

HARMONIC FUNCTIONS ON HERMITIAN HYPERBOLIC SPACE

BY
ADAM KORÁNYI⁽¹⁾

Let \mathcal{D} denote the complex unit ball

$$\mathcal{D} = \{z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \mid |z| < 1\}$$

where $|z|$ is defined by $|z|^2 = \sum |z_k|^2$. The Laplace-Beltrami operator for the Bergman metric of \mathcal{D} is given [4, p. 117] by

$$\Delta = (1 - |z|^2) \left(\sum_k \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \bar{z}_k \partial z_k} - \sum_{k,l} \bar{z}_k z_l \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \bar{z}_k \partial z_l} \right).$$

We say that a function F is harmonic in \mathcal{D} if $\Delta F = 0$, and we define the classes $\mathcal{H}^p(\mathcal{D})$ of harmonic functions in analogy with the classes $H^p(\mathcal{D})$ of holomorphic functions. The Poisson kernel corresponding to Δ is explicitly known [4]; since \mathcal{D} is a symmetric space of rank one, $\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathcal{D})$ is exactly the set of all Poisson integrals of $L^\infty(\mathcal{B})$, \mathcal{B} denoting the boundary of \mathcal{D} [3]. As we show by an easy reduction to the case of $p = \infty$, the same statement is true for every $p \geq 1$ (with a slight modification if $p = 1$).

Our main concern is the generalization of the classical Fatou theorem, and of its local version due to Privalov and Calderón ([1], [7]). For this purpose we define the notion of admissible convergence in §3. We show that in the case of $n = 1$ admissible convergence coincides with nontangential convergence, while for $n > 1$ it is stronger. It is a notion invariant under the group of holomorphic automorphisms of \mathcal{D} ; nontangential convergence in the case $n > 1$ is not. We prove Fatou's theorem for admissible convergence by some explicit estimates on the Poisson kernel and by using an extension of the Hardy-Littlewood Maximal Theorem due to Edwards and Hewitt [2]. It is perhaps worth mentioning that this is a new result even for holomorphic functions since previous investigations, being based on the euclidean Poisson integral, yielded only radial or nontangential convergence [1], [6].

The generalized Cayley transformation carries \mathcal{D} onto a generalized halfplane D . In analogy with [5] one can again define the spaces of harmonic functions $\mathcal{H}^p(D)$. The results described above all have their analogues in this situation, and the proofs are parallel to those for \mathcal{D} . It should be noted, however, that these

Received by the editors May 29, 1967.

⁽¹⁾ Partially supported by NSF GP 6155.

results are in general not equivalent since the Cayley transform does not carry $\mathcal{H}^p(\mathcal{D})$ onto $\mathcal{H}^p(D)$, except in the case of $p = \infty$. The local Fatou theorem, which involves only the case $p = \infty$, will be proved for the case of D , this case being easier to handle. Finally it will be indicated how all these results extend to products of domains of the type \mathcal{D} or D .

I would like to express my thanks to E. M. Stein who, on my request, proved the version of the Maximal Theorem used in §4 at a time before either he or I became aware of the article of Edwards and Hewitt [2].

1. Just as in [5] we denote by μ the normalized rotation-invariant measure on \mathcal{B} (it is a constant multiple of the measure induced by the euclidean structure of \mathbb{C}^n). We denote by $L^p(\mathcal{B})$ the usual L^p -space with respect to μ of complex-valued functions on \mathcal{B} . For a complex-valued function F on \mathcal{D} and $0 < r < 1$ we write $F_r(z) = F(rz)$. We define

$$\mathcal{H}^p(\mathcal{D}) = \left\{ F: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \Delta F = 0, \sup_{0 < r < 1} \|F_r\|_{L^p(\mathcal{B})} < \infty \right\}.$$

It is known [4], [5] that the Szegő and Poisson kernels of \mathcal{D} are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_w(z) = \mathcal{S}(z, w) &= \frac{1}{(1 - z \cdot \bar{w})^n} \quad (z, w \in \mathcal{D}), \\ \mathcal{P}_z(u) = \mathcal{P}(u, z) &= \frac{|\mathcal{S}(u, z)|^2}{\mathcal{S}(z, z)} = \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^n}{|1 - z \cdot \bar{u}|^{2n}} \quad (z \in \mathcal{D}, u \in \mathcal{B}), \end{aligned}$$

where $z \cdot \bar{w}$ denotes $\sum z_k \bar{w}_k$.

THEOREM 1. (i) F is the Poisson integral of a function $f \in L^p(\mathcal{B})$ ($1 < p \leq \infty$) if and only if $F \in \mathcal{H}^p(\mathcal{D})$.

(ii) F is the Poisson integral of a Baire measure on \mathcal{B} if and only if $F \in \mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{D})$.

(iii) F is the Poisson integral of a function $f \in L^1(\mathcal{B})$ if and only if $F \in \mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{D})$ and the family $\{F_r \mid 0 < r < 1\}$ is uniformly integrable.

Proof. The “only if” parts are immediate since the Poisson integral lifts as a convolution to the group $K = U(n)$ of holomorphic automorphisms fixing 0 (Theorem 4.7 in [5] and subsequent remarks).

The “if” part for $p = \infty$ is a consequence of Furstenberg’s main theorem [3]. If $p < \infty$, let $\{\alpha_n\}$ be an approximate identity on the group K , i.e. a sequence of nonnegative C^∞ -functions of compact support each having integral 1 and converging to the delta measure based at the identity element of K . Defining $F_n(z) = \int_K \alpha_n(g) F(g^{-1}z) dg$, F_n is harmonic by the group-invariance of Δ . For $0 < r < 1$ we have $F_{n,r} = \alpha_n * F_r$. Hence, q denoting the conjugate exponent to p ,

$$(1) \|F_{n,r}\|_\infty \leq \|\alpha_n\|_q \|F_r\|_p \leq M \|\alpha_n\|_q,$$

$$(2) \|F_{n,r}\|_p \leq \|\alpha_n\|_1 \|F_r\|_p \leq M.$$

By (1), F_n is the Poisson integral of some $f_n \in L^\infty(\mathcal{B})$. By (2) we have $\|f_n\|_p \leq M$. It follows that the family $\{f_n\}$ is weakly compact in $L^p(\mathcal{B})$ in the case (i), in the

space of Baire measures in case (ii) and in $L^1(\mathcal{B})$ in case (iii). Clearly $\lim F_n(z) = F(z)$ for every fixed z , and the theorem follows.

2. For $0 < \rho \leq 1$ we define

$$\mathcal{B}_\rho = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{B} \mid |\arg u_1| < \pi\rho, \sum_2^n |u_k|^2 < \rho \right\}.$$

We have $\mu(\mathcal{B}_\rho) = \rho^n$. For $\rho > 1$ we define $\mathcal{B}_\rho = \mathcal{B}_1$. We denote $e = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$. For a function f defined on \mathcal{B} we define the maximal function f^* by

$$f^*(e) = \sup_{\rho > 0} \frac{1}{\mu(\mathcal{B}_\rho)} \int_{\mathcal{B}_\rho} |f| d\mu$$

and by $f^*(ke) = (f \circ k)^*(e)$ for $k \in K$. It is easy to see that f^* is well defined on \mathcal{B} . Now define $K_\rho = \{k \in K \mid ke \in \mathcal{B}_\rho\}$. Then

$$K_\rho = \left\{ k = (u_{ij}) \mid |\arg u_{11}| < \pi\rho, \sum_2^n |u_{i1}|^2 < \rho \right\}.$$

It is immediate that (i) the Haar measure of K_ρ ($\rho \leq 1$) is ρ^n , (ii) $\rho < \rho'$ implies $K_\rho \subset K_{\rho'}$, (iii) $(K_\rho)^{-1} = K_\rho$, (iv) there exists a number m such that $K_\rho \mathcal{B}_\rho \subset \mathcal{B}_{m\rho}$. (For (iv) one can show e.g. by a simple computation that $K_\rho \mathcal{B}_\rho \subset \mathcal{B}_{6\rho}$ for ρ sufficiently small, and then infer the existence of m by compactness.)

From these facts, making only some trivial modifications in the arguments of [2, §2] one can prove the following version of the Maximal Theorem.

THEOREM 2. (i) For every $p > 1$ there exists a constant C_p such that $\|f^*\|_p \leq C_p \|f\|_p$ for all $f \in L^p(\mathcal{B})$.

(ii) There exists a constant C such that, for all $s > 0$ and all $f \in L^1(\mathcal{B})$,

$$\mu\{u \in \mathcal{B} \mid f^*(u) > s\} \leq C \frac{\|f\|_1}{s}.$$

3. For every $0 < \alpha < \infty$ we define the admissible domain at $u \in \mathcal{B}$,

$$\mathcal{A}_\alpha(u) = \left\{ z \in \mathcal{D} \mid \left| \frac{\mathcal{S}(u, z)}{\mathcal{P}(u, z)} \right| < \left(\frac{1+\alpha}{2} \right)^n \right\}.$$

For a function F on \mathcal{D} and a function f on \mathcal{B} we say that F converges to f admissibly (a.e.) if, for every $\alpha > 0$,

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow u; z \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha(u)} F(z) = f(u)$$

for (almost) all $u \in \mathcal{B}$.

THEOREM 3. Admissible convergence is invariant under the group G of holomorphic automorphisms of \mathcal{D} .

Proof. Let $g \in G$. By [5, (3.4)],

$$\frac{|\mathcal{P}(gz, gu)|}{\mathcal{P}(gz, gu)} = \frac{|\mathcal{P}(z, u)|}{\mathcal{P}(z, u)} \cdot \frac{|A_g(u)|}{|A_g(z)|}$$

where A_g is a nonvanishing holomorphic function on the closure of \mathcal{D} . By compactness, $|A_g(u)| \cdot |A_g(z)|^{-1}$ is between positive bounds, and the theorem follows.

In order to get a more geometrical description of admissible convergence we define, for $0 < \alpha < \infty$,

$$\Gamma'_\alpha(e) = \left\{ z \in \mathcal{D} \mid \frac{z}{|z|} \in \mathcal{B}_{\alpha(1-|z|)} \right\}$$

and $\Gamma'_\alpha(ke) = k\Gamma'_\alpha(e)$ for $k \in K$. The following lemma then shows that in the definition of admissible convergence we can use $\Gamma'_\alpha(u)$ instead of $\mathcal{A}_\alpha(u)$.

LEMMA 1. *There exist constants a, b, c, d such that $\mathcal{A}_\alpha(u) \subset \Gamma'_{a\alpha+b}(u)$ and $\Gamma'_\alpha(u) \subset \mathcal{A}_{c\alpha+d}(u)$ for all $0 < \alpha < \infty$ and all $u \in \mathcal{B}$.*

For the proof one notices that $\mathcal{A}_\alpha(ke) = k\mathcal{A}_\alpha(e)$ ($k \in K$), and so it is enough to consider the case $u=e$. This case can be settled by a rather simple straightforward computation.

Next, we make the estimates necessary for Fatou's theorem.

LEMMA 2. *There exists a constant C such that, for $0 < r < 1$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{re}(u) &\leq C/(1-r)^n & (u \in \mathcal{B}), \\ &\leq C(1-r)^n/\rho^{2n} & (u \in \mathcal{B} - \mathcal{B}_\rho). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is a simple computation based on the explicit expression

$$\mathcal{P}_{re}(u) = \frac{(1-r^2)^n}{|1-ru_1|^{2n}}.$$

LEMMA 3. *For every $0 < \alpha < \infty$ there exists a constant C_α such that, denoting by F the Poisson integral of f , $|F(z)| \leq C_\alpha f^*(u_0)$ for all $f \in L^p(\mathcal{B})$ ($p \geq 1$), $u_0 \in \mathcal{B}$, $z \in \Gamma'_\alpha(u_0)$.*

Proof. By K -invariance it suffices to consider the case $u_0=e$. Let $z \in \Gamma'_\alpha(e)$. Then, writing $|z|=r$, we have $z=rke$ with some $k \in K_{\alpha(1-r)}$. By an obvious property of \mathcal{P} , $\mathcal{P}_z(u) = \mathcal{P}_{rke}(u) = \mathcal{P}_{re}(k^{-1}u)$. By remark (iv) in §2, $\rho \geq \alpha(1-r)$ and $u \in \mathcal{B} - \mathcal{B}_{m\rho}$ now imply $k^{-1}u \in \mathcal{B} - \mathcal{B}_\rho$.

Let $\delta = m\alpha(1-r)$. We write $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_\delta \cup (\mathcal{B}_{2\delta} - \mathcal{B}_\delta) \cup \dots$. By the observation just made, $u \in \mathcal{B}_{2^j+1\delta} - \mathcal{B}_{2^j\delta}$ implies $k^{-1}u \in \mathcal{B} - \mathcal{B}_{2^j\alpha(1-r)}$; so Lemma 2 gives

$$\begin{aligned} |F(z)| &= \left| \int_{\mathcal{B}} f \mathcal{P}_z d\mu \right| \leq \frac{C}{(1-r)^n} \int_{\mathcal{B}_\delta} |f| d\mu \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} C \frac{(1-r)^n}{(2^j\alpha(1-r))^{2n}} \int_{\mathcal{B}_{2^j+1\delta} - \mathcal{B}_{2^j\delta}} |f| d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

This is further increased by taking each integral in the sum over all of $\mathcal{B}_{2^{j+1}}$. The definition of f^* now shows that

$$|F(z)| \leq C m^n \alpha^n f^*(e) + \left(C \frac{m^n}{\alpha^n} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n(j-1)}} \right) f^*(e) = C_\alpha f^*(e).$$

The generalization of Fatou's theorem follows from Lemma 3 by a standard argument [7, Chapter XVII]:

THEOREM 4. *Let $f \in L^p(\mathcal{B})$ ($p \geq 1$) and let F be its Poisson integral. Then F converges to f admissibly almost everywhere.*

4. The generalized Cayley transform

$$z_1 \rightarrow i \frac{1+z_1}{1-z_1}, \quad z_k \rightarrow i \frac{z_k}{1-z_1} \quad (k \geq 2)$$

carries \mathcal{D} onto

$$D = \left\{ z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \mid h(z) = \operatorname{Im} z_1 - \sum_{k=2}^n |z_k|^2 > 0 \right\}.$$

The operator Δ is transformed into

$$h(z) \left[4(\operatorname{Im} z_1) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_1 \partial \bar{z}_1} + \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_k \partial \bar{z}_k} + 2i \sum_{k=2}^n \bar{z}_k \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_1 \partial \bar{z}_k} - 2i \sum_{k=2}^n z_k \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_k \partial \bar{z}_1} \right].$$

We denote the boundary of D in \mathbb{C}^n by B . As in [5] we have the measure β on B defined by

$$\int_B f(u) d\beta(u) = \int f \left(\operatorname{Re} u_1 + i \sum_{k=2}^n |u_k|^2, u_2, \dots, u_n \right) \\ \cdot d(\operatorname{Re} u_1) d(\operatorname{Re} u_2) d(\operatorname{Im} u_2) \cdots d(\operatorname{Im} u_n).$$

$L^p(B)$ is defined with the aid of β . For a function F on D and $t > 0$, $F_t(z) = F(z_1 + it, z_2, \dots, z_n)$. We define

$$\mathcal{H}^p(D) = \left\{ F: D \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \Delta_D F = 0, \sup_{t>0} \|F_t\|_{L^p(B)} < \infty \right\}.$$

With $\rho(z, w) = i(\bar{w}_1 - z_1) - 2 \sum_{k=2}^n z_k \bar{w}_k$, we have $\rho(z, z) = 2h(z)$, and [5, Proposition 5.3]

$$S(z, w) = \frac{\Gamma(n)}{2\pi^n} \cdot \frac{1}{\rho(z, w)^n}, \\ P(u, z) = \frac{|S(u, z)|^2}{S(z, z)} = \frac{\Gamma(n)}{2\pi^n} \cdot \frac{\rho(z, z)^n}{|\rho(u, z)|^{2n}}$$

for the Szegő and Poisson kernel of D . We say that F is the Poisson integral of a function f on B if $F(z) = \int f(u) P(u, z) d\beta(u)$.

By a repetition of the arguments used to prove Theorem 1 we can now prove the following.

THEOREM 5. (i) F is the Poisson integral of a function $f \in L^p(B)$ ($1 < p \leq \infty$) if and only if $F \in \mathcal{H}^p(D)$.

(ii) F is the Poisson integral of a finite Baire measure on B if and only if $F \in \mathcal{H}^1(D)$.

(iii) F is the Poisson integral of a function $f \in L^1(B)$ if and only if $F \in \mathcal{H}^1(D)$ and the family $\{F_t \mid t > 0\}$ is uniformly integrable with respect to β .

This result, together with the formulas of [5, §4] shows that the inverse Cayley transform carries $\mathcal{H}^p(D)$ into $\mathcal{H}^p(\mathcal{D})$, but not onto, unless $p = \infty$.

To generalize the Maximal Theorem, instead of K we consider the group N of elements $(a, c) = (a, c_2, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{C}^{n-1}$ acting on D by the holomorphic automorphisms

$$(a, c) : z_1 \mapsto z_1 + a + 2i \sum_{k=2}^n z_k \bar{c}_k + i \sum_{k=2}^n |c_k|^2,$$

$$: z_k \mapsto z_k + c_k \quad (k \geq 2).$$

N leaves $\rho(z, w)$, hence also $h(z)$, $S(z, w)$ and $P(u, z)$ invariant. We define

$$|(a, c)| = \text{Max} \left\{ |a|, \sum_{k=2}^n |c_k|^2 \right\}.$$

For $u \in B$ we define

$$\|u\| = \text{Max} \left\{ |\text{Re } u_1|, \sum_{k=2}^n |u_k|^2 \right\},$$

and for $\rho > 0$ we define $B_\rho = \{u \in B \mid \|u\| < \rho\}$, $N_\rho = \{g \in N \mid |g| < \rho\}$. Clearly we have $N_\rho = \{g \in N \mid g \cdot 0 \in B_\rho\}$. If $g = (a, c)$, then $g^{-1} = (-a, -c)$; this shows that $N_\rho^{-1} = N_\rho$. Given two elements, $g = (a, c)$, $g' = (a', c')$ one checks by an easy computation that

$$gg' = \left(a + a' - 2 \text{Im} \sum_{k=2}^n c'_k \bar{c}_k, c + c' \right).$$

From this it follows that $|gg'| \leq 2(|g| + |g'|)$, and this inequality implies that $N_\rho B_\rho \subset N_{4\rho}$ for all $\rho > 0$.

We define, for $f \in L^p(B)$ ($p \geq 1$)

$$f^*(0) = \sup_{\rho > 0} \frac{1}{\beta(B_\rho)} \int_{B_\rho} |f| d\beta$$

and $f^*(g \cdot 0) = (f \circ g)^*(0)$ ($g \in N$). The Maximal Theorem can now be proved for f^* by the methods of [2].

For $\alpha > 0$ we define the admissible domain at $u \in B$ by

$$A_\alpha(u) = \left\{ z \in D \mid \frac{|S(u, z)|}{P(u, z)} < \left(\frac{1 + \alpha}{2} \right)^n \right\},$$

and we have a corresponding notion of admissible convergence.

THEOREM 6. *Admissible convergence on D is a notion invariant under the group of those holomorphic automorphisms of D which have a continuous extension to B .*

Proof. The group in question is isomorphic under the Cayley transform with the subgroup of G fixing the point e on the boundary of \mathcal{D} . It is known (and easy to check) that it is generated by N and by the maps $z_1 \mapsto sz_1$, $z_k \mapsto s^{1/2}z_k$ ($k=2, \dots, n$), $s>0$. One sees that for every fixed α these mappings only permute the corresponding domains $A_\alpha(u)$, whence the assertion follows.

The analogues of the domains $\Gamma'_\alpha(u)$ are defined by

$$\Gamma_\alpha(0) = \{z \in D \mid \|z - ih(z)e\| < \alpha h(z)\}$$

and $\Gamma_\alpha(g \cdot 0) = g\Gamma_\alpha(0)$. Equivalently one can write

$$\Gamma_\alpha(g \cdot 0) = \{g' \cdot (ite) \mid |g^{-1}g'| < \alpha t\}.$$

A straightforward computation now gives

LEMMA 4. For every $\alpha > 0$, $u \in B$ we have $A_\alpha(u) \subset \Gamma_{\alpha+1}(u)$ and $\Gamma_\alpha(u) \subset A_{2\alpha}(u)$.

This shows that admissible convergence can again be equivalently redefined by using the $\Gamma_\alpha(u)$ instead of the $A_\alpha(u)$.

The basic estimate on the Poisson kernel is now

$$\begin{aligned} P(u, ite) &\leq c/t^n & (u \in B), \\ &\leq ct^n/\rho^{2n} & (\|u\| \geq \rho), \end{aligned}$$

as one sees immediately from the explicit formula

$$P(u, ite) = c \frac{t^n}{((\operatorname{Re} u_1)^2 + (t + \sum_{k=2}^n |u_k|^2)^2)^n}.$$

Proceeding from here in the same way as in the case of \mathcal{D} we obtain the following version of Fatou's theorem.

THEOREM 7. Let $f \in L^p(B)$ ($p \geq 1$) and let F be its Poisson integral. Then F converges to f admissibly almost everywhere.

In concluding this section let us note that, although we defined the notions of admissible convergence in \mathcal{D} and D independently, they can easily be tied up with each other. In fact one can check that, at least in a neighborhood of 0, there is a relation of the type of Lemmas 1 and 4 between $A_\alpha(0)$ and the Cayley transform of $\mathcal{A}_\alpha(-e)$.

5. In this section we follow closely the argument of Calderón [1], [7]. For $\alpha > 0$ and $h > 0$ we define the truncated domains

$$\Gamma_\alpha^h(g \cdot 0) = \{g' \cdot ite \mid 0 < t < h, |g^{-1}g'| < \alpha t\}.$$

LEMMA 5. Let $E \subset B$ and let u_0 be a point of density of E with respect to the family of sets $\{gB_\rho \mid g \in N, \rho > 0\}$. Then, given any $\alpha > 0$, $h > 0$, $\alpha_0 > 0$, there exists $h_0 > 0$ such that

$$\Gamma_{\alpha_0}^{h_0}(u_0) \subset \bigcup_{u \in E} \Gamma_\alpha^h(u).$$

Proof. We write $u_0 = g_0 \cdot 0$ ($g_0 \in N$). For $u_1 = g_1 \cdot 0 \in B$ we define

$$D_{g_1} = g_1 B_{\alpha_0^{-1} \alpha |g_0^{-1} g_1|}.$$

Now, for any $g \cdot 0$ ($g \in N$), $g \cdot 0 \in D_{g_1}$ implies

$$|g_0^{-1} g| = |(g_0^{-1} g_1)(g_1^{-1} g)| \leq 2(|g_0^{-1} g_1| + |g_1^{-1} g|) < 2\left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_0}\right) |g_0^{-1} g_1|.$$

This shows that the set $D' = g_0 B_{2(1 + \alpha_0^{-1} \alpha) |g_0^{-1} g_1|}$ contains D_{g_1} . We have

$$\beta(D_{g_1})/\beta(D') = \alpha^n/2^n(\alpha_0 + \alpha)^n.$$

Since u_0 is a point of density, it follows that there exists $c > 0$ such that $|g_0^{-1} g_1| < c$ implies $D_{g_1} \cap E \neq \emptyset$.

We show that for any $h_0 > 0$ such that $h_0 < h$, c/α_0 has the required property. Let $z \in \Gamma_{\alpha_0}^{h_0}(u_0)$. Then $z = g_1 \cdot it_1 e$ with $0 < t_1 < h$, $|g_0^{-1} g_1| < \alpha_0 t_1$. By the choice of h_0 , $|g_0^{-1} g_1| < c$, hence $D_{g_1} \cap E \neq \emptyset$. Let $u = g \cdot 0 \in D_{g_1} \cap E$. Then

$$|g^{-1} g_1| = |g_1^{-1} g| < (\alpha/\alpha_0) |g_0^{-1} g_1| < \alpha t_1,$$

i.e., $z \in \Gamma_{\alpha}^h(u)$, finishing the proof.

THEOREM 8. *Let F be a harmonic function on D . Let $E \subset B$ be measurable and suppose that for every $u \in E$ there exist $\alpha > 0$, $h > 0$ such that F is bounded in $\Gamma_{\alpha}^h(u)$. Then, at almost every point of E , F converges admissibly to a finite boundary value.*

Proof. First we note that it may be assumed that α and h are the same for each $u \in E$, that $|F| \leq M$ uniformly in every $\Gamma_{\alpha}^h(u)$, and that E is bounded and closed. In fact, defining

$$E_{jkl} = \{u \in E \mid |F| \leq j \text{ in } \Gamma_{1/l}^{1/k}(u)\},$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists Ω such that, writing $E_1 = \bigcup_{j,k,l \leq \Omega} E_{jkl}$, $\beta(E - E_1) < \varepsilon$. Since F is continuous, the closure of E_1 has the same property as E_1 , and our statement follows.

Now let $\Gamma = \bigcup_{u \in E} \Gamma_{\alpha}^h(u)$. We will show that $F = p + r$ where $p \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty}(D)$ (so it converges admissibly a.e. on B), and $|r|$ is majorized in Γ by a positive harmonic function v which converges admissibly to 0 a.e. on E . Lemma 5 will then imply that r also converges admissibly to 0 a.e. on E .

For this purpose we define the functions f_n on B by

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(u) &= F_{1/n}(u) && \text{if } u + i(e/n) \in \Gamma \\ &= 0 && \text{otherwise} \end{aligned}$$

and let p_n be the Poisson integral of f_n . $|p_n| \leq M$ for each n ; by weak compactness a subsequence of $\{p_n\}$ converges to some function $p \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty}(D)$.

Writing $r_n = F_{1/n} - p_n$, the subsequence of $\{r_n\}$ corresponding to the above converges to a harmonic function r such that $F = p + r$. Note that each r_n is continuous on $\bar{\Gamma}$, 0 on E , and $|r_n| \leq 2M$ on Γ .

Define v by $v(z) = (2M/h)h(z) + Cw(z)$, where w is the Poisson integral of E' (the complement of E in B), and C is a constant to be determined later. It is easy to see that $h(z)$, and hence v , is harmonic. To show $|r| \leq v$ in Γ it is enough to show $|r_n| \leq v$ for each n . By the maximum principle it is enough to show this on $\partial\Gamma$, the boundary of Γ .

So let $z \in \partial\Gamma$. We distinguish three cases. (i) If $h(z) = 0$, i.e. $z \in E$, then $r_n(z) = 0$, and $|r_n(z)| \leq v(z)$ is trivially true. (ii) If $h(z) = h$, then by $|r_n(z)| \leq 2M$ and $w(z) \geq 0$, $|r_n(z)| \leq v(z)$ for any choice of $C \geq 0$. (iii) If $0 < h(z) < h$, then $z \notin \Gamma_\alpha^h(u)$ for all $u \in E$, by definition of Γ . Writing $z = g' \cdot ite$, this means that $|g^{-1}g'| \geq \alpha t$ for all $g \in N$ such that $g \cdot 0 \in E$, i.e. $g' B_{\alpha t} \subset E'$. By some obvious changes of variables we have now

$$\begin{aligned} w(z) &= \int_{E'} P(u, z) d\beta(u) \geq \int_{g' B_{\alpha t}} P(u, z) d\beta(u) \\ &= \int_{B_{\alpha t}} P(u, ite) d\beta(u) = \int_{B_\alpha} P(u, ie) d\beta(u) = C_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, choosing $C = 2M/C_\alpha$, we again have $|r_n(z)| \leq v(z)$, and the proof is finished.

6. For $j = 1, \dots, k$ let \mathcal{D}_j be a complex ball in some \mathbb{C}^{n_j} , and let $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{D}_k$. It is well known that the Szegő kernel of \mathcal{D} is $\mathcal{S}(z, w) = \prod \mathcal{S}_j(z^j, w^j)$, and a similar relation holds for the Poisson kernel. Noticing that, by the formulas of §1, $|\mathcal{S}_j(u^j, z_j)| \cdot \mathcal{P}_j(u^j, z^j)^{-1}$ has a positive lower bound, it follows that $|\mathcal{S}(u, z)| \cdot \mathcal{P}(u, z)^{-1}$ is bounded from above if and only if $|\mathcal{S}_j(u^j, z^j)| \cdot \mathcal{P}_j(u^j, z^j)^{-1}$ is bounded from above for each j . Therefore it is reasonable to define (unrestricted) admissible convergence for \mathcal{D} in formally the same way as in §3.

Restricted admissible convergence is defined by adding the condition that as $z = (z^1, \dots, z^k) \rightarrow u$, besides z staying in an admissible domain, $1 - |z^r| < M(1 - |z^s|)$ should be satisfied for some M and all $r, s = 1, \dots, k$. With these definitions, by the argument of [7, Chapter XVII] we obtain the following.

THEOREM 9. *If $f \in L^p(\mathcal{B}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{B}_k)$ ($p > 1$) and F is its Poisson integral, then F converges to f admissibly a.e. If $f \in L^1(\mathcal{B}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{B}_k)$, then F converges to f admissibly and restrictedly a.e.*

Of course, a similar theorem is true for products of domains of type D , or even for a mixture of the two types.

Our proof of Theorem 8 can also be extended to the case of products of domains D . The additional arguments one has to make are exactly the same as in the case of products of halfplanes and are explicitly pointed out in [7, Chapter XVII].

REFERENCES

1. A. P. Calderón, *On the behaviour of harmonic functions at the boundary*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **68** (1950), 47-54.
2. R. E. Edwards and E. Hewitt, *Pointwise limits of convolution operators*, Acta Math. **113** (1965), 181-218.

3. H. Furstenberg, *A Poisson formula for semi-simple Lie groups*, Ann. of Math. **77** (1963), 335–386.
4. L. K. Hua, *Harmonic analysis of functions of several complex variables in the classical domains*, Transl. Math. Monographs, Vol. 6, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1963.
5. A. Korányi, *The Poisson integral for generalized halfplanes and bounded symmetric domains*, Ann. of Math. **82** (1965), 332–350.
6. H. E. Rauch, *Harmonic and analytic functions of several variables and the maximal theorem of Hardy and Littlewood*, Canad. J. Math. **8** (1956), 171–183.
7. A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series*, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 1959.

BELFER GRADUATE SCHOOL, YESHIVA UNIVERSITY,
NEW YORK, NEW YORK