BOUNDEDLY COMPLETE M-BASES AND COMPLEMENTED SUBSPACES IN BANACH SPACES

BY

WILLIAM J. DAVIS AND IVAN SINGER

ABSTRACT. Subsequences of boundedly complete M-bases need not be boundedly complete. An example of a somewhat reflexive space is given whose dual and one of whose factors fail to be somewhat reflexive. A geometric description of boundedly complete M-bases is given which is equivalent to the definitions of V. D. Milman and W. B. Johnson. Finally, certain M-bases for separable spaces give rise to proper complemented subspaces.

A sequence (x_n) in a Banach space is called an *M-basis* (or *Markushevich basis*) of E if (x_n) is complete in E (i.e., the closed linear span $[x_n]$ of (x_n) is the whole space E) and if there exists a total sequence of functionals $(f_n) \subset E^*$ (i.e., $\{x \in E | f_n(x) = 0 \ (n = 1, 2, \cdots)\} = \{0\}$) such that (x_n, f_n) is a biorthogonal system (i.e., $f_i(x_j) = \delta_{ij}$ for $i, j = 1, 2, \cdots$); obviously, (f_n) is uniquely determined. The sequence (x_n) is called a basis of E if for every $x \in E$ there exists a unique sequence of scalars (α_n) such that

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i x_i.$$

It is known [2] that every basis is an M-basis, with $f_n(x) = \alpha_n$ $(x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i x_i \in E$, $n = 1, 2, \cdots)$, which are called the *coefficient functionals*.

A basis (x_n) of a Banach space E is called *boundedly complete* if the relation

$$\sup_n \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i x_i \right\| < \infty$$

implies that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i x_i$ converges in E. It is known ([18], [3]) that (x_n) is a boundedly complete basis of E, with the coefficient functionals (f_n), iff the canonical mapping ϕ of E into $[f_n]^*$ (i.e., the mapping defined by $[\phi(x)](f) = f(x)$ for all $x \in E$, $f \in [f_n]^*$) is an isomorphism of E onto $[f_n]^*$. The notion of boundedly completeness has been extended to M-bases in several different ways, most of them equivalent to this property of the canonical mapping $\phi: E \to [f_n]^*$.

We shall say that *an M-basis, $(x_n; f_n)$, of E is boundedly complete if ϕ is an isomorphism onto $[f_n]^*$.* In §1 of the present note we shall disprove a claim of V. D. Milman [21] on boundedly complete M-bases, which, if it had been true, would have provided an affirmative answer to a problem of [4] on boundedly completeness. In §2 we consider the existence of reflexive subspaces in Banach spaces. We also answer some questions concerning the *somewhat reflexive* spaces of Herman and Whitley [10]. In §3 we introduce a new geometric characterization of boundedly complete M-bases. Finally, in §4 we examine complemented subspaces in separable Banach spaces arising from certain M-bases.

1. The existence of boundedly complete basic sequences. In [4] the question was raised *whether every separable conjugate Banach space E* contains a subspace with a boundedly complete basis* (with no assumption of separability on E^* the question was raised in [25, Problem 3.8] and [22, Problem 3]); by subspace we shall mean, throughout this note, closed linear subspace. Since the first version of this paper, Johnson and Rosenthal [16] have given an affirmative answer to the problem. Earlier, V. D. Milman [21] claimed that the answer to this question is affirmative, via the following argument: Since E^* is separable, there exists, by a result of Gaposkin and Kadec [7], a biorthogonal system $(x_n; f_n)$ such that $[x_n] = E$ and $[f_n] = E^*$. Then (f_n) is a boundedly complete M-basis of E^*. Since $[x_n] = E$, (f_n) contains ([17], [21]) a subsequence (f_{n_j}) which is a basic sequence (i.e., a basis of its closed linear span $[f_{n_j}]$). Now, Milman claims [21, Proposition 3.4b] that every subsequence of a boundedly complete M-basis is a boundedly complete M-basis of its closed linear span and hence $[f_{n_j}]$ is a subspace of E^* with the desired property, i.e., having a boundedly complete basis (f_{n_j}). Firstly, we want to remark that this claim is false, even when (f_{n_j}) is a basic sequence, as shown by

Example 1. Let $E = c_0$ and let

$$x_{2n-1} = e_{2n-1} - 2^n e_{2n} + 2^{n+1} e_{2n+2} \qquad (n = 1, 2, \cdots),$$

$$x_{2n} = 2^n e_{2n} \qquad (n = 1, 2, \cdots),$$

$$f_{2n-1} = b_{2n-1} \qquad (n = 1, 2, \cdots),$$

$$f_2 = b_1 + \tfrac{1}{2} b_2, \quad f_{2n} = -b_{2n-3} + b_{2n-1} + (1/2^n) b_{2n} \qquad (n = 2, 3, \cdots),$$

where (e_n) is the unit vector basis of c_0 (i.e., $e_n = \{0, \cdots, \underbrace{0, 1, 0, \cdots}_{n-1}\}$) and (b_n) the sequence of coordinate functionals on $E = c_0$ (i.e., $b_n(x) = \xi_n$ for all $x = (\xi_j) \in E$), hence $b_i(e_j) = \delta_{ij}$ $(i, j = 1, 2, \cdots)$. Then $(x_n; f_n)$ is a biorthogonal system. Furthermore, since $e_{2n} = (1/2^n) x_{2n}$, $e_{2n-1} = x_{2n-1} + x_{2n} - x_{2n+2}$ $(n = 1, 2, \cdots)$ and, since $[e_n] = E$, we have $[x_n] = E$. Similarly, since $b_{2n-1} =$

f_{2n-1}, $b_2 = 2(f_2 - f_1)$, $b_{2n} = 2^n(f_{2n} - f_{2n-1} + f_{2n-3})$ $(n = 2, 3, \cdots)$ and, since $[b_n] = E^*$, we have $[f_n] = E^*$, making (f_n) boundedly complete. However, the subsequence (f_{2n}) of (f_n) is a basic sequence which is not boundedly complete. Indeed, for any scalars $\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n$ we have

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i f_{2i} \right\| = \left\| \alpha_1 (b_1 + \tfrac{1}{2} b_2) + \sum_{i=2}^{n} \alpha_i \left(-b_{2i-3} + b_{2i-1} + \frac{1}{2^i} b_{2i} \right) \right\|$$

$$= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\alpha_i - \alpha_{i+1}) b_{2i-1} + \alpha_n b_{2n-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2^i} \alpha_i b_{2i} \right\|$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |\alpha_i - \alpha_{i+1}| + |\alpha_n| + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2^i} |\alpha_i|,$$

whence, for any scalars $\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_{n+1}$,

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i f_{2i} \right\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\alpha_i - \alpha_{i+1}| + |\alpha_{n+1}| + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{2^i} |\alpha_i| = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \alpha_i f_{2i} \right\|,$$

and therefore, (f_{2n}) is a "monotone" basic sequence [3]. Finally, for $\alpha_1 = \cdots = \alpha_n = 1$, we have $\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{2i} \right\| = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (1/2^i)$ $(n = 1, 2, \cdots)$, whence $\sup_n \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{2i} \right\| = 2$, but $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_{2i}$ is not convergent, since $\|f_{2n}\| > 1$ $(n = 1, 2, \cdots)$, and thus (f_{2n}) is not boundedly complete.

It is interesting to see what conditions on a biorthogonal system $(x_n; f_n)$ such that $[x_n] = E$, $[f_n] = E^*$ will guarantee that every basic subsequence (f_{n_j}) of (f_n) is boundedly complete. Standard arguments show that, *if $[f_{n_j}]$ is $\sigma(E^*, E)$-closed, then (f_{n_j}) is boundedly complete* (if $[f_n] = E^*$). Hence, since clearly $[f_{n_j}] \subset [x_i|_{i \neq n_1, n_2, \dots}]^{\perp}$, and since the latter is w^*-closed, a rather natural sufficient condition is $[f_{n_j}] = [x_i|_{i \neq n_1, n_2, \dots}]^{\perp}$. This condition is violated in Example 1 since $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{-i} b_{2i}$ is in $[x_{2n-1}]^{\perp}$ but is not in $[f_{2n}]$. These considerations lead to the following problem.

Problem 1. If E^* is separable, is there an M-basis $(x_n; f_n)$ for which $[f_n] = E^*$ and such that, for every subsequence of the integers, $[f_{n_j}]$ is $\sigma(E^*, E)$ closed?

Problem 2. Does every separable Banach space have an M-basis for which $[x_{n_j}] = [f_i|_{i \neq n_1, n_2, \dots}]_{\perp}$ for every subsequence (n_j) of the integers?

An M-basis satisfies the condition of Problem 2 if and only if for every x in E there exist multipliers $(\lambda_{n, i}(x) | 1 \leq i \leq n < \infty)$ such that $x = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{n, i}(x) f_i(x) x_i$. Such a condition is somewhat weaker than strongly series summable M-bases ([23], [14]) in which the multipliers do not depend on x. Such M-bases exist in separable complex Banach spaces whose duals have the bounded approximation property [14].

2. **On somewhat reflexive spaces.** Milman [21] has also used the erroneous claim (see §1) that subsequences of boundedly complete M-bases are boundedly complete in his proof of the following interesting result: *If* E^{**} *is separable then both* E *and* E^* *contain infinite dimensional reflexive subspaces.*

W. B. Johnson and H. P. Rosenthal [16] have proven that the above statement is true. Below we still include a proof of this statement in the case that E^{**} has the approximation property, which we obtained independently. These results are used in the example concerning somewhat reflexive spaces in the weaker form we present.

Herman and Whitley [10] have called a space E *somewhat reflexive* if every infinite dimensional subspace of E contains an infinite dimensional reflexive subspace. They show, for example, that every quasi-reflexive space (i.e., dim $E^{**}/E < \infty$) is somewhat reflexive and note that the space $(J \times J \times \cdots)_{l_2}$ (with J the quasi-reflexive space of James [11]) is somewhat reflexive. It should be noted that a proof of the Milman statement (above) will force E (having E^{**} separable) to be somewhat reflexive: If X is an infinite dimensional subspace of E, then $X^{**} \sim X^{\perp\perp}$ makes X^{**} separable (where $\sim$ stands for "isomorphic to"), so that X would contain an infinite dimensional reflexive subspace.

In what follows, we make frequent use of the following theorem of James [12] and Lindenstrauss [19]: *For any separable B-space,* E, *there is a B-space* Y *with a shrinking basis such that* E *is a continuous image of* Y^* *and such that* $Y^{**} \sim Y \times E^*$.

Theorem 1. *If* E^{**} *is separable and has the approximation property, then both* E *and* E^* *are somewhat reflexive.*

Proof. Let Y be the space of James and Lindenstrauss above which has $Y^{**} \sim Y \times E^*$. Then Y^{**} has the approximation property, and hence a boundedly complete basis by Theorem 1.4 of [15]. By Corollary 5 of [4], every infinite dimensional subspace G of E ($\subset E^{**} \subset Y^{**}$) contains a boundedly complete basic sequence, say (x_n). By Proposition 2 of [4], some block basic sequence (y_n) with respect to (x_n) is shrinking. It follows that $[y_n] \subset G$ is reflexive as desired. The same argument can now be applied to E^* since, by [8], E^* has the approximation property.

We now turn to some questions raised in [10] concerning somewhat reflexive spaces. There the authors remarked that they did not know whether or not duals and/or quotients of somewhat reflexive spaces are somewhat reflexive. This example answers both questions in the negative:

Example 2. Let Y be the space of James and Lindenstrauss above such that c_0 is a quotient of Y^* and $Y^{**} \sim Y \times l_1$. Y and l_1 both have bases, so by

Theorem 1, Y^* is somewhat reflexive. However, neither l_1 nor c_0 contains an infinite dimensional reflexive subspace so that this space is somewhat reflexive and has both a quotient and dual which are not somewhat reflexive. A somewhat more remarkable feature of this space (Y^*) is that although it is separable, contains no copy of c_0 or l_1, its second dual $Y^{***} \sim Y^* \times m$ is nonseparable.

Consideration of this example has led the authors to the following question.

Problem 3. If E is a separable somewhat reflexive space, is E^* separable?

3. **Boundedly complete *M*-bases.** Many definitions of boundedly complete *M*-bases appear in the literature. All of these (known to the authors) reduce in the basis situation to the concept studied by Dunford and Morse [6], Alaoglu [1], Karlin [18] and James [11] (the name "boundedly complete" seems to be due to Day [3]).

The original definition asserts that a basis is boundedly complete if the boundedness of the partial sums $\Sigma_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i$ forces the convergence of the series $\Sigma_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i x_i$. The main motivation for such a definition (at least at this point in time) is the fact that boundedly complete bases span dual spaces. The second author (in [25]) has shown that such "boundedness implies convergence" conditions are much too strong for use with *M*-bases. Therefore, for *M*-bases, the definitions in the literature are constructed so that boundedly complete *M*-bases span separable duals ([13], [21]). In this section we present a geometric definition of boundedly complete *M*-basis which is equivalent to the known "soft" definitions in the literature.

Definition. Let $(x_n; f_n)$ be an *M*-basis for E. We shall call it *norm-boundedly-complete* if

$$\sup_{n} \inf_{S_n u = 0} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i + u \right\| < \infty$$

implies the existence of x in E with $f_n(x) = a_n$ for all n. (Here $S_n u = \Sigma_{i=1}^{n} f_i(u) x_i$.)

The above definition is strongly related to the norming characteristic of the subspace $[f_n]$ of E^* through the following considerations: For any x in E let

$$|x| = \sup_{f \in [f_n]; \|f\| \le 1} f(x).$$

This always defines a norm on E, and in case it is equivalent to the original norm, we say that $[f_n]$ is *norming* (or *of positive characteristic* [5]). If (x_n) is a basis for E, it follows that $[f_n]$ is norming [24]. If $(x_n; f_n)$ is an *M*-basis for E, then it can be shown that

$$|x| = \sup_n \inf_{S_n u = 0} \|(S_n x) + u\|.$$

In what follows, $\phi: E \to [f_n]^*$ is to denote the natural map defined by $(\phi(x))f = f(x)$. It is well known (e.g. [5]) that $[f_n]$ is norming if and only if ϕ is an isomorphism of E into $[f_n]^*$. We recall (see the introduction) that we call an M-basis $(x_n; f_n)$ of E *boundedly complete* if ϕ is an isomorphism of E into $[f_n]^*$ (equivalently, $\phi(E) = [f_n]^*$).

Theorem 2. *An M-basis $(x_n; f_n)$ for E is boundedly complete if and only if it is norm-boundedly complete.*

Proof. Assume that $\phi(E) = [f_n]^*$ and let (a_j) be a sequence of scalars with

$$\inf_{S_n u = 0} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i + u \right\|$$

bounded in n. Since $[f_n]$ is separable, some subsequence of $\phi(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i + u_n)$ converges weak* to some F in $[f_n]^*$. ((u_n) has been chosen to keep $(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i + u_n)$ a bounded sequence.) Then $F = \phi(x)$ for some $x \in E$ whence

$$f(x) = (\phi(x))(f) = F(f) = \lim_k \left(\phi \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_k} a_i x_i + u_{n_k} \right) \right) f = \lim_k f \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_k} a_i x_i + u_{n_k} \right)$$

for all $f \in [f_n]$ and hence $f_i(x) = a_i$ $(i = 1, 2, \cdots)$. For the other direction suppose that (y_j) is a bounded sequence in E with $\lim_j f_n(y_j) = a_n$ (existing for each n). It follows that $S_n(y_j)$ converges strongly to $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i$ for each n. Thus, one can choose (y_{j_n}) and

$$z_n = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i + (I - S_n) y_{j_n}$$

in such a way that $\|z_n - y_{j_n}\| < 2^{-n}$ for each n. Since

$$\inf_{S_n u = 0} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i + u \right\| \le \|z_n\| \le \|y_{j_n}\| + 2^{-n},$$

there is x in E with $f_i(x) = a_i$ for all i. This gives the result by Theorem II.5 of [13].

4. **M-bases and complemented subspaces.** Milman's Proposition 3.5, Theorem 3.7, and Theorem 3.8 of [21] give conditions which guarantee the complementation in E of $[x_n]$ where (x_n) is a boundedly complete basic sequence in the

separable Banach space E. For example, $[x_n]$ is complemented if there are coefficient functionals $(f_n) \subset E^*$ with $[f_n]$ norming $[x_n]$. In Theorem 3 below, (x_{n_k}) need not be basic or boundedly complete, but must be part of an M-basis for E. Extensions of M-bases of subspaces to M-bases of E are treated in [9] and [26].

Theorem 3. *Let* $(x_n; f_n)$ *be an M-basis for E, let* (n_k) *be an infinite subsequence of the integers and let* (n_k') *denote the complementary subsequence. If* $[f_{n_k}]$ *is norming over* $[x_{n_k}]$, *then* $E = [x_{n_k}] \oplus [x_{n_k'}]$. *If* $[f_n]$ *is norming over* E, *the converse holds.*

Proof. Consider the quotient map $q: E \longrightarrow E/[x_{n_k'}]$. Then by the norming hypothesis and by $[f_{n_k}] \subset [x_{n_k'}]^\perp$, there exists $\mu > 0$ such that, for $u \in [x_{n_k}]$,

$$\mu\|u\| \leq \sup_{g \in [f_{n_k}]; \, \|g\| \leq 1} |g(u)| \leq \|q(u)\| \leq \|u\|,$$

whence $q \mid [x_{n_k}]$ is an isomorphism. Further $\mathrm{sp}(x_n)$ is dense in E, so that $\mathrm{sp}(q(x_{n_k}))$ is dense in $E/[x_{n_k'}]$. Thus, $[x_{n_k}]$ is isomorphic to $E/[x_{n_k'}]$. It is standard (and readily verified) that a projection of E onto $[x_{n_k}]$ along $[x_{n_k'}]$ is given by $P = (q \mid [x_{n_k}])^{-1} q$.

For the second assertion, let $[f_n]$ be norming over $E = [x_{n_k}] \oplus [x_{n_k'}]$. Let $u \in [x_{n_k}]$ and $g \in \mathrm{sp}(f_n)$ with $\|g\| \leq 1$ such that $g(u) \geq \mu\|u\|$. If P is the projection of E onto $[x_{n_k}]$ along $[x_{n_k'}]$, $P^*g \in \mathrm{sp}(f_{n_k})$ (because $P^*f_{n_k} = f_{n_k}$, $P^*f_{n_k'} = 0$) and $\|P^*\|(P^*g/\|P^*\|)(u) = (P^*g)(u) = g(Pu) = g(u) \geq \mu\|u\|$. Thus, $(P^*g/\|P\|)(u) \geq (\mu/\|P\|)\|u\|$, so that $[f_{n_k}]$ norms $[x_{n_k}]$.

Corollary. *Let* $(x_n; f_n)$ *be an M-basis for E such that for every subsequence* (n_k) *of the integers,* $[f_{n_k}]$ *norms* $[x_{n_k}]$. *Then* (x_n) *is an unconditional basis of E.*

Proof. For every (n_k), by Theorem 3, $E = [x_{n_k}] \oplus [x_{n_k'}]$. The result follows from a result of Lorch [20].

REFERENCES

1. L. Alaoglu, *Weak topologies of normed linear spaces*, Ann. of Math. (2) 41 (1940), 252–267. MR 1, 241.

2. S. Banach, *Théorie des opérations linéaires*, Monografie Mat., PWN, Warsaw, 1932.

3. M. M. Day, *Normed linear spaces*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, N. F., Heft 21, Reihe: Reelle Funktionen, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1958. MR 20 #1187.

4. D. W. Dean, I. Singer and L. Sternbach, *On shrinking basic sequences in Banach spaces*, Studia Math, 40 (1971), 23–33.

5. J. Dixmier, *Sur un théorème de Banach*, Duke Math J. 15 (1948), 1057–1071. MR 10, 306.

6. N. Dunford and A. P. Morse, *Remarks on the preceding paper of James A. Clarkson,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 40 (1936), 415–420.

7. V. F. Gapoškin and M. I. Kadec, *Operator bases in Banach space,* Mat. Sb. 61 (103) (1963), 2–12. (Russian)   MR 27 #1810.

8. A. Grothendieck, *Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires,* Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. No. 16 (1955).   MR 17, 763.

9. V. I. Gurariĭ and M. I. Kadec, *Minimal systems and quasicomplements in Banach space,* Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 145 (1962), 255–258 = Soviet Math. Dokl. 3 (1962), 966–968.   MR 26 #6728.

10. R. Herman and R. Whitley, *An example concerning reflexivity,* Studia Math. 28 (1966/67), 289–294.   MR 35 #5900.

11. R. C. James, *Bases and reflexivity of Banach spaces,* Ann. of Math. (2) 52 (1950), 518–527.   MR 12, 616.

12. ———, *Separable conjugate spaces,* Pacific J. Math. 10 (1960), 563–571. MR 22 #8307.

13. W. B. Johnson, *Markushevich bases and duality theory,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 149 (1970), 171–177.   MR 41 #5927.

14. ———, *On the existence of strongly series summable Markushevich bases in Banach spaces,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 157 (1971), 481–486.

15. W. B. Johnson, H. P. Rosenthal and M. Zippin, *On bases, finite dimensional decompositions and weaker structures in Banach spaces,* Israel J. Math. 9 (1971), 488–506. MR 43 #6702.

16. W. B. Johnson and H. P. Rosenthal, *On w*-basic sequences and their applications to the study of Banach spaces,* Studia Math. (to appear).

17. M. I. Kadec and A. Pełczyński, *Basic sequences, bi-orthogonal systems and norming sets in Banach and Fréchet spaces,* Studia Math. 25 (1965), 297–323. (Russian) MR 31 #6112.

18. S. Karlin, *Bases in Banach spaces,* Duke Math. J. 15 (1948), 971–985.   MR 10, 548.

19. J. Lindenstrauss, *On James' paper "Separable conjugate spaces",* Israel J. Math. 9 (1971), 279–284.   MR 43 #5289.

20. E. R. Lorch, *Bicontinuous linear transformations in certain vector spaces,* Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 45 (1939), 564–569.   MR 1, 58.

21. V. D. Mil'man, *The geometric theory of Banach spaces,* Uspehi Mat. Nauk 25 (1970), no. 3 (153), 132–138. (Russian)

22. A. Pełczyński, *Some problems on bases in Banach and Fréchet spaces,* Israel J. Math. 2 (1964), 132–138.   MR 30 #3356.

23. W. Ruckle, *Representation and series summability of complete biorthogonal sequences,* Pacific J. Math. 34 (1970), 511–528.   MR 42 #2219.

24. I. Singer, *On the constants of basic sequences in Banach spaces,* Studia Math. 31 (1968), 125–134.   MR 38 #6338.

25. ———, *Bases in Banach spaces.* II, Stud. i Cerc. Mat. 15 (1964), 157–208. (Romanian) MR 32 #8121b.

26. ———, *On biorthogonal systems and total sequences of functionals,* Math. Ann. 193 (1971), 183–188.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, COLUMBUS, OHIO 43210

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, ACADEMY OF SCIENCE OF THE SOCIALIST REPUBLIC OF ROMANIA, BUCHAREST, ROMANIA