

EMBEDDING AS A DOUBLE COMMUTATOR IN A TYPE I AW^* -ALGEBRA⁽¹⁾

BY

HERBERT HALPERN

1. Introduction. The purpose of this paper is the characterization of those C^* -algebras which can be written as their own double commutator in a type I AW^* -algebra. In a previous paper [5] the present author considered the module structure induced on a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} by its center \mathcal{Z} which was taken to be a von Neumann algebra. It was shown that \mathcal{A} is a von Neumann algebra if and only if it could be identified with the space of all bounded module homomorphisms into \mathcal{Z} on a normed \mathcal{Z} -module. Here, an analogue of this theorem is obtained: a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} whose center is an AW^* -algebra \mathcal{Z} can be isomorphically and isometrically embedded as a double commutator in a type I AW^* -algebra with center \mathcal{Z} if and only if \mathcal{A} can be written as the set of all bounded module homomorphisms into \mathcal{Z} on a normed \mathcal{Z} -module M . The topology induced on the unit sphere of \mathcal{A} by pointwise convergence on M will be the weak topology on the unit sphere of \mathcal{A} . This result can be regarded as a generalization of Sakai's theorem relating to von Neumann algebras [12] and in a certain sense it also illustrates that the generality of such an AW^* -algebra \mathcal{A} as compared to a von Neumann algebra lies in its center.

The problem of embedding an AW^* -algebra \mathcal{A} in a type I AW^* -algebra so as to preserve the sums of orthogonal projections was studied by H. Widom [18]. He found that such an embedding was possible if and only if \mathcal{A} possesses a complete set $\{\phi_n\}$ of positive module homomorphisms into the center \mathcal{Z} which mapped 1 into 1 and were completely additive on projections. He also studied those AW^* -algebras \mathcal{A} which were embedded as double commutators in type I algebras and showed that a finite AW^* -subalgebra of a type I algebra \mathcal{B} is its own double commutator in \mathcal{B} . T. Yen also studied the problem and showed that a type II_1 AW^* -algebra with finite trace is its own double commutator in a type I algebra [19].

2. The weak topology. Let H be an AW^* -module [10]. For each x and y in H let $w_{x,y}$ and w_x be the functions defined on the algebra $L(H)$ of all bounded linear operators on H by $w_{x,y}(A) = (Ax, y)$ and $w_x(A) = (Ax, x)$ respectively. The weak topology on a $*$ -subalgebra \mathcal{A} of $L(H)$ is the weakest topology on \mathcal{A} in

Received by the editors May 8, 1969.

⁽¹⁾ This work was partially supported by the National Science Foundation.

Copyright © 1970, American Mathematical Society

which each function $A \rightarrow \|w_{x,y}(A)\|$ ($x, y \in H$) or equivalently in which each function $A \rightarrow \|w_x(A)\|$ ($x \in H$) is continuous on \mathcal{A} .

PROPOSITION 1. *Let H be an AW^* -module over the commutative AW^* -algebra \mathcal{Z} . Let \mathcal{A} be a $*$ -subalgebra of $L(H)$ which contains \mathcal{Z} and let \mathcal{A}_\sim be the algebraic \mathcal{Z} -module generated by the functions $w_{x,y}$ ($x, y \in H$) restricted to \mathcal{A} . Then \mathcal{A}_\sim is the set of weakly continuous \mathcal{Z} -module homomorphisms of the \mathcal{Z} -module \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{Z} .*

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that \mathcal{A}_\sim contains the set of weakly continuous module homomorphisms because clearly \mathcal{A}_\sim is contained in the set of weakly continuous \mathcal{Z} -module homomorphisms. Let f be weakly continuous. There are elements x_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$) in H such that $\|f(A)\| \leq 1$ whenever $\|w_{x_i}(A)\| \leq 1$ for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. If $A \in L(H)$, let $|A| = (A^*A)^{1/2}$. By setting $p(A) = \sum |w_{x_i}(A)|$ for $A \in L(H)$, we define a function of $L(H)$ into \mathcal{Z}^+ such that $p(A+B) \leq p(A) + p(B)$ and $p(CA) = |C|p(A)$ for every A, B in $L(H)$ and C in \mathcal{Z} . We have that $|f(A)| \leq 1$ whenever $p(A) \leq 1$. Therefore, $|f(A)| \leq p(A)$ for every A in \mathcal{A} . Setting $g(A) = (f(A) + f(A)^*)/2$, we obtain a function of \mathcal{A} into the set of hermitian elements $H(\mathcal{Z})$ of \mathcal{Z} which is a module homomorphism when \mathcal{A} is considered to be an $H(\mathcal{Z})$ -module. We still have that $g(A) \leq p(A)$ for every A in \mathcal{A} . There is a module homomorphism h of the $H(\mathcal{Z})$ -module $L(H)$ into $H(\mathcal{Z})$ such that $h(A) = g(A)$ for every A in \mathcal{A} and $h(A) \leq p(A)$ for every A in $L(H)$ [17]. Let $k(A) = h(A) - ih(iA)$. Then k is a module homomorphism of $L(H)$ into \mathcal{Z} . If $A \in L(H)$ and if U is a partial isometric operator in \mathcal{Z} with $U|k(A)| = k(A)$ [19, Lemma 2.1], then

$$|k(A)| = k(U^*A) \leq p(U^*A) \leq p(A).$$

We also have that $k(A) + k(A^*) = f(A) + f(A^*)$ for every A in \mathcal{A} . However, this means that $k(A) = f(A)$ for every A in \mathcal{A} . This proves that k is a module homomorphism of $L(H)$ into \mathcal{Z} which coincides with f on \mathcal{A} and which satisfies $|k(A)| \leq p(A)$.

Now for each x_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$) there is a C_i in \mathcal{Z}^+ and a y_i in H such that $C_i y_i = x_i$ and such that $|y_i|$ is a projection in \mathcal{Z} . Let E_i be the abelian projection in $L(H)$ defined by $E_i x = (x, y_i) y_i$ [10, Lemma 13]. We have that

$$k(A(1-E)) = k((1-E)A) = 0,$$

where E is the least upper bound of E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n . The projection E is in the closed two-sided ideal I_a of $L(H)$ generated by the abelian projections of $L(H)$ due to the relation

$$\text{lub} \{E_1, E_2\} - E_1 \sim E_2 - \text{glb} \{E_1, E_2\} \quad [8, \text{Theorem 5.4}]$$

and to the fact that $E_2 - \text{glb} \{E_1, E_2\}$ is abelian. There are orthogonal projections P_1, P_2, \dots, P_m in \mathcal{Z} whose sum P is the central support of E such that each algebra $EL(H)EP_i$ is either zero or homogeneous of degree i (cf. [4, Theorem 2.1]). Since

$$f(A)(1-P) = k(A(1-P)) = 0$$

for every A in \mathcal{A} , it is sufficient to prove that each function $P_i f$ is in \mathcal{A}_\sim . So we may assume that $EL(H)E$ is homogeneous of degree m . There are equivalent orthogonal abelian projections $\{F_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ of sum E and partial isometric operators $\{U_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq m\}$ such that

- (1) $U_{ij}U_{kl} = \delta_{il}U_{kj}$;
- (2) $U_{ij} = U_{ji}^*$; and
- (3) $U_{ii} = F_i$ for all i, j, k, l .

Thus $f(A) = k(A) = k(EAE) = \sum \tau_{F_j}(U_{ij}A)k(U_{ji})$. Here $\tau_{F_j}(B)$ denotes the unique element in \mathcal{ZP} such that $\tau_{F_j}(B)F_j = F_jBF_j$ [8, Lemma 4.7]. Let z_j be an element in H such that $F_jx = (x, z_j)z_j$ [10, Lemma 13]. Then

$$\tau_{F_j}(U_{ij}A) = (U_{ij}Az_j, z_j) = (Az_j, U_{ji}z_j).$$

This proves that $f \in \mathcal{A}_\sim$. Q.E.D.

Let M be a normed vector space which is also an algebraic module over a commutative AW^* -algebra \mathcal{Z} ; then M is said to be a normed \mathcal{Z} -module if $\|Ax\| \leq \|A\| \|x\|$ for every $A \in \mathcal{Z}$ and $x \in M$. A bounded module homomorphism of M into \mathcal{Z} will be called a functional of the module M . By defining operations in a pointwise fashion, we obtain an algebraic \mathcal{Z} -module structure on the set of all functionals of the module M . The function

$$\|\phi\| = \text{lub} \{ \|\phi(x)\| \mid x \in M, \|x\| \leq 1 \}$$

defines a norm on the \mathcal{Z} -module of functionals. With this norm the module becomes a normed \mathcal{Z} -module. We call this module the dual of M and denote it by M^\sim .

THEOREM 2. *Let H be an AW^* -module over the commutative AW^* -algebra \mathcal{Z} and \mathcal{A} be a $*$ -subalgebra of the algebra $L(H)$ of all bounded linear operators on H such that \mathcal{A} is equal to its own second commutator in $L(H)$. For each A in \mathcal{A} let F_A be the function defined on the \mathcal{Z} -module \mathcal{A}_\sim (considered as a submodule of \mathcal{A}^\sim) of weakly continuous module homomorphisms of \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{Z} by $F_A(\phi) = \phi(A)$. Then $A \rightarrow F_A$ defines an isometric isomorphism of \mathcal{A} onto the dual of \mathcal{A}_\sim .*

Proof. First let $\mathcal{A} = L(H)$. If $\Phi \in (\mathcal{A}_\sim)^\sim$, then $\Phi(w_{x,y}) = \langle x, y \rangle$ defines a \mathcal{Z} -valued hermitian form on H such that

$$\|\langle x, y \rangle\| \leq \|\Phi\| \|w_{x,y}\| \leq \|\Phi\| \|x\| \|y\|.$$

The function $x \rightarrow \langle x, y \rangle$ is a bounded \mathcal{Z} -linear function of H into \mathcal{Z} . Therefore, there is a unique element A_y in H with $\langle x, y \rangle = (x, A_y)$ for every x in H [10, Theorem 5]. We have that $\|A_y\| \leq \|\Phi\| \|y\|$. From the uniqueness of A_y we conclude that there is an A in $L(H)$ such that $Ay = A_y$ for every y in H . Thus, $\Phi(w_{x,y}) = w_{x,y}(A)$ for every $w_{x,y}$. Since functions of the form $w_{x,y}$ generate \mathcal{A}_\sim , we have that $\Phi(\phi) = \phi(A)$ for every $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_\sim$.

Now we have that $A \rightarrow F_A$ defines a \mathcal{Z} -linear function of \mathcal{A} into $(\mathcal{A}_\sim)^\sim$. We have that $\|F_A\| \leq \|A\|$ since $\|\phi(A)\| \leq \|\phi\| \|A\|$ for every $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_\sim$. But

$$\|A\| = \text{lub} \{ \|w_{x,y}(A)\| \mid \|w_{x,y}\| \leq 1 \}$$

and so $\|A\| = \|F_A\|$. Thus $A \rightarrow F_A$ is an isometric isomorphism of \mathcal{A} into $(\mathcal{A}_\sim)^\sim$. The preceding paragraph allows us to conclude that $A \rightarrow F_A$ is onto $(\mathcal{A}_\sim)^\sim$.

Now assume that \mathcal{A} is an arbitrary *-subalgebra of $L(H)$ which is equal to its own double commutator. Let G be the bounded \mathcal{Z} -linear map which takes an element in $L(H)_\sim$ onto its restriction to \mathcal{A} . Then G is a map of $L(H)_\sim$ onto \mathcal{A}_\sim (Proposition 1). If Φ is an element of $(\mathcal{A}_\sim)^\sim$, then $\Phi \cdot G$ defines an element of $(L(H)_\sim)^\sim$. By the first part of this proof we may find an A in $L(H)$ with $\Phi \cdot G(\phi) = \phi(A)$ for every ϕ in $L(H)_\sim$. If A is not in \mathcal{A} , there is a unitary operator U in the commutator of \mathcal{A} such that $U^*AU \neq A$. Then there is an x in H with $w_x(A) - w_{Ux}(A) \neq 0$. But $\phi = w_x - w_{Ux}$ vanishes on \mathcal{A} and so $\phi(A) = \Phi(G(\phi)) = 0$. This is a contradiction. Thus A is in \mathcal{A} . Since every ϕ in \mathcal{A}_\sim has an extension to a function in $L(H)_\sim$, we conclude that $\Phi(\phi) = \phi(A)$ for every ϕ in \mathcal{A}_\sim . Thus we may apply the arguments of the preceding paragraph in order to show that $A \rightarrow F_A$ is an isometric isomorphism of \mathcal{A} onto $(\mathcal{A}_\sim)^\sim$. Q.E.D.

REMARK. The algebra \mathcal{A} in the preceding theorem is expressed as the dual of a module whose ring of multipliers is a subalgebra of the center of \mathcal{A} . This pathological feature can be removed by the following additional argument. Let \mathcal{Z}_0 be the center of \mathcal{A} . The commutator \mathcal{Z}'_0 of \mathcal{Z}_0 on H is a type I algebra by a proof that is entirely similar to the corresponding proof for von Neumann algebras (cf. [1, I, §2, Proposition 1 and §6, Problem 5]). The center of \mathcal{Z}'_0 is $\mathcal{Z}''_0 = \mathcal{Z}_0$. Since \mathcal{Z}'_0 is the algebra of all bounded linear operators on an AW^* -module over \mathcal{Z}_0 [10, Theorem 8] and since \mathcal{A} is its own double commutator in \mathcal{Z}'_0 , we may conclude that \mathcal{A} is the dual of \mathcal{Z}_0 -module by Theorem 2.

3. The dual of a \mathcal{Z} -module. Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra whose center \mathcal{Z} is an AW^* -algebra. Then \mathcal{A} with its norm is a normed \mathcal{Z} -module. In this section whenever we talk about the module \mathcal{A} , we shall have this particular module structure in mind. If $\phi \in \mathcal{A}^\sim$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}$, the functional $(A \cdot \phi)(B) = \phi(AB)$ is in \mathcal{A}^\sim . This defines a right multiplication of elements of \mathcal{A}^\sim by \mathcal{A} . Similarly, a left multiplication is defined by $(\phi \cdot A)(B) = \phi(BA)$. A functional ϕ in \mathcal{A}^\sim is said to be positive if $\phi(A^*A) \geq 0$ for every A in \mathcal{A} . Then ϕ is positive if $\phi(1) \geq 0$ and $\|\phi(1)P\| = \|P \cdot \phi\|$ for every projection P in \mathcal{Z} . Indeed, if $\|\phi(1)P\| = \|P \cdot \phi\|$ for every projection P in \mathcal{Z} , then for every ζ in the spectrum of \mathcal{Z} the relation $|\phi_\zeta(1)| = \|\phi_\zeta\|$ is seen to be true. Here $\phi_\zeta(A) = \phi(A)^\wedge(\zeta)$ where B^\wedge denotes the Gelfand transform of $B \in \mathcal{Z}$. This means that $\phi_\zeta(A^*A) \geq 0$ for every ζ [2, 2.1.9]. Therefore the functional ϕ is positive.

Suppose now that the module \mathcal{A} is the dual of a normed \mathcal{Z} -module M . Since $\|A(\phi)\| \leq \|A\| \|\phi\|$ for every $\phi \in M$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and since $(C_1A_1 + C_2A_2)(\phi) = C_1A_1(\phi) + C_2A_2(\phi)$ for every C_1, C_2 in \mathcal{Z} and A_1, A_2 in \mathcal{A} , the function $\phi \rightarrow \phi'$

of M into \mathcal{A}^\sim , where ϕ' is defined by $\phi'(A) = A(\phi)$, is a norm-decreasing \mathcal{L} -module homomorphism of M into a submodule N of \mathcal{A}^\sim . We have that

$$\begin{aligned}\|A\| &= \text{lub} \{ \|A(\phi)\| \mid \phi \in M, \|\phi\| \leq 1 \} \\ &\leq \text{lub} \{ \|\phi(A)\| \mid \phi \in N, \|\phi\| \leq 1 \} \leq \|A\|\end{aligned}$$

and so we have that $\|A\| = \text{lub} \{ \|\phi(A)\| \mid \phi \in N, \|\phi\| \leq 1 \}$. Actually, the module \mathcal{A} is identified with the dual of N . Indeed, if $\Phi \in N^\sim$, then $\phi \rightarrow \Phi(\phi')$ defines an element of M^\sim . There is a unique element $A_\Phi = A$ in \mathcal{A} such that $\Phi(\phi') = A(\phi) = \phi'(A)$ for every $\phi \in M$. The function $\Phi \rightarrow A_\Phi$ of N^\sim into \mathcal{A} is easily seen to be an isometric isomorphism of the \mathcal{L} -module N^\sim onto the module \mathcal{A} . Since we are interested in the topology on \mathcal{A} induced by pointwise convergence on M , we may assume that M is embedded in \mathcal{A}^\sim . We call this topology of pointwise convergence on M the $\sigma(\mathcal{A}, M)$ -topology of \mathcal{A} .

Let M be a submodule of \mathcal{A}^\sim . For each bounded subset $\{\phi_i\}$ of M and each set $\{P_i\}$ of mutually orthogonal projections in \mathcal{L} of sum 1, there is a unique $\phi = \sum P_i \phi_i$ in \mathcal{A}^\sim satisfying the relation $P_i \phi = P_i \phi_i$ for each P_i . Let N be the smallest algebraic submodule of \mathcal{A}^\sim which contains M and is closed under the formation of such sums. Then every element $\phi \in N$ is of the form $\phi = \sum P_i \phi_i$ where $\{\phi_i\}$ is a bounded subset of M and $\{P_i\}$ is a set of mutually orthogonal projections in \mathcal{L} of sum 1. The \mathcal{L} -module N will be called the module generated by M in \mathcal{A}^\sim .

PROPOSITION 3. *Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra whose center \mathcal{Z} is an AW^* -algebra. Let M be a normed \mathcal{L} -module whose dual is the module \mathcal{A} ; let N be the module generated by M in \mathcal{A}^\sim . Then the dual of the module N is also equal to \mathcal{A} .*

Proof. Let Φ be a functional in N^\sim . Then the restriction Ψ of Φ to M is a bounded functional of the module M . There is an $A = A_\Psi$ in \mathcal{A} such that $\Psi(\phi) = \phi(A)$ for every $\phi \in M$. Let $\phi \in N$; there is a bounded subset $\{\phi_i\}$ of M and a set $\{P_i\}$ of mutually orthogonal projections in \mathcal{L} of sum 1 such that $P_i \phi = P_i \phi_i$ for each P_i . Then

$$P_i \Phi(\phi) = \Phi(P_i \phi_i) = \Psi(P_i \phi_i) = P_i \phi_i(A) = P_i \phi(A)$$

for each P_i . This means that $\Phi(\phi) = \phi(A)$. Suppose there is a second element A' in \mathcal{A} such that $\Phi(\phi) = \phi(A')$ for every $\phi \in N$. Then every element of M vanishes on $A' - A$. Because \mathcal{A} is the dual of M , we have that $A' = A$. This means that $\Phi \rightarrow A_\Phi$ is a module isomorphism of N^\sim onto \mathcal{A} . We have that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Phi\| &= \text{lub} \{ \|\Phi(\phi)\| \mid \phi \in N, \|\phi\| \leq 1 \} \\ &\leq \|A_\Phi\| = \text{lub} \{ \|\phi(A_\Phi)\| \mid \phi \in M, \|\phi\| \leq 1 \} \leq \|\Phi\|\end{aligned}$$

for every $\Phi \in N^\sim$. Therefore, the map $\Phi \rightarrow A_\Phi$ is an isometric isomorphism of the module N^\sim onto the module \mathcal{A} . Q.E.D.

We need the following lemma which is known for σ -weakly continuous functionals on a von Neumann algebra (cf. [2, 12.2.3]).

LEMMA 4. Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra, E a projection in \mathcal{A} and f a bounded linear functional on \mathcal{A} . If the norm of the function $g(A)=f(EA)$ on \mathcal{A} is equal to that of f , then $g=f$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{B} be the enveloping von Neumann algebra of \mathcal{A} . We may consider \mathcal{A} as a weakly dense subset of \mathcal{B} . The functionals f and g on \mathcal{A} have unique extensions to weakly continuous functionals f' and g' respectively on \mathcal{B} . By the uniqueness of the extension we have that $g'(A)=f'(EA)$ for every A in \mathcal{B} . Since the unit sphere of \mathcal{A} is weakly dense in that of \mathcal{B} [7], we have that $\|f'\|=\|f\|=\|g\|=\|g'\|$. Therefore, $f'=g'$ and so $f=g$. Q.E.D.

We now prove the existence of a polar decomposition.

PROPOSITION 5. Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra whose center \mathcal{Z} is an AW^* -algebra. Suppose that \mathcal{A} is the dual of a normed \mathcal{Z} -module M . Then given ϕ in M , there is a partial isometric operator U in \mathcal{A} such that $\theta=U\cdot\phi$ is a positive functional of the module \mathcal{A} and such that the functional $U^*\cdot\theta$ is equal to ϕ .

Proof. Let \mathcal{A}_1 be the unit sphere of \mathcal{A} . For each ϕ in M let

$$S(\phi) = \{|\phi(A)| \mid A \in \mathcal{A}_1\}.$$

If $|\phi(A_1)|$ and $|\phi(A_2)|$ are in $S(\phi)$, there are partial isometric operators V_1 and V_2 in \mathcal{Z} such that $V_i\phi(A_i)=|\phi(A_i)|$ ($i=1, 2$). There is a projection P in \mathcal{Z} such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{lub } \{|\phi(A_1)|, |\phi(A_2)|\} &= P|\phi(A_1)| + (1-P)|\phi(A_2)| \\ &= \phi(PV_1A_1 + (1-P)V_2A_2) = |\phi(PV_1A_1 + (1-P)V_2A_2)|. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that $S(\phi)$ is monotonely increasing in \mathcal{Z} . Since \mathcal{Z} is an AW^* -algebra and since $S(\phi)$ is bounded above by $\|\phi\|$, the set $S(\phi)$ has a least upper bound $|\phi|$ such that $\| |\phi| \| \leq \|\phi\|$. Actually, we have that $\|\phi\| = \| |\phi| \|$ for given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an A in \mathcal{A}_1 such that

$$\|\phi\| - \varepsilon \leq \|\phi(A)\| \leq \| |\phi(A)| \| \leq \| |\phi| \|.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary we have that $\|\phi\| = \| |\phi| \|$. Now it is clear from the definition of $|\phi|$ that $|\phi|$ is a \mathcal{Z} -valued seminorm on M , i.e. $|\phi|$ is a map of M into \mathcal{Z}^+ such that

$$|\phi + \psi| \leq |\phi| + |\psi| \quad \text{and} \quad |C\phi| = |C| |\phi|$$

for every ϕ, ψ in M and C in \mathcal{Z} .

Let ϕ be a given element in M . By considering M as a module over the hermitian elements $H(\mathcal{Z})$ of \mathcal{Z} , we can construct, by using the generalized Hahn-Banach Theorem [17], an $H(\mathcal{Z})$ -module homomorphism F of M into $H(\mathcal{Z})$ such that

- (1) $F(\phi) = |\phi|$,
- (2) $F(\psi) \leq |\psi|$ for every ψ in M , and such that
- (3) $\alpha F_1 + (1-\alpha)F_2 = F$ implies $F_1 = F_2 = F$

whenever F_1 and F_2 are $H(\mathcal{Z})$ -module homomorphisms satisfying (1) and (2) and α is a real number between 0 and 1. Setting $G(\psi) = F(\psi) - iF(i\psi)$ for every ψ

in M , we obtain a \mathcal{Z} -module homomorphism of M into \mathcal{Z} . For every ψ in M there is a partial isometric operator V in \mathcal{Z} such that $VG(\psi) = |G(\psi)|$. Thus we have that $|G(\psi)| = G(V\psi) = F(V\psi) \leq |V\psi| \leq |\psi|$. Since $\| |\psi| \| = \|\psi\|$ for every ψ in M , the functional G is an element of M^\sim ; and consequently there is an element U in \mathcal{A} such that $G(\psi) = \psi(U)$ for every ψ in M . In particular we have that $\phi(U) = |\phi|$. Since $\|G\| \leq 1$, we have that $\|U\| \leq 1$. Let θ be the functional in \mathcal{A}^\sim defined by $\theta(A) = \phi(UA)$ for every A in \mathcal{A} . The functional θ is positive since

$$\|P\theta\| \leq \|P\phi\| = \| |P\phi| \| = \|P\phi(U)\| = \|P\theta(1)\| \leq \|P\theta\|$$

for every projection P in \mathcal{Z} . We show that U is an extreme point of \mathcal{A}_1 . Indeed, if there are A_1 and A_2 in \mathcal{A}_1 and $0 < \alpha < 1$ that satisfy $\alpha A_1 + (1-\alpha)A_2 = U$, then

$$\alpha\psi(A_1) + (1-\alpha)\psi(A_2) = \psi(U)$$

for every ψ in M . Setting $F_j(\psi) = (\psi(A_j) + \psi(A_j)^*)/2$ ($j=1, 2$), we obtain an $H(\mathcal{Z})$ -module homomorphism of M into $H(\mathcal{Z})$. We have that $F_j(\psi) \leq |\psi(A_j)| \leq |\psi|$ for each ψ in M . Also

$$\alpha F_1(\phi) + (1-\alpha)F_2(\phi) = F(\phi) = |\phi|.$$

So $F_1(\phi) = F_2(\phi) = |\phi|$. Since F is an extreme point (relation (3)), we have that $F_1 = F_2 = F$. Then

$$(\psi(A_j) + \psi(A_j)^*)/2 = F(\psi)$$

and

$$(i\psi(A_j) + (i\psi(A_j))^*)/2 = F(i\psi)$$

for every ψ implies $\psi(A_j) = F(\psi) - iF(i\psi) = \psi(U)$ for every ψ in M . This means that $A_1 = A_2 = U$. Hence U is an extreme point of \mathcal{A}_1 . Therefore, U is a partial isometric operator in \mathcal{A} [6].

We complete the proof by showing that $\theta(U^*A) = \phi(A)$ for every A in \mathcal{A} . For ζ in the spectrum of \mathcal{Z} and ψ in \mathcal{A}^\sim let ψ_ζ be the bounded linear functional on \mathcal{A} defined by $\psi_\zeta(A) = \psi(A)^\wedge(\zeta)$; for B in \mathcal{A} let $B \cdot \psi_\zeta$ be defined by $B \cdot \psi_\zeta(A) = \psi_\zeta(BA)$. Notice that $\|B \cdot \psi_\zeta\| \leq \|B\| \|\psi_\zeta\|$. We have that $\|\phi_\zeta\| \leq \text{glb} \{ \|P\phi\| \mid P \text{ a projection in } \mathcal{Z} \text{ with } P^\wedge(\zeta) = 1 \} = \text{glb} \{ \|P\phi(U)\| \mid P \text{ a projection in } \mathcal{Z} \text{ with } P^\wedge(\zeta) = 1 \} \leq |\phi(U)^\wedge(\zeta)| = \|\theta_\zeta\| \leq \|\phi_\zeta\|$. Indeed, given $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a projection P in \mathcal{Z} with $P^\wedge(\zeta) = 1$ and $\|P\phi(U)\| \leq |\phi(U)^\wedge(\zeta)| + \varepsilon$. Thus $\|\theta_\zeta\| = \|\phi_\zeta\|$. However, we also have that

$$\|\theta_\zeta\| = \|(UU^*U) \cdot \phi_\zeta\| \leq \|(UU^*) \cdot \phi_\zeta\| \leq \|\phi_\zeta\| = \|\theta_\zeta\|.$$

By Lemma 4 we conclude that $(UU^*) \cdot \phi_\zeta = \phi_\zeta$. Since ζ is arbitrary we have that $\phi(A) = \theta(U^*A)$ for all A in \mathcal{A} . Q.E.D.

Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra whose center \mathcal{Z} is an AW^* -algebra. Let ϕ be a positive functional in \mathcal{A}^\sim . There is a set $\{C_i\}$ of positive elements in \mathcal{Z} and a set $\{P_i\}$ of mutually orthogonal projections in \mathcal{Z} of sum P such that

$$P_i C_i \phi(1) = P_i \quad \text{and} \quad (1-P)\phi(1) = 0.$$

Then setting $\psi(A) = \sum C_i P_i \phi(A)$ for A in \mathcal{A} , we obtain a positive functional ψ of the module \mathcal{A} such that $\phi(1)\psi = \phi$. Due to the general Hahn-Banach theorem there is a positive functional ϕ_0 of the module \mathcal{A} such that $\phi_0(1) = 1$. So every positive functional in \mathcal{A}^\sim can be decomposed into the product of a state of the module \mathcal{A} (i.e. a positive functional taking 1 into 1) and an element in \mathcal{Z}^+ [11], [15].

Let ϕ be a positive functional in \mathcal{A}^\sim such that $\phi(1)$ is a projection. Let L_ϕ be the left ideal defined by $L_\phi = \{A \in \mathcal{A} \mid \phi(A^*A) = 0\}$ and let $\mathcal{A} - L_\phi$ be the \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{A} reduced modulo L_ϕ . Setting $(A - L_\phi, B - L_\phi) = \phi(B^*A)$ for A and B in \mathcal{A} , we introduce a \mathcal{Z} -valued hermitian form on $\mathcal{A} - L_\phi$ and then using this form and the norm of \mathcal{Z} , we introduce a norm on $\mathcal{A} - L_\phi$. Let H'_ϕ be the set of all pairs $(\{x_i\}, \{P_i\}) = x$ where $\{x_i\}$ is a bounded subset of $\mathcal{A} - L_\phi$ and $\{P_i\}$ is a set of mutually orthogonal projections in \mathcal{Z} of sum 1. If $y = (\{y_j\}, \{Q_j\})$ is in H'_ϕ , then set $y = x$ if and only if $y_j Q_j P_i = x_i Q_j P_i$ for all i and j . The hermitian form on $\mathcal{A} - L_\phi$ has a unique extension to H'_ϕ . The completion H_ϕ of H'_ϕ in the norm introduced by the hermitian form is an AW^* -module over \mathcal{Z} with inner product induced by the hermitian form on H'_ϕ . Actually, the module H_ϕ is not faithful over \mathcal{Z} but it is faithful over $\mathcal{Z}\phi(1)$. The representation of \mathcal{A} on $\mathcal{A} - L_\phi$ by left multiplication has a unique extension to a representation π_ϕ of \mathcal{A} as bounded linear operators on H_ϕ . The map π_ϕ is seen to be a module homomorphism as well as a $*$ -algebra homomorphism. This map is called the canonical representation induced by ϕ of \mathcal{A} on H_ϕ [18, §§2-3].

Now let \mathcal{A} be an AW^* -algebra with center \mathcal{Z} . Suppose \mathcal{A} is a subalgebra of the algebra $L(H)$ of all bounded linear operators on an AW^* -module H over \mathcal{Z} . Let $\{A_i\}$ be a bounded subset of \mathcal{A} and let $\{P_i\}$ be a set of orthogonal projections in \mathcal{Z} of least upper bound 1. It is immaterial whether \mathcal{Z} is considered as a subalgebra of \mathcal{A} or of $L(H)$ in order to evaluate this least upper bound. Then there is a unique A in \mathcal{A} (respectively B in $L(H)$) such that $P_i A = A_i P_i$ (respectively, $P_i B = A_i P_i$) for each P_i . This means that $A = B$. This remark plus I. Kaplansky's matrix method for passing from the hermitian to the nonhermitian case ([7]; also cf. [1, I, §3, Theorem 3]) gives the following version of H. Widom's lemma [18, Lemma 4.2].

LEMMA. *Let H be an AW^* -module over the commutative AW^* -algebra \mathcal{Z} . Let \mathcal{A} be an AW^* -algebra with center \mathcal{Z} and let \mathcal{A} be a subalgebra of $L(H)$. Given any B in the double commutator of \mathcal{A} on H , any x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n in H , and any $\epsilon > 0$, then there is an A in \mathcal{A} whose norm is majorized by that of B such that $\|(A - B)x_i\| < \epsilon$ for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.*

PROPOSITION 6. *Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra whose center \mathcal{Z} is an AW^* -algebra. Suppose \mathcal{A} is the dual of a normed \mathcal{Z} -module M . Then \mathcal{A} is an AW^* -algebra. Furthermore, let N be the smallest \mathcal{Z} -module in \mathcal{A}^\sim which contains M and is closed under*

left and right multiplication by elements of \mathcal{A} . Then the module \mathcal{A} is the dual of the module N .

Proof. Let S be the set of all states in \mathcal{A}^\sim . For each $\phi \in S$, let π_ϕ be the canonical representation of \mathcal{A} on the AW^* -module H_ϕ over \mathcal{Z} which is induced by ϕ . Let $H = \sum \oplus H_\phi$ and let $\pi = \sum \oplus \pi_\phi$ [10, §5]. Then π is a \mathcal{Z} -linear, norm-decreasing, $*$ -homomorphism of the algebra \mathcal{A} into $L(H)$. Now, we have that

$$\|A\| = \text{lub} \{ \|\phi(A)\| \mid \phi \in M, \|\phi\| \leq 1 \}.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given; there is a ϕ in the unit sphere of M such that $\|\phi(A)\| \geq \|A\| - \varepsilon$. There is a partial isometry V in \mathcal{A} such that $V \cdot \phi$ is a positive functional on the module \mathcal{A} and $(VV^*) \cdot \phi = \phi$ (Proposition 5). Then we have that $\|V \cdot \phi\| = \|\phi\|$. There is a C in \mathcal{Z}^+ and a state ψ on the module \mathcal{A} such that $C\psi = V \cdot \phi$. Then $\|C\| = \|\phi(V)\| = \|\phi\| \leq 1$. We have that $V - L_\psi$ has norm not exceeding one in H_ψ . Thus

$$\|(\pi_\psi(A)(1 - L_\psi), V - L_\psi)\| = \|\psi(V^*A)\| \geq \|\phi(A)\| \geq \|A\| - \varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary we have that $\|\pi(A)\| = \|A\|$. So π is an isometric isomorphism of \mathcal{A} into a $*$ -subalgebra of $L(H)$.

We show that the double commutator \mathcal{B} of $\pi(\mathcal{A})$ on H is isometric isomorphic to the second dual $\mathcal{A}^{\sim\sim}$ of the module \mathcal{A} . Let $\phi \in \mathcal{A}^\sim$; then ϕ may be written as a linear combination of four positive functionals ϕ_i ($1 \leq i \leq 4$) of the module \mathcal{A} [11], [15]. There are positive elements C_i ($1 \leq i \leq 4$) in \mathcal{Z} and states ψ_i ($1 \leq i \leq 4$) of the module \mathcal{A} such that $C_i\psi_i = \phi_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq 4$). There are x_i ($1 \leq i \leq 4$) in H such that

$$\psi_i(A) = (\pi(A)x_i, x_i) \quad (1 \leq i \leq 4)$$

for every A in \mathcal{A} . Thus there is an element ϕ' in \mathcal{B}_\sim such that $\phi'(\pi(A)) = \phi(A)$ for every A in \mathcal{A} . Because $\pi(\mathcal{A})$ is weakly dense in \mathcal{B} (H. Widom's lemma), there is only one functional ϕ' in \mathcal{B}_\sim such that $\phi'(\pi(A)) = \phi(A)$ for every A in \mathcal{A} . This proves that the relation $\phi \rightarrow \phi'$ is a function of \mathcal{A}^\sim into \mathcal{B}_\sim . It is easily seen to be \mathcal{Z} -linear. For each ψ in \mathcal{B}_\sim the relation $\phi(A) = \psi(\pi(A))$ defines a bounded functional ϕ of the module \mathcal{A} such that $\phi' = \psi$. So the map $\phi \rightarrow \phi'$ is onto \mathcal{B}_\sim . Furthermore, for each ϕ in \mathcal{A}^\sim we have that

$$\|\phi\| = \text{lub} \{ \|\phi(A)\| \mid \|A\| \leq 1 \} = \text{lub} \{ \|\phi'(A)\| \mid A \in \pi(\mathcal{A}), \|A\| \leq 1 \} = \|\phi'\|$$

since the unit sphere of $\pi(\mathcal{A})$ is weakly dense in the unit spheres of \mathcal{B} (H. Widom's lemma). This proves that \mathcal{A}^\sim is isometrically isomorphic with \mathcal{B}_\sim and thus that $\mathcal{A}^{\sim\sim}$ is isometrically isomorphic with \mathcal{B} (Remark, Theorem 2).

Let ρ be the transpose of the identity map of M into \mathcal{A}^\sim , i.e. let ρ be the map of \mathcal{B} into \mathcal{A} given by $\phi(\rho(A)) = \phi'(A)$ for every A in \mathcal{B} and ϕ in M . Then we have that

$$\phi(A) = \phi'(\pi(A)) = \phi(\rho(\pi(A)))$$

for every ϕ in M and A in \mathcal{A} . This means that $\rho(\pi(A)) = A$ and that $\pi \cdot \rho(\pi(A))$

$=\pi(A)$ for every A in \mathcal{A} . Therefore the map $\eta=\pi\cdot\rho$ is a projection of \mathcal{B} onto $\pi(\mathcal{A})$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\eta(A)\| &= \|\rho(A)\| = \text{lub} \{ \|\phi(\rho(A))\| \mid \phi \in M, \|\phi\| \leq 1 \} \\ &\leq \text{lub} \{ \|\phi'(A)\| \mid \phi \in \mathcal{A}^\sim, \|\phi'\| \leq 1 \} \leq \|A\|\end{aligned}$$

for every A in \mathcal{B} . Thus the function η is a projection of norm 1. This proves that \mathcal{A} is an AW^* -algebra due to a result of Tomiyama [16, Theorem 5]. Also following Tomiyama, we can show that the kernel K of η is an ideal in \mathcal{B} . Indeed, if A and C are in $\pi(\mathcal{A})$ and if $\eta(B)=0$, then $\eta(ABC)=A\eta(B)C=0$. Now if A is in \mathcal{B} , then A is the weak limit of a net $\{A_n\}$ in $\pi(\mathcal{A})$. This means that

$$\phi(\rho(AB)) = \phi'(AB) = \lim \phi'(A_n B) = \lim \phi'(\eta(A_n B)) = \lim \phi'(A_n \eta(B)) = 0$$

for every $\phi \in M$. This proves that $\rho(AB)=0$ and that $\eta(AB)=0$, and therefore that K is a left ideal. Similarly, we obtain that K is a right ideal and therefore that K is a two-sided ideal. By the same reasoning we see that K is weakly closed. Let $\{E_n \mid n \in D\}$ be a maximal set of mutually orthogonal nonzero projections in K . Let F be the family of finite subsets of D . For each s in F let $E_s = \sum \{E_n \mid n \in s\}$. Let E be the least upper bound of $\{E_s\}$ in \mathcal{B} . Now given an element ϕ in M , a nonzero projection P in \mathcal{Z} , and an $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an s_0 in F and a nonzero projection Q in $\mathcal{Z}P$ such that $\|\phi'(E_s - E)Q\| \leq \varepsilon$ whenever $s \supset s_0$ ([3, Lemma 4.2] and [18, Lemma 1.4]). Since

$$\phi'(E_s) = \sum \{\phi'(\eta(E_n)) \mid n \in s\} = 0,$$

we have that $\|\phi'(E)Q\| \leq \varepsilon$. Let $\{Q_n\}$ be a maximal set of mutually orthogonal nonzero projections in \mathcal{Z} such that $\|\phi'(E)Q_n\| \leq \varepsilon$ for every Q_n . It is evident that $\sum Q_n = 1$ and hence that $\|\phi'(E)\| \leq \varepsilon$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary we see that $\phi(\rho(E)) = \phi'(E) = 0$. Since ϕ is arbitrary, we have that $\rho(E)=0$; and therefore, we have that $E \in K$. Because K is generated in the uniform topology by its projections, we have that $AE=EA=A$ for every A in K . This means that E is a projection in the center of \mathcal{B} and that $\mathcal{B}E=K$. This proves that η is an isomorphism of the algebra $\mathcal{B}(1-E)$ onto the algebra $\pi(\mathcal{A})$. The map η is also a module isomorphism.

Let N be the smallest \mathcal{Z} -module in \mathcal{A}^\sim which contains M and is closed under right and left multiplication by elements of \mathcal{A} . We show that N^\sim is isometric isomorphic to \mathcal{A} . Let Φ be a bounded functional of the module N . There is a functional Ψ of the module \mathcal{A}^\sim such that $\Psi(\phi) = \Phi(\phi)$ for all ϕ in N and such that $\|\Psi\| = \|\Phi\|$ [11], [15]. There is an element B in \mathcal{B} such that $\Psi(\phi) = \phi'(B)$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{A}^\sim$. If $\phi \in M$ and if $A \in \mathcal{A}$, we have that

$$(A \cdot \phi)'(\pi(C)) = A \cdot \phi(C) = \phi(AC) = \phi'(\pi(AC)) = \pi(A) \cdot \phi'(\pi(C))$$

for every C in \mathcal{A} . Since $(A \cdot \phi)'$ and $\pi(A) \cdot \phi'$ are weakly continuous on \mathcal{B} and since $\pi(\mathcal{A})$ is weakly dense in \mathcal{B} , we have that $(A \cdot \phi)' = \pi(A) \cdot \phi'$. Therefore, we have that

$$\Phi(A \cdot \phi) = \phi'(\pi(A)B) = \phi'(\pi(A)\eta(B)) = \phi'(\pi(A\rho(B))) = \phi(A\rho(B)) = (A \cdot \phi)(\rho(B)).$$

Similarly, we have that $\Phi(\phi \cdot A) = (\phi \cdot A)(\rho(B))$. So there is a B_Φ in \mathcal{A} such that $\Phi(\phi) = \phi(B_\Phi)$ for every ϕ in N . If $B'_\Phi \in \mathcal{A}$ and if $\phi(B_\Phi) = \phi(B'_\Phi)$ for every ϕ in N , then B_Φ is equal to B'_Φ . Hence, there is a unique B_Φ in \mathcal{A} such that $\Phi(\phi) = \phi(B_\Phi)$ for every $\phi \in N$. The function $\Phi \rightarrow B_\Phi$ is obviously a module isomorphism of the module N^\sim onto the module \mathcal{A} . Finally we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_\Phi\| &= \text{lub} \{ \|\phi(B_\Phi)\| \mid \phi \in M, \|\phi\| \leq 1 \} \\ &\leq \text{lub} \{ \|\phi(B_\Phi)\| \mid \phi \in N, \|\phi\| \leq 1 \} = \|\Phi\| \leq \|B_\Phi\|. \end{aligned}$$

So $\Phi \rightarrow B_\Phi$ is an isometric isomorphism of N^\sim onto \mathcal{A} . Q.E.D.

THEOREM 7. *Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra whose center is an AW^* -algebra \mathcal{Z} . Suppose \mathcal{A} is the dual of a \mathcal{Z} -module M . Let N' be the smallest \mathcal{Z} -module in the dual of the module \mathcal{A} which contains M and is closed under left and right multiplication by elements of \mathcal{A} , and let N be the module generated by N' in \mathcal{A}^\sim . Then \mathcal{A} may be embedded as a double commutator in the algebra of all bounded linear operators on an AW^* -module over \mathcal{Z} so that the weak topology and the $\sigma(\mathcal{A}, N)$ -topology coincide on the unit sphere of \mathcal{A} .*

Proof. Let S be the set of all positive functionals ϕ in N such that $\phi(1)$ is a projection in \mathcal{Z} . For each ϕ in S let π_ϕ be the canonical representation of \mathcal{A} on the AW^* -module H_ϕ over $\mathcal{Z}\phi(1)$ which is induced by ϕ . Then H_ϕ may be considered as an AW^* -module over \mathcal{Z} . Let $H = \sum \oplus \{H_\phi \mid \phi \in S\}$ and let $\pi = \sum \oplus \{\pi_\phi \mid \phi \in S\}$. The AW^* -module H is a faithful AW^* -module over \mathcal{Z} . Indeed, if P is a nonzero projection in \mathcal{Z} , then

$$\text{lub} \{ \|\phi(P)\| \mid \phi \in M, \|\phi\| \leq 1 \} = 1.$$

So $\|\phi(P)\| \neq 0$ for some ϕ in the unit sphere of M . Let V be a partial isometry in \mathcal{A} such that $V \cdot \phi$ is a positive functional and such that $VV^* \cdot \phi = \phi$ (Proposition 5). Then $(V \cdot \phi)(P) \neq 0$ because $|\phi(P)|^2 = |\phi(VV^*P)|^2 \leq \phi(V)\phi(VP)$. There is a C in \mathcal{Z}^+ such that $\phi(CV)$ is a nonzero projection in \mathcal{Z} majorized by P . Setting $\psi = CV \cdot \phi$, we obtain an element ψ in S such that $P(1 - L_\psi) \neq 0$. Hence H is a faithful AW^* -module over \mathcal{Z} .

We show that the map π is an isometry. Let A be a nonzero positive element in \mathcal{A} . It is enough to show that $\|\pi(A)\| = \|A\|$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be an arbitrary number less than $\|A\|$. There is a ϕ in the unit sphere of N such that $\|\phi(A)\| > \|A\| - \varepsilon$ and thus there is a nonzero projection P in \mathcal{Z} such that

$$|P\phi(A)| \geq (\|A\| - \varepsilon)P.$$

There is a partial isometry V in \mathcal{A} such that $V \cdot \phi$ is a positive functional and such that $VV^* \cdot \phi = \phi$ (Proposition 5). Then we have that

$$(\|A\| - \varepsilon)^2 P \leq |\phi(A)|^2 \leq \phi(V)\phi(VA^2).$$

So there is a positive element C in \mathcal{Z} such that $CV \cdot \phi = \psi$ is in S and such that

$\psi(1) \geq P$. Since $PV \cdot \phi(1) \leq P$, we see that $CP \geq P$. Hence, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (\|A\| - \varepsilon)^2 P &\leq P\phi(V)\phi(VA^2) \leq \|A\|P\phi(V)\phi(VA) \\ &\leq \|A\|P\psi(1)\psi(A) \leq \|A\|\psi(A). \end{aligned}$$

This proves that $\text{lub} \{\|\psi(A)\| \mid \psi \in S\} = \|A\|$ and that $\|\pi(A)\| = \|A\|$.

We show that $\pi(\mathcal{A})$ is equal to its double commutator \mathcal{B} on H . Let B be an element in \mathcal{B} . There is a net $\{A_n\}$ in the sphere of \mathcal{A} about the origin of radius $\|B\|$ such that $\lim \pi(A_n) = B$ weakly in $L(H)$ because $\pi(\mathcal{A})$ is an AW^* -algebra with center \mathcal{Z} (Proposition 6) and thus Widom's lemma may be employed. Let $\phi \in N$ and let $V \cdot \phi$ be the polar decomposition of ϕ . There is a sequence $\{P_m\}$ of orthogonal projections in \mathcal{Z} of sum P such that $P_m\phi(V)$ has inverse C_m in $\mathcal{Z}P_m$ and such that $(1 - P)\phi(V) = 0$. By the hypothesis on N , we see that $\psi = \sum P_m(C_m V \cdot \phi)$ is in N and therefore in S and that $\phi(V)\psi = V \cdot \phi$. Setting $x = 1 - L_\psi$, we have that

$$\lim (\pi(A_n)x, \pi(V)x) = (Bx, \pi(V)x)$$

uniformly in \mathcal{Z} . This means that $\{\phi(A_n)\}$ is a Cauchy net in the uniform topology of \mathcal{Z} and therefore $\{\phi(A_n)\}$ converges uniformly to an element $\Phi(\phi)$ in the sphere of radius $\|\phi\|\|B\|$ about the origin. Hence, we see that $\phi \rightarrow \Phi(\phi)$ defines an element Φ in N^\sim and therefore we have an element A_0 in \mathcal{A} such that $\Phi(\phi) = \phi(A_0)$ for every ϕ in N . Now for arbitrary ψ in S we have that $A \cdot \psi \cdot C$ is in N and therefore that

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi(A_0)\pi(C)x, \pi(A)^*x) &= \lim (A \cdot \psi \cdot C)(A_n) \\ &= \lim (\pi(A_n)\pi(C)x, \pi(A)^*x) = (B\pi(C)x, \pi(A)^*x) \end{aligned}$$

where $x = 1 - L_\psi$. Therefore, we have proved that $((\pi(A_0) - B)y, z) = 0$ for all y, z in $K = \{\pi(A)x \mid A \in \mathcal{A}\}$. Now given A in \mathcal{A} , there is a net $\{C_n\}$ in \mathcal{A} such that $\{\pi(C_n)\}$ converges weakly to $(\pi(A_0) - B)\pi(A)$ since $(\pi(A_0) - B)\pi(A)$ is in \mathcal{B} . Therefore,

$$\|(\pi(A_0) - B)\pi(A)x\|^2 = \lim ((\pi(A_0) - B)\pi(A)x, \pi(C_n)x) = 0.$$

This means that $\pi(A_0) - B$ vanishes on K and therefore on H_ψ . Since ψ is arbitrary, we conclude that $\pi(A_0) = B$ and therefore that $\pi(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{B}$.

We now identify \mathcal{A} with \mathcal{B} and we show that the $\sigma(\mathcal{A}, N)$ -topology and the $\sigma(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}_\sim)$ -topology coincide on the unit sphere of \mathcal{A} . For each $\psi \in S$ let E_ψ be the projection of H on H_ψ [10, §6]. By the definition of H we have that the least upper bound of the family $\{E_\psi \mid \psi \in S\}$ is 1. Let x be in H and let ε be a strictly positive number. There is a set $\{P_i\}$ of mutually orthogonal projections in \mathcal{Z} of sum 1 such that for each i there is a finite subset $n(i)$ of S with

$$P_i(|x|^2 - \sum \{|E_\psi x|^2 \mid \psi \in n(i)\}) < \varepsilon^2 P_i$$

since

$$\sum \{|E_\psi x|^2 \mid \psi \in S\} = |x|^2$$

[3, Lemma 4.2]. Let i be fixed and let $n(i) = \{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n\}$. Let $E_{\psi_k} = E_k$ and let

$x_k = 1 - L_{\psi_k}$. There is a set $\{Q_j\}$, not depending on k , of mutually orthogonal projections in \mathcal{L} of sum P_i such that for each $k=1, 2, \dots, n$ there is a set $\{A_{kj}\}_j$ in \mathcal{A} with $\{\psi_k(A_{kj}^* A_{kj})\}_j$ bounded and

$$\left\| \sum_j Q_j A_{kj} x_k - E_k x \right\| < \varepsilon n^{-1}.$$

Let $y_j = \sum_k Q_j A_{kj} x_k$. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |Q_j(y_j - x)| &\leq |Q_j(y_j - \sum E_k x)| + |Q_j(1 - \sum E_k)x| \\ &\leq \sum_k |Q_j(A_{kj} x_k - E_k x)| + |Q_j(1 - \sum E_k)x| \leq 2\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |y_j| &\leq |Q_j(y_j - \sum E_k x)| + |Q_j \sum E_k x| \\ &\leq (\varepsilon + (\|\sum E_k x\|^2)^{1/2}) Q_j \leq (\varepsilon + \|x\|) Q_j. \end{aligned}$$

Then setting

$$\phi_j(A) = (Ay_j, y_j) = Q_j \sum_k \psi_k(A_{kj}^* A A_{kj}),$$

we obtain a positive functional in N of norm not exceeding $(\varepsilon + \|x\|)^2$. There is a unique y_i (respectively θ_i) in H (respectively in N) such that $Q_j y_i = y_j$ (respectively $Q_j \theta_i = \phi_j$) for each Q_j and $(1 - P_i)y_i = 0$ (respectively, $(1 - P_i)\theta_i = 0$). We have that $\theta_i(A) = (Ay_i, y_i)$ for each A in \mathcal{A} and that $\|\theta_i\| \leq (\varepsilon + \|x\|)^2$. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} P_i |(Ax, x)| &\leq \|A\| |P_i(x - y_i)| |P_i x| \\ &\quad + \|A\| |P_i(x - y_i)| |P_i y_i| + |\theta_i(A)| \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon \|A\| (\varepsilon + 2\|x\|) P_i + |\theta_i(A)|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\theta_i(1) \leq (\varepsilon + \|x\|)^2 P_i$, there is a unique θ in N such that $P_i \theta = \theta_i$ for each P_i . This means that

$$|(Ax, x)| \leq 2\varepsilon \|A\| (\varepsilon + 2\|x\|) + |\theta(A)|$$

for every A in \mathcal{A} . Now it becomes obvious that the $\sigma(\mathcal{A}, N)$ -topology is finer than the weak topology on the unit sphere of \mathcal{A} .

Conversely, let ϕ be a functional in N and let U be a partial isometry of \mathcal{A} such that $U \cdot \phi$ is positive and $UU^* \cdot \phi = \phi$. There is a sequence $\{P_n\}$ of mutually orthogonal projections in \mathcal{L} such that $P_n \phi(U) = C_n$ is invertible with inverse D_n in $\mathcal{L} P_n$ and such that $(1 - \sum P_n) \phi(U) = 0$. Then $\sum D_n U \cdot \phi = \psi$ is in N . Since $\psi(1) = \sum P_n$, the functional ψ is in S . We then have that $\phi(A) = (Ax, y)$ where $x = \sum C_n(1 - L_\psi)$ and $y = U(1 - L_\psi)$. Thus a net $\{A_n\}$ in the unit sphere of \mathcal{A} converges to A in the $\sigma(\mathcal{A}, N)$ -topology whenever $\{A_n\}$ converges to A in the $\sigma(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}_\sim)$ -topology. Q.E.D.

REMARK. In the notation of Theorem 7 we have that the closure of N in the uniform topology of \mathcal{A}^\sim is equal to the closure of \mathcal{A}_\sim in \mathcal{A}^\sim and that \mathcal{A} is the dual of the closure of the module N .

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. J. Dixmier, *Les algèbres d'opérateurs dans l'espace hilbertien*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1957. MR 20 #1234.
2. ———, *Les C^* -algèbres et leurs représentations*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1964. MR 30 #1404.
3. M. Goldman, *Structure of AW^* -algebras*. I, Duke Math. J. **23** (1956), 23–34. MR 17, 512; MR 17, 1437.
4. H. Halpern, *A spectral decomposition for self-adjoint elements in the maximum GCR ideal of a von Neumann algebra with applications to noncommutative integration theory*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **133** (1968), 281–306. MR 37 #5704.
5. ———, *Module homomorphisms of a von Neumann algebra into its center* (Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **140** (1969), 183–193).
6. R. Kadison, *Isometries of operator algebras*, Ann. of Math. (2) **54** (1951), 325–338. MR 13, 256.
7. I. Kaplansky, *A theorem of rings of operators*, Pacific J. Math. **1** (1951), 227–232. MR 14, 291.
8. ———, *Projections in Banach algebras*, Ann. of Math. (2) **53** (1951), 235–249. MR 13, 48.
9. ———, *Algebras of type I*, Ann. of Math. (2) **56** (1952), 460–472. MR 14, 291.
10. ———, *Modules over operator algebras*, Amer. J. Math. **75** (1953), 839–858. MR 15, 327.
11. M. Nakai, *Some expectations in AW^* -algebras*, Proc. Japan Acad. **34** (1958), 411–416. MR 20 #1924.
12. S. Sakai, *A characterization of W^* -algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **6** (1956), 763–773. MR 18, 811.
13. ———, *On linear functionals of W^* -algebras*, Proc. Japan Acad. **34** (1958), 571–574. MR 21 #5915.
14. M. Takesaki, *On the conjugate space of operator algebra*, Tôhoku Math. J. (2) **10** (1958), 194–203. MR 20 #7227.
15. ———, *On the Hahn-Banach type theorem and the Jordan decomposition of module linear mapping over some operator algebras*, Kôdai Math. Sem. Rep. **12** (1960), 1–10. MR 22 # 5914.
16. J. Tomiyama, *On the projection of norm one in W^* -algebras*, Proc. Japan Acad. **33** (1957), 608–612. MR 20 #2635.
17. G. Vincent-Smith, *The Hahn-Banach theorem for modules*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **17** (1967), 72–90. MR 35 #766.
18. H. Widom, *Embedding in algebras of type I*, Duke Math. J. **23** (1956), 309–324. MR 17, 1228.
19. T. Yen, *Trace on finite AW^* -algebras*, Duke Math. J. **22** (1955), 207–222. MR 16, 1033.

ILLINOIS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY,
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS