

# A COHOMOLOGICAL DESCRIPTION OF ABELIAN GALOIS EXTENSIONS

BY  
MORRIS ORZEC<sup>(1)</sup>

Let  $J$  be a finite abelian group, and let  $R$  be a commutative ring. Let  $E_R(J)$  denote the set of equivalence classes of Galois extensions of  $R$  with group  $J$ , and let  $A_R(J)$  denote the subset of  $E_R(J)$  consisting of those extensions which have a normal basis.

In [8], Chase and Rosenberg obtained a bijection of pointed sets,  $A_R(J) \cong H^2(R, J)$ , where  $H^2(R, J)$  is the cohomology group that Harrison denoted by  $H^2(R(J), R(H))$  [11].

We show that this bijection is an isomorphism of abelian groups, and that it is natural in  $R$  and  $J$  (§§1 and 2). Let  $S$  be a faithfully flat commutative  $R$ -algebra. Using techniques similar to those employed in [7], a double complex is defined, depending on  $S$  and  $J$ , whose two coboundary maps are those of Harrison [11] and Amitsur [1]. The first cohomology group of this double complex is shown to be isomorphic to a subgroup of  $E_R(J)$  (§3). By passing to the direct limit over those faithfully flat  $R$ -algebras which arise from partitions of unity in  $R$ , we obtain an isomorphism between  $E_R(J)$  and a cohomology group  $H^1$  depending only on  $R$  and  $J$ . We then have that the inclusion of  $A_R(J)$  in  $E_R(J)$  is given as the composite  $A_R(J) \cong H^2(R, J) \rightarrow H^1 \cong E_R(J)$ , where the middle map  $\alpha$  is an edge homomorphism. Under certain assumptions  $\alpha$  is an isomorphism, and then every Galois extension of  $R$  with group  $J$  has a normal basis (§4).

This paper constitutes a portion of the author's doctoral dissertation at Cornell University. The author wishes to thank S. U. Chase for his helpful advice and encouragement.

**1. Groups of Galois extensions.** In this section we will establish some properties of (not necessarily commutative) Galois extensions, and of certain sets of such extensions. In what follows,  $R$  will always denote a commutative ring, and unadorned tensor products will be over  $R$ .

For  $S$  a ring and  $G$  a group,  $S(G)$  will denote the group ring of  $G$  over  $S$ . We define a category  ${}_G\mathcal{A}_R$  as follows: the objects of  ${}_G\mathcal{A}_R$  are  $R$ -algebras which are also left  $R(G)$ -modules, the elements of  $G$  acting as  $R$ -algebra automorphisms; the

---

Presented to the Society, December 9, 1965 under the title *A cohomology theory of Harrison for connected rings*; received by the editors May 22, 1967 and, in revised form, March 18, 1968.

<sup>(1)</sup> This work was done while the author was a National Science Foundation Graduate Fellow.

morphisms in  ${}_G\mathcal{A}_R$  are  $R$ -algebra homomorphisms which are also  $R(G)$ -module maps.

Let  $G$  be a finite group, and let  $A$  be any ring. Define  $e_G(A) = \{\text{Set functions } v: G \rightarrow A\}$ . Then  $e_G(A)$  is a ring under pointwise operations, and is an  $R$ -algebra if  $A$  is an  $R$ -algebra. Now suppose  $A$  is an object of  ${}_G\mathcal{A}_R$ . We let  $A^G = \{a \text{ in } A \mid x(a) = a \text{ for all } x \text{ in } G\}$ . Define  $h: A \otimes A \rightarrow e_G(A)$  to be the homomorphism such that  $h(a \otimes b)(x) = ax(b)$  for  $a, b$  in  $A$  and  $x$  in  $G$ . We say that  $A$  is a *Galois extension of  $R$  with group  $G$*  if  $h$  is a bijection and  $A^G = R$ .

Let  $\phi: G \rightarrow H$  be a homomorphism of finite groups. Define a covariant functor  $\phi: {}_G\mathcal{A}_R \rightarrow {}_H\mathcal{A}_R$  by

$$\phi(A) = \{\text{Set maps } v: H \rightarrow A \mid v(\phi(x)y) = xv(y) \text{ for } x \text{ in } G, y \text{ in } H\};$$

for  $f: A \rightarrow B$  a morphism in  ${}_G\mathcal{A}_R$ ,  $\phi(f)(v) = fv$ . Let  $\iota: {}_H\mathcal{A}_R \rightarrow {}_G\mathcal{A}_R$  be the functor obtained by viewing an object in  ${}_H\mathcal{A}_R$  as an object in  ${}_G\mathcal{A}_R$ , via  $\phi$ . The functor  $\phi$  is a right adjoint to  $\iota$ .  $H$  acts on  $\phi(A)$  via  $(yv)(z) = v(zy)$ .

Suppose now that  $\phi': G \rightarrow K$ ,  $\phi'': K \rightarrow H$  are homomorphisms of finite groups. Let  $\phi = \phi''\phi'$ , and let  $\iota, \iota', \iota''$  be the functors which are the left adjoints of  $\phi, \phi', \phi''$  respectively. It is easy to see that there is a natural equivalence of functors,  $\iota'\iota'' \sim \iota$ . By uniqueness of adjoints up to equivalence, we conclude that the following lemma holds.

LEMMA 1.1. *Let  $\phi', \phi''$  and  $\phi$  be as described above, and let*

$$\phi': {}_G\mathcal{A}_R \rightarrow {}_K\mathcal{A}_R, \quad \phi'': {}_K\mathcal{A}_R \rightarrow {}_H\mathcal{A}_R, \quad \phi: {}_G\mathcal{A}_R \rightarrow {}_H\mathcal{A}_R$$

*be the corresponding functors. Then there exists a natural equivalence of functors,  $\phi''\phi' \sim \phi$ .*

THEOREM 1.2. *Let  $\phi: G \rightarrow H$  be a homomorphism of finite groups, and let  $A$  be a Galois extension of  $R$  with group  $G$ . Then  $\phi(A)$  is a Galois extension of  $R$  with group  $H$ .*

**Proof.** We first remark that for an object  $A$  of  ${}_G\mathcal{A}_R$  to be a Galois extension of  $R$  with group  $G$ , it is necessary and sufficient that there exist elements  $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n$  in  $A$  such that  $\sum_i a_i x(b_i) = \delta_{1,x}$  for  $x$  in  $G$ , and that  $A^G = R$ . This is proved in [6] for  $A$  commutative; with trivial modifications, the arguments used [6, Theorem 1.3, (b)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (c)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (d)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (e)] are valid even when  $A$  is not commutative.

First assume that  $\phi$  is one-one, and identify  $G$  with  $\phi(G)$ . Then  $\phi(A) = \{v: H \rightarrow A \mid v(xy) = xv(y) \text{ for } x \text{ in } G, y \text{ in } H\}$ . Choose  $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n$  in  $A$  such that  $\sum_i a_i x(b_i) = \delta_{1,x}$ .

Let  $1 = z_1, \dots, z_m$  be a set of coset representatives of  $G$ ; thus  $H = \bigcup H(i)$ , where  $H(i) = Gz_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Define a map  $|\cdot|: H \rightarrow G$  by  $|xz_i| = x$  for  $x$  in  $G$ . Clearly  $|\cdot|$  satisfies the conditions: (i)  $|1| = 1$  and (ii)  $|xy| = |x|y|$  for  $x$  in  $G$  and  $y$  in  $H$ . Now for  $i \leq n, j \leq m$  define  $v_{i,j}: H \rightarrow A$ ,  $w_{i,j}: H \rightarrow A$  by  $v_{i,j}(z) = |z|(a_i)\delta_{H(i), Gz}$ ,

$w_{i,j}(z) = |z|(b_i)\delta_{H(j),Gz}$  for  $z$  in  $H$ . By (ii),  $v_{i,j}$  and  $w_{i,j}$  are in  $\phi(A)$  for  $i \leq n, j \leq m$ . Now for each  $z$  in  $H$  we have that

$$\left( \sum_{i,j} v_{i,j} w_{i,j} \right)(z) = \sum_{i,j} v_{i,j}(z) w_{i,j}(z) = \sum_i |z|(a_i b_i) = 1.$$

If  $y \neq 1$  is in  $H$ , we get:

$$\left( \sum_{i,j} v_{i,j} y(w_{i,j}) \right)(z) = \sum_{i,j} |z|(a_i) |zy|(b_i) \delta_{H(j),Gz} \delta_{H(j),Gzy}.$$

*Case 1.*  $Gz \neq Gzy$ . Then  $(\sum_{i,j} v_{i,j} y(w_{i,j}))(z) = 0$ .

*Case 2.*  $Gz = Gzy$ . Then  $u = zyz^{-1}$  is in  $G$ , and  $uz = zy$ . Thus  $|zy| = u|z|$  by (ii) and  $|zy| = |z|t$  where  $t = |z|^{-1}u|z| \neq 1$  is in  $G$ . Thus

$$\left( \sum_{i,j} v_{i,j} y(w_{i,j}) \right)(z) = \sum_i |z|(a_i) |z|t(b_i) = |z| \left( \sum_i a_i t(b_i) \right) = 0.$$

It is easy to see that  $\phi(A)^H = R$ , and thus  $\phi(A)$  is a Galois extension of  $R$  with group  $H$ .

Now suppose  $\phi: G \rightarrow H$  is onto. Let  $K = \text{kernel}(\phi)$ . Define maps  $j: A^K \rightarrow \phi(A)$ ,  $j': \phi(A) \rightarrow A^K$  by  $j(a)(\phi(x)) = x(a)$ ,  $j'(v) = v(1)$  for  $a$  in  $A^K$ ,  $x$  in  $G$ ,  $v$  in  $\phi(A)$ . It is easy to verify that  $j, j'$  are morphisms in  ${}_H\mathcal{A}_R$ , and that  $jj' = 1, j'j = 1$ . (The action of  $H$  on  $A^K$  is given by  $\phi(x)(a) = x(a)$  for  $x$  in  $G$ .) That  $A^K$  is a Galois extension of  $R$  with group  $H$  is proved in [14, Proposition 1].

For  $\phi$  arbitrary, write  $\phi = \phi''\phi'$ , where  $\phi'$  is onto and  $\phi''$  is one-one. Using (1.1) and the special cases above, we conclude that the theorem holds.

For  $G$  a finite group, define  $E_R(G)$  to be the set of equivalence classes of Galois extensions of  $R$  with group  $G$ , two such extensions being equivalent if they are isomorphic in  ${}_G\mathcal{A}_R$ .

We define a *Galois*  $(R, G)$ -algebra to be a Galois extension  $A$  of  $R$  with group  $G$  such that  $A \cong R(G)$  as  $R(G)$ -modules. Equivalently, there exists  $a$  in  $A$  such that  $\{x(a) \mid x \text{ in } G\}$  is an  $R$ -basis for  $A$ ; this basis is called a *normal basis* and is said to be generated by  $a$ .

We define  $A_R(G)$  to be the set of equivalence classes of Galois  $(R, G)$ -algebras, equivalent algebras being those isomorphic in  ${}_G\mathcal{A}_R$ . Clearly,  $A_R(G)$  is a subset of  $E_R(G)$ . We shall write  $(A)$  for the class of  $A$  in either  $E_R(G)$  or  $A_R(G)$ .

**THEOREM 1.3.** *Let  $\phi: G \rightarrow H$  be a homomorphism of finite groups. If  $A$  is a Galois  $(R, G)$ -algebra, then  $\phi(A)$  is a Galois  $(R, H)$ -algebra.*

**Proof.** It is clear that there is an  $R(H)$ -module isomorphism  $\phi(A) \cong \text{Hom}_{R(G)}(R(H), A)$ , where  $R(H)$  is viewed as an  $(R(G), R(H))$ -bimodule via  $\phi$ . We must show that  $\text{Hom}_{R(G)}(R(H), R(G)) \cong R(H)$  as left  $R(H)$ -modules.

For  $X$  a finite group,  $\text{Hom}_R(R(X), R) \cong R(X)$  as left  $R(X)$ -modules, the isomorphism being given by  $f(\alpha) = \sum_{x \in X} \alpha(x)x^{-1}$  for  $\alpha$  in  $\text{Hom}_R(R(X), R)$ . Now using

this fact and an adjointness relation between  $\text{Hom}$  and  $\otimes$ , we obtain  $R(H)$ -isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Hom}_{R(G)}(R(H), R(G)) &\cong \text{Hom}_{R(G)}(R(H), \text{Hom}_R(R(G), R)) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_R(R(G) \otimes_{R(G)} R(H), R) \cong \text{Hom}_R(R(H), R) \cong R(H).\end{aligned}$$

Let  $S$  be a commutative  $R$ -algebra. Define a functor from  ${}_G\mathcal{A}_R$  to  ${}_G\mathcal{A}_S$  by  $A \rightarrow S \otimes A$ , where  $x(s \otimes a) = s \otimes x(a)$  for  $s$  in  $S$ ,  $a$  in  $A$  and  $x$  in  $G$ ; for  $\alpha: A \rightarrow G$  a map in  ${}_G\mathcal{A}_R$ , we send  $\alpha$  to  $1 \otimes \alpha$ .

**LEMMA 1.4.** *Let  $S$  be an  $R$ -algebra, and let  $G$  and  $H$  be finite groups. Then*

(a) *There exists an isomorphism  $j: e_H(S) \rightarrow S \otimes e_H(R)$  which is simultaneously an  $R$ -algebra and an  $S(H)$ -module map.*

(b) *If  $S$  is an object of  ${}_G\mathcal{A}_R$  then  $j$  is also a  $G \times H$ -module map;  $G \times H$  acts on  $S \otimes e_H(R)$  and on  $e_H(S)$  by  $(x, y)(s \otimes v) = x(s) \otimes y(v)$ ,  $((x, y)w)(z) = x(y(zv))$  for  $s$  in  $S$ ,  $v$  in  $e_H(R)$ ,  $w$  in  $e_H(S)$ ,  $y, z$  in  $H$  and  $x$  in  $G$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $v_x$  in  $e_H(S)$  be defined by  $v_x(y) = \delta_{x,y}$  for  $x$  in  $H$ . The set  $\{v_x \mid x \text{ in } H\}$  is an  $S$ -basis of  $e_H(S)$ , and  $e_H(R)$  has a corresponding  $R$ -basis  $\{w_x \mid x \text{ in } H\}$ . Let  $j(\sum_{x \in H} s_x v_x) = \sum s_x \otimes w_x$ . An inverse to  $j$  is defined by  $j'(s \otimes v) = sv$ , and conditions (a) and (b) are easily verified.

**LEMMA 1.5.** *Let  $A$  be an object in  ${}_G\mathcal{A}_R$ , where  $G$  is a finite group. Let  $S$  be a commutative  $R$ -algebra. Then*

(a) *If  $A$  is a Galois extension of  $R$  with group  $G$  (respectively a Galois  $(R, G)$ -algebra) then  $S \otimes A$  is a Galois extension of  $S$  with group  $G$  (respectively a Galois  $(S, G)$ -algebra).*

(b) *If  $S$  is a faithfully flat  $R$ -module [3, p. 46] and  $S \otimes A$  is a Galois extension of  $S$  with group  $G$ , then  $A$  is a Galois extension of  $R$  with group  $G$ .*

**Proof.** (a) The proof of [6, Lemma 1.7] for  $A$  commutative holds here.

(b) Let  $h: A \otimes A \rightarrow e_G(A)$  be defined as at the beginning of this section. We have isomorphisms  $(S \otimes A) \otimes_S (S \otimes A) \cong S \otimes A \otimes A$  and

$$S \otimes e_G(A) \cong S \otimes A \otimes e_G(R) \cong e_G(S \otimes A),$$

defined respectively by  $(s \otimes a) \otimes_S (s' \otimes a') \rightarrow ss' \otimes a \otimes a'$ , and as in (1.4). Let  $h': (S \otimes A) \otimes_S (S \otimes A) \rightarrow e_G(S \otimes A)$  be defined analogously to  $h$ . Then the diagram below is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S \otimes A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes h} & S \otimes e_G(A) \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ (S \otimes A) \otimes_S (S \otimes A) & \xrightarrow{h'} & e_G(S \otimes A) \end{array}$$

By assumption,  $h'$  is an isomorphism; thus  $h$  is an isomorphism since  $S$  is faithfully flat [3, Proposition 1]. If  $a$  is in  $A^G$  then  $1 \otimes a$  is in  $(S \otimes A)^G = S$ . Thus  $1 \otimes a = s \otimes 1$  for some  $s$  in  $S$ . Then  $1 \otimes s \otimes 1 = s \otimes 1 \otimes 1 = 1 \otimes 1 \otimes a$ , so that  $1 \otimes s = s \otimes 1$ . By [7, Lemma 3.8] we conclude that  $s$  is in  $R$ , so that  $a$  is in  $R$ .

The following theorem is proved in [6, Theorem 3.4] for  $A, B$  commutative. The same proof holds in the noncommutative case.

**THEOREM 1.6.** *Let  $A, B$  be Galois extensions of  $R$  with group  $G$ . Suppose  $f: A \rightarrow B$  is an  $R$ -algebra homomorphism and an  $R(G)$ -module homomorphism. Then  $f$  is an isomorphism.*

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  denote the category of finite groups and group homomorphisms; let  $\mathcal{S}$  denote the category of sets and set maps; let  $\mathcal{R}$  denote the category of commutative rings and ring homomorphisms.

**PROPOSITION 1.7.** (a) *The following definitions yield a functor  $E_R: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ .  $E_R(G)$  is defined as following (1.2). For  $\phi: G \rightarrow H$  a homomorphism of finite groups, define  $E_R(\phi): E_R(G) \rightarrow E_R(H)$  by  $E_R(\phi)(A) = (\phi(A))$ .*

(b) *The following definitions yield a functor  $E_{\ell}(\cdot)(G): \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ .  $E_{\ell}(\cdot)(G)(R) = E_R(G)$ . For  $\theta: R \rightarrow S$  a homomorphism of commutative rings, define  $E_{\theta}: E_R(G) \rightarrow E_S(G)$  by  $E_{\theta}(G)(A) = (S \otimes A)$ .*

(c)  *$E$  becomes a bifunctor from  $\mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{G}$  to  $\mathcal{S}$  under the definitions in (a) and (b); specifically,  $E_{\theta}(H)E_R(\phi) = E_S(\phi)E_{\theta}(G)$ , where  $\phi, \theta$  are as in (a) and (b).*

**Proof.** (a) First we note that  $E_R(\phi)$  is a well-defined map.  $\phi(A)$  is a Galois extension of  $R$  with group  $H$  by (1.2); if  $A \cong B$  in  ${}_G\mathcal{A}_R$  and  $j: A \rightarrow B$  is a morphism in  ${}_G\mathcal{A}_R$ , define  $j_1: \phi(A) \rightarrow \phi(B)$  by  $j_1(v) = jv$  for  $v$  in  $\phi(A)$ .  $j_1$  is easily seen to be a morphism in  ${}_H\mathcal{A}_R$ , and is thus an isomorphism by (1.6).

Now let  $1: G \rightarrow G$  be the identity map. Then  $1(A) = \{\text{Set maps } v: G \rightarrow A \mid v(xy) = xv(y) \text{ for } x, y \text{ in } G\}$ . Define  $f: 1(A) \rightarrow A$  by  $f(v) = v(1)$ . By (1.6) we conclude that  $1(A) = (A)$  and  $E_R(1)$  is thus the identity map.

If  $\phi': G \rightarrow K$ ,  $\phi'': K \rightarrow H$  are homomorphisms of finite groups, we conclude from (1.1) that  $E_R(\phi''\phi') = E_R(\phi'')E_R(\phi')$ .

(b) If  $A$  is a Galois extension of  $R$  with group  $G$ ,  $S \otimes A$  is a Galois extension of  $S$  with group  $G$  by (1.5). Clearly,  $E_{\theta}(G)$  is well defined. The functorial properties of  $E_{\ell}(\cdot)(G)$  are straightforward results of associativity relations for tensor products, and of (1.4).

(c) Define a map  $f: S \otimes \phi(A) \rightarrow \phi(S \otimes A)$  by  $f(s \otimes v)(y) = s \otimes v(y)$  for  $s$  in  $S$ ,  $y$  in  $H$  and  $v$  in  $\phi(A)$ . By (1.6) we conclude that (c) holds.

Now let  $A$  and  $B$  be Galois extensions of  $R$  with groups  $G$  and  $H$  respectively.  $G \times H$  acts on  $A \otimes B$  via  $(x, y)(a \otimes b) = x(a) \otimes y(b)$  for  $x$  in  $G$ ,  $y$  in  $H$ ,  $a$  in  $A$  and  $b$  in  $B$ . In [14, Proposition 1] it is shown that  $A \otimes B$  is a Galois extension of  $R$  with group  $G \times H$ ; the equivalence of our definition of Galois extension with other definitions is discussed in the proof of (1.2).

LEMMA 1.8. (a) Let  $e: G \rightarrow H$  be the trivial homomorphism between the finite groups  $G$  and  $H$ . Let  $A$  be a Galois extension of  $R$  with group  $G$ . Then  $e_H(R) \cong e(A)$  in  ${}_H\mathcal{A}_R$ .

(b) Let  $\phi: G \rightarrow H$  and  $\phi': G' \rightarrow H'$  be homomorphisms of finite groups. Let  $A$  and  $A'$  be Galois extensions of  $R$  with groups  $G$  and  $G'$  respectively. Then  $(\phi \times \phi')(A \otimes A') \cong \phi(A) \otimes \phi'(A')$  in  ${}_{H \times H'}\mathcal{A}_R$ .

**Proof.** (a) We first observe that if  $e_H: \{1\} \rightarrow H$  is the trivial map, the two interpretations of  $e_H(A)$ , given near the beginning of this section, coincide. Take  $e': G \rightarrow \{1\}$ , so that  $e = e_H e'$ . Now  $e'(A) \cong R$  since  $R$  is the only Galois extension of  $R$  with group  $\{1\}$ . By (1.1),  $e(A) \cong e_H(e'(A)) \cong e_H(R)$ .

(b) From the definitions, we have that

$$B_1 = (\phi \times \phi')(A \otimes A') = \{w: H \times H' \rightarrow A \otimes A' \mid w(\phi(x)y, \phi'(x')y') = (x, x')w(y, y') \\ \text{for } x \text{ in } G, x' \text{ in } G', y \text{ in } H \text{ and } y' \text{ in } H'\};$$

$$B_2 = \phi(A) \otimes \phi'(A') = \{v: H \rightarrow A \mid v(\phi(x)y) = xv(y) \\ \otimes \{v': H' \rightarrow A' \mid v'(\phi'(x')y') = x'v'(y')\}.$$

Define  $f: B_2 \rightarrow B_1$  by linearity and  $f(v \otimes v')(y \otimes y') = v(y) \otimes v'(y')$ . It is easy to verify that  $f$  maps  $B_2$  to  $B_1$  and that  $f$  is an  $R$ -algebra and an  $R(H \times H')$ -module map. By (1.6) and the remarks preceding this lemma,  $f$  is an isomorphism.

Restrict  $G$  to be a finite abelian group, and let  $m: G \times G \rightarrow G$  be the multiplication map, a homomorphism since  $G$  is abelian. Let  $t: G \rightarrow G$  be the homomorphism defined by  $t(x) = x^{-1}$ . Define a binary and a unary operation on  $E_R(G)$  by the respective formulas:  $(A) \cdot (B) = (m(A \otimes B))$ ,  $(A)^{-1} = (t(A))$  for  $(A)$ ,  $(B)$  in  $E_R(G)$ . It is not difficult to verify that if  $A$  and  $B$  are Galois  $(R, G)$ -algebras, then  $A \otimes B$  is a Galois  $(R, G \times G)$ -algebra. Combining this with (1.2), we see that the formulas above define operations on  $A_R(G)$  as well as on  $E_R(G)$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}^{\text{ab}}$  denote the category of finite abelian groups.

THEOREM 1.9. (a) Let  $G$  be a finite abelian group. With the operations defined as above,  $E_R(G)$  and  $A_R(G)$  are abelian groups. The identity element of these groups is  $(e_G(R))$ .

(b) The bifunctor  $E$  of (1.7) is a bifunctor from  $\mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{G}^{\text{ab}}$  to  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{L}$ , the category of abelian groups.

(c)  $A_R(G)$  is functorial in  $R$  and  $G$ , and  $A: \mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{G}^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\mathcal{L}$  is a sub-bifunctor of  $E$ .

**Proof.** (a) Clearly  $(A) \cdot (B) = (B) \cdot (A)$ . Functoriality of  $E_R$  yields  $E_R(m(m \times 1)) = E_R(m)E_R(m \times 1) = E_R(m(1 \times m))$ , and (1.8) implies that  $(m \times 1)(A \otimes B \otimes C) \cong m(A \otimes B) \otimes C$  in  ${}_G\mathcal{A}_R$ . From these remarks it follows that the binary operation on  $E_R(G)$  is associative.

Now  $(e_G(R))$  is the identity element of  $E_R(G)$ , since we have

$$A \otimes e_G(R) \cong (1 \times e_G)(A \otimes R)$$

by (1.8), with  $e_G: \{1\} \rightarrow G$  (we are using the observation made in the proof of (1.8)(a)). But using the identifications  $A \otimes R \cong A$ ,  $G \times \{1\} \cong G$  we have that  $(1 \times e_G)(A \otimes R) \cong i(A)$ , where  $i: G \rightarrow G \times G$  is given by  $i(x) = (x, 1)$ . Since  $mi = 1_G$ ,  $m(A \otimes e_G(R)) \cong 1_G(A) \cong A$  in  ${}_G\mathcal{A}_R$ .

It follows from (1.8) that  $(1 \times t)(A \otimes A) \cong A \otimes t(A)$  in  ${}_{G \times G}\mathcal{A}_R$ ; by (a) of the same result,  $E_R(e)(A \otimes A) \cong e_G(R)$  in  ${}_G\mathcal{A}_R$ , where  $e: G \times G \rightarrow G$  is the trivial homomorphism. But  $e = m(1 \times t)$ . Applying  $E_R$  to this relation, we obtain  $(A) \cdot (A)^{-1} = (e_G(R))$ .

The proofs for  $A_R(G)$  are precisely the same as those for  $E_R(G)$ .

(b) Let  $\phi: G \rightarrow H$  be a homomorphism of finite abelian groups. Let  $m_G: G \times G \rightarrow G$ ,  $t_G: G \rightarrow G$  denote the group operations here, and let  $m_H$ ,  $t_H$  be the corresponding homomorphisms for  $H$ . By (1.8) and functoriality of  $E_R$ , we get the following chain of isomorphisms in  ${}_H\mathcal{A}_R$ :

$$m_H(\phi(A) \otimes \phi(B)) \cong m_H((\phi \times \phi)(A \otimes B)) \cong (m_H(\phi \times \phi))(A \otimes B) \cong (\phi m_G)(A \otimes B).$$

Thus  $E_R(\phi)$  is a group homomorphism.

Let  $\theta: R \rightarrow S$  be a homomorphism of commutative rings. As in the proof of (1.7)(c), we can show that  $S \otimes m(A \otimes B) \cong m(S \otimes A \otimes B)$  in  ${}_G\mathcal{A}_S$ . But  $S \otimes A \otimes B \cong S \otimes A \otimes_S S \otimes B$  in  ${}_{G \times G}\mathcal{A}_S$ . It follows that  $E_\theta(G)$  is a group homomorphism, and (b) is proved.

(c) One can verify that  $A$  is a bifunctor precisely as one verified functoriality of  $E$ . The proofs above also hold for  $A_R(G)$ .

**REMARK.** In [12] Harrison introduced  $T(G, R)$ , the subset of  $E_R(G)$  consisting of classes of commutative Galois extensions. It is shown in [12] that  $T(G, R)$  is functorial in  $G$  and  $R$ , and that  $T(G, R)$  defines a bifunctor  $T: \mathcal{G}^{\text{ab}} \times \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\text{cl}}$ . Using the lemma below, which we state here for later reference, it is not difficult to show that the group structure defined on  $T(G, R)$  in [12] agrees with that induced on  $T(G, R)$  from the group structure of  $E_R(G)$ .

**LEMMA 1.10.** *Let  $\phi: G \rightarrow H$  be a map of finite abelian groups. Let  $K = \{(x, \phi(x)^{-1}) \text{ in } G \times H\}$ . Then for  $A$  a Galois extension of  $R$  with group  $G$ , we have  $\phi(A) \cong (A \otimes e_H(R))^K$  in  ${}_H\mathcal{A}_R$ . If  $\phi$  is the identity map from  $G$  to  $G$  and  $m: G \times G \rightarrow G$  is the multiplication map, then  $m(A \otimes B) \cong (A \otimes B)^K$  in  ${}_G\mathcal{A}_R$ .*

**Proof.** Define  $\phi': G \times H \rightarrow H$  by  $\phi'(x, y) = \phi(x)y$  for  $x$  in  $G$ ,  $y$  in  $H$ ; thus  $K = \text{kernel}(\phi')$ . Let  $j: e_H(A) \rightarrow A \otimes e_H(R)$  be defined as in (1.4). Using the explicit definition of  $j$ , the definition of  $\phi(A)$  as a subalgebra of  $e_H(A)$ , and the fact that  $H$  is abelian, we see that  $j$  restricts to a map  $j_1: \phi(A) \rightarrow (A \otimes e_H(R))^K$ . From (1.4) we know that  $j$  is an  $R$ -algebra and an  $R(G \times H)$ -module homomorphism, and thus  $j_1$  is an  $R$ -algebra and an  $R(H)$ -module homomorphism. But  $(A \otimes e_H(R))^K$  is a Galois extension of  $R$  with group  $H$  (we refer the reader to the remark directly preceding (1.8), and to [14, Proposition 1]). By (1.6),  $j_1$  is an isomorphism. Using (1.6) it is easy to show that  $m(A \otimes B) \cong (A \otimes B)^K$  when  $\phi$  is the identity map on  $G$ .

**2. A cohomological description of  $A_R(J)$ .** In this section we introduce a cohomology theory patterned after one introduced by Harrison in [11], and used in [5] to classify  $A_R(J)$ .

Let  $J$  be an abelian group. For each integer  $n \geq 0$  we define maps  $\Delta_{n,i}: J^n \rightarrow J^{n+1}$  as follows (where we use multiplicative notation for  $J$ ):

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{n,i}((x_1, \dots, x_n)) &= (1, x_1, \dots, x_n) && \text{for } i = 0, \\ &= (x_1, \dots, x_i, x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) && \text{for } 0 < i < n+1, \\ &= (x_1, \dots, x_n, 1) && \text{for } i = n+1.\end{aligned}$$

We will henceforth suppress the subscript  $n$  on  $\Delta_{n,i}$  and we shall use  $\Delta_i$  to designate the corresponding map  $\Delta_i: G^n \rightarrow G^{n+1}$ , where  $G$  is any other abelian group. One may easily verify the relations

$$(2.1) \quad \Delta_{j+1}\Delta_i = \Delta_i\Delta_j \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n+1.$$

Now suppose  $F: \mathcal{G}^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\mathcal{L}$  is a (covariant, not necessarily additive) functor from the category of finite abelian groups to the category of abelian groups. We define a complex  $CF(J)$  by setting  $C^n F(J) = 0$  for  $n < 0$ ,  $C^n F(J) = F(J^n)$  for  $n \geq 0$ ;  $\delta_F^n(J): F(J^n) \rightarrow F(J^{n+1})$  is given by  $\delta_F^n(J) = \prod_{i=0}^{n+1} (F(\Delta_i))^{(-1)^i}$  for  $n \geq 0$ , where  $F(J)$  is denoted multiplicatively. That  $\delta^{n+1}\delta^n = 0$  follows from (2.1) and from functoriality of  $F$  (see, e.g. [1, Theorem 5.1]). The  $n$ th cohomology group of this complex,  $\text{Ker}(\delta^n)/\text{Im}(\delta^{n-1})$ , will be denoted by  $H^n F(J)$ .

We define a functor  $U_R: \mathcal{G}^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\mathcal{L}$  by setting  $U_R(J) = U(R(J))$ , the (multiplicative) abelian group of units of the group ring  $R(J)$ . In the discussion below we shall use multiplicative notation for  $J$  as well as for  $U_R(J)$ . The cochain complex  $CU_R(J)$  is given by

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \{1\} \rightarrow U(R) \xrightarrow{\delta^0} U(R(J)) \xrightarrow{\delta^1} U(R(J^2)) \longrightarrow \cdots.$$

In [11] Harrison introduced this complex for the case of  $R$  a field.

If  $u$  is a cocycle in  $U(R(J^n))$ ,  $\text{cl}(u)$  will denote the cohomology class of  $u$  in  $H^n U_R(J)$ . We note that  $\delta^0$  is the trivial map.

**THEOREM 2.2.** *There exists an isomorphism of abelian groups  $\beta: H^2 U_R(J) \rightarrow A_R(J)$ . The map  $\beta$  determines a natural equivalence of the bifunctors  $H^2 U$  and  $A$ .*

**Proof.** The existence of a bijection of sets  $\beta: H^2 U_R(J) \rightarrow A_R(J)$  is proved in [8, Corollary 4.8] and in [5, Corollary 2.16]. The abelian group structure on  $A_R(J)$  is that defined in §1. That  $H^2 U$  is a bifunctor from  $\mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{G}^{\text{ab}}$  to  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{L}$  can be verified in a straightforward manner. ( $\mathcal{R}$  is the category of commutative rings.) We will give the construction of  $\beta$  and  $\beta^{-1}$ , and some pertinent facts, for later reference.

Let  $\text{cl}(u)$  be in  $H^2 U_R(J)$ ,  $u = \sum_{x,y \in J} a_{x,y}(x, y)$  being in  $U(R(J^2))$ . Define an operation  $\circ$  on the  $R(J)$ -module  $R(J)$  by  $R$ -linearity and  $x \circ y = \sum_{z \in J} a_{x^{-1}z, y^{-1}z} z$  for  $x, y$  in  $J$ . The fact that  $\circ$  gives an associative operation follows from the fact that  $u$  is a cocycle; moreover  $\circ$  makes  $R(J)$  into a Galois extension of  $R$  with group  $J$ .

We write  $R(J)^u$  for the algebra thus arising, and we note that by its definition,  $R(J)^u$  has a normal basis. We set  $\beta(\text{cl}(u)) = (R(J)^u)$  in  $A_R(J)$ .

Conversely, suppose  $(A)$  is in  $A_R(J)$ . There exists an isomorphism  $f: R(J) \rightarrow A$  of  $R(J)$ -modules. We obtain a new multiplication on  $R(J)$ , which we denote by  $\circ'$ , rendering  $f$  into an  $R$ -algebra isomorphism. Then  $x^{-1} \circ' y^{-1} = \sum_{z \in J} a_{x,y}(z)z$  where  $a_{x,y}(z)$  is in  $R$  for  $x, y, z$  in  $J$ . Setting  $u_A = \sum_{x,y} a_{x,y}(1)(x, y)$  defines a cocycle in  $U(R(J^2))$ . We define  $\beta^{-1}((A)) = \text{cl}(u_A)$ .

It follows that  $\beta$  and  $\beta^{-1}$  are well-defined set maps. Moreover, if  $A = R(J)^u$  and  $f: R(J) \rightarrow R(J)^u$  is taken to be the identity map, the operation  $\circ'$  on  $R(J)$  agrees with the operation  $\circ$  on  $R(J)$ . Also, if  $A$  and  $f$  are as above, and if we endow  $R(J)$  with the algebra structure defined by  $u_A$ , then  $f$  becomes an  $R$ -algebra isomorphism. From these remarks it follows that  $\beta$  and  $\beta^{-1}$  are bijections that are inverse to each other.

We now show that  $\beta$  is a homomorphism of abelian groups. It is easy to verify that  $R(J)^u \cong e_J(R)$  in  ${}_R\mathcal{A}_R$  iff  $u$  is a coboundary i.e. iff  $\text{cl}(u) = 1$ . Now let  $u = \sum a_{x,y}(x, y)$  and  $v = \sum b_{x,y}(x, y)$  be cocycles in  $U(R(J^2))$ . Let  $K = \{(x, x^{-1}) \text{ in } J \times J\}$ . Define a map  $j: R(J)^{u \cdot v} \rightarrow (R(J)^u \otimes R(J)^v)^K$  by  $R$ -linearity and by the formula  $j(x) = \sum_{y \in J} xy \otimes y^{-1}$ . It is easy to see that  $j$  is a well-defined map. Using the formulas for  $u$  and  $v$  and for the multiplication in  $R(J)^u$  and  $R(J)^v$ , it is straightforward to show that  $j$  is an  $R$ -algebra and  $R(J)$ -module homomorphism. By (1.6),  $j$  is an isomorphism, and we conclude from (1.10) that  $(R(J)^u) \cdot (R(J)^v) = (R(J)^{u \cdot v})$ . Thus  $\beta$  is a homomorphism.

That  $\beta$  defines a natural equivalence of bifunctors may be shown using a direct, though computationally involved, approach. Scrutiny of [8] and [5] also reveals that  $\beta$  is natural, since it is defined there in a more canonical manner.

**REMARK 2.3.** Let  $u$  and  $v$  be cocycles in  $U(R(J^2))$ , and let  $f: R(J)^u \rightarrow R(J)^v$  be an isomorphism of Galois extension i.e. an isomorphism in  ${}_R\mathcal{A}_R$ . Then  $f$  defines an  $R(J)$ -module automorphism of  $R(J)$ , so there exists a unique  $w$  in  $U(R(J))$  such that  $f(x) = wx$  for  $x$  in  $R(J)^u$ . From the definitions of the multiplication in  $R(J)^u$  and  $R(J)^v$ , it is easy to see that  $u = v\delta^1(w)$ . Conversely, if  $w$  is in  $U(R(J))$  and  $u = \delta^1(w)v$ , defining a map  $f: R(J)^u \rightarrow R(J)^v$  by  $f(x) = wx$ , we obtain an isomorphism of Galois extensions.

**3. A cohomological description of  $E_R(J)$ .** Before introducing the Amitsur-Harrison bicomplex, we review the definition of Amitsur cohomology for ease of future reference.

Let  $S$  be a commutative  $R$ -algebra, and let  $S^n$  denote the  $n$ -fold tensor product of  $S$  over  $R$ . For  $n \geq 0$  and  $0 \leq i \leq n+1$ , define  $\varepsilon_i^{(n)}: S^{n+1} \rightarrow S^{n+2}$  by

$$\varepsilon_i^{(n)}(s_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes s_n) = s_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes s_{i-1} \otimes 1 \otimes s_i \otimes \cdots \otimes s_n.$$

Let  $F$  be a covariant functor from the category of commutative  $R$ -algebras to  $\mathcal{A}\ell$ . We define a cochain complex  $C(S/R, F)$  by setting  $C^n(S/R, F) = F(S^{n+1})$ , the coboundary  $d^n: C^n(S/R, F) \rightarrow C^{n+1}(S/R, F)$  being given by  $d^n = \prod_{i=0}^{n+1} (F(\varepsilon_i))^{(-1)^i}$

(here, as henceforth, we write  $\varepsilon_i$  for  $\varepsilon_i^{(n)}$ ; we consider  $F(S^i)$  as a multiplicative abelian group). The  $n$ th cohomology group of  $C(S/R, F)$  is denoted by  $H^n(S/R, F)$ . That  $d^{n+1}d^n=0$  follows from the relations (3.1), as shown in [1, Lemma 5.1],

$$(3.1) \quad \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j = \varepsilon_{j+1} \varepsilon_i \quad \text{for } i \leq j.$$

Abusing notation, we will write  $\text{cl}(v)$  for the cohomology class in  $H^n(S/R, F)$  of a cocycle  $v$  in  $F(S^{n+1})$ .

**REMARK 3.2.**  $\text{Pic}(S)$  will denote the set of isomorphism classes of finitely generated projective  $S$ -modules of rank 1 [3, p. 141]. For  $P$  such a module, we will write  $\langle P \rangle$  for the class of  $P$  in  $\text{Pic}(S)$ . As shown in [3],  $\text{Pic}(S)$  is an abelian group with identity  $\langle S \rangle$ , the operation being  $\langle P \rangle \cdot \langle Q \rangle = \langle P \otimes_S Q \rangle$ . If  $f: S \rightarrow T$  is a homomorphism of commutative rings,  $\text{Pic}(f): \text{Pic}(S) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(T)$  defined by  $\text{Pic}(f)(\langle P \rangle) = \langle T \otimes_S P \rangle$  is a group homomorphism [3].

The following theorem of Grothendieck is to be found in [7, Corollary 4.6] and is given here, along with parts of its proof, for future reference.

**THEOREM 3.3.** *Let  $T$  be a faithfully flat commutative  $R$ -algebra [3, p. 46] and let  $i: R \rightarrow T$  be the inclusion map. Then there is a natural isomorphism  $\alpha: H^1(T/R, U) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(\text{Pic}(i))$ , where  $U$  denotes the "units" functor.*

**Proof.** We sketch the construction of  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha^{-1}$ . For proofs, we refer the reader to [7, §4].

Given a cocycle  $v$  in  $U(T^2) = C^1(T/R, U)$ , we let  $P(v) = \{x \text{ in } T \mid v\varepsilon_0(x) = \varepsilon_1(x)\}$ . The sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T \otimes P(v) \rightarrow T^2 \rightarrow T^3$$

is exact, where the map from  $T^2$  to  $T^3$  is  $1 \otimes v\varepsilon_0 - 1 \otimes v\varepsilon_1$  [7, Lemma 3.8]. Thus  $T \otimes P(v)$  may be identified with its image in  $T^2$ . Then  $j: T \rightarrow T \otimes P(v)$  defined by  $j(x) = v^{-1}\varepsilon_1(x)$  may be shown to define a  $T$ -isomorphism  $j: T \rightarrow T \otimes P(v)$ , with inverse  $j_1$  given by  $j_1(t \otimes x) = tx$  [7, Theorem 4.2]. It now follows that setting  $\alpha(\text{cl}(v)) = \langle P(v) \rangle$  gives a well-defined homomorphism  $\alpha: H^1(T/R, U) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(\text{Pic}(i))$ .

Conversely, if  $\langle P \rangle$  is in  $\text{Pic}(R)$  and  $f: T \rightarrow T \otimes P$  is a  $T$ -isomorphism, we get a  $T^2$ -module isomorphism  $\tilde{f}: T^2 \rightarrow T^2$  given as the composite

$$(3.4) \quad T^2 \xrightarrow{1 \otimes f} T^2 \otimes P \xrightarrow{\sigma \otimes 1} T^2 \otimes P \xrightarrow{1 \otimes f^{-1}} T^2 \xrightarrow{\sigma^2} T^2$$

where  $\sigma(t_1 \otimes t_2) = t_2 \otimes t_1$ .  $\tilde{f}$  must be defined by left multiplication by some element  $v_P$  in  $U(T^2)$ , since it is a  $T^2$ -module isomorphism.  $\alpha^{-1}$  is now defined by  $\alpha^{-1}(\langle P \rangle) = \text{cl}(v_P)$ .

**REMARK 3.5.** If  $T$  is a faithfully flat  $R$ -algebra, and  $J$  is an abelian group, then  $T(J)$  is a faithfully flat  $R(J)$ -algebra [3, Chapter I, §3, Proposition 4].

**THEOREM 3.6.** *Let  $J$  be a finite abelian group, and let  $A$  be a Galois extension of  $R$  with group  $J$ . Then  $A$  is a finitely generated projective  $R(J)$ -module of rank 1.*

**Proof.** We recall the observations made in the proof of (1.2) that  $A$  satisfies conditions (b)–(e) of [6, Theorem 1.3], even if  $A$  is not commutative. In particular, let  $D(A, J)$  be the free left  $A$ -module on the symbols  $u_x$ ,  $x$  in  $J$ . A multiplication is defined by linearity and by the formula  $(au_x)(bu_y) = ax(b)u_{xy}$  for  $x, y$  in  $J$ ,  $a$  and  $b$  in  $A$ . Then the homomorphism  $j: D(A, J) \rightarrow \text{End}_R(A)$  defined by  $j(au_x)(b) = ax(b)$  is a ring isomorphism. A computation shows that the image of  $R(J)$  under  $j$  is  $\text{End}_{R(J)}(A)$ . Thus  $R(J) \cong \text{End}_{R(J)}(A)$ . Thus, if  $A$  were a finitely generated projective  $R(J)$ -module,  $A$  would have rank 1 by [3, p. 181, exercise 20]. That  $A$  is  $R(J)$ -projective when it is commutative is proved in [6, Lemma 1.6 and Theorem 4.2]. The same proofs are valid for  $A$  not commutative.

Let  $F$  be a functor from the category of commutative  $R$ -algebras to the category of abelian groups. Define a new functor  $FJ$  by  $FJ(S) = F(S(J))$ . The isomorphisms  $S(J) \cong S \otimes R(J)$ ,  $S \otimes_T T \cong S$  give rise to natural isomorphisms

$$C(T(J)/R(J), F) \cong C(T/R, FJ), \quad H^n(T(J)/R(J), F) \cong H^n(T/R, FJ),$$

and we shall treat these as identifications. We shall also identify  $T(J) \otimes_{R(J)} A$  with  $T \otimes A$  when  $A$  is an  $R(J)$ -module.

For  $T$  a commutative  $R$ -algebra, we introduce a cochain bicomplex  $C(J, T/R)$  by setting:

$$\begin{aligned} C^{n,m}(J, T/R) &= 0 \quad \text{if } n < 0 \text{ or } m < 0, \\ &= U(T^{n+1}(J^{m+1})) \quad \text{for } n, m \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

The coboundaries are defined by using the Harrison and Amitsur coboundaries, i.e. those introduced following (2.1), and preceding (3.1) respectively; a change of sign is needed to assure that the axioms for a bicomplex are satisfied [4, p. 60]:

$$\delta^{n,m}: U(T^{n+1}(J^{m+1})) \rightarrow U(T^{n+1}(J^{m+2}))$$

is given by  $\delta^{n,m} = (\delta^{m+1})^{(-1)^n}$ . The map

$$d^{n,m}: U(T^{n+1}(J^{m+1})) \rightarrow U(T^{n+2}(J^{m+1}))$$

is defined by  $d^{n,m} = d^n$ , the latter being the coboundary in  $C(T(J^{m+1})/R(J^{m+1}), U)$ .

The double complex  $C(J, T/R)$  gives rise to a total complex [4], which we also denote by  $C(J, T/R)$ , and to cohomology groups  $H^n(J, T/R)$ . We note that the group operation on  $U(T^n(J^m))$  is multiplicative. The low degree terms of the total complex are:

$$U(T(J)) \rightarrow U(T(J^2)) \oplus U(T^2(J)) \rightarrow U(T(J^3)) \oplus U(T^2(J^2)) \oplus U(T^3(J)),$$

and the two maps here shown, call them  $D^0$  and  $D^1$ , are given by  $D^0(u) = (\delta^1(u), d^0(u))$  for  $u$  in  $U(T(J))$ , and  $D^1((u, v)) = (\delta^2(u), d^0(u)\delta^1(v^{-1}), d^1(v))$  for  $u$  in  $U(T(J^2))$  and  $v$  in  $U(T^2(J))$ .

**THEOREM 3.7.** *Let  $i: R \rightarrow T$  be a ring homomorphism such that  $T$  is a faithfully flat commutative  $R$ -algebra. Let  $J$  be a finite abelian group.*

Then there exists a natural isomorphism  $\varphi: H^1(J, T/R) \rightarrow K(J, T/R)$  where  $K(J, T/R)$  is the inverse image of  $A_T(J)$  under the map  $E_i(J): E_R(J) \rightarrow E_T(J)$ .

**Proof.** We first construct  $\varphi_1: K(J, T/R) \rightarrow H^1(J, T/R)$ . Let  $(A)$  be in  $K(J, T/R)$ , i.e.  $A$  is a Galois extension of  $R$  with group  $J$ , and there exists a  $T(J)$ -isomorphism  $f: T(J) \rightarrow T \otimes A = A'$ . Then, as in the proof of (2.2), we have a unique  $u = u_{A'}$  in  $U(T(J^2))$  such that  $\delta^2(u) = 1$ , and such that  $f: T(J)^u \rightarrow A'$  is an isomorphism of Galois extensions. Now consider the composite mapping  $\bar{f}$  defined by the diagram below, where  $e(i) = \varepsilon_i(u)$  for  $i = 0, 1$ :

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} T^2(J)^{e(0)} & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes f} & T^2 \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\sigma \otimes 1} & \\ & & T^2 \otimes A & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes f^{-1}} & T^2(J)^{e(0)} \xrightarrow{\sigma} T^2(J)^{e(1)} \end{array}$$

By a slight variant of the discussion surrounding (3.4) (and using (3.6) to justify the argument), there exists an element  $v = v_A$  in  $U(T^2(J))$  such that  $d^1(v) = 1$  and such that  $\bar{f}(x) = vx$  for  $x$  in  $T^2(J)$ ; but since each map in (3.8) is a ring isomorphism, as well as a  $T^2(J)$ -module isomorphism,  $\bar{f}$  is an isomorphism of Galois extensions of  $T^2$  with group  $J$ . By (2.2) we conclude that  $\varepsilon_0(u) = \varepsilon_1(u)\delta^1(v)$ ; so  $d^0(u) = \delta^1(v)$  and  $(u, v)$  is a cocycle in the total complex. We set  $\varphi_1((A)) = \text{class } (u, v) = \text{class } (u_A, v_A)$ .

We must show that  $\varphi_1$  is well defined. Let  $j: A_1 \rightarrow A_2$  be an isomorphism of Galois extensions of  $R$  with group  $J$ . Let  $f_i: T(J) \rightarrow A'_i$  be  $T(J)$ -module isomorphisms, for  $i = 1, 2$ . Write  $v_i, u_i$  for  $v_{A_i}, u_{A_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . The composite map

$$f = f_2^{-1}(1 \otimes j)f_1: T(J)^{u_1} \rightarrow T(J)^{u_2}$$

defines a unique isomorphism  $f$  of Galois extensions such that  $f_2 f = (1 \otimes j)f_1$ . By (2.3) there is a unique  $w$  in  $U(T(J))$  such that  $f(x) = wx$  and  $u_1 = u_2 \delta^1(w)$ . Moreover, each square of the diagram below commutes (we write  $e(i, j) = \varepsilon_i(u_j)$  for  $i = 0, 1, j = 1, 2$ ).

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} T^2(J)^{e(0,1)} & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes f_1} & T^2 \otimes A_1 & \xrightarrow{\sigma \otimes 1} & T^2 \otimes A_1 & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes f_1^{-1}} & T^2(J)^{e(0,1)} \xrightarrow{\sigma} T^2(J)^{e(1,1)} \\ \downarrow \varepsilon_0(f) & & \downarrow 1 \otimes 1 \otimes j & & \downarrow 1 \otimes 1 \otimes j & & \downarrow \varepsilon_0(f) \quad \downarrow \varepsilon_1(f) \\ T^2(J)^{e(0,2)} & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes f_2} & T^2 \otimes A_2 & \xrightarrow{\sigma \otimes 1} & T^2 \otimes A_2 & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes f_2^{-1}} & T^2(J)^{e(0,2)} \xrightarrow{\sigma} T^2(J)^{e(1,2)} \end{array}$$

Now from the definition of  $v_i$  and  $w$  we obtain that  $\varepsilon_0(w)v_2 = v_1 \varepsilon_1(w)$ , or  $v_1 = v_2 d^0(w)$ . Thus  $(u_1, v_1) = (u_2, v_2) D^0(w)$ , showing  $\varphi_1$  to be well defined.

We now define  $\varphi: H^1(J, T/R) \rightarrow K(J, T/R)$ . Let  $(u, v)$  in  $U(T(J^2)) \oplus U(T^2(J))$  be a 1-cocycle of the total complex. Define  $A(u, v) = \{x \text{ in } T(J)^u \mid v \varepsilon_0(x) = \varepsilon_1(x)\}$ . Letting  $e(i) = \varepsilon_i(u)$ , we have the map  $\varepsilon_0: T(J)^u \rightarrow T^2(J)^{e(0)}$  is a ring homomorphism, as is the similar map  $\varepsilon_1$ . The map  $l(v): T^2(J)^{e(0)} \rightarrow T^2(J)^{e(1)}$ , given by left multiplication by  $v$ , is a ring homomorphism by (2.3) and by the fact that  $\varepsilon_0(u) = \varepsilon_1(u)\delta^1(v)$ . Thus

$A(u, v)$ , being the set on which  $\varepsilon_1$  and  $l(v)\varepsilon_0$  agree, is a subring of  $T(J)^u$ . By (3.3) and the relation  $d^1(v)=1$ , we have that  $A(u, v)$  is a projective  $R(J)$ -module of rank 1, and  $J$  acts as a group of  $R$ -algebra automorphisms of  $A(u, v)$ , since  $J$  acts as a group of  $T$ -algebra automorphisms of  $T(J)^u$ . Now the map  $j_1: T \otimes A(u, v) \rightarrow T(J)^u$  defined as in (3.3) by  $j_1(t \otimes x) = tx$ , is a  $T(J)$ -module isomorphism, and is clearly a  $T$ -algebra isomorphism as well. Thus by (b) of (1.5),  $A(u, v)$  is a Galois extension of  $R$  with group  $J$ . Set  $\varphi(\text{class}(u, v)) = (A(u, v))$ .

We wish to show that  $(A(u, v))$  is independent of the choice of representative for class  $(u, v)$ . Let  $w$  be in  $U(T(J))$  and suppose  $(u', v') = (u, v)D^0(w) = (u\delta^1(w), v\delta^0(w))$ . By (2.3), the map  $j: T(J)^{u'} \rightarrow T(J)^u$ , defined by multiplication by  $w$ , is an isomorphism of Galois extensions. Now the diagrams below are easily seen to commute

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T(J)^{u'} & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_0} & T^2(J)^{e'(0)} & \xrightarrow{l(v')} & T^2(J)^{e'(1)} \\ j \downarrow & & \downarrow \varepsilon_0(j) & & \downarrow \varepsilon_1(j) \\ T(J)^u & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_0} & T^2(J)^{e(0)} & \xrightarrow{l(v)} & T^2(J)^{e(1)} \end{array}$$
  

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T(J)^{u'} & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_1} & T^2(J)^{e'(1)} \\ j \downarrow & & \downarrow \varepsilon_1(j) \\ T(J)^u & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_1} & T^2(J)^{e(1)} \end{array}$$

where  $e'(i) = \varepsilon_i(u')$  for  $i=0, 1$ . It follows trivially that  $A(u, v) \cong A(u', v')$  as Galois extensions, and  $\varphi_1$  is well defined.

$\varphi$  and  $\varphi_1$  are inverse maps. Let  $(u, v)$  be a cocycle giving rise to  $T(J)^u$  and to  $A = A(u, v)$ . As in the proof of (3.3), there is an isomorphism

$$= j_1^{-1}: T(J)^u \rightarrow T \otimes A$$

of Galois extensions, where  $T \otimes A$  is considered as a subset of  $T^2(J)$ , and  $j$  is defined by  $j(x) = v^{-1}\varepsilon_1(x)$ . In particular, the cocycle  $u_A$  can be taken to be  $u$  itself. Now  $v_A$  is defined by a composite map  $\bar{j}$  given as in (3.8), i.e. for  $y$  in  $T^2(J)$ ,

$$v_A y = \bar{j}(y) = (\sigma(1 \otimes j^{-1})(\sigma \otimes 1)(1 \otimes j))(y).$$

Now for  $x$  in  $A$ , it is easy to see that the relation  $j(x) = v^{-1}\varepsilon_1(x) = \varepsilon_0(x)$  implies the relation  $\bar{j}(\varepsilon_0(x)) = \varepsilon_1(x)$ . Thus  $v_A \varepsilon_0(x) = v \varepsilon_0(x)$  for  $x$  in  $A$ . But since  $j$  is an isomorphism,  $\varepsilon_0(A)$  generates  $T^2(J)$  as a  $T^2(J)$ -module. Since multiplication by  $v_A$  and by  $v$  are each  $T^2(J)$ -module maps, we have that  $v = v_A$ , and  $\varphi_1 \varphi$  is the identity map.

Conversely, let  $(A)$  be in  $K(J, T/R)$ , and let  $f: T(J) \rightarrow A' = T \otimes A$  be a  $T(J)$ -isomorphism. Let  $u = u_A$ ,  $v = v_A$ . Then  $f: T(J)^u \rightarrow T \otimes A$  is an isomorphism of Galois extensions. The diagrams below commute, where  $v_1$  is defined by letting  $v$

act on  $T^2 \otimes A$ , the latter being considered as a  $T^2(J)$ -module:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 T(J)^u & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_0} & T^2(J)^{e(0)} & \xrightarrow{l(v)} & T^2(J)^{e(1)} \\
 f \downarrow & & \downarrow \varepsilon_0(f) & & \downarrow \varepsilon_1(f) \\
 T \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_0 \otimes 1} & T^2 \otimes A & \xrightarrow{l(v_1)} & T^2 \otimes A \\
 & & \varepsilon_1 \otimes 1 & & \\
 \\ 
 T(J)^u & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_1} & T^2(J)^{e(1)} & & \\
 f \downarrow & & \downarrow \varepsilon_1(f) & & \\
 T \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_1 \otimes 1} & T^2 \otimes A & & 
 \end{array}$$

By an easy computation, and by [7, Lemma 3.8] we conclude that

$$A = \{x \text{ in } T \otimes A \mid v(e_0 \otimes 1)(x) = (\varepsilon_1 \otimes 1)(x)\}.$$

It follows that  $A \cong A(u, v)$  as Galois extensions. Thus  $\varphi\varphi_1$  is the identity map.

We now show that  $\varphi_1$  is a group homomorphism. Let  $(A_1), (A_2)$  be in  $K(J, T/R)$ , and let  $A = (A_1 \otimes A_2)^H$ , where  $H = \{(\alpha, \alpha^{-1}) \text{ in } J \times J\}$ . By definition, and by (1.10),  $(A) = (A_1) \cdot (A_2)$  in  $E_R(J)$ . Write  $u_i$  for  $u_{A_i}$ ,  $u$  for  $u_A$ , etc., and let  $(u', v') = (u_1 u_2, v_1 v_2)$ .

Define  $j: T(J)^{u'} \rightarrow (T(J)^{u_1} \otimes T(J)^{u_2})^H$  by  $j(\alpha) = \sum_{\beta \in J} \beta \alpha \otimes \alpha^{-1}$  for  $\alpha$  in  $J$ . Let  $f_i: T(J)^{u_i} \rightarrow T \otimes A_i$  be isomorphisms of Galois extensions for  $i=1, 2$ , and let

$$h: ((T \otimes A_1) \otimes (T \otimes A_2))^H \rightarrow T \otimes (A_1 \otimes A_2)^H$$

be the natural map (which is an isomorphism by (1.6)). The relations  $u = u'$ ,  $v = v'$  follow respectively from the proof of (2.2), and via a computation, from commutativity of the diagram below, in which the notation is as indicated:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_0(j)} & M_1 \otimes_{T^2} M_2 \\
 1 \otimes f \downarrow & & \downarrow \varepsilon_0(f_1) \otimes \varepsilon_0(f_2) \\
 T^2 \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_0(h^{-1})} & (T^2 \otimes A_1) \otimes_{T^2} (T^2 \otimes A_2) \\
 \sigma \otimes 1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma \otimes 1 \otimes \sigma \otimes 1 \\
 T^2 \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_0(h^{-1})} & (T^2 \otimes A_1) \otimes_{T^2} (T^2 \otimes A_2) \\
 1 \otimes f^{-1} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 M & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_0(j)} & M_1 \otimes M_2 \\
 \sigma \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 N & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_1(j)} & N_1 \otimes N_2
 \end{array}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem, as naturality is easily verified.

**THEOREM 3.9.** *Let  $R, i, T$  be as in (3.7). Then there exists a natural isomorphism  $H^1(T/R, H^1 U_{(S)}(J)) \cong \text{Ker } (E_i(J): E_R(J) \rightarrow E_T(J))$ .*

**Proof.**  $H^1 U_{(S)}(J)$ , as defined preceding (2.2), is the kernel of

$$\delta^1: U(S(J)) \rightarrow U(S(J^2)),$$

since  $\delta^0$  is the trivial map. (It is easy to see that  $H^1 U_{(S)}(J) = \{\sum_{\alpha \in J} s_\alpha \alpha \text{ in } S(J) \mid s_\alpha s_\beta = \delta_{\alpha, \beta} s_\alpha, \text{ and } \sum_\alpha s_\alpha = 1\}$ .) We have a chain map:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H^1 U_T(J) & \rightarrow & H^1 U_{T^2}(J) & \rightarrow & \cdots \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ U(T(J)) & \rightarrow & U(T(J^2)) \oplus U(T^2(J)) & \rightarrow & \cdots \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are the inclusions. This chain map induces a map on cohomology  $h: H^1(T/R, H^1 U_{(S)}(J)) \rightarrow H^1(J, T/R)$  which is given by  $h(\text{cl}(v)) = \text{class}(1, v)$ .  $h$  is easily seen to be one-one. Using the fact that  $T(J)^1 = e_J(T)$ , and the constructions employed in the proof of (3.7), it is not difficult to verify that the image of  $\varphi h$  consists of  $\{(A) \mid T \otimes A \cong e_J(T) \text{ as Galois extensions}\}$ . This completes the proof.

**PROPOSITION 3.10.** *Let  $f: T \rightarrow T'$  be a homomorphism of  $R$ -algebras. Then  $f$  induces a homomorphism of bicomplexes  $C(J, f): C(J, T/R) \rightarrow C(J, T'/R)$ . If  $g: T \rightarrow T'$  is another  $R$ -algebra map, then  $C(J, f)$  and  $C(J, g)$  are chain homotopic, and thus induce the same map  $H(J, f): H(J, T/R) \rightarrow H(J, T'/R)$ .*

**Proof.**  $f$  induces  $f^n: T^n \rightarrow T'^n$  and also  $f^{n,m}: U(T^{n+1}(J^{m+1})) \rightarrow U(T'^{n+1}(J^{m+1}))$ .  $\{f^{n,m}\}$  is easily seen to be a cochain map. Define  $\bar{\psi}_i^n: T^{n+1} \rightarrow T'^n$  by

$$\bar{\psi}_i^n(t_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes t_{n+1}) = f(t_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes f(t_{i-1})g(t_i) \otimes g(t_{i+1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes g(t_{n+1})$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

Let

$$\bar{\psi}_i^{n,m}: U(T^{n+1}(J^{m+1})) \rightarrow U(T'^{n+1}(J^{m+1}))$$

be induced by  $\bar{\psi}_i^n$ . Now define

$$s_1^{n,m}: U(T^{n+1}(J^{m+1})) \rightarrow U(T'^{n+1}(J^{m+1}))$$

by  $s_1^{n,m} = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i \bar{\psi}_i^{n,m}$ . Let

$$s_2^{n,m}: U(T^{n+1}(J^{m+1})) \rightarrow U(T'^{n+1}(J^m))$$

be the zero map.

From [2, Theorem 2.7] we know that  $ds_1 + s_1 d = f - g$ ,  $d$  being the Amitsur coboundary. Thus  $ds_1 + s_1 d + \delta s_2 + s_2 \delta = f - g$ ,  $\delta$  being the Harrison coboundary. By definition,  $s_2 d + ds_2 = 0$ , and it is easily verified that  $s_1 \delta + \delta s_1 = 0$ . By [4, p. 60],  $(s_1, s_2)$  defines a chain homotopy.

We define  $\mathcal{U}$  to be the set of partitions of unity in  $R$ , i.e. the set of subsets  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  of  $R$  for which  $x_1 + \dots + x_n = 1$ . For  $x$  in  $R$ ,  $R_x$  will denote the localization of  $R$  at  $\{1, x, x^2, \dots\}$ . If  $V = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ , we will write  $R_V = \sum_{i=1}^n \oplus R_{x_i}$ . If  $V$  is in  $\mathcal{U}$ ,  $R_V$  is a faithfully flat  $R$ -algebra [3, p. 88, Théorème 1], [3, p. 44, Proposition 1(d)].

For  $V, W$  in  $\mathcal{U}$ , write  $R_V \leq R_W$  if there exists an  $R$ -algebra homomorphism  $R_V \rightarrow R_W$ . Write  $R_V \sim R_W$  if  $R_V \leq R_W$  and  $R_W \leq R_V$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}^*$  denote the set of equivalence classes of  $\mathcal{U}$  relative to  $\sim$ , and for  $V$  in  $\mathcal{U}$ , write  $(R_V)^*$  for the class of  $R_V$  in  $\mathcal{U}^*$ . If  $V = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  and  $W = \{y_1, \dots, y_m\}$  are in  $\mathcal{U}$ , write

$$VW = \{z_{i,j} \mid i = 1, \dots, n; j = 1, \dots, m; z_{i,j} = x_i y_j\}.$$

Clearly  $VW$  is in  $\mathcal{U}$ , and the relation  $\leq$  defines a partial order on  $\mathcal{U}^*$  under which the latter is a directed set, e.g.  $(R_V)^* \leq (R_{VW})^*$ .

**DEFINITION 3.11.** Let  $n \geq 0$ . For  $R_V$  in  $\mathcal{U}^*$ , define an abelian group  $X_V$  by  $X_V = H^n(J, R_V/R)$ ;  $X_V$  is well defined by (3.10). If  $(R_V)^* \leq (R_W)^*$ , define  $\alpha_V^W: X_V \rightarrow X_W$  by  $\alpha_V^W = H^n(J, f)$  with  $f: R_V \rightarrow R_W$ . It is easy to see that we thus obtain a directed system of abelian groups  $\{X_V, \{\alpha_V^W\}\}$ . We define  $H^n(J, R) = \text{dir lim } H^n(J, R_V/R)$ , where the direct limit is taken over  $(R_V)^*$  in  $\mathcal{U}^*$ .

**THEOREM 3.12.** (a) *There is a natural isomorphism  $H^1(J, R) \cong E_R(J)$ .*

(b) *There is a natural isomorphism*

$$\text{dir lim } H^1(T/R, H^1 U_{(J)}(J)) \cong \{(A) \text{ in } E_R(J) \mid A_M \cong e_J(R_M) \text{ as Galois extensions for every maximal ideal } M \text{ of } R\},$$

where the direct limit is taken over the elements of  $\mathcal{U}^*$ .

**Proof.** Because of (3.7), it suffices to show that  $E_R(J) = \bigcup_{T \in \mathcal{U}} K(J, T/R)$ . Let  $A$  be a Galois extension of  $R$  with group  $J$ . For  $M$  a maximal ideal of  $R$ , we have from (1.4) and from [6, Theorem 4.2(c)] that

$$R_M \otimes A \cong R_M \otimes R_M(J) \cong R_M \otimes e_J(R)$$

as  $R_M(J)$ -modules (the proof of [6, Theorem 4.2(c)], and the results used in that proof, hold when  $A$  is not necessarily commutative; we also refer the reader to the comments at the beginning of the proof of (1.2)). Now [7, Lemma 5.1 and Theorem 5.2] may be applied to obtain a partition of 1, call it  $V$ , such that

$$R_V \otimes A \cong R_V \otimes e_J(R) \cong e_J(R_V)$$

as  $R_V(J)$ -modules; we remark that the results of [7] hold under somewhat weaker hypotheses than stated, and that the proof of [7, Lemma 5.1] can be easily corrected. Thus (a) is proved.

(b) Suppose  $T = \sum_{i=1}^n \oplus R_{x_i}$  is such that  $T \otimes A \cong e_J(T)$  as Galois extensions of  $T$ , where  $\sum x_i = 1$ . Tensoring with each direct summand of  $T$ , and using the fact that  $S \otimes e_J(T) \cong e_J(S \otimes T)$  as Galois extensions, we get that  $R_{x_i} \otimes A \cong e_J(R_{x_i})$  as

Galois extensions for  $i \leq n$ . Let  $M$  be a maximal ideal of  $R$ . Choose  $j$  such that  $x_j$  is in  $R-M$ . We have a homomorphism of  $R$ -algebras,  $S = R_{x_j} \rightarrow R_M$ . Thus we obtain isomorphisms  $R_M \otimes A \cong R_M \otimes (S \otimes A) \cong R_M \otimes_S e_J(S) \cong e_J(R_M)$ . Thus the direct limit of (3.12)(b) is a subset of the indicated subset of  $E_R(J)$ .

We now prove the reverse inclusion. To begin with, we make the following observation: Let  $A$  be a Galois extension of  $R$  with group  $J$ , and let  $M$  be a maximal ideal of  $R$  such that  $A_M \cong e_J(R_M)$  as Galois extensions of  $R_M$ ; then there exists  $d$  in  $R-M$  such that  $A_d \cong e_J(R_d)$  as Galois extensions of  $R_d$ . For let  $\{r_\alpha/b \text{ in } A_M \mid r_\alpha \text{ is in } A, b \text{ is in } R-M, \alpha \text{ is in } J\}$  be a set in  $A_M$  such that  $r_\alpha r_\beta/b^2 = (\delta_{\alpha,\beta})r_\alpha/b$ ,  $(\sum_\alpha r_\alpha)/b = 1$ , and  $\beta(r_\alpha)/b = r_{\alpha\beta}/b$  in  $A_M$ . It is easily seen that there exists an element  $c$  in  $R-M$  such that  $c(br_\alpha r_\beta - b^2 \delta_{\alpha,\beta} r_\alpha) = 0$ ,  $c(\sum_\alpha r_\alpha - b) = 0$ , and  $bc(r_{\alpha\beta} - \beta(r_\alpha)) = 0$  in  $A$ . Let  $d = bc$ . We can compute that  $\{r_\alpha/d \mid \alpha \text{ in } J\}$  is a set of mutually orthogonal idempotents in  $A_d$ , whose sum is 1, and which are a normal basis for  $A_d$  over  $R_d$ . Thus  $A_d \cong e_J(R_d)$  as Galois extensions of  $R_d$ .

Now suppose  $A_M \cong e_J(R_M)$  as Galois extensions for all maximal ideals  $M$  of  $R$ . Let  $I$  be the ideal in  $R$  generated by  $\{x \text{ in } R \mid A_x \cong e_J(R_x) \text{ as Galois extensions}\}$ . By the observation above, we conclude that  $I = R$ . Thus there exist  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  in  $R$  and  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  in  $I$  such that  $z_1 y_1 + \dots + z_n y_n = 1$ . Let  $x_i = z_i y_i$ . Letting  $T = \sum_{i=1}^n \oplus R_{x_i}$ , we see that  $T \otimes A \cong e_J(T)$  as Galois extensions of  $T$ . This completes the proof.

**4. A spectral sequence and the semilocal case.** We begin by proving a normal basis theorem.

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Let  $R$  be of characteristic  $p$ , and let  $J$  be a finite abelian group of exponent  $p$ . Then*

(a) *If  $T$  is a faithfully flat  $R$ -algebra, we have that  $H^n(J, T/R) \cong H^{n+1}U_R(J)$  for  $n \geq 0$ .*

(b)  *$A_R(J) = E_R(J)$ , i.e. every Galois extension of  $R$  with group  $J$  is a Galois  $(R, J)$ -algebra.*

**Proof.** By [13, Theorem 3.4], the maps  $\alpha_n: T(J^n) \rightarrow T$  defined by  $\alpha_n(\sum t_x x) = \sum t_x$  induce isomorphisms  $\beta_n: H^n(T(J^n)/R(J^n), U) \cong H^n(T/R, U)$  for  $n > 0$ ; (note that the definition of  $H^0(T/R, U)$  in [13] differs from ours). Then

$$H^p(T/R, U) \cong {}'H^{p,q} = \text{Ker}(d^{p,q})/\text{Im}(d^{p-1,q})$$

under the isomorphism  $\beta_{q+1}$ ; it is not difficult to see that the composite

$$\beta_{q+1} \delta^{p,q} \beta_{q+1}^{-1}: H^p(T/R, U) \rightarrow {}'H^{p,q} \rightarrow {}'H^{p,q+1} \rightarrow H^p(T/R, U)$$

is either the zero map or the identity map, depending on whether  $q$  is odd or even respectively; this follows by noting that  $\delta^n: U(R(J^n)) \rightarrow U(R(J^{n+1}))$ , when restricted to  $U(R)$ , is the zero map or the identity map depending on whether  $n$  is even or odd respectively. Thus, the homology of the double complex taken with respect to first  $d$ , and then  $\delta$ , is 0 for  $q > 0$  and by [10, p. 89, Théorème 4.8.1] we see that the injection of  $\text{Ker}(U(T(J^n)) \rightarrow U(T^2(J^n)))$  into the bicomplex induces an isomorphism

of cohomology. But if  $T$  is faithfully flat over  $R$ , the kernel in question is  $U(R(J^n))$ . Thus we have isomorphisms  $H^{n+1}U_R \cong H^n(J, T/R)$ . Now (b) follows from (2.2), from (3.12), and from the fact that the isomorphism obtained in (a) is induced by inclusion maps.

**THEOREM 4.2.** *Suppose that  $R$  is a semilocal ring, and that  $T$  is a faithfully flat  $R$ -algebra. Then  $H^1(J, T/R) \cong H^2U_R(J)$ .*

**Proof.** The exact sequence associated with the first spectral sequence of our bicomplex [4, chapter XV, §6] yields

$$0 \rightarrow E_{0,1}^2 \rightarrow H^1(J, T/R) \rightarrow E_{1,0}^2,$$

where  $E_{p,q}^2$  is the homology of the double complex taken with respect to  $d$  and  $\delta$  in that order. The faithful flatness of  $T$  implies that  $E_{0,1}^2 = H^2U_R(J)$ . Now

$$E_{1,0}^2 = \text{Ker}(H^1(T(J)/R(J), U) \rightarrow H^1(T(J^2)/R(J^2), U)),$$

a subset of  $H^1(T(J)/R(J), U)$ ; the last set may be considered as a subgroup of  $\text{Pic}(R(J))$  by (3.3) and (3.5). If  $S$  is semilocal,  $\text{Pic}(S) = 0$  by [3, p. 143, Proposition 5]. If we show  $\text{Pic}(R(J)) = 0$  we will be done. For  $M$  a maximal ideal of  $R$ , only finitely many maximal ideals of  $R(J)$  contain  $M$ . For if  $K = R/M$ , there is a one-one correspondence between the maximal ideals of  $R(J)$  containing  $M$  and the maximal ideals of  $R(J)/MR(J) = K(J)$ . But  $K$  is a field, so that  $K(J)$  has the descending chain conditions on ideals, and is thus semilocal by a Nakayama's lemma argument. Thus only finitely many maximal ideals of  $R(J)$  contain  $M$ . Now  $R(J)$  is an integral extension of  $R$  [15, p. 254] since it is a finitely generated  $R$ -module. Thus every maximal ideal of  $R(J)$  lies over some maximal ideal of  $R$  [15, p. 259]. This completes the proof.

Using (4.2), (3.12) and the fact that every Galois extension over a semilocal ring has a normal basis, we can recover the isomorphism between  $H^2U_R(J)$  and  $A_R(J)$  described in (2.2).

#### REFERENCES

1. S. A. Amitsur, *Simple algebras and cohomology groups of arbitrary fields*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **90** (1959), 73–112.
2. ———, *Homology groups and double complexes for arbitrary fields*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **14** (1962), 1–25.
3. N. Bourbaki, *Algèbre commutative*, Actualités Sci. Ind., No. 1290, Hermann, Paris, 1962, Chapters I, II.
4. H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, *Homological algebra*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1956.
5. S. U. Chase, *Abelian extensions and a cohomology theory of Harrison*, Proc. La Jolla Conference on Categorical Algebra, 1965.
6. S. U. Chase, D. K. Harrison and Alex Rosenberg, *Galois theory and Galois cohomology of commutative rings*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. No. 52 (1965), pp. 15–33.

7. S. U. Chase and Alex Rosenberg, *Amitsur cohomology and the Brauer group*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. No. 52 (1965), 34–77.
8. ———, *A theorem of Harrison, Kummer theory, and Galois algebras*, Nagoya Math. J. **27–2** (1966), 663–685.
9. F. R. DeMeyer, *Some notes on the general Galois theory of rings*, Osaka J. Math. **2** (1965), 117–127.
10. R. Godement, *Théorie des faisceaux*, Actualités Sci. Ind., No. 1252, Hermann, Paris, 1958.
11. D. K. Harrison, *Abelian extensions of arbitrary fields*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **106** (1963), 230–235.
12. ———, *Abelian extensions of commutative rings*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. No. 52 (1965), 1–14.
13. Alex Rosenberg and D. Zelinsky, *Amitsur's complex for inseparable fields*, Osaka J. Math. **14** (1962), 219–240.
14. Y. Takeuchi, *On Galois extension over commutative rings*, Osaka J. Math. **2** (1965), 137–145.
15. O. Zariski and P. Samuel, *Commutative algebra*, Vol. I, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1958.

CORNELL UNIVERSITY,  
ITHACA, NEW YORK