

SOME INVARIANT σ -ALGEBRAS FOR MEASURE-PRESERVING TRANSFORMATIONS

BY
PETER WALTERS

Abstract. For an invertible measure-preserving transformation T of a Lebesgue measure space (X, \mathcal{B}, m) and a sequence N of integers, a T -invariant partition $\alpha_N(T)$ of (X, \mathcal{B}, m) is defined. The relationship of these partitions to spectral properties of T and entropy theory is discussed and the behaviour of the partitions $\alpha_N(T)$ under group extensions is investigated. Several examples are discussed.

0. Introduction. For an invertible measure-preserving transformation T of a Lebesgue space (X, \mathcal{B}, m) and a sequence $N = \{n_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of integers we define a σ -algebra by $\mathcal{A}_N(T) = \{A \in \mathcal{B} \mid m(T^{n_i}A \Delta A) \rightarrow 0\}$. Our aim is to study these σ -algebras. In §1 we evaluate those elements of $L^2(X, \mathcal{B}, m)$ which are measurable with respect to $\mathcal{A}_N(T)$. The connections the algebras $\mathcal{A}_N(T)$ have with discrete spectrum and entropy theory are discussed in §2. Every ergodic T with discrete spectrum has $\mathcal{A}_N(T) = \mathcal{B}$ for some sequence N and every T with $\mathcal{A}_N(T) = \mathcal{B}$ for some sequence N has zero entropy. It turns out that the algebras $\mathcal{A}_N(T)$ have properties in common with the σ -algebra generated by the eigenfunctions of T and also properties in common with the σ -algebra generated by all the finite algebras having zero entropy relative to T . Some of these properties are noted in §3 which also contains remarks on the relationship of the σ -algebras $\mathcal{A}_N(T)$ to mixing properties of T . The behaviour of the σ -algebras $\mathcal{A}_N(T)$ under group extensions is discussed in §4 and in §5 we use Gaussian processes to give examples of weak mixing transformations with $\mathcal{A}_N = \mathcal{B}$ for some sequence N . §6 is devoted to a discussion of further properties of the algebras $\mathcal{A}_N(T)$.

Throughout T will denote an invertible measure-preserving transformation of a Lebesgue space (X, \mathcal{B}, m) [14]. \mathcal{N} will denote the trivial σ -algebra consisting of those members of \mathcal{B} with measure 0 or 1. ν will denote the trivial partition and ε will denote the partition into points of any Lebesgue space. Greek letters ξ, η, ζ etc. will be used to denote measurable partitions. We shall use partitions and their associated σ -algebras interchangeably. The factor space of X by ζ will be denoted by X/ζ and if $T\zeta = \zeta$ the factor transformation induced by T on X/ζ will be denoted by T_ζ . If \mathcal{A} denotes the σ -algebra generated by the members of ζ then $L^2(\zeta)$ and $L^2(\mathcal{A})$ will both denote the collection of all elements of $L^2(X, \mathcal{B}, m)$ measurable

Received by the editors November 6, 1970.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 28A65, 47A35.

Key words and phrases. Measure-preserving transformation, ergodic, partition, σ -algebra, entropy, mixing, spectral measure, group extension, Gaussian process.

Copyright © 1972, American Mathematical Society

with respect to \mathcal{A} . In particular $L^2(\varepsilon)$ and $L^2(\mathcal{B})$ will stand for $L^2(X, \mathcal{B}, m)$. U_T will denote the unitary operator of $L^2(\mathcal{B})$ defined by $f \rightarrow f \circ T$ and $\|\cdot\|_2$ will denote the norm on an L^2 -space. We shall repeatedly use the spectral theorem which implies that for each $f \in L^2(\mathcal{B})$ there is a Borel measure σ_f on the unit circle K with $(U^n f, f) = \int_K \lambda^n d\sigma_f(\lambda) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$. K will always denote the unit circle. σ_f is called the spectral measure of f .

I would like to thank W. Parry for valuable discussions.

1. The σ -algebras $\mathcal{A}_N(T)$. For a sequence $N = \{n_i\}$ of integers let $\mathcal{A}_N(T) = \{A \in \mathcal{B} \mid m(T^{n_i} A \Delta A) \rightarrow 0\}$. We show below that $\mathcal{A}_N(T)$ is a σ -algebra. The corresponding partition will be denoted by $\alpha_N(T)$. Let $\mathcal{A}(T) = \bigvee_N \mathcal{A}_N(T)$ (the refinement is taken over all sequences N of integers) and let $\alpha(T)$ denote the corresponding partition. We have $T\mathcal{A}_N(T) = \mathcal{A}_N(T)$, $T\alpha_N(T) = \alpha_N(T)$, $T\mathcal{A}(T) = \mathcal{A}(T)$ and $T\alpha(T) = \alpha(T)$. When T is understood we shall write \mathcal{A}_N , α_N , \mathcal{A} and α .

THEOREM 1. $\mathcal{A}_N(T)$ is a σ -algebra.

Proof. Clearly $\emptyset \in \mathcal{A}_N$. Since $T^{n_i}(X \setminus A) \Delta (X \setminus A) = T^{n_i} A \Delta A$ \mathcal{A}_N is closed under complementation. \mathcal{A}_N is finitely additive since if $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{A}_N$ then

$$T^{n_i}(A_1 \cup A_2) \Delta (A_1 \cup A_2) \subset (T^{n_i} A_1 \Delta A_1) \cup (T^{n_i} A_2 \Delta A_2)$$

implies $A_1 \cup A_2 \in \mathcal{A}_N$. It remains to show that if $A_j \in \mathcal{A}_N$ ($j \geq 1$) and $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset A_3 \subset \dots$ then $A = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j \in \mathcal{A}_N$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Choose j_0 so that $m(A \setminus A_{j_0}) < \varepsilon$. Choose I so that $i > I \Rightarrow m(T^{n_i} A_{j_0} \Delta A_{j_0}) < \varepsilon$. Then

$$i > I \Rightarrow m(T^{n_i} A \Delta A) \leq m(T^{n_i} A \Delta T^{n_i} A_{j_0}) + m(T^{n_i} A_{j_0} \Delta A_{j_0}) + m(A_{j_0} \Delta A) < 3\varepsilon.$$

Therefore $A \in \mathcal{A}_N$ and \mathcal{A}_N is countably additive. $\quad ||$

Our next aim is to show $L^2(\mathcal{A}_N(T)) = \{f \in L^2(\mathcal{B}) \mid \|U_T^{n_i} f - f\|_2 \rightarrow 0\}$.

LEMMA 1. Let $f \in L^2(\mathcal{B})$ be real valued and nonconstant. Let $N = \{n_i\}$ be a sequence of integers. If $\|U_T^{n_i} f - f\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ then $f^{-1}(\mathcal{C}) \subset \mathcal{A}_N(T)$, where \mathcal{C} denotes the σ -algebra of Borel subsets of \mathbb{R} .

Proof. Let $b \in \mathbb{R}$. Put $B = \{x \mid f(x) \leq b\}$ and $B_\varepsilon = \{x \mid f(x) \leq b + \varepsilon\}$. Let $\delta > 0$ be given. On $T^{-n_i} B \setminus B_\varepsilon$ we have $|f(T^{n_i} x) - f(x)| \geq \varepsilon$ and therefore $m(T^{n_i} B \setminus B_\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Since $B_\varepsilon \setminus B$ decreases with ε and $\bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} (B_\varepsilon \setminus B) = \emptyset$, choose ε_0 so that $m(B_{\varepsilon_0} \setminus B) < \delta$. Choose i_0 so that $i > i_0$ implies $m(T^{-n_i} B \setminus B_{\varepsilon_0}) < \delta$. Then $m(T^{-n_i} B \setminus B) \leq m(T^{-n_i} B \setminus B_{\varepsilon_0}) + m(B_{\varepsilon_0} \setminus B) < 2\delta$ if $i > i_0$. Therefore $m(T^{n_i} B \Delta B) \rightarrow 0$. We have shown $f^{-1}(-\infty, b] \in \mathcal{A}_N(T)$ and by Theorem 1 $f^{-1}(\mathcal{C}) \subset \mathcal{A}_N(T)$. $\quad ||$

THEOREM 2. $L^2(\mathcal{A}_N(T)) = \{f \in L^2(\mathcal{B}) \mid \|U_T^{n_i} f - f\|_2 \rightarrow 0\}$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{H} denote the right-hand side. Certainly $L^2(\mathcal{A}_N(T)) \subset \mathcal{H}$. Suppose $f \in \mathcal{H} \setminus L^2(\mathcal{A}_N(T))$. We can assume f is real valued since either the real or imaginary part of f does not belong to $L^2(\mathcal{A}_N(T))$ but belongs to \mathcal{H} . By Lemma 1 $f^{-1}(\mathcal{C}) \subset \mathcal{A}_N(T)$ (where \mathcal{C} = Borel subsets of \mathbb{R}) and hence $f \in L^2(\mathcal{A}_N(T))$, a contradiction. $\quad ||$

Our aim is to study the algebras $\mathcal{A}_N(T)$. Of particular interest are those transformations with $\mathcal{A}_N(T) = \mathcal{B}$ ($\alpha_N(T) = \varepsilon$) for some sequence N , those with $\mathcal{A}(T) = \mathcal{B}$ ($\alpha(T) = \varepsilon$) and those with $\mathcal{A}(T) = \mathcal{N}$ ($\alpha(T) = \nu$). The condition $\mathcal{A}_N(T) = \mathcal{B}$ means T^{n_i} converges to the identity in the space of invertible measure-preserving transformations of (X, \mathcal{B}, m) with the weak topology [6] or equivalently $U_T^{n_i}$ converges to I in the space of unitary operators of $L^2(\mathcal{B})$ with the weak (or strong) topology. The following result relates the property $\mathcal{A}_N(T) = \mathcal{B}$ to the maximal spectral type of T . For the theory of spectral measures and types see [13].

THEOREM 3. $\mathcal{A}_N(T) = \mathcal{B} \Leftrightarrow \int_K |\lambda^{n_i} - 1|^2 d\sigma(\lambda) \rightarrow 0$ where σ denotes a finite measure on $K = \{z \mid |z| = 1\}$ whose type is the maximal spectral type of T .

Proof. Suppose the right-hand side holds and $h \in L^1(\sigma)$. We shall show $\int_K |\lambda^{n_i} - 1|^2 h(\lambda) d\sigma(\lambda) \rightarrow 0$. Let $\delta > 0$ be given and choose h_1, h_2 so that $h = h_1 + h_2$, h_1 is bounded ($|h_1(\lambda)| \leq c_\delta$ say) and $\int |h_2(\lambda)| d\sigma(\lambda) < \delta$.

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int |\lambda^{n_i} - 1|^2 h(\lambda) d\sigma(\lambda) \right| &\leq \int |\lambda^{n_i} - 1|^2 |h_1(\lambda)| d\sigma(\lambda) + \int |\lambda^{n_i} - 1|^2 |h_2(\lambda)| d\sigma(\lambda) \\ &< c_\delta \int |\lambda^{n_i} - 1|^2 d\sigma(\lambda) + 4\delta < 5\delta \end{aligned}$$

if $i > i_0$ and i_0 is chosen so that $i > i_0$ implies $\int |\lambda^{n_i} - 1|^2 d\sigma(\lambda) < \delta/c_\delta$. Hence $\int |\lambda^{n_i} - 1|^2 h(\lambda) d\sigma(\lambda) \rightarrow 0$. If $f \in L^2(\mathcal{B})$ the spectral measure σ_f of f is absolutely continuous with respect to σ and by the above $\|U^{n_i} f - f\|_2^2 = \int |\lambda^{n_i} - 1|^2 d\sigma_f(\lambda) \rightarrow 0$. Hence $f \in L^2(\mathcal{A}_N(T))$ and $\mathcal{A}_N(T) = \mathcal{B}$.

Conversely if $\mathcal{A}_N(T) = \mathcal{B}$ then choosing $f \in L^2(\mathcal{B})$ with spectral measure σ_f of maximal type we have $\int |\lambda^{n_i} - 1|^2 d\sigma_f(\lambda) = \|U^{n_i} f - f\|_2^2 \rightarrow 0$. By the above, if σ is any measure whose type is the maximal spectral type of T then $\int |\lambda^{n_i} - 1|^2 d\sigma(\lambda) \rightarrow 0$.

2. Some properties of $\mathcal{A}_N(T)$. The simplest examples of transformations with $\mathcal{A}_N = \mathcal{B}$ are given by

THEOREM 4. If T is ergodic with discrete spectrum there exists a sequence $N = \{n_i\}$ with $\mathcal{A}_N(T) = \mathcal{B}$.

Proof. We can suppose T is an ergodic rotation $Tx = ax$ on a compact abelian group G [6, p. 48]. Choose $N = \{n_i\}$ so that $a^{n_i} \rightarrow e$ the identity element of G . If γ is a character of G $\|U_T^{n_i} \gamma - \gamma\|_2^2 = |\gamma(a^{n_i}) - 1|^2 \rightarrow 0$. Since the characters generate $L^2(G)$ we have $\mathcal{A}_N(T) = \mathcal{B}$. ||

Later we shall give more examples of transformations with $\mathcal{A}_N = \mathcal{B}$.

The algebras $\mathcal{A}_N(T)$ are related to the work of Katok and Stepin [7] and Chacon and Schwartzbauer [1] on approximation by periodic transformations. It is easily checked that if T admits an approximation of the second kind by periodic transformations (a.p.t.II) with speed $o(1/n)$ in the sense of Katok and Stepin [7, p. 78] then $\mathcal{A}_{(p_n)}(T) = \mathcal{B}$. Also if T admits an approximation by periodic automorphisms in Chacon and Schwartzbauer's sense [1] then $\mathcal{A}_{(q_n)}(T) = \mathcal{B}$.

Now we discuss the relationship of the partitions $\alpha_N(T)$ to entropy theory. The notations for entropy are from [15]. Let \mathcal{Z} denote the set of partitions with finite entropy. Pinsker [12] has defined the maximum partition with zero entropy for T as $\pi(T) = \bigvee \{ \xi \in \mathcal{Z} \mid h(T, \xi) = 0 \}$. We have $T\pi(T) = \pi(T)$ and if $\eta \in \mathcal{Z}$ then $\eta \leq \pi(T)$ if and only if $h(T, \eta) = 0$. Using the concept of sequence entropy introduced by Kushnirenko [8], one can define the maximum partition with zero N -entropy for T (for a sequence of integers N) by $\pi_N(T) = \bigvee \{ \xi \in \mathcal{Z} \mid h_N(T, \xi) = 0 \}$. It is straightforward to check that $T\pi_N(T) = \pi_N(T)$ and if $\eta \in \mathcal{Z}$ then $\eta \leq \pi_N(T)$ if and only if $h_N(T, \eta) = 0$. The main result of Kushnirenko's paper [8] implies $\pi_\infty(T) = \bigwedge_N \pi_N(T)$ is the maximum partition for T such that the associated factor transformation has discrete spectrum. In other words $\pi_\infty(T)$ is the partition generated by the eigenfunctions of T .

THEOREM 5. *For every sequence N of integers, $\alpha_N(T) \leq \pi(T)$ and $\alpha_N(T) \leq \pi_N(T)$. Hence $\alpha(T) \leq \pi(T)$.*

Proof. We first show $\alpha_N(T) \leq \pi_N(T)$. Suppose ξ is a finite partition and $\xi \leq \alpha_N(T)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} H(T^{n_1}\xi \vee T^{n_2}\xi \vee \cdots \vee T^{n_k}\xi) \\ \leq H(T^{n_1}\xi) + H(\xi/T^{n_1-n_2}\xi) + H(\xi/T^{n_2-n_3}\xi) + \cdots + H(\xi/T^{n_{k-1}-n_k}\xi) \end{aligned}$$

so if $H(\xi/T^{n_{k-1}-n_k}\xi) \rightarrow 0$ then $h_N(T, \xi) = 0$ and $\xi \leq \pi_N(T)$. But $\mathcal{A}_{\{n_i\}}(T) = \mathcal{B}$ implies $\mathcal{A}_{\{n_{i-1}-n_i\}}(T) = \mathcal{B}$ and this readily implies $H(\xi/T^{n_{i-1}-n_i}\xi) \rightarrow 0$. Hence $\alpha_N(T) \leq \pi_N(T)$.

Similarly $\alpha_N(T) \leq \pi(T)$ since if $\xi \leq \alpha_N(T)$ is finite $h(T, \xi) = H(\xi/\bigvee_{n=1}^\infty T^n \xi) \leq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} H(\xi/T^{n_i}\xi) = 0$.

COROLLARY 5.1. $h(T_{\alpha_N(T)}) = 0$, $h_N(T_{\alpha_N(T)}) = 0$ and $h(T_{\alpha(T)}) = 0$.

By Theorem 4 we know there exists a sequence N such that $\pi_\infty(T) \leq \alpha_N(T)$. This and Theorem 5 indicate that the partitions $\alpha_N(T)$ may inherit some of the properties of $\pi_\infty(T)$ and some of the properties of $\pi(T)$. This is shown to be so in the later sections.

THEOREM 6. (a) $\bigcap_N \mathcal{A}_N(T)$ is the σ -algebra of T -invariant members of \mathcal{B} .

(b) The class of invertible measure-preserving transformation with $\mathcal{A}_N = \mathcal{B}$ is closed under (i) factors, (ii) countable direct products, and (iii) inverse limits. In fact (iii) can be strengthened to the property:

if $\xi_n \nearrow \xi$ and $T\xi_n = \xi_n$, $T\xi = \xi$, then $\alpha_N(T_{\xi_n}) \nearrow \alpha_N(T_\xi)$.

Proof. (a) Let $A \in \bigcap_N \mathcal{A}_N(T)$. Then $m(TA\Delta A) \leq m(T^{n+1}A\Delta A) + m(A\Delta T^n A) \rightarrow 0$.

(b) (i) is trivial.

(ii) Let T_i act on $(X_i, \mathcal{B}_i, m_i)$ and let $T_\infty = \prod_{i=1}^\infty T_i$ acting on $(X, \mathcal{B}, m) = \prod_{i=1}^\infty (X_i, \mathcal{B}_i, m_i)$. Assume $\mathcal{A}_N(T_i) = \mathcal{B}_i$ for each i . It is easy to show that each measurable rectangle is in $\mathcal{A}_N(T_\infty)$ and hence $\mathcal{A}_N(T_\infty) = \mathcal{B}$.

(iii) It suffices to take $\xi = \varepsilon$. Let $f \in L^2(\alpha_N(T))$ and put $f_n = E(f/\xi_n)$, where $E(f/\xi_n)$ is the conditional expectation of f relative to the σ -algebra generated by ξ_n . Then $\|f - f_n\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ and $\|U_{T_{\xi_n}}^{n_i} f_n - f_n\|_2 \leq \|U_T^{n_i} f - f\|_2 \rightarrow 0$. Hence $f_n \in L^2(\alpha_N(T_{\xi_n}))$ and $\alpha_N(T_{\xi_n}) \rightarrow \alpha_N(T_\xi)$.

Let \mathcal{W} denote the class of invertible measure-preserving transformations of (X, \mathcal{B}, m) with the weak topology [6]. \mathcal{W} is a complete metric space and hence has the Baire property that a countable intersection of open dense sets is dense. From Theorem 1.1 of [7] it follows that the collection of all transformations with $\mathcal{A}_N = \mathcal{B}$ for some N contains a dense G_δ in the space \mathcal{W} . Since the weak-mixing transformations form a dense G_δ in \mathcal{W} ([6]) it follows that the class of all weak-mixing transformations with $\mathcal{A}_N = \mathcal{B}$ for some N contains a dense G_δ in \mathcal{W} . It follows from this and the next theorem that the class of weak-mixing transformations which are not strong-mixing contains a dense G_δ in \mathcal{W} .

3. Mixing properties. An example of a property of $\alpha_N(T)$ inherited from $\pi_\infty(T)$ is

THEOREM 7. *There are no nonconstant mixing functions in $L^2(\mathcal{A}_N(T))$ (i.e. $(U_T^n f, f) \rightarrow (f, 1)(1, f)$ for $f \in L^2(\mathcal{A}_N(T))$ implies $f = \text{constant}$).*

Proof. If $(U_T^n f, f) \rightarrow (f, 1)(1, f)$ and $f \in L^2(\mathcal{A}_N(T))$ then $(f, f) = (f, 1)(1, f)$ and f is constant. ||

COROLLARY 7.1. $T_{\alpha_N(T)}$ has singular spectrum.

Proof. If the spectrum is not singular there exists $f \in L^2(\mathcal{A}_N(T))$ with absolutely continuous spectral measure σ_f . Then $(U_T^n f, f) = \int \lambda^n d\sigma_f(\lambda) \rightarrow 0$ by the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma and since $(U_T^n f, f) \rightarrow \|f\|_2^2$ we have $f = 0$. ||

COROLLARY 7.2. *If T is totally ergodic with quasi-discrete spectrum then $\alpha_N(T) \leq \pi_\infty(T)$ for all sequences N and there exists a sequence N with $\alpha_N(T) = \pi_\infty(T)$. Hence $\alpha(T) = \pi_\infty(T)$.*

Proof. $L^2(\varepsilon) = L^2(\pi_\infty(T)) \oplus \mathcal{H}$ where $U_T \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}$ and $U_T|_{\mathcal{H}}$ has Lebesgue spectrum. Let $f \in L^2(\alpha_N(T))$ and $f = f_1 + f_2$, $f_1 \in L^2(\pi_\infty(T))$, $f_2 \in \mathcal{H}$. Then $\|U_T^{n_i} f - f\|_2^2 = \|U_T^{n_i} f_1 - f_1\|_2^2 + \|U_T^{n_i} f_2 - f_2\|_2^2$ implies $f_1 \in L^2(\alpha_N(T))$ and $f_2 \in L^2(\alpha_N(T))$. By Theorem 7 $f_2 = 0$ and hence $\alpha_N(T) \leq \pi_\infty(T)$. The rest of the corollary follows from Theorem 4. ||

Later we shall give examples of weak-mixing transformations with $\mathcal{A}_N(T) = \mathcal{B}$ for some N . Consideration of $\mathcal{A}(T)$ gives an interesting connection with mixing. We first give a definition.

DEFINITION 1. T is intermixing if whenever $m(A) > 0$ and $m(B) > 0$, $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$, we have $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(T^n A \cap B) > 0$.

Friedman and Ornstein [2] give examples of intermixing transformations which are not strong-mixing.

THEOREM 8.

$$T \text{ strong-mixing} \stackrel{\Rightarrow}{\Leftrightarrow} T \text{ intermixing} \Rightarrow \mathcal{A}(T) = \mathcal{N} \stackrel{\Rightarrow}{\Leftrightarrow} T \text{ weak-mixing}.$$

Proof. T strong-mixing $\Rightarrow T$ intermixing is clear. The example of Friedman and Ornstein mentioned above shows the converse is false. If T is intermixing and $0 < m(A) < 1$, $A \in \mathcal{B}$, then $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(T^n A \cap A^c) > 0$ and so $A \notin \mathcal{A}_N(T)$ for any sequence N . Therefore $\mathcal{A}(T) = \mathcal{N}$. Theorem 4 shows $\mathcal{A}(T) = \mathcal{N} \Rightarrow T$ weak-mixing, and the converse is false by the examples of §5. | |

We do not know if $\mathcal{A}(T) = \mathcal{N}$ implies T is intermixing. Pinsker [12] has shown that if $T\xi = \xi$ and $\pi(T\xi) = \nu$ then $\pi(T)$ and ξ are independent partitions. We shall show the corresponding result for the partitions $\alpha_N(T)$. Two Borel measures (or types of measures) on the unit circle K will be called singular modulo $\{1\}$ if their restrictions to $K - \{1\}$ are singular.

THEOREM 9. If \mathcal{H} is a U_T -invariant subspace of $L^2(\mathcal{B})$ with $L^2(\mathcal{A}_N(T)) \cap \mathcal{H} = \{0\}$ or the constants, then the maximal spectral types of $U_T|L^2(\mathcal{A}_N(T))$ and $U_T|\mathcal{H}$ are singular modulo $\{1\}$.

Proof. Let σ be a measure with type equal to the maximal spectral type of $T_{\alpha_N(T)}$ and μ a measure with type the maximal spectral type of $U_T|\mathcal{H}$. If σ and μ are not singular modulo $\{1\}$ there exists a measure τ not concentrated on $\{1\}$ with $\tau \leq \sigma$ and $\tau \leq \mu$. As in the proof of Theorem 3, $\int |\lambda^{n_i} - 1|^2 d\tau \rightarrow 0$. Let $g \in \mathcal{H}$ have spectral measure τ . g is not constant and $\|U_T^{n_i} g - g\|_2^2 = \int |\lambda^{n_i} - 1|^2 d\tau \rightarrow 0$ so $g \in L^2(\mathcal{A}_N(T))$, a contradiction. | |

The next corollary is the analogue of the result of Pinsker mentioned above.

COROLLARY 9.1. Suppose $T\xi = \xi$ and $\alpha_N(T\xi) = \nu$. Then ξ and $\alpha_N(T)$ are independent partitions.

Proof. By Theorem 9, $T\xi$ and $T_{\alpha_N(T)}$ have singular types mod $\{1\}$. Let $f \in L^2(\alpha_N(T))$ and $g \in L^2(\xi)$ both have integral zero. Then f and g have singular spectral types and hence are orthogonal [13, p. 124].

COROLLARY 9.2. If $\alpha_N(T) = \varepsilon$ then T is disjoint from all strong-mixing transformations. (For the definition of disjointness see [3].)

Proof. By Theorem 8 and Corollary 9.1.

This corollary is a strengthening of Theorem 7. The converse to Corollary 9.2 is false since the transformation of the 2-torus $T(z, w) = (e^{2\pi i a} z, zw)$, where a is irrational, is disjoint from all strong-mixing transformations [3] and yet $\alpha(T) \neq \varepsilon$ by Corollary 7.2 since T is totally ergodic with quasi-discrete spectrum.

4. Group extensions. We now investigate how the partitions $\alpha_N(T)$ behave under group extensions.

THEOREM 10. *Let G be a compact abelian metric group acting as a group of measure-preserving transformations of (X, \mathcal{B}, m) such that $gT = Tg$. Let $\xi(G)$ denote the partition of X into orbits of G . If $\alpha_N(T_{\xi(G)}) = \nu$ then $T_{\alpha_N(T)}$ is conjugate to a rotation on a factor group of G . (The triviality of this factor group means $\alpha_N(T) = \nu$ and this will occur if T is weak-mixing.)*

Proof. $gT = Tg$ implies $g\alpha_N(T) = \alpha_N(T)$ and so G acts on $X/\alpha_N(T)$. We first show that G acts ergodically on $X/\alpha_N(T)$. Let $\xi(G, N)$ denote the partition of X determined by the partition of the space $X/\alpha_N(T)$ into orbits of G . Then $\xi(G, N) \leq \xi(G)$ and so $\alpha_N(T_{\xi(G, N)}) = \nu$. But $\xi(G, N) \leq \alpha_N(T)$ and therefore $\xi(G, N) = \nu$. That $T_{\alpha_N(T)}$ is conjugate to a rotation on a factor group of G follows from Lemma 3 of [11]. ||

Results of this nature have been proved about $\pi(T)$ by Parry [11] and Thomas [17].

COROLLARY 10.1. *Suppose T is totally ergodic and G is a finite group acting as measure-preserving transformations of (X, \mathcal{B}, m) so that $gT = Tg$ for each $g \in G$. If $\alpha_N(T_{\xi(G)}) = \nu$ then $\alpha_N(T) = \nu$.*

Proof. By Theorem 10, $X/\alpha_N(T)$ is a finite space and the total ergodicity of T implies it is one point. Hence $\alpha_N(T) = \nu$. ||

Let G be a compact connected abelian metric group which acts freely as a group of homeomorphisms on a compact metric space X . Let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a homeomorphism with $gT = Tg$ for every $g \in G$. Suppose T and G preserve a measure m defined on the completion of the Borel subsets of X . T induces a homeomorphism $T_G: X/G \rightarrow X/G$ of the orbit space and every lift of T_G to X is of the form $x \rightarrow \phi(x)T(x)$ where $\phi \in C_0(X, G) = \{\phi: X \rightarrow G \mid \phi \text{ is continuous and } \phi(gx) = \phi(x) \forall g \in G, x \in X\}$ [4]. $C_0(X, G)$ becomes a complete metric space when endowed with the metric $D(\phi, \psi) = \sup_{x \in X} d(\phi(x), \psi(x))$ where d is an invariant metric for G . T_G preserves the measure on X/G determined by m and the maps $x \rightarrow \phi(x)T(x)$ preserve the measure m . An (unpublished) result of Jones and Parry announced in [4] states that if T_G is weak-mixing the set of ϕ making $x \rightarrow \phi(x)Tx$ weak-mixing contains a dense G_δ in $C_0(X, G)$. From this, Theorem 8 and Theorem 10 we conclude

COROLLARY 10.2. (i) *If $\alpha_N(T_G) = \nu$ and T_G is weak-mixing the set of $\phi \in C_0(X, G)$ having the property that $x \rightarrow \phi(x)Tx$ has $\alpha_N = \nu$ contains a dense G_δ in $C_0(X, G)$.*

(ii) *If $\alpha(T_G) = \nu$ the set of $\phi \in C_0(X, G)$ having the property that $x \rightarrow \phi(x)T(x)$ has $\alpha = \nu$ contains a dense G_δ in $C_0(X, G)$.*

We now consider the problem of extending a transformation with $\alpha_N = \varepsilon$ to obtain one with the same property. We shall consider only extensions by $Z^2 = \{1, -1\}$. The measure on Z^2 is always taken to be the measure giving weight $\frac{1}{2}$ to each point.

THEOREM 11. *Let (Y, \mathcal{C}, μ) be a Lebesgue space and let $X = Y \times Z^2$. Define $T: X \rightarrow X$ by $T(y, \varepsilon) = (Sy, \phi(y)\varepsilon)$ where $S: Y \rightarrow Y$ is measure-preserving and*

$\phi: Y \rightarrow Z^2$ is measurable. If $\mathcal{A}_N(S) = \mathcal{C}$ then

$$\mathcal{A}_N(T) = \mathcal{B} \Leftrightarrow \mu(\{y | \phi(S^{n_i-1}y)\phi(S^{n_i-2}y) \cdots \phi(y) = -1\}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Suppose $\mathcal{A}_N(T) = \mathcal{B}$ and take $f(y, \varepsilon) = \varepsilon$. Then

$$\int |\phi(S^{n_i-1}y) \cdots \phi(y) - 1|^2 d\mu(y) = \|U_T^{n_i}f - f\|_2^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty$$

and hence $\mu(\{y | \phi(S^{n_i-1}y) \cdots \phi(y) = -1\}) \rightarrow 0$.

Conversely if this condition holds, the above function f belongs to $L^2(\mathcal{A}_N(T))$ and hence $\mathcal{A}_N(T) = \mathcal{B}$. $||$

We use this in the following theorem the proof of which comes from ideas in [7].

THEOREM 12. Let $T: K \times Z^2 \rightarrow K \times Z^2$ be defined by $T(z, \varepsilon) = (e^{2\pi i a} z, \phi(z)\varepsilon)$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(z) &= -1 & \text{if } \arg z \leq \gamma 2\pi \\ &= 1 & \text{if } \arg z > \gamma 2\pi \end{aligned} \quad (0 < \gamma < 1).$$

Then $\mathcal{A}_N(T) = \mathcal{B}$ if there exist integers p_i and even integers r_i with $(p_i, n_i) = 1$, $|a - p_i/n_i| = o(1/n_i^2)$ and $|\gamma - r_i/n_i| = o(1/n_i)$.

Proof. For the proof we shall consider the circle group K as the additive group $[0, 1)$ with addition modulo 1. Set

$$\phi_i(x) = \phi(x)\phi(a+x) \cdots \phi((n_i-1)a+x)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_i^*(x) &= \phi(x)\phi(p_i/n_i+x) \cdots \phi(((n_i-1)/n_i)p_i+x); \\ \{x | \phi_i(x) = -1\} &\subset \{x | \phi_i^*(x) = -1\} \cup \{x | \phi_i(x) \neq \phi_i^*(x)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let μ denote Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\{x | \phi_i(x) \neq \phi_i^*(x)\}) &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{n_i-1} \mu\{x | \phi(ja+x) \neq \phi(jp_i/n_i+x)\} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{n_i-1} |ja - jp_i/n_i| \leq (n_i(n_i+1)/2)o(1/n_i^2) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $n_i\gamma - r_i \geq 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_i^*(x) &= (-1)^{r_i+1} & \text{if } \{n_i x\} \leq n_i\gamma - r_i, \\ &= (-1)^{r_i} & \text{if } \{n_i x\} > n_i\gamma - r_i. \end{aligned}$$

If $n_i\gamma - r_i < 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_i^*(x) &= (-1)^{r_i} & \text{if } \{n_i x\} \leq 1 + n_i\gamma - r_i, \\ &= (-1)^{r_i-1} & \text{if } \{n_i x\} > 1 + n_i\gamma - r_i. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\{x | \phi_i^*(x) = -1\}) &= \mu(\{x | \{n_i x\} \leq n_i\gamma - r_i\} \cup \{x | \{n_i x\} > 1 + n_i\gamma - r_i\}) \\ &\leq 2|n_i\gamma - r_i| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mu(\{x | \phi_i(x) = -1\}) \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ and $\mathcal{A}_N(T) = \mathcal{B}$ by Theorem 11. $||$

5. Further examples. In this section we shall consider some weak-mixing transformations with $\mathcal{A}_N = \mathcal{B}$ for some N . T will be the shift generated by a stationary Gaussian process. Let $X = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} R$, \mathcal{C} the product σ -algebra generated by the Borel subsets of R and let p_j denote the j th coordinate function. Hence if $x = \{x_n\}$ then $p_j(x) = x_j$. One assigns a probability measure to (X, \mathcal{C}) by requiring that $\{p_j\}$ be a stationary Gaussian process with covariance sequence $R(n)$ where $R(n) = \int_K \lambda^n d\mu(\lambda)$ and μ is a finite measure on the unit circle K symmetric with respect to the real axis. μ is called the covariance measure of the process. Let \mathcal{B} denote the completion of \mathcal{C} and let the measure on \mathcal{B} be m . T is then defined by $p_{i-1}(x) = p_i(Tx)$, and is an invertible measure-preserving transformation of (X, \mathcal{B}, m) . Hence every symmetric finite Borel measure on K is the covariance measure of a stationary Gaussian process.

THEOREM 13. *Let T be the shift on a stationary Gaussian process with covariance measure μ . Then $\mathcal{A}_N(T) = \mathcal{B} \Leftrightarrow \int |\lambda^{n_i} - 1|^2 d\mu(\lambda) \rightarrow 0$.*

Proof. Suppose $\mathcal{A}_N(T) = \mathcal{B}$. $\int |\lambda^{n_i} - 1|^2 d\mu(\lambda) = \|U_T^{n_i} p_1 - p_1\|_2^2 \rightarrow 0$. Conversely $\int |\lambda^{n_i} - 1|^2 d\mu(\lambda) \rightarrow 0$ implies $p_1 \in L^2(\mathcal{A}_N(T))$ and hence $p_k \in L^2(\mathcal{A}_N(T))$ for each k and hence $L^2(\mathcal{A}_N(T)) = L^2(\mathcal{B})$. $\quad ||$

THEOREM 14. *Let μ be a continuous symmetric finite measure concentrated on $D \cup D^{-1}$ where D is a Kronecker subset of K . Let T be the shift on the Gaussian process determined by μ . Then T is weak-mixing and $\mathcal{A}_N(T) = \mathcal{B}$ for some sequence N (and hence is not strong-mixing or intermixing).*

Proof. The conclusion about mixing is in [10]. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D \cup D^{-1}} |\lambda^n - 1|^2 d\mu(\lambda) &\leq \int_D |\lambda^n - 1|^2 d\mu(\lambda) + \int_{D^{-1}} |\lambda^n - 1|^2 d\mu(\lambda) \\ &= 2 \int_D |\lambda^n - 1|^2 d\mu(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\varepsilon_i \rightarrow 0$ and for each i choose $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\sup_{z \in D} |1 - z^{n_i}| < \varepsilon_i$. This is possible since D is a Kronecker set. Then $\int_D |\lambda^{n_i} - 1|^2 d\mu(\lambda) < \varepsilon_i^2 \mu(D) \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore $\mathcal{A}_N(T) = \mathcal{B}$ by Theorem 13 if $N = \{n_i\}$. $\quad ||$

We also note the following

THEOREM 15. *If S is an invertible measure-preserving transformation with $\alpha_N(S) = \varepsilon$ and S does not have discrete spectrum there exists a weak-mixing shift T of a stationary Gaussian process with $\alpha_N(T) = \varepsilon$.*

Proof. Let μ_S denote a measure having type equal to the maximal spectral type of S . μ_S can be chosen symmetric with respect to the real axis. Let μ be its continuous part which is nontrivial (by the assumption that S does not have discrete spectrum) and is symmetric. By the proof of Theorem 3, since $\mu \ll \mu_S$ we have $\int_K |\lambda^{n_i} - 1| d\mu(\lambda) \rightarrow 0$. So letting T be the shift defined on the Gaussian process with covariance

measure μ we obtain a transformation with $\alpha_N(T)=\varepsilon$ by Theorem 13, and T is weak-mixing since μ is a continuous measure [9]. ||

Other examples of weak-mixing transformations with $\mathcal{A}_N=\mathcal{B}$ for some N are constructed in [7] by taking transformations induced from rotations of the unit circle.

6. Problems. We now discuss whether properties of ergodic transformations with discrete spectrum carry over to ergodic transformations with $\alpha_N(T)=\varepsilon$ for some N . Ergodic transformations with discrete spectrum have simple spectrum and this may account for the fact that some properties do not carry over. We first note that if T is ergodic and $\alpha_N(T)=\varepsilon$ then T need not have simple spectrum, for we could choose T weak-mixing and then $T \times T$ does not have simple spectrum but is ergodic and $\alpha_N(T \times T)=\varepsilon$ (Theorem 6).

If T is ergodic with discrete spectrum then T is coalescent, i.e. if S is measure-preserving and $ST=TS$ then S is invertible. All transformations with simple spectrum have this property but it does not hold for all ergodic T with $\alpha_N(T)=\varepsilon$. Let T acting on (X, \mathcal{B}, m) be weak-mixing and $\alpha_N(T)=\varepsilon$ then $T_\infty = \prod_{i=1}^\infty T$ acting on $Y = \prod_{i=1}^\infty X$ is ergodic with $\alpha_N(T_\infty)=\varepsilon$ but commutes with the 1-sided shift with state space X .

The main result of [1] is that if T admits an approximation by periodic automorphisms (in the sense of Chacon and Schwarzbauer) and if S is an invertible measure-preserving transformation commuting with T there exists a sequence $\{j_n\}$ of integers such that $m(T^{j_n}A\Delta SA) \rightarrow 0$ for every $A \in \mathcal{B}$. This property is, of course, true for an ergodic T with discrete spectrum since every measure-preserving transformation commuting with an ergodic rotation of a compact abelian group is itself a rotation. It is not true in general for ergodic transformations with $\alpha_N=\varepsilon$ as the following example shows. Let T acting on (X, \mathcal{B}, m) be weak-mixing with $\alpha_N(T)=\varepsilon$. Put $T_\infty = \prod_{i=1}^\infty T$, $X_\infty = \prod_{i=1}^\infty X$, $\mathcal{B}_\infty = \prod_{i=1}^\infty \mathcal{B}$, $m_\infty = \prod_{i=1}^\infty m$, $S = \prod_{i=1}^\infty T^i$. T_∞ and S both act on X_∞ , $\alpha_N(T_\infty)=\varepsilon$ and $T_\infty S = ST_\infty$. However there is no sequence $\{j_n\}$ with $m_\infty(T_\infty^{j_n}A\Delta SA) \rightarrow 0$ for all $A \in \mathcal{B}_\infty$. However if T admits an approximation by periodic automorphisms in Chacon and Schwartzbauer's sense then T has simple spectrum and so we could pose the following problem that we have been unable to solve. If T has simple spectrum and $\alpha_N(T)=\varepsilon$ for some N and if S is an invertible measure-preserving transformation with $ST=TS$ then does there exist a sequence $\{j_n\}$ of integers with $m(T^{j_n}A\Delta SA) \rightarrow 0$ for every $A \in \mathcal{B}$?

Another property enjoyed by an ergodic transformation T with discrete spectrum is that if S is measure-preserving and $ST=TS$ then $\mathcal{A}_M(S)=\mathcal{B}$ for some sequence M . It is possible that if T has simple spectrum and $\mathcal{A}_N(T)=\mathcal{B}$ for some sequence N then each measure-preserving transformation S commuting with T has $\mathcal{A}_M(S)=\mathcal{B}$ for some sequence M . This is false if the condition of simplicity of the spectrum of T is replaced by ergodicity since we could take T to be the 2-sided direct product of a weak-mixing transformation with $\mathcal{A}_N=\mathcal{B}$ and then T commutes with the 2-sided shift S which is invertible and $\mathcal{A}_M(S)=\mathcal{N}$ for every sequence M (Theorem 8).

Another property of ergodic transformations with discrete spectrum is that $\{B \in \mathcal{B} \mid (B, X \setminus B) \text{ is a generator}\}$ is dense in the metric space $\mathcal{B} \pmod{0}$ with the symmetric difference metric [16]. This is also true for totally ergodic transformations with quasi-discrete spectrum [5]. We have been unable to decide whether it is true for ergodic T with $\mathcal{A}_N(T) = \mathcal{B}$ for some N .

7. Noninvertible transformations. Suppose now that T is a noninvertible measure-preserving transformation of a Lebesgue space (X, \mathcal{B}, m) . If we define $\mathcal{A}_N(T) = \{A \in \mathcal{B} \mid m(T^{-n_i} A \Delta A) \rightarrow 0\}$ for a sequence $N = \{n_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of nonnegative integers and let $\alpha_N(T)$ denote the corresponding partition, then $T^{-1}\alpha_N(T) \leq \alpha_N(T)$ and one can show, as in the proof of Theorem 5, that $\alpha_N(T) \leq \pi(T)$ for each sequence N . Since $T_{\pi(T)}$ is an invertible measure-preserving transformation with zero entropy we have $T^{-1}\alpha_N(T) = \alpha_N(T) \pmod{0}$ for each sequence N . Hence to study the algebras $\alpha_N(T)$ for a noninvertible T it suffices to study $\alpha_N(T_{\pi(T)})$ for the invertible transformation $T_{\pi(T)}$.

REFERENCES

1. R. V. Chacon and T. Schwartzbauer, *Commuting point transformations*, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete **11** (1969), 277–287. MR **39** #2939.
2. N. A. Friedman and D. S. Ornstein, *On mixing and partial mixing* (to appear).
3. H. Furstenberg, *Disjointness in ergodic theory, minimal sets, and a problem in Diophantine approximation*, Math. Systems Theory **1** (1967), 1–49. MR **35** #4369.
4. L. W. Green and W. Parry, *Ergodic theory of G-spaces*, Bull. London Math. Soc. (to appear).
5. F. J. Hahn and W. Parry, *Some characteristic properties of dynamical systems with quasi-discrete spectra*, Math. Systems Theory **2** (1968), 179–190. MR **37** #6435.
6. P. R. Halmos, *Lectures on ergodic theory*, Publ. Math. Soc. Japan, no. 3, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 1956; reprint, Chelsea, New York, 1960. MR **20** #3958.
7. A. B. Katok and A. M. Stepin, *Approximations in ergodic theory*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk **22** (1967), no. 5 (137), 81–106 = Russian Math. Surveys **22** (1967), no. 5, 77–102. MR **36** #2776.
8. A. G. Kušnirenko, *Metric invariants of entropy type*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk **22** (1967), no. 5 (137), 57–65 = Russian Math. Surveys **22** (1967), no. 5, 53–67. MR **36** #348.
9. G. Maruyama, *The harmonic analysis of stationary stochastic processes*, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kyūsyū Univ. A. **4** (1949), 45–106. MR **11**, 257.
10. D. Newton, *On Gaussian processes with simple spectrum*, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete **5** (1966), 207–209. MR **34** #868.
11. W. Parry, *Ergodic properties of affine transformations and flows on nilmanifolds*, Amer. J. Math. **91** (1969), 757–771. MR **41** #5595.
12. M. S. Pinsker, *Dynamical systems with completely positive or zero entropy*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **133** (1960), 1025–1026 = Soviet Math. Dokl. **1** (1961), 937–938. MR **27** #2603.
13. A. I. Plesner and V. A. Rohlin, *Spectral theory of linear operators*. II, Uspehi Mat. Nauk **1** (1946), no. 1 (11), 71–191; English transl., Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) **62** (1967), 29–175. MR **9**, 43.
14. V. A. Rohlin, *On the fundamental ideas of measure theory*, Mat. Sb. **25** (67) (1949), 107–150; English transl., Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (1) **10** (1962), 1–54. MR **11**, 18.

15. V. A. Rohlin, *Lectures on the entropy theory of transformations with invariant measure*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk **22** (1967), no. 5 (137), 3–56 = Russian Math. Surveys **22** (1967), no. 5, 1–52. MR **36** #349.
16. ———, *On endomorphisms of compact commutative groups*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **13** (1949), 329–340. (Russian) MR **11**, 40.
17. R. K. Thomas, *Metric properties of transformations of G -spaces*, J. London Math. Soc. (to appear).

MATHEMATICS INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF WARWICK, COVENTRY, ENGLAND