## APPROXIMATIONS AND REPRESENTATIONS FOR FOURIER TRANSFORMS

## BY RAOUF DOSS

Abstract. G is a locally compact abelian group with dual  $\Gamma$ . If  $p(\gamma) = \sum_{1}^{N} a_{n}(x_{n}, \gamma)$  is a trigonometric polynomial, its capacity, by definition is  $\sum |a_{n}|$ . The main theorem is: Let  $\varphi$  be a measurable function defined on the measurable subset  $\Lambda$  of  $\Gamma$ . If  $\varphi$  can be approximated on finite sets in  $\Lambda$  by trigonometric polynomials of capacity at most C (constant), then  $\varphi = \hat{\mu}$ , locally almost everywhere on  $\Lambda$ , where  $\mu$  is a regular bounded measure on G and  $\|\mu\| \le C$ .

In this paper G is a locally compact abelian group with dual  $\Gamma$ . The set of bounded regular measures on G will be denoted M(G). If  $\mu \in M(G)$  its transform  $\hat{\mu}$  is defined by

$$\hat{\mu}(\gamma) = \int_G (x, -\gamma) d\mu(x), \qquad \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

DEFINITION. If  $p(\gamma) = \sum_{1}^{N} a_{n}(x_{n}, \gamma)$  is a trigonometric polynomial on  $\Gamma$ , its capacity, by definition, is  $\sum_{1}^{N} |a_{n}|$ . If  $s(\gamma) = \sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}(x_{n}, \gamma)$  with  $\sum_{1}^{\infty} |a_{n}| = C < \infty$ , then  $s(\gamma)$  will be called a trigonometric series with capacity C.

Now if  $\varphi$  is any continuous function on  $\Gamma$ , then  $\varphi$  can be uniformly approximated on compact sets in  $\Gamma$  by trigonometric polynomials (Stone-Weierstrass theorem). In general the capacities of these polynomials will be unbounded. If we demand that these capacities be bounded by a fixed constant C we get a characterization of the transform of a measure. We shall prove

PROPOSITION 1. Let  $\varphi$  be a function defined on  $\Gamma$ . In order that  $\varphi = \hat{\mu}$  for some  $\mu \in M(G)$  it is necessary and sufficient that there exists a constant C such that  $\varphi$  can be uniformly approximated on any compact set in  $\Gamma$  by trigonometric polynomials of capacity at most C.

This approximation property can be strengthened to a representation property. In fact,

PROPOSITION 1'. Let  $\varphi$  be a function defined on  $\Gamma$ . In order that  $\varphi = \hat{\mu}$  for some  $\mu \in M(G)$  it is necessary and sufficient that there exists a constant C such that for any compact set  $\Lambda$  in  $\Gamma$ ,  $\varphi$  is equal on  $\Lambda$  to a trigonometric series of capacity at most C.

Received by the editors April 14, 1969 and, in revised form, February 13, 1970. AMS 1969 subject classifications. Primary 4250, 4252.

Key words and phrases. Locally compact abelian group, Fourier-Stieltjes transform of a measure, trigonometric polynomials, approximation.

These propositions are not entirely new for they are equivalent to known results, stated differently (see below).

A function  $\varphi$  with the above approximation or representation property is automatically continuous. It is an important theorem of Bochner [2] and Eberlein [5] that the continuity (or even measurability) of  $\varphi$ , combined with the approximation property on *finite* [instead of compact] sets in  $\Gamma$ , implies that  $\varphi = \hat{\mu}$  (almost everywhere in case of measurability of  $\varphi$ ), where  $\mu \in M(G)$ . In fact, the Bochner-Eberlein theorem may be given the form:

THEOREM B-E. Let  $\varphi$  be continuous (resp. measurable) on  $\Gamma$ . If  $\varphi$  can be approximated on any finite set in  $\Gamma$  by trigonometric polynomials of capacity at most C, then  $\varphi = \hat{\mu}$  (resp. locally almost everywhere) where  $\mu \in M(G)$  and  $\|\mu\| \leq C$ .

Our main result is an analogous theorem valid for restrictions to a measurable subset  $\Lambda$  of  $\Gamma$ . Namely,

THEOREM. Assume  $\varphi$  is measurable on the measurable set  $\Lambda$  in  $\Gamma$  and that  $\varphi$  is approximable on finite sets in  $\Lambda$  by trigonometric polynomials with capacity at most C, then  $\varphi = \hat{\mu}$  locally almost everywhere on  $\Lambda$ , where  $\mu \in M(G)$  and  $\|\mu\| \leq C$ .

Particular cases of this theorem are due to Bochner [2]:  $\Gamma = R$ ,  $\Lambda = R$ ; to Krein (cf. [1, pp. 154–159]):  $\Gamma = R$ ,  $\Lambda =$  an interval; to Eberlein [5]:  $\Lambda = \Gamma$ ; and to Rosenthal [7]:  $\Gamma = R$  although their statements are expressed somewhat differently but equivalently. (See below.)

In the final part of the paper we restate in a new form, the result appearing in [4], that the transform of an integrable function *lives* mostly on compact sets, while the transform of a singular measure is scattered all over  $\Gamma$ .

The proof of Propositions 1 and 1' is based on the following.

PROPOSITION 2. Let  $\varphi$  be a function defined on a subset  $\Lambda$  of  $\Gamma$ . Then the following two statements are equivalent:

- (A)  $\varphi$  is approximable on finite sets in  $\Lambda$  by polynomials with capacity at most C.
- (B) If  $q(x) = \sum_{1}^{M} b_m(x, \gamma_m)$  with  $\gamma_m \in \Lambda$  and  $||q||_{\infty} \le 1$  then  $|\sum b_m \varphi(\gamma_m)| \le C$ .

**Proof.** Assume (A) holds. Let  $q(x) = \sum_{1}^{M} b_{m}(x, \gamma_{m})$ , with  $\gamma_{m} \in \Lambda$  and  $||q||_{\infty} \le 1$ ;  $\varepsilon > 0$  being given, there is, by hypothesis, a polynomial  $p(\gamma) = \sum a_{n}(x_{n}, \gamma)$  with  $\sum |a_{n}| \le C$  such that

$$|\varphi(\gamma_m) - p(\gamma_m)| \le \varepsilon / \sum_k |b_k|, \qquad m = 1, \dots, M.$$

$$\left| \sum_m b_m \varphi(\gamma_m) - \sum_m b_m p(\gamma_m) \right| \le \varepsilon.$$

$$\left| \sum_m b_m p(\gamma_m) \right| = \left| \sum_n a_n q(x_n) \right| \le \sum_m |a_n| \le C.$$

$$\left| \sum_m b_m \varphi(\gamma_m) \right| \le C + \varepsilon.$$

Hence

Then

But

ε being arbitrary, statement (B) holds.

Conversely, assume (B) holds.

Going to the Bohr compactification  $\overline{G}$  of G, using the Hahn-Banach extension theorem and the Riesz representation theorem, we see that there is a measure  $\mu \in M(\overline{G})$ , with  $\|\mu\| \leq C$ , whose transform  $\hat{\mu}$  is equal to  $\varphi$  on  $\Lambda$ .

Let  $\{\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_M\}$  be a finite subset of  $\Lambda$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. There is a finite, disjoint, not necessarily open covering  $W_1, \ldots, W_N$  of the compact group  $\overline{G}$  such that

$$|(\bar{x}, \gamma_m) - (\bar{x}', \gamma_m)| < \varepsilon, \qquad m = 1, \ldots, M,$$

provided  $\bar{x}, \bar{x}' \in W_n, n=1, \ldots, N$ .

Choose some  $\bar{x}_n \in W_n$ ,  $n=1,\ldots,N$ , and put

(1) 
$$a_n = \mu(W_n),$$
 
$$\bar{p}(\gamma) = \sum_{n} a_n(\bar{x}_n, -\gamma).$$

Then

$$\sum |a_n| = \sum |\mu(W_n)| \leq ||\mu|| \leq C.$$

We have

(2) 
$$\hat{\mu}(\gamma_m) = \sum_n \int_{W_n} (\bar{x}, -\gamma_m) d\mu(\bar{x}), \qquad m = 1, \ldots, M.$$

If  $\bar{x} \in W_n$  then  $|(\bar{x}, \gamma_m) - (\bar{x}_n, \gamma_m)| < \varepsilon$ . Therefore

$$\left| \int_{W_n} (\bar{x}, -\gamma_m) \, d\mu(\bar{x}) - \int_{W_n} (\bar{x}_n, -\gamma_m) \, d\mu(\bar{x}) \right| < \varepsilon |\mu|(W_n).$$

This is

$$\left| \int_{W_n} (\bar{x}, -\gamma_m) \, d\mu(\bar{x}) - a_n(\bar{x}_n, -\gamma_m) \right| < \varepsilon |\mu|(W_n).$$

We conclude, from (1) and (2), for  $m=1, \ldots, M$ ,

$$|\hat{\mu}(\gamma_m) - \bar{p}(\gamma_m)| < \varepsilon \sum_n |\mu|(W_n) \le \varepsilon ||\mu|| \le \varepsilon C.$$

Finally, since G is dense in  $\overline{G}$  we can choose  $x_n \in G$  such that

$$|(x_n, \gamma_m) - (\bar{x}_n, \gamma_m)| \leq \varepsilon, \qquad m = 1, \ldots, M.$$

Put  $p(\gamma) = \sum_{n} a_n(x_n, -\gamma)$ . Then

$$|\hat{\mu}(\gamma_m)-p(\gamma_m)|<2\varepsilon C, \qquad m=1,\ldots,M.$$

ε being arbitrary, property (A) holds.

Proposition 2 is now proved.

Proposition 2 shows that our statement of Theorem B-E is equivalent to the original statement of the Bochner-Eberlein theorem.

Also the sufficiency of the conditions appearing in Propositions 1 and 1' is a consequence of the sufficiency of the weaker condition appearing in Theorem B-E.

There remains only to show the necessity of the condition in Proposition 1'. But this is precisely a theorem of K. de Leeuw and C. Herz ([3, Theorem 1]; take  $G_1 = G$ ,  $G_2 = \overline{G}$ , the Bohr compactification of G).

We now go to the proof of our main theorem.

LEMMA 1. Let  $G_1$  be a locally compact abelian group of the form  $G_1 = R^a \times T^b \times D$  where a, b are nonnegative integers and D a discrete group. Let  $V_0$  be a neighborhood of 0 in  $G_1$ , which is a direct product of compact symmetric neighborhoods of 0 in the factors R, T occurring in  $G_1$  and of the neighborhood  $\{0\}$  of 0 in D. Then to any compact set K containing  $V_0 + V_0$  we can associate a function U on U such that

- (1)  $u \ge 0$ ,
- (2) u vanishes outside  $V_0 + V_0$ ,
- (3)  $\int_{G_1} u(x) dx = 1$ ,
- (4)  $u(x) = \sum b_n(x, \gamma_n)$  for  $x \in K$ ,
- (5)  $\sum |b_n| \leq m_1(V_0)^{-1}$ ,
- (6)  $\int_{K+x_0} |\sum b_n(x, \gamma_n)| dx \le 1$  for every  $x_0 \in G_1$ , where  $m_1$  is Haar measure on  $G_1$ .

**Proof.** Case (i).  $G_1 = T$ . Let f be the function in  $L^2(G_1)$  equal to  $m_1(V_0)^{-1}X_{V_0}$  where  $X_{V_0}$  is the characteristic function of  $V_0$ . Then in  $L^2(T)$ 

$$f(x) = \sum a_n(x, \gamma_n), \quad \gamma_n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where  $\sum |a_n|^2 = ||f||_2^2 = m_1(V_0)^{-1}$ . Put u = f \* f. Since f is nonnegative and symmetric we have

$$u(x) = \sum b_n(x, \gamma_n) \ge 0$$

with

$$\sum |b_n| = \sum |a_n|^2 = m_1(V_0)^{-1}$$

and u vanishes outside the set  $V_0 + V_0$ . Also, by Fubini

$$\int_{G_1} u(x) dx = \int_{G_1} \int_{G_1} f(y) f(x-y) dy dx = 1.$$

Finally

$$\int_{K+x_0} \left| \sum b_n(x, \gamma_n) \right| dx \le \int_{G_1} u(x) dx = 1.$$

Case (ii).  $G_1 = R$ . Assume that the compact set K is interior to the interval (-N, N] which may be identified with T. Define the function u as above:

$$u(x) = \sum b_n(x, \gamma_n), \qquad x \in (-N, +N],$$
  
$$u(x) = 0, \qquad x \notin (-N, +N],$$

where now  $(x, \gamma_n)$  has period 2N. Then  $\sum b_n(x, \gamma_n)$  has period 2N and (6) follows. Case (iii).  $G_1 = D$  discrete. Here  $V_0 = \{0\}$ . Then  $m_1(V_0) = 1$  and

$$\int_{K+x_0} \left| \sum b_n(x, \gamma_n) \right| dx = \sum_{x \in K+x_0} \left| \sum b_n(x, \gamma_n) \right|.$$

Let  $\overline{G}_1$  be the Bohr compactification of  $G_1$ , the dual of  $\Gamma_1$  made discrete.

We can find a neighborhood  $W_1$  of 0 in  $\overline{G}_1$  which meets the finite set K-K in just the point 0. Let  $W_2$  be a neighborhood of 0 in  $\overline{G}_1$  such that  $W_2-W_2\subset W_1$ . Then

(\*) for  $x_0 \in G_1$ , the set  $K + x_0$  meets  $W_2$  in one point at most.

For, assume  $k_1, k_2 \in K$ ;  $k_1 + x_0, k_2 + x_0 \in W_2$ . Then  $k_1 - k_2 \in W_2 - W_2 \subseteq W_1$  and therefore  $k_1 - k_2 = 0$ .

Let  $W_3$  be a compact symmetric neighborhood of 0 in  $\overline{G}_1$  such that  $W_3 + W_3 \subset W_2$ . Let F be the function in  $L^2(\overline{G}_1)$  equal to  $\overline{m}_1(W_3)^{-1/2}X_{W_3}$  where  $\overline{m}_1$  is Haar measure in  $\overline{G}_1$ . Then, in  $L^2(\overline{G}_1)$ ,

$$F(\bar{x}) = \sum a_n(\bar{x}, \gamma_n), \quad \bar{x} \in \overline{G}_1, \gamma_n \in \Gamma_1,$$

where  $\sum |a_n|^2 = ||F||_2^2 = 1$ . Put U = F \* F. Then

$$U(\bar{x}) = \sum b_n(\bar{x}, \gamma_n) \ge 0, \quad \bar{x} \in \overline{G}_1,$$

with  $\sum b_n = \sum |b_n| = \sum |a_n|^2 = 1$  and U vanishes outside  $W_3 + W_3$ ; in particular, U vanishes outside  $W_2$ . Since, by (\*), K meets  $W_2$  in just the point 0, then U(x) = 0 for  $x \in K$ ,  $x \neq 0$ . Also U(0) = 1. Put

$$u(0) = 1$$
,  $u(x) = 0$  for  $x \in G_1$ ,  $x \neq 0$ .

Then conditions (1), (2), (3) are satisfied and

$$u(x) = \sum b_n(x, \gamma_n) = U(x)$$
 for  $x \in K$ .

Finally, by (\*), at most one term in the sum

$$\sum_{x \in K + x_0} \left| \sum b_n(x, \gamma_n) \right|$$

is different from 0 and this term is at most 1.

General case. Let  $G_1$  be the finite direct product of the groups  $G_{\alpha}$ . If K is compact in  $G_1$ , then K is contained in a direct product  $\prod K_{\alpha}$ , where  $K_{\alpha}$  is compact in  $G_{\alpha}$ . Take u to be the product of the u's constructed for each  $G_{\alpha}$ .

Lemma 2. Let G be any locally compact abelian group with dual  $\Gamma$ . Let  $\varphi$ , f be two bounded measurable functions with compact support  $\Lambda_0$  in  $\Gamma$  and let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Then, for a certain polynomial  $p(x) = \sum_{1}^{N} b_n(x, \gamma_n)$ , we have

(7) 
$$\int_{\Lambda_0} \left| \sum_{1}^{N} b_n \varphi(\gamma + \gamma_n) - \int_{\Gamma} \varphi \right| d\gamma < \varepsilon,$$

and the function on  $\Lambda_0$ 

$$\sup_{y \in G} \left| \sum_{1}^{N} b_{n} f(\gamma + \gamma_{n})(y, \gamma + \gamma_{n}) \right|, \quad \gamma \in \Lambda_{0},$$

is majorized by a certain function F such that

(8) 
$$\int_{\Lambda_0} F(\gamma) \ d\gamma \le \nu(\Lambda_0) (\|\hat{f}\|_{\infty} + \varepsilon)$$

where  $\nu$  is Haar measure on  $\Gamma$ .

**Proof.** Extend  $\Lambda_0$  to a compact neighborhood  $\Lambda_1$  of 0 in  $\Gamma$  and let  $\Gamma_1$  be the locally compact group generated by  $\Lambda_1$ . Let  $H_1$  be the annihilator of  $\Gamma_1$  and put  $G_1 = G/H_1$ . Then  $G_1$  is the dual of  $\Gamma_1$ . By the structure theorem for compactly generated groups, (see e.g. [6, (9.8)]),  $G_1$  is of the form  $G_1 = R^a \times T^b \times D$  where a, b are nonnegative integers and D a discrete group.

Choose a compact symmetric neighborhood  $V_0$  of 0 in  $G_1$ , which is of the form described in Lemma 1, in such a way that, if u is any function on  $G_1$  satisfying conditions (1), (2), (3) of Lemma 1, then

(9) 
$$\left| \int_{G_1} u(x)(x, \gamma) \hat{\varphi}(x) \, dx - \hat{\varphi}(0) \right| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \Lambda_0 \text{ (compact)}.$$

Observe that, since  $\varphi$  is concentrated on  $\Gamma_1$ ;  $\hat{\varphi}$  is constant on the cosets of  $H_1$  and therefore  $\hat{\varphi}$  is defined on  $G_1$ .

Next choose  $k \in L^1(\Gamma_1)$  such that  $\hat{k}$  has compact support, say K, in  $G_1$  and such that

(10) 
$$\int_{\Gamma_1} |\varphi * k - \varphi| < \varepsilon m_1(V_0) \le \varepsilon, \qquad V_0 \text{ small,}$$

(11) 
$$\int_{\Gamma_1} |f * k - f| < \varepsilon m_1(V_0) \le \varepsilon$$

where  $m_1$  is Haar measure on  $G_1$ . By (10)

(10') 
$$\|\hat{\varphi}\hat{k} - \hat{\varphi}\|_{\infty} < \varepsilon \quad \text{(sup over } G_1\text{)}.$$

Now  $V_0$  and the compact set K (which we may extend to include  $V_0 + V_0$ ) are fixed and we choose u satisfying the six conditions (1)-(6) of Lemma 1.

Then, by the  $L^1$ -inversion theorem, we have, for  $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$ ,

$$\sum_{1}^{\infty} b_{n}(\varphi * k)(\gamma + \gamma_{n}) = \int_{K} \hat{\varphi} \hat{k}(x) \sum_{1}^{\infty} b_{n}(x, \gamma + \gamma_{n}) dx$$
$$= \int_{K} (\hat{\varphi} \hat{k})(x)(x, \gamma)u(x) dx.$$

Hence, for large N and any  $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$ ,

(12) 
$$\left|\sum_{1}^{N}b_{n}(\varphi * k)(\gamma + \gamma_{n}) - \int_{K}(\hat{\varphi}\hat{k})(x)(x, \gamma)u(x) dx\right| < \varepsilon.$$

By (10') and (1)-(3),

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\hat{\varphi}\hat{k})(x)(x,\gamma)u(x) \ dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\varphi}(x)(x,\gamma)u(x) \ dx \right| < \varepsilon.$$

Whence, by (12) and (9),

$$\left|\sum_{1}^{N} b_{n}(\varphi * k)(\gamma + \gamma_{n}) - \hat{\varphi}(0)\right| < 3\varepsilon \quad \text{for } \gamma \in \Lambda_{0}.$$

We conclude

$$\int_{\Lambda_0} \left| \sum_{1}^{N} b_n(\varphi * k) (\gamma + \gamma_n) - \hat{\varphi}(0) \right| d\gamma < 3\varepsilon\nu(\Lambda_0).$$

(Since  $\Gamma_1$  is open in  $\Gamma$  we may take Haar measure on  $\Gamma_1$  to be the restriction of Haar measure  $\nu$  on  $\Gamma$ .)

Finally, by (10)

$$\int_{\Lambda_0} \left| \sum_{1}^{N} b_n \varphi(\gamma + \gamma_n) - \hat{\varphi}(0) \right| d\gamma \le \sum_{1}^{N} |b_n| \varepsilon m_1(V_0) + 3\varepsilon \nu(\Lambda_0)$$

$$\le \varepsilon + 3\varepsilon \nu(\Lambda_0),$$

which is the property (7) required for  $\varphi$ .

Again, by the  $L^1$ -inversion theorem, we have, for any  $y \in G_1$  and any  $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$ ,

$$\left|\sum_{1}^{\infty} b_{n}(f * k)(\gamma + \gamma_{n})(y, \gamma + \gamma_{n})\right| = \left|\int_{K} (\hat{f}\hat{k})(x) \sum b_{n}(x + y, \gamma + \gamma_{n})\right|$$

$$\leq \|\hat{f}\hat{k}\|_{\infty} \int_{K+y} \left|\sum b_{n}(x, \gamma + \gamma_{n})\right|$$

$$\leq \|\hat{f}\hat{k}\|_{\infty} \leq \|\hat{f}\|_{\infty} + \varepsilon.$$

Hence, for large N, and any  $y \in G_1$ ,  $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$ ,

$$\left|\sum_{1}^{N} b_{n}(f * k)(\gamma + \gamma_{n})(y, \gamma + \gamma_{n})\right| \leq \|\hat{f}\|_{\infty} + 2\varepsilon.$$

We deduce, for  $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$ , since  $\gamma_n \in \Gamma_1$  and the characters  $(y, \gamma + \gamma_n)$  are constant on the cosets of  $H_1$ :

$$\sup_{y \in G} \left| \sum_{1}^{N} b_n f(\gamma + \gamma_n)(y, \gamma + \gamma_n) \right| = \sup_{y \in G_1} \left| \sum_{1}^{N} b_n f(\gamma + \gamma_n)(y, \gamma + \gamma_n) \right|$$

$$\leq \sup_{y \in G_1} \left| \sum_{1}^{N} b_n (f - f * k)(\gamma + \gamma_n)(y, \gamma + \gamma_n) \right| + \|\hat{f}\|_{\infty} + 2\varepsilon$$

$$\leq \sum_{1}^{N} |b_n (f - f * k)(\gamma + \gamma_n)| + \|\hat{f}\|_{\infty} + 2\varepsilon$$

$$= F(\gamma) \quad \text{say}$$

where by (11)

$$\int_{\Lambda_0} F(\gamma) d\gamma \leq \sum_{1}^{N} |b_n| \varepsilon m_1(V_0) + \nu(\Lambda_0) (\|f\|_{\infty} + 2\varepsilon)$$
  
$$\leq \varepsilon + \nu(\Lambda_0) (\|f\|_{\infty} + 2\varepsilon).$$

ε being arbitrary, (8) is now proved.

LEMMA 3. Assume  $\varphi$  is measurable on  $\Lambda \subset \Gamma$ ,  $\varphi$  is zero outside  $\Lambda$  and  $\varphi$  is approximable on finite sets in  $\Lambda$  with capacity at most C. For any f, bounded, measurable, vanishing outside  $\Lambda$ , with compact support, put  $T(f) = \int_{\Gamma} f \varphi \, d\gamma$ . Then  $|T(f)| \leq C ||f||_{\infty}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given and let  $\Lambda_0$  be the compact support of f. By Lemma 2, applied to the two functions  $f\varphi$  and f, both with compact support  $\Lambda_0$ , there is a polynomial  $p(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} b_i(x, \gamma_i)$  such that

(1) 
$$\int_{\Lambda_0} \left| \sum_{1}^{N} b_n f(\gamma + \gamma_n) \varphi(\gamma + \gamma_n) - \int f \varphi \right| d\gamma < \varepsilon^2 \nu(\Lambda_0)$$

and

$$\sup_{y} \left| \sum_{1}^{N} b_{n} f(\gamma + \gamma_{n})(y, \gamma + \gamma_{n}) \right| \leq F(\gamma), \quad \gamma \in \Lambda_{0}$$

where

(2) 
$$\int_{\Lambda_0} F(\gamma) d\gamma \leq \nu(\Lambda_0) (\|f\|_{\infty} + \varepsilon).$$

Put

$$E_1 = \left\{ \gamma \in \Lambda_0 : \left| \sum_{1}^{N} b_n f(\gamma + \gamma_n) \varphi(\gamma + \gamma_n) - \int f \varphi \right| > \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Then, by (1)

$$\varepsilon \cdot \nu(E_1) < \varepsilon^2 \nu(\Lambda_0); \qquad \nu(E_1) < \varepsilon \nu(\Lambda_0).$$

Put

$$E_2 = \{ \gamma \in \Lambda_0 : F(\gamma) > (1-\varepsilon)^{-1} (\|\widehat{f}\|_{\infty} + \varepsilon) \}.$$

By (2)

$$\begin{split} (1-\varepsilon)^{-1}(\|\mathring{f}\|_{\infty}+\varepsilon)\nu(E_2) & \leq \nu(\Lambda_0)(\|\mathring{f}\|_{\infty}+\varepsilon), \\ \nu(E_2) & \leq (1-\varepsilon)\nu(\Lambda_0). \end{split}$$

We conclude  $\nu(E_1 \cup E_2) < \nu(\Lambda_0)$ . Hence there is  $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda_0$  such that  $\lambda_0 \notin E_1$ ,  $\lambda_0 \notin E_2$ , that is

(3) 
$$\left| \sum_{1}^{N} b_{n} f(\lambda_{0} + \gamma_{n}) \varphi(\lambda_{0} + \gamma_{n}) - T(f) \right| \leq \varepsilon,$$

(4) 
$$\sup_{y} \left| \sum_{1}^{N} b_{n} f(\lambda_{0} + \gamma_{n})(y, \lambda_{0} + \gamma_{n}) \right| \leq (1 - \varepsilon)^{-1} (\|\hat{f}\|_{\infty} + \varepsilon).$$

Let A be the finite set of elements of the form  $\lambda_0 + \gamma_n$ , n = 1, ..., N, which belong to  $\Lambda_0 \cap \Lambda$ . By hypothesis there is a polynomial  $q(\gamma) = \sum_m c_m(y_m, \gamma)$  with  $\sum |c_m| \le C$  such that

(5) 
$$|q(\gamma) - \varphi(\gamma)| < \varepsilon / \sum_{1}^{N} |b_{n}| \|f\|_{\infty} \quad \text{for } \gamma \in A.$$

Observing that  $f(\lambda_0 + \gamma_n) = 0$  if  $\lambda_0 + \gamma_n \notin A$  we get from (3) and (5)

$$\left|T(f)-\sum_{1}^{N}b_{n}f(\lambda_{0}+\gamma_{n})q(\lambda_{0}+\gamma_{n})\right| \leq \varepsilon+\varepsilon.$$

This is

$$\left| T(f) - \sum_{m} c_{m} \sum_{n=1}^{N} b_{n} f(\lambda_{0} + \gamma_{n}) (y_{m}, \lambda_{0} + \gamma_{n}) \right| \leq 2\varepsilon.$$

By (4) the coefficient of  $c_m$  has modulus  $\leq (1-\epsilon)^{-1}(\|\hat{f}\|_{\infty} + \epsilon)$ . Hence

$$|T(f)| \leq \sum_{m} |c_{m}| (1-\varepsilon)^{-1} (\|f\|_{\infty} + \varepsilon) + 2\varepsilon$$
  
$$\leq C(1-\varepsilon)^{-1} (\|f\|_{\infty} + \varepsilon) + 2\varepsilon.$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary, the lemma is proved.

MAIN THEOREM. Assume that  $\varphi$  is measurable on the measurable set  $\Lambda$  and that  $\varphi$  is approximable on finite sets in  $\Lambda$  with capacity at most C. Then  $\varphi(\gamma) = \hat{\mu}(\gamma)$  locally almost everywhere on  $\Lambda$ , where  $\mu \in M(G)$  and  $\|\mu\| \leq C$ .

**Proof.** The linear functional S given by  $S(\hat{f}) = T(f) = \int_{\Gamma} f \varphi \, d\gamma$  is defined on the linear space of the transforms  $\hat{f}$  of the bounded measurable functions f vanishing outside  $\Lambda$ , with compact support (a subspace of  $C_0(G)$ ) and satisfies the inequality  $|S(\hat{f})| \leq C ||\hat{f}||_{\infty}$ .

By the Hahn-Banach theorem, S can be extended to the whole of  $C_0(G)$ , with norm not exceeding C. By the Riesz-Kakutani representation theorem there is a  $\mu \in M(G)$  such that  $\|\mu\| \le C$  and  $S(\hat{f}) = \int_G \hat{f}(x) d\mu(x)$ . Then, by Fubini's theorem

$$S(\hat{f}) = \int_{\Gamma} f(\gamma) \hat{\mu}(\gamma) d\gamma,$$

that is,  $\int_{\Gamma} f(\gamma)\varphi(\gamma) d\gamma = \int_{\Gamma} f(\gamma)\hat{\mu}(\gamma) d\gamma$  for every f, bounded, vanishing outside  $\Lambda$ , with compact support. We conclude  $\varphi(\gamma) = \hat{\mu}(\gamma)$  locally a.e. on  $\Lambda$  and the theorem is proved.

REMARK. This theorem contrasts with the situation where instead of the transform of a measure we consider positive definite functions (the transforms of positive measures).

Suppose  $\Lambda_0$  is a measurable subset of a locally compact abelian group  $\Gamma$ . Define  $PD(\Lambda_0)$  to be the class of all continuous complex valued functions  $\varphi$  on  $\Lambda_0 - \Lambda_0$  which satisfy the inequality

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{N} c_i \bar{c}_j \varphi(\gamma_i - \gamma_j) \ge 0$$

for every positive integer N, for every choice of complex numbers  $c_1, \ldots, c_N$  and for every choice of points  $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_N$  in  $\Lambda_0$ .

If G=R,  $\Lambda_0=$  an interval I, then every  $\varphi \in PD(I)$  is the restriction on I-I of the transform  $\hat{\mu}$  of some positive measure  $\mu$  on G (Krein). But if  $G=R^2$ ,  $\Lambda_0=$  a closed square S in  $R^2$ , then there is  $\varphi \in PD(S)$  which is not the restriction to S-S of the transform of a positive measure on G (see Rudin [8]).

Before ending our paper we want to state, in a new form, the two theorems appearing in [4].

Theorem. A continuous function  $\varphi$  defined on  $\Gamma$  is the Fourier-Stieltjes transform of a singular measure on G if and only if there is a constant C such that

- (i)  $\varphi$  can be approximated on any finite set in  $\Gamma$  by trigonometric polynomials of capacity at most C.
- (ii s) Whatever be  $\varepsilon > 0$  and the compact set  $\Lambda$  in  $\Gamma$ ,  $\varphi$  is not approximable on finite sets F, not meeting  $\Lambda$ , by polynomials of capacity  $\leq C \varepsilon$ .

THEOREM. A continuous function  $\varphi$  defined on  $\Gamma$  is the Fourier transform of an integrable function on G if and only if

- (i) there is a constant C such that  $\varphi$  can be approximated on any finite set in  $\Gamma$  by trigonometric polynomials of capacity at most C.
- (ii a) To every  $\varepsilon > 0$  corresponds a compact set  $\Lambda$  in  $\Gamma$  such that  $\varphi$  can be approximated on any finite set in  $\Gamma$ , not meeting  $\Lambda$ , by trigonometric polynomials of capacity at most  $\varepsilon$ .

To prove these theorems we just make use of the equivalence stated in Proposition 2 and combine this equivalence with Theorems 1 and 2 of [4]. Observe also that no form of any of these two theorems is readily available for restrictions, since the transform  $\hat{\mu}_s$  of a singular measure can be equal to the transform  $\hat{f}$  of an integrable function on very large sets (see e.g. Rudin [9]).

## **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- 1. N. I. Ahiezer, Lectures on the theory of approximation, OGIZ, Moscow, 1947; English transl., Ungar, New York, 1956. MR 10, 33; MR 20 #1872.
- 2. S. Bochner, A theorem on Fourier-Stieltjes integrals, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 40 (1934), 271-276.
- 3. K. de Leeuw and C. Herz, An invariance property of spectral synthesis, Illinois J. Math. 9 (1965), 220-229. MR 31 #3787.
- 4. R. Doss, On the transform of a singular or an absolutely continuous measure, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 19 (1968), 361-363. MR 36 #5619.
- 5. W. F. Eberlein, Characterizations of Fourier-Stieltjes transforms, Duke Math. J. 22 (1955), 465-468. MR 17, 281.
- 6. E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, Abstract harmonic analysis. Vol. I: Structure of topological groups. Integration theory, group representations, Die Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften, Band 115, Academic Press, New York, and Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1963. MR 28 #158.

- 7. H. P. Rosenthal, A characterization of restrictions of Fourier-Stieltjes transforms, Pacific J. Math. 23 (1967), 403-418. MR 36 #3065.
- 8. W. Rudin, The extension problem for positive-definite functions, Illinois J. of Math. 7 (1963), 532-539. MR 27 #1779.
- 9. ——, Modifications of Fourier transforms, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 19 (1968), 1069–1074. MR 39 #1903.

STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK, STONY BROOK, NEW YORK 11790