

ON THE ORDER OF A STARLIKE FUNCTION

BY

F. HOLLAND AND D. K. THOMAS

Abstract. It is shown that if $f \in \mathcal{S}$, the class of normalised starlike functions in the unit disc Δ , then

$$(i) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 1-} \frac{\log P_\lambda(r)}{-\log(1-r)} = \alpha\lambda \quad \text{for } \lambda > 0;$$

$$(ii) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 1-} \frac{\log \|f_r\|_p}{-\log(1-r)} = \alpha p - 1 \quad \text{for } \alpha p > 1;$$

and

$$(iii) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 1-} \frac{\log \|f'_r\|_p}{-\log(1-r)} = (1+\alpha)p - 1 \quad \text{for } (1+\alpha)p > 1,$$

where $P_\lambda(r) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\lambda-1} |a_n|^\lambda r^n$, (a_n) is the sequence of coefficients and α the order of f , and where

$$\|f_r\|_p = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta.$$

The results extend work of Pommerenke.

The methods of the paper yield various other results, one in particular being

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^+ n |a_n|}{\log n} = \alpha,$$

a result which has an analogy in the theory of entire functions.

1. Introduction. Let μ be a probability measure on the unit circle Γ , and define the function f on the unit disc Δ by

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z \exp \left\{ -2 \int \log(1 - z\bar{\gamma}) d\mu(\gamma) \right\}, \quad z \in \Delta.$$

Then f is regular and *starlike* on Δ , that is, f is univalent and maps Δ onto a domain in the complex plane that is starshaped with respect to the origin.

Following Pommerenke [7] we call

$$\alpha_f = 2 \max \{ \mu(\{\gamma\}) : \gamma \in \Gamma \}$$

the *order* of f . Since, by hypothesis, μ is positive and $\int d\mu = 1$, it follows that $0 \leq \alpha_f \leq 2$. Further, $\alpha_f = 0$ if and only if μ is continuous; and $\alpha_f = 2$ if and only if f is (a rotation of) the Koebe function. If μ is discontinuous, then $\alpha_f > 0$, and μ has at least one maximum jump of height $\alpha_f/2$.

Received by the editors August 31, 1970.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 30A32; Secondary 30A32.

Key words and phrases. Starlike function, order of a starlike function, integral means, coefficient means.

Copyright © 1971, American Mathematical Society

In [7], Pommerenke showed that if $M(r, f) = \max \{|f(z)| : |z| = r, (0 \leq r < 1)\}$ then α_f is connected to $M(r, f)$ by the relationship

$$(1.2) \quad (\log M(r, f))/\log (1-r)^{-1} \rightarrow \alpha_f \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 1,$$

a result which has an analogy in theory of entire functions. Using (1.2), Pommerenke [8] was then able to show that

$$(1.3) \quad \alpha_f = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{(1-r)M'(r, f)}{M(r, f)},$$

where M' denotes the left derivative.

The geometrical significance of α_f is as follows. If $\alpha_f > 0$, then $f(\Delta)$ contains at least one sector of opening $\pi\alpha_f$ and no sector of larger opening. Thus the area of $f(\Delta)$ is infinite if $\alpha_f > 0$. On the other hand, $\alpha_f = 0$ does not necessarily imply that the area of $f(\Delta)$ is finite. In the light of this observation and Pommerenke's results (loc. cit.), it is natural to study the connection between α_f and the rate of growth of $\pi A(r, f)$, the area of the image of the disc $\Delta_r = \{z : |z| \leq r\}$ under f .

The present investigation stems from an attempt to extend (1.2) and (1.3) to $A(r, f)$. More specifically, we sought to prove that

$$(1.4) \quad (\log A(r, f))/\log (1-r)^{-1} \rightarrow 2\alpha_f \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 1,$$

and

$$(1.5) \quad 2\alpha_f = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{(1-r)A'(r, f)}{A(r, f)}.$$

It is clear that (1.5) implies (1.4). In this paper, a simple proof of (1.4) is given. (1.5) seems to be very much deeper and a proof will be given in [6].

Some by-products of our efforts to prove (1.4) and (1.5) are presented in §3, where, amongst other things, we derive results similar to (1.2) for the integral means of f and f' . In §4, we study analogous problems for certain means of the coefficients (a_n) of f . In particular, we prove that

$$\alpha_f = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^+ n |a_n|}{\log n}.$$

Notation. Throughout the paper, μ will denote a fixed probability measure on Γ , and f a function defined by (1.1). In order to simplify the writing a little, we shall write α in place of α_f , $M(r)$ in place of $M(r, f)$, etc. Also ω will denote a point on Γ such that $\alpha = 2\mu(\{\omega\})$. We define the function F on Δ by

$$F(z) = zf'(z)/f(z) \quad (z \in \Delta),$$

so that F is regular and $\operatorname{Re} F > 0$. Finally by σ we shall mean normalised Lebesgue measure on Γ , and we will adopt the convention that

$$\int g(rt) d\sigma(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} g(re^{i\theta}) d\theta.$$

2. Preliminaries. We begin by proving a general lemma.

LEMMA 1. *If $2\beta > 1$, then*

$$(2.1) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} (1-r)^{2\beta-1} \int \frac{d\sigma(t)}{|1-rt|^{2\beta}} = \frac{\Gamma(\beta-\frac{1}{2})}{2\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\beta)}.$$

Proof. Set

$$(1-z)^{-\beta} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n(\beta) z^n \quad (z \in \Delta),$$

then [10, p. 58]

$$c_n(\beta) = \frac{\Gamma(\beta+n)}{\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(n+1)}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$\sim \frac{n^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int \frac{d\sigma(t)}{|1-rt|^{2\beta}} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |c_n(\beta)|^2 r^{2n} \quad (0 \leq r < 1), \\ &\sim \frac{1}{\Gamma^2(\beta)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2\beta-2} r^{2n} \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 1, \\ &\sim \frac{\Gamma(2\beta-1)}{\Gamma^2(\beta)(1-r^2)^{2\beta-1}} \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 1 \end{aligned}$$

[10, p. 225]. This and the duplication formula for the gamma function gives (2.1).

Next we use (1.1) to derive lower bounds for $|f|$ and $\operatorname{Re} F$.

LEMMA 2. *For all $z \in \Delta$,*

$$(2.2) \quad |f(z)| \geq |z| 2^{\alpha/4} |1-z\bar{\omega}|^{\alpha},$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad \operatorname{Re} F(z) \geq \alpha(1-|z|^2)/2|1-z\bar{\omega}|^2.$$

Moreover, equality can occur in each of (2.2) and (2.3) if, and only if, f is (a rotation of) the Koebe function.

Proof. We shall only prove (2.2), the proof of (2.3) is similar. Fix $z \in \Delta$, $z \neq 0$ and observe from (1.1) that

$$(2.4) \quad \log |f(z)| - \log |z| = -2 \int \log |1-z\bar{t}| d\mu(t).$$

Now for $t \in \Gamma$, $\log 2 > \log |1-z\bar{t}|$, and μ is positive. Thus from (2.4)

$$\begin{aligned} \log 4|f(z)| - \log |z| &= 2 \int \log \frac{2}{|1-z\bar{t}|} d\mu(t) \\ &\geq 2\mu(\{\omega\}) \log \frac{2}{|1-z\bar{\omega}|} = \alpha \log \frac{2}{|1-z\bar{\omega}|} \end{aligned}$$

and (2.2) follows. Clearly the inequality is strict, unless μ is concentrated at ω , in which case $\alpha = 2$, and f is the Koebe function.

A straightforward application of (2.2) and (2.3), together with Lemma 1, will yield the following result, the proof of which we omit.

LEMMA 3. *If a and b are nonnegative and such that $\alpha a + 2b > 1$, then*

$$(2.5) \quad \liminf_{r \rightarrow 1} (1-r)^{\alpha a + b - 1} \int |f(rt)|^a (\operatorname{Re} F(rt))^b d\sigma(t) \geq \frac{\alpha^b 2^{\alpha a - 1} \Gamma(b + (\alpha a - 1)/2)}{4^a \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(b + \alpha a/2)}.$$

For ease of reference, we include the following theorem, a proof of which may be found in [5].

THEOREM A. *If $p > 1$, then*

$$(2.6) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} (1-r)^{p-1} \int |F(rt)|^p d\sigma(t) = \frac{\Gamma(p/2 - 1)}{2\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(p/2)} \sum_{t \in \Gamma} |\mu(\{t\})|^p.$$

3. **Integral means.** In this section, if $p > 0$ and g is regular in Δ , we set

$$\|g_r\|_p = \left(\int |g(rt)|^p d\sigma(t) \right)^{1/p} \quad (0 \leq r < 1).$$

With this notation we have

THEOREM 1. *If $\alpha p > 1$, then*

$$(3.1) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\log \|f_r\|_p}{-\log(1-r)} = \alpha p - 1.$$

Proof. Choosing $a = p$ and $b = 0$ in (2.5), we have

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow 1} (1-r)^{\alpha p - 1} \|f_r\|_p \geq \frac{2^{\alpha p - 1} \Gamma((\alpha p - 1)/2)}{4^p \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\alpha p/2)},$$

and so

$$(3.2) \quad \liminf_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\log \|f_r\|_p}{-\log(1-r)} \geq \alpha p - 1.$$

On the other hand, for $t \in \Gamma$,

$$r \partial \log |f(rt)| / \partial r = \operatorname{Re} F(rt),$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} r \frac{d}{dr} \|f_r\|_p &= p \int |f(rt)|^p \operatorname{Re} F(rt) d\sigma(t) \\ &\leq p(M(r))^p \int \operatorname{Re} F(rt) d\sigma(t) = p(M(r))^p, \end{aligned}$$

since $\operatorname{Re} F(z) > 0$, $z \in \Delta$. Thus

$$(3.3) \quad \|f_r\|_p \leq p \int_0^r \frac{(M(s))^p}{s} ds.$$

But, it follows from (1.2), that for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$M(r) = O(1)(1-r)^{-\alpha-\varepsilon} \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 1,$$

and thus (3.3) gives

$$\|f_r\|_p = O(1)(1-r)^{-\alpha p - \varepsilon p + 1} \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 1$$

giving

$$(3.4) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\log \|f_r\|_p}{-\log(1-r)} \leq \alpha p - 1.$$

Theorem 1 now follows from (3.2) and (3.4).

We require slightly different techniques to deal with the next theorem, which gives a similar estimate for f' .

THEOREM 2. *If $(1+\alpha)p-1 > 0$, then*

$$(3.5) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\log \|f'_r\|_p}{-\log(1-r)} = (1+\alpha)p-1.$$

Proof. We have $zf'(z) = f(z)F(z)$, $z \in \Delta$, and so, if $0 \leq r < 1$,

$$r^p \|f'_r\|_p = \int |f(rt)|^p |F(rt)|^p d\sigma(t) \geq \int |f(rt)|^p (\operatorname{Re} F(rt))^p d\sigma(t).$$

Taking $a=b=p$ in (2.5), it now follows easily that

$$(3.6) \quad \liminf_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\log \|f'_r\|_p}{-\log(1-r)} \geq (1+\alpha)p-1.$$

To obtain the lim sup variant of (3.6), we treat separately the cases: (i) $p > 1$; (ii) $p = 1$ and (iii) $0 < p < 1$.

Case (i). $p > 1$. Here

$$\begin{aligned} r^p \|f'_r\|_p &= \int |f(rt)|^p |F(rt)|^p d\sigma(t) \\ &\leq (M(r))^p \|F_r\|_p = O(1)(M(r))^p (1-r)^{1-p} \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 1 \end{aligned}$$

by Theorem A. Consequently, using (1.2) we have

$$(3.7) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\log \|f'_r\|_p}{-\log(1-r)} \leq p \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\log M(r)}{-\log(1-r)} + p - 1 = \alpha p + p - 1,$$

and the result follows from this and (3.6).

Case (ii). $p = 1$. Since $\operatorname{Re} F > 0$,

$$\|F_r\| = \int |F(rt)| d\sigma(t) = O(1) \log(1-r)^{-1} \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 1,$$

and so

$$\|f'_r\| = O(1)M(r) \log(1-r)^{-1} \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 1,$$

from which (3.7) follows with $p = 1$.

Case (iii). $0 < p < 1$. Set $\lambda = 1/p$, $\lambda' = 1/(1-p)$. As before,

$$\begin{aligned} r^p \|f'_r\|_p &= \int |f(rt)|^p |F(rt)|^p d\sigma(t) \\ (3.8) \quad &\leq \left(\int |f(rt)|^{p\lambda'} d\sigma(t) \right)^{1/\lambda'} \left(\int |F(rt)|^{p\lambda} d\sigma(t) \right)^{1/\lambda} \\ &= \|f_r\|_{p\lambda'}^{1/\lambda'} \cdot \|F_r\|_{p\lambda}^{1/\lambda}, \end{aligned}$$

by Hölder's inequality. Now since $p\lambda = 1$,

$$(3.9) \quad \|F_r\|_{p\lambda}^{1/\lambda} = O(1)(\log(1-r)^{-1})^{1/\lambda} \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 1.$$

Also by Theorem 1 since $\alpha p\lambda' > 1$ by hypothesis,

$$(3.10) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\log \|f_r\|_{p\lambda'}}{-\log(1-r)} = \alpha p\lambda' - 1.$$

Combining (3.9) and (3.10), we deduce from (3.8) that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\log \|f'_r\|_p}{-\log(1-r)} \leq \frac{\alpha p\lambda' - 1}{\lambda'} = (1 + \alpha)p - 1,$$

and this together with (3.6) again gives (3.5). This completes the proof.

4. Coefficient means. The function f defined by (1.1) has an expansion of the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad z \in \Delta.$$

In this section, we shall study the growth of the sequence (a_n) and the means

$$P_\lambda(r) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\lambda-1} |a_n|^\lambda r^n, \quad \lambda > 0, \quad 0 \leq r < 1.$$

We begin by stating an important lemma, due essentially to Clunie and Keogh [1].

LEMMA 4. *If $0 \leq r < 1$, then $(n+1)|a_n|r^n \leq 2M(r)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$*

We now establish

THEOREM 3. *If $\lambda > 0$, then, with the above notation,*

$$(4.1) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\log P_\lambda(r)}{-\log(1-r)} = \alpha\lambda.$$

Proof. To begin with, by Lemma 4 we have, for $0 \leq r < 1$,

$$P_\lambda(r^{\lambda+1}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n|a_n|r^n)^\lambda \frac{r^n}{n} \leq (2M(r))^\lambda \log(1-r)^{-1},$$

and so by (1.2)

$$(4.2) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\log P_\lambda(r)}{-\log(1-r)} \leq \alpha\lambda.$$

Next, if $\lambda \geq 1$, a direct application of Hölder's inequality shows that

$$P_\lambda(r)(\log(1-r)^{-1})^{\lambda-1} \geq (M(r))^\lambda,$$

thus

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\log P_\lambda(r)}{-\log(1-r)} \geq \alpha\lambda,$$

and so (4.1) is proved for $\lambda \geq 1$.

If $0 < \lambda < 1$, then for $0 \leq r < 1$, we have, again using Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} P_1(r) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| r^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n^{\lambda-1} |a_n|^{\lambda} r^n)^{\lambda} (n^{\lambda} |a_n|^{1+\lambda} r^n)^{1-\lambda} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\lambda-1} |a_n|^{\lambda} r^n \right)^{\lambda} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\lambda} |a_n|^{1+\lambda} r^n \right)^{1-\lambda} \\ &= (P_\lambda(r))^\lambda (P_{1+\lambda}(r))^{1-\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\frac{\log P_1(r)}{-\log(1-r)} \leq \lambda \frac{\log P_\lambda(r)}{-\log(1-r)} + (1-\lambda) \frac{\log P_{1+\lambda}(r)}{-\log(1-r)}.$$

Since $M(r) \leq P_1(r)$, we obtain from this and (1.2) that

$$\alpha \leq \lambda \liminf_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\log P_\lambda(r)}{-\log(1-r)} + (1-\lambda)(1+\lambda)\alpha.$$

(In the last expression we have used (4.1) for the case $\lambda \geq 1$, already proved.) Thus

$$(4.3) \quad \alpha\lambda \leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\log P_\lambda(r)}{-\log(1-r)}.$$

From (4.2) and (4.3) we obtain (4.1) for $0 < \lambda < 1$.

COROLLARY. If $\pi A(r)$ denotes the area of the image of Δ_r under f , then

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\log A(r)}{-\log(1-r)} = 2\alpha.$$

Proof. It is well known that, for $0 \leq r < 1$,

$$A(r) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n |a_n|^2 r^{2n} = P_2(r^2),$$

and so the corollary follows immediately from (4.1).

REMARK. If $\lambda = 1$, then Theorem 3 shows that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\log P_1(r)}{-\log(1-r)} = \alpha,$$

where $P_1(r) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| r^n$, $0 \leq r < 1$.

The following theorem, which we state without proof, can be proved using similar arguments to those used in Theorem 3.

THEOREM 4. If $\lambda > 0$ and $\alpha\lambda - \lambda + 1 > 0$, then

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\log \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^\lambda r^n}{-\log(1-r)} = \alpha\lambda - \lambda + 1.$$

The next result connects the sequence of coefficients (a_n) , with the order α , and has an analogy in the theory of entire functions.

THEOREM 5.

$$(4.4) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^+ n|a_n|}{\log n} = \alpha.$$

Proof. Since the Bieberbach conjecture holds for starlike functions [4] $n|a_n| \leq n^2$, $n=1, 2, \dots$, thus

$$O \leq \frac{\log^+ n|a_n|}{\log n} \leq 2, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Set

$$\tau = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^+ n|a_n|}{\log n},$$

and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then there exists N such that $n|a_n| < n^{\tau+\varepsilon}$ for all $n > N$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} M(r) &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| r^n = \sum_{n=1}^N |a_n| r^n + \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} |a_n| r^n \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^N |a_n| r^n + \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} n^{\tau+\varepsilon-1} r^n = O(1)(1-r)^{-\tau-\varepsilon} \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 1, \end{aligned}$$

which gives, since ε is arbitrary,

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\log M(r)}{-\log(1-r)} \leq \tau,$$

and so by (1.2) $\alpha \leq \tau$.

On the other hand, there is an increasing sequence of integers $\{n_k\}$, such that $n_k|a_{n_k}| > n_k^{\tau-\varepsilon}$, $k=1, 2, \dots$. With $r_k = 1 - 1/n_k$, we deduce from Lemma 4, that

$$2M(r_k) \geq (1 - 1/n_k)^{n_k} (1 - r_k)^{-\tau+\varepsilon}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

which gives, again since ε is arbitrary,

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\log M(r)}{-\log(1-r)} \geq \tau,$$

and so $\alpha \geq \tau$. Hence (4.4) is proved.

REMARK. If, in place of Lemma 4, we use Theorem 1 of [2], the above proof shows that even for a close-to-convex function f , we have

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\log M(r, f)}{-\log(1-r)} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^+ n|a_n|}{\log n}.$$

5. **The growth of $A(r)$.** In this section we present a number of results concerning the growth of the area function $A(r)$.

THEOREM 6. *The map*

$$(5.1) \quad r \rightarrow (1-r)^4 A(r)/r^2$$

is decreasing on the interval $(0, 1)$. Furthermore,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} (1-r)^4 A(r) = 0$$

unless f is (a rotation of) the Koebe function, in which case the limit is $3/8$.

Proof. Since, by definition $F(z) = zf'(z)/f(z)$, $z \in \Delta$, (1.1) gives

$$F(z) = \int \frac{1+z\bar{\gamma}}{1-z\bar{\gamma}} d\mu(\gamma), \quad z \in \Delta.$$

Therefore, by the Schwarz inequality,

$$|F(z)|^2 \leq \int \frac{(1+|z|)^2}{|1-z\bar{\gamma}|^2} d\mu(\gamma) = \frac{1+|z|}{1-|z|} \operatorname{Re} F(z).$$

Thus, for $0 \leq r < 1$,

$$\int |f(rt)|^2 |F(rt)|^2 d\sigma(t) \leq \frac{1+r}{1-r} \int |f(rt)|^2 \operatorname{Re} F(rt) d\sigma(t).$$

But $\pi A(r)$ represents the area of the image of Δ_r under f , and so

$$\begin{aligned} A(r) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n |a_n|^2 r^{2n} = \int r t f'(rt) \overline{f(rt)} d\sigma(t) \\ &= \int |f(rt)|^2 \operatorname{Re} F(rt) d\sigma(t), \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$rA'(r) \leq 2 \frac{1+r}{1-r} A(r).$$

Hence

$$\frac{d}{dr} \log A(r) \leq 2 \frac{1+r}{r(1-r)} = \frac{d}{dr} \log \frac{r^2}{(1-r)^4},$$

and the first part of the theorem is now obvious.

Let $\beta = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} (1-r)^4 A(r)$, and suppose that $\beta \neq 0$. Then [3, p. 170] $A'(r) \sim 4\beta/(1-r)^5$ as $r \rightarrow 1$. Thus $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} (1-r)A'(r)/A(r) = 4$. From (1.4) and (1.5) we deduce therefore that when $\beta \neq 0$, $\alpha = 2$ so that μ is concentrated at ω , that is, f is (a rotation of) the Koebe function. If f is a Koebe function then $|a_n| = n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, and

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} (1-r)^4 A(r) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} (1-r)^4 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^3 r^{2n} = 3/8.$$

This completes the proof.

The following corollary is sometimes quite useful:

COROLLARY. $rA(\sqrt{r}) < 16A(r)$, $0 < r < 1$.

Proof. $(1 - \sqrt{r})^4 A(\sqrt{r})/r < (1 - r)^4 A(r)/r^2$, and the result is then obvious.

A similar result, with a worse constant, can be proved for any *univalent* function using Theorem 1.3 [4].

THEOREM 7. If $\lambda \geq 2$ and $\|f_r\|_\lambda = \int |f(rt)|^\lambda d\sigma(t)$, $0 \leq r < 1$, then

$$(5.2) \quad \liminf_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\|f_r\|_\lambda}{(1-r)(A(r))^{\lambda/2}} \geq \frac{\lambda}{2\lambda-1}.$$

The inequality is sharp for $\lambda = 2$.

Proof. For $0 \leq r < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} r \frac{d}{dr} \|f_r\|_\lambda &= \lambda \int |f(rt)|^\lambda \operatorname{Re} F(rt) d\sigma(t) \\ &\geq \lambda \left(\int |f(rt)|^2 \operatorname{Re} F(rt) d\sigma(t) \right)^{\lambda/2} = \lambda (A(r))^{\lambda/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Hölder's inequality and the fact that $\operatorname{Re} F(z) > 0$ for $z \in \Delta$. Hence, using the monotonicity of (5.1) we deduce that

$$\|f_r\|_\lambda \geq \lambda \int_0^r \frac{(A(s))^{\lambda/2}}{s} ds \geq \lambda \left(\frac{(1-r)^4 A(r)}{r^2} \right)^{\lambda/2} \int_0^r \frac{s^{\lambda-1}}{(1-s)^{2\lambda}} ds.$$

Consequently

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\|f_r\|_\lambda}{(1-r)(A(r))^{\lambda/2}} \geq \lambda \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} (1-r)^{2\lambda-1} \int_0^r \frac{s^{\lambda-1}}{(1-s)^{2\lambda}} ds = \frac{\lambda}{2\lambda-1},$$

and this is (5.2).

If $\lambda = 2$ and f is the Koebe function, then as $r \rightarrow 1$, $\|f_r\|_2 \sim 2(1-r^2)^{-3}$ and $A(r) \sim 6(1-r^2)^{-4}$, giving

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\|f_r\|_2}{(1-r)A(r)} = 2/3,$$

which shows that (5.2) is sharp when $\lambda = 2$.

Our next result extends Theorem 2 [8] in two directions.

THEOREM 8. Let $\alpha > 0$ and $p \geq 1$, then

$$(5.3) \quad \|f'_r\|_p = O(1)(A(r))^{p/2}(1-r)^{1-p} \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 1.$$

Proof. In what follows, K will denote a positive constant depending on α , but will not necessarily be the same at each occurrence.

In view of (1.3) there is an r_0 , such that $M(r) \leq K(1-r)M'(r, f)$, if $0 < r_0 < r < 1$. Now

$$M'(r, f) \leq M(r, f') \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n|a_n|r^{n-1}, \quad 0 \leq r < 1,$$

and so, if $0 < r_0 < r < 1$,

$$M(r) \leq K(1-r) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n|a_n|r^{n-1} \leq K(1-r) \sqrt{\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n|a_n|^2 r^n\right)} \sqrt{\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nr^n\right)}.$$

Thus by the corollary to Theorem 6

$$(5.4) \quad M(r) \leq K\sqrt{A(r)}.$$

If $p=1$, Theorem 2 of [8] together with (5.4) gives

$$\|f'_r\|_1 = O(1)M(r) = O(1)\sqrt{A(r)} \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 1,$$

which is (5.3) when $p=1$. On the other hand, if $p>1$, we deduce from Theorem A and (5.4) that

$$r^p \|f'_r\|_p = \int |f(rt)|^p |F(rt)|^p d\sigma(t) \leq K(A(r))^{p/2} (1-r)^{1-p},$$

and this is (5.3) when $p>1$.

REMARK. In connection with (5.4), we mention that Sheil-Small, in his thesis [9] considered, inter alia,

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 1} \inf A(r)/M^2(r)$$

and found the sharp bounds for these expressions in terms of α .

In conclusion we prove

THEOREM 9. If $0 \leq r < 1$, then

$$(5.5) \quad \int |F(rt) - 1|^2 d\sigma(t) \leq \frac{r^2 \log(16r^{-2}A(r))}{(1-r^2) \log 2/(1-r^2)}.$$

Proof. Define $\bar{\mu}$ on the Borel sets E of Γ by $\bar{\mu}(E) = \mu(\bar{E})$, and let ν denote the convolution of $\bar{\mu}$ and μ . Then ν is a positive measure on Γ , and $\int d\nu = 1$.

Since, by (1.1)

$$\begin{aligned} F(z) &= \int \frac{1+z\bar{\gamma}}{1-z\bar{\gamma}} d\mu(\gamma), \quad z \in \Delta, \\ &= 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^n \int \bar{\gamma}^n d\mu(\gamma), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\int i^n \operatorname{Re} F(rt) d\sigma(t) = r^n \int \bar{\gamma}^n d\mu(\gamma), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

It follows that

$$\int \overline{g(rt)} \operatorname{Re} F(rt) d\sigma(t) = \int \overline{g(r^2 t)} d\mu(t), \quad 0 \leq r < 1,$$

for a variety of g , and certainly if g is the real part of a regular function. In particular, if $0 \leq r < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int \log (r^{-2}|f(r^2t)|) d\mu(t) &= \int \log (r^{-1}|f(rt)|) \operatorname{Re} F(rt) d\sigma(t) \\
 &= 2 \iint \log \frac{1}{|1-rt\bar{\gamma}|} d\mu(\gamma) \operatorname{Re} F(rt) d\sigma(t) \\
 &= 2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{r^n}{n} \int \bar{\gamma}^n d\mu(\gamma) \int t^n \operatorname{Re} F(rt) d\sigma(t) \\
 &= 2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{r^{2n}}{n} \int \bar{\gamma}^n d\mu(\gamma) \int \gamma^n d\mu(\gamma) \\
 &= 2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{r^{2n}}{n} \int \gamma^n d\nu(\gamma) \\
 &= 2 \int \log \frac{1}{|1-r^2\gamma|} d\nu(\gamma).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\int d\mu = \int d\nu = 1$, we deduce that

$$\int \log (4r^{-2}|f(r^2t)|) d\mu(t) = 2 \int \log \frac{2}{|1-r^2\gamma|} d\nu(\gamma).$$

But, for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$,

$$\log \frac{2}{|1-r^2\gamma|} / \log \frac{2}{1-r^2}$$

is a decreasing function of r , and so

$$r \rightarrow \int \log (4r^{-2}|f(r^2t)|) d\mu(t) / \log \frac{2}{1-r^2}$$

is likewise decreasing on $(0, 1)$. Consequently, the derivative of this last displayed function is nonpositive, and we deduce that

$$\int (\operatorname{Re} F(r^2t) - 1) d\mu(t) \leq r^2 \frac{\int \log (4r^{-2}|f(r^2t)|) d\mu(t)}{(1-r^2) \log 2/(1-r^2)},$$

that is,

$$\int (\operatorname{Re} F(rt) - 1) \operatorname{Re} F(rt) d\sigma(t) \leq \frac{r^2 \int \log (4r^{-1}|f(rt)|) \operatorname{Re} F(rt) d\sigma(t)}{(1-r^2) \log 2/(1-r^2)}$$

whenever $0 \leq r < 1$. Now

$$2 \int (\operatorname{Re} F(rt) - 1) \operatorname{Re} F(rt) d\sigma(t) = \int |F(rt) - 1|^2 d\sigma(t),$$

and the convexity of the exponential function implies that

$$2 \int \log (4r^{-1}|f(rt)|) \operatorname{Re} F(rt) d\sigma(t) \leq \log (16r^{-2}A(r)),$$

thus (5.5) follows, and the proof is complete.

We remark finally that, in view of (1.3), (1.5), and [6], the following problems suggest themselves:

Show

$$(i) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} (1-r) \frac{P'_\lambda(r)}{P_\lambda(r)} = \alpha\lambda \quad \text{for } \lambda > 0;$$

$$(ii) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{(1-r) d\|f_r\|_p/dr}{\|f_r\|_p} = \alpha p - 1 \quad \text{for } \alpha p > 1;$$

$$(iii) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{(1-r) d\|f'_r\|_p/dr}{\|f'_r\|_p} = (1+\alpha)p - 1 \quad \text{for } (1+\alpha)p > 1.$$

All these questions are open ones.

REFERENCES

1. J. G. Clunie and F. R. Keogh, *On starlike and convex schlicht functions*, J. London Math. Soc. **35** (1960), 229–233. MR **22** #1682.
2. J. G. Clunie and Ch. Pommerenke, *On the coefficients of close-to-convex univalent functions*, J. London Math. Soc. **41** (1966), 161–165. MR **32** #7734.
3. G. H. Hardy, *Divergent series*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1949. MR **11**, 25.
4. W. K. Hayman, *Multivalent functions*, Cambridge Tracts in Math. and Math. Phys., no. 48, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1958. MR **21** #7302.
5. ———, *On functions with positive real part*, J. London Math. Soc. **36** (1961), 35–48. MR **27** #311.
6. R. R. London and D. K. Thomas, *An area theorem for starlike functions*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **20** (1970), 734–748.
7. Ch. Pommerenke, *On starlike and convex functions*, J. London Math. Soc. **37** (1962), 209–224. MR **25** #1279.
8. ———, *On starlike and close-to-convex functions*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **13** (1963), 290–304. MR **26** #2597.
9. T. B. Sheil-Small, *On starlike univalent functions*, Ph.D. Thesis, Imperial College, London, 1965.
10. E. C. Titchmarsh, *The theory of functions*, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1960.

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE,
CORK, IRELAND
UNIVERSITY COLLEGE,
SWANSEA, WALES