].

Let $X_n = \{x \in X_0 : (Z_k(x) \cdots Z_{k+n}(x)) \cap X \neq \emptyset \text{ for all } k\}$. We consider the symbolic dynamical systems (X_n, T_n) , where T_n is the restriction of T to X_n . It is easily verified that the sets X_n are compact and T_n invariant. Moreover, by con-

struction, (X_n, T_n) is a regionally transitive intrinsic Markov chain of order n, $X_n \supset X_{n+1}$ and $\bigcap_n X_n = X$.

It is easy to show that $e(T_n) \ge e(T_{n+1}) \ge e(T)$, and in fact that $e(T_n) \to e(T)$. A well-known formula [9] for $h_u(T_n)$ is

$$h_{\mu}(T_n) = H\left(\mathscr{A}_n \middle| \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} T_n^{-i} \mathscr{A}_n\right)$$

where \mathcal{A}_n is the partition $(0) \cap X_n$, $(1) \cap X_n, \dots, (s) \cap X_n$.

Let μ be a limit point of the maximal measures μ_n , defined by Theorem 2 for the systems (X_n, T_n) , on the dual space of the space of continuous functions on X_0 . Then there exists a sequence of integers m(n) such that for all continuous functions f(x) defined on X_0

$$\int f(x) d\mu_{m(n)} \to \int f(x) d\mu,$$

and since characteristic functions of cylinders are continuous we have

$$\mu_{m(n)}(C) \to \mu(C)$$

for all cylinders C of X_0 . It is clear that μ is concentrated on X since $\mu(C)=0$ for cylinders C of X_0 such that $C \cap X = \emptyset$.

Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ and $k = k(\varepsilon)$, n = n(k) so that

$$h_{\mu}(T) \geq H_{\mu}(\mathscr{A} \mid T^{-1}\mathscr{A} \vee \cdots \vee T^{-k}\mathscr{A}) - \varepsilon$$

$$= H_{\mu}(\mathscr{A}_{0} \vee \cdots \vee T_{0}^{-k}\mathscr{A}_{0}) - H_{\mu}(\mathscr{A}_{0} \vee \cdots \vee T_{0}^{(1-k)}\mathscr{A}_{0}) - \varepsilon$$

$$\geq H_{\mu}(\mathscr{A}_{0} \vee \cdots \vee T_{0}^{-k}\mathscr{A}_{0}) - H_{\mu}(\mathscr{A}_{0} \vee \cdots \vee T_{0}^{(1-k)}\mathscr{A}_{0}) - 2\varepsilon.$$

Here we have used the continuity of H as a function of normalised measures and the facts that μ_n , μ are concentrated on X_n , X respectively.

Hence

$$h_{\mu}(T) \geq H_{\mu_{n}}(\mathscr{A}_{0} \mid T_{0}^{-1}\mathscr{A}_{0} \vee \cdots \vee T^{-k}\mathscr{A}_{0}) - 2\varepsilon$$

$$\geq H_{\mu_{n}}(\mathscr{A}_{n} \mid \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} T_{n}^{-i}\mathscr{A}_{n}) - 2\varepsilon$$

$$= h_{\mu_{n}}(T_{n}) - 2\varepsilon$$

$$= e(T_{n}) - 2\varepsilon \geq e(T) - 2\varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary we have $h_{\mu}(T) \ge e(T)$ and the reverse inequality follows from (3.1). For a similar computation cf. [8], [10].

4. Linearisation of (X, T). In this section we replace regional transitivity by the condition (1.2) and give sufficient conditions in order that there exists a normalised Borel measure p on X and a number $\beta \ge 1$ such that $pTC = \beta pC$ for

cylinders C of X. We say that T is open at x if there exists a cylinder $(a_0 \cdots a_n) \cap X$ of X containing x such that $T[(a_0 \cdots a_n) \cap X] = (a_1 \cdots a_n) \cap X$.

THEOREM 4. If (X,T) is a symbolic dynamical system satisfying (1.2) such that T is open at all but a countable number of points, and if in case e(T)=0 none of the exceptional points, where T is not open, are periodic, then there exists a nonatomic normalised Borel measure p which is positive on nonempty cylinders of X and a number $\beta \ge 1$ such that $pTC = \beta pC$ for all nonempty cylinders C of X.

Proof. Let (X_n, T_n) be the systems defined in the proof of Theorem 3, then $X_n \supset X_{n+1}$ and $\bigcap_n X_n = X$. Let p_n, β_n be the measures and numbers defined by Theorem 2 such that

$$p_n T_n C = \beta_n p_n C$$

for cylinders C of X_n . Let $\beta = \lim_{n \to \infty} \beta_n \ge 1$ i.e. $e(T) = \log \beta$. Let p be a limit point of the sequence $\{p_n\}$ in the dual space of the space of continuous functions on X_0 . Again p is concentrated on X. We show that $pTC = \beta pC$ for all cylinders C of X. If T is open at $x = a_0 \cdots a_k \cdots a_l \cdots$ then there exists an integer k such that $T[(a_0 \cdots a_l) \cap X] = (a_1 \cdots a_l) \cap X$ if $l \ge k$. It follows also that $T[(a_0 \cdots a_l) \cap X_n] = (a_1 \cdots a_l) \cap X_n$ for all $n \ge 0$. Choose $l \ge k$, then

$$pT[(a_0 \cdots a_l) \cap X] = p(a_1 \cdots a_l) \cap X = p(a_1 \cdots a_l)$$

$$= \lim_n p_n[(a_1 \cdots a_l) \cap X_n] = \lim_n p_nT[(a_0 \cdots a_l) \cap X_n]$$

$$= \lim_n \beta_n p_n[(a_0 \cdots a_l) \cap X_n] = \beta_n p[(a_0 \cdots a_l) \cap X].$$

If the countable exceptional set where T is not open has p measure zero then the theorem is proved. If this set has positive measure then there exists a point x such that $p\{x\} > 0$. One can show by a similar technique to the above that for any point x, $p\{Tx\} \ge \beta p\{x\}$. Consequently $\beta = 1$ and e(T) = 0 if $p\{x\} > 0$ for some x, and any such point x is periodic. The hypothesis of the theorem implies, therefore, that no atoms exist among the exceptional points. Hence $pTC = \beta pC$ for all cylinders C of X. From this it follows that no atoms whatsoever exist, for otherwise we would contradict (1.2). Finally, should p(C) = 0 for some nonempty cylinder C of X we would have $pT^nC = 0$ for $n = 0, 1, \cdots$ and $p(X) \le \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} pT^nC = 0$.

5. Main theorem. Let T' be a strongly transitive piecewise monotone transformation of the unit interval X' onto itself. Let A'(i) be the open intervals (a_i, a_{i+1}) on which T' is monotone and continuous.

Let N be the smallest T' invariant set containing $S = \{a_i\} \cap X'$. Then

$$N = \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} T'^{-m} \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} T'^{n} S$$

is countable.

For each $x' \in X' - N$ define

$$\phi(x') = x_0, x_1, \cdots$$

where $T'^n(x') \in A'(x_n)$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$. The set $\phi(X' - N)$ of sequences of integers chosen from $Z = (0, 1, \dots, s)$ is a subset of

$$X_0 = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} Z_n$$
 where $Z_n = Z$, $n = 0, 1, \cdots$.

 ϕ is a one-one map of X'-N into X_0 . For otherwise, if x' < y' and $\phi(x') = \phi(y')$ then for each n, $T'^n(x', y') \subset A'(x_n) = A'(y_n)$, since T' is monotone on each A'(i), and consequently $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} T'^n(x', y') \subset \bigcup_{i=0}^{s} A'(i) \neq X'$, which contradicts the strong transitivity of T'.

We consider X_0 as a topological space endowed with the compact metric totally disconnected product topology which arises from the discrete topology on Z. Let X denote the closure of $\phi(X'-N)$ in X_0 .

We say that a finite sequence b_0, b_1, \dots, b_n is allowable if it begins some sequence in $\phi(X'-N)$. It is not difficult to see that X is the set of sequences b_0, b_1, \dots such that for every n, b_0, \dots, b_n , is allowable. We denote by T_0 the shift transformation of X_0 onto itself. Evidently, $T_0\phi(X'-N) = \phi(X'-N)$, $T_0X = X$ and $T_0\phi(x') = \phi T(x)$ for $x' \in X' - N$. Let T denote the restriction of T_0 to X.

LEMMA 1.

- (i) $X \phi(X' N)$ is countable.
- (ii) For every nonempty cylinder C of X there exists an integer m such that

$$\bigcup_{n=0}^{m} T^{n}C = X.$$

(iii) T is open at all points $x \in \phi(X' - N)$ i.e. T is open at all but a countable number of points.

Proof. (i) Suppose $x \in X - \phi(X' - N)$, $x = x_0, x_1, \cdots$ then for each integer m

$$(5.1) I_m = \bigcap_{n=0}^m T'^{-n} A'(x_n) \neq \emptyset$$

and either

(5.2)
$$\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} T'^{-n} A'(x_n) = \emptyset$$

or it contains a single point in N. The latter can happen only a countable number of times. Let M denote the set of sequences x for which (5.1) and (5.2) hold. Each set I_m is an open interval

$$I_m = (a_m, b_m).$$

Since (5.2) holds, there is a least integer m_0 such that either

$$(5.3) a_m = a_{m_0} for m \ge m_0$$

ori

$$(5.4) b_m = b_{m_0} for m \ge m_0.$$

We associate with x the symbol $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{m_0}; +)$ if (5.3) holds and $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{m_0}; -)$ if (5.4) holds. This association is one-one and therefore M is countable.

(ii) Let $C = (b_0, \dots b_n) \cap X \neq \emptyset$ and $U = \bigcap_{k=0}^n T'^{-k} A'(b_k)$. Since T' is strongly transitive, there exists an integer m such that

$$\bigcup_{k=0}^m T'^k U = X'.$$

Therefore

$$\bigcup_{k=0}^{m} T^{k} \phi(U-N) = \bigcup_{k=0}^{m} \phi T'^{k}(U-N) = \phi(X'-N)$$

i.e.

$$\bigcup_{k=0}^{m} T^{k}C = \overline{\phi(X'-N)} = X.$$

(iii) If $x \in \phi(X' - N)$, $x = x_1 x_2 \cdots = \phi(x')$, $x' \in X' - N$ then we can choose m so large that

$$T'A'(x_0) \supset A'(x_1) \cap T'^{-1}A'(x_1) \cap \cdots \cap T'^{-m}A'(x_m)$$

since T'x' is interior to the open set $T'A'(x_0)$.

Therefore

$$T'\left[\bigcap_{n=0}^{m} T'^{-n}A'(x_n)\right] = \bigcap_{n=1}^{m} T'^{-n+1}A'(x_n)$$

and

(5.5)
$$T' \left[\bigcap_{n=0}^{m} T'^{-n} (A'(x_n) - N) \right] = \bigcap_{n=1}^{m} T'^{-n+1} (A'(x_n) - N).$$

If we apply ϕ to each side of (5.5) we get

$$T[(x_0 \cdots x_m) \cap \phi(X' - N)] = (x_1 \cdots x_m) \cap \phi(X' - N)$$

and

$$T[(x_0\cdots x_m)\cap X]=(x_1\cdots x_m)\cap X$$

i.e., T is open at each $x \in \phi(X' - N)$.

THEOREM 5. Let T' be a strongly transitive piecewise monotone transformation of X' onto itself.

If either

- (i) $T'A'(i) \cap T'A'(j) \neq \emptyset$ for some $i \neq j$ or
- (ii) $T'A'(i) \cap T'A'(j) = \emptyset$ for all $i, j = 0, 1, \dots, s$ $(i \neq j)$ and T' has no periodic points then T' is conjugate to a uniformly piecewise linear transformation.

Proof. If (i) is satisfied then T' has at least two inverse images for each point of an open interval. Since a finite number m of iterates of this interval cover X', T'^m has at least two inverse images for all points of X'. As T^m will have the same property it follows easily that

$$me(T) = e(T^m) \ge \log 2$$
.

Consequently, either e(T) > 0 or (ii) is satisfied.

Therefore the conditions of Theorem 4 are satisfied. Let p be the measure defined by Theorem 4. Since p is nonatomic and $X - \phi(X' - N)$ is countable we can regard p as a measure on $\phi(X' - N)$. Let p' be the measure defined on Borel subsets of X' by $p'(E) = p\phi(E - N)$, then p' is a nonatomic measure which is positive on nonempty open subsets of X' and

$$p'T'(E) = \beta p'(E)$$

for each Borel subset E of A'(i), $i = 0, \dots, s$.

Let $\psi(x') = p'[0, x'], x' \in X'$ then ψ is a homeomorphism of X' onto itself and

$$l\psi(E) = p'(E)$$

where l is Lebesgue measure.

Let $S'(x') = \psi T' \psi^{-1}(x')$ then

(5.6)
$$lS'(E) = l\psi T'\psi^{-1}(E) = p'T'\psi^{-1}(E)$$
$$= \beta p'\psi^{-1}(E) \quad (\text{if } \psi^{-1}(E) \subset A'(i))$$
$$= \beta l(E) \quad (\text{if } E \subset \psi A'(i)).$$

Since S' is homeomorphic with T', S' is a piecewise monotone transformation and on each interval $\psi A'(i)$, S' is linear by (5.6). Therefore, there exist α_0, \dots, α such that

$$S'(x') = \alpha_i \pm \beta x', \quad x' \in \psi A'(i)$$

i.c.,

6. Applications.

COROLLARY 1 (POINCARÉ-DENJOY). If T' is a homeomorphism of the circle X' onto itself such that for each open set

(6.1)
$$\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} T^{\prime n} U = X^{\prime}$$

then T' is conjugate to a translation of X'.

Proof. The circle is homeomorphic to the unit interval [0,1) with end points identified. The compactness of the circle and the absence of periodic points (which follows from (6.1)), implies the conditions of Theorem 5.

Let X' = [0,1) and let f be a strictly increasing continuous function with domain [0,t) (t > 1) and range X' and let

(6.2)
$$T'(x') = (f^{-1}x)$$

where (y) = y - [y] is the fractional part of y. These transformations were discussed by Rényi [5], and the uniformly piecewise linear transformations S' of this type arise from functions f of the form

$$f(x') = \frac{x'}{\beta}, \ \beta > 1 \ (0 \le x' < \beta),$$

$$(6.3)$$

$$S'(x') = (\beta x').$$

COROLLARY 2. If T' is a strongly transitive transformation of type (6.2) (for example if f is differentiable and 0 < f'(x) < 1, [5]) then T' is conjugate to a transformation of type (6.3).

Proof. Condition (i) of Theorem 5 is satisfied. Rényi has proved the existence of a normalised measure equivalent to Lebesgue measure which is preserved by the transformation (6.3). In [11] and [12] its explicit form is given. It follows, therefore, that strongly transitive transformations of type (6.2) preserve a continuous measure which is positive on open sets.

COROLLARY 3. If T' is a strongly transitive continuous transformation of the unit interval (with or without each end point) with only a finite number of maxima, and minima, then T is conjugate to a continuous uniformly piecewise linear transformation.

Proof. For T' to be strongly transitive it is necessary that there be at least one turning point. Consequently, condition (i) of Theorem 5 is satisfied.

This corollary answers, partially, a question of Ulam's [3], who states that it might be of importance in the study of iterations of functions of a real variable.

REFERENCES

- 1. W. Parry, Intrinsic Markov chains, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 112 (1964), 55-66.
- 2. E. R. Van Kampen, The topological transformations of a simple closed curve onto itself, Amer. J. Math. 57 (1935), 142-152.
 - 3. S. M. Ulam, Problems in modern mathematics, Wiley, New York, 1964.
- 4. P. R. Stein and S. M. Ulam, Non-linear transformation studies on electronic computers, Rozprawy Mat. 39 (1964).
- 5. A. Rényi, Representations for real numbers and their ergodic properties, Acta. Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 8 (1957), 477-493.
- 6. W. H. Gottschalk and G. A. Hedlund, *Topological dynamics*, Amer. Math. Soc. Coll. of Publ. Vol. 36, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1955.
- 7. R. L. Adler, A. G. Konheim and M. H. McAndrew, *Topological entropy*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 114 (1965), 309-319.
- 8. K. Jacobs, Ergodic decomposition of the Kolmogoroff-Sinai invariant, Proc. Internat. Sympos. Ergodic Theory, Academic Press, New York, 1963, pp. 173-190.
- 9. A. I. Khinchin, Mathematical foundations of information theory, Dover, New York, 1957.
- 10. L. Breiman, On achieving channel capacity in finite memory channels, Illinois J. Math. 4 (1960), 246-252.
- 11. A. O. Gelfond, On a general property of number systems, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR 23 (1959), 809-814. (Russian)
- 12. W. Parry, On the β -expansions of real numbers, Acta. Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 11 (1960), 401-416.

University of Sussex, Falmer, Brighton, England