

# **$k$ -PARAMETER SEMIGROUPS OF MEASURE-PRESERVING TRANSFORMATIONS**

BY

NORBERTO ANGEL FAVA

**ABSTRACT.** An individual ergodic theorem is proved for semigroups of measure-preserving transformations depending on  $k$  real parameters, which generalizes N. Wiener's ergodic theorem.

In this paper we use the method introduced in ergodic theory by A. P. Calderón [1] combined with a covering lemma due to N. M. Rivi  re to obtain a pointwise ergodic theorem concerning  $k$ -parameter semigroups of measure-preserving transformations. Let  $X$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space. We denote by  $T$  the set of all points  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_k)$  with nonnegative coordinates in  $k$ -dimensional euclidean space.

By a  $k$ -parameter semigroup of measure-preserving transformations we mean a system of mappings  $(\theta_t, t \in T)$  of  $X$  into itself having the following properties:

- (i)  $\theta_t(\theta_s x) = \theta_{t+s} x$ ,  $\theta_0 x = x$  for every  $t$  and  $s$  in  $T$  and every  $x$  in  $X$ .
- (ii) For every measurable subset  $E$  of  $X$  the measure of  $\theta_t^{-1}(E)$  equals the measure of  $E$ , for any  $t$  in  $T$ .

As usual, we shall assume that for any function  $f$  measurable on  $X$ , the function  $f(\theta_t x)$  is measurable on the product space  $T \times X$ , where  $T$  is endowed with Lebesgue measure. In the next sections we give sufficient conditions for the almost everywhere convergence of the averages

$$\Lambda_\alpha f(x) = \frac{1}{|D_\alpha|} \int_{D_\alpha} f(\theta_t x) dt \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $f$  is an arbitrary function in  $L^1(X)$ ,  $D_\alpha$  is an increasing family of regions in  $T$  depending on the positive real parameter  $\alpha$ , and the vertical bars stand for Lebesgue measure.

For the definitions of sublinearity, strong and weak type properties of operators as used in the sequel, we refer to Zygmund [5, vol. 2, p. 111].

1. A covering lemma of N. M. Rivi  re. We will make use of the following

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $(U_\alpha, \alpha > 0)$  be a one-parameter family of open sets in  $R^k$ , containing the origin and such that*

- (1)  $\alpha < \beta$  implies  $U_\alpha \subset U_\beta$ ,

---

Received by the editors March 8, 1972.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 47A35; Secondary 28A65.

Key words and phrases. Measure-preserving transformations, maximal ergodic operator, weak type, one-parameter family of regions; pointwise ergodic theorem.

$$(2) |U_\alpha - U_\alpha + U_\alpha| \leq \text{const } |U_\alpha|,$$

where  $U_\alpha - U_\alpha + U_\alpha$  denotes the set of all points which can be represented in the form  $u - v + w$  with  $u, v$  and  $w$  in  $U_\alpha$ . Under these conditions, if  $\alpha(x)$  is a positive real-valued function defined on the compact set  $K$ , then there exists a finite set  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  of points in  $K$ , such that the sets  $x_i + U_{\alpha(x_i)}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) are disjoint and

$$|K| \leq C \sum_{i=1}^n |U_{\alpha(x_i)}|$$

where  $C$  is the same constant that figures in condition (2).

**Proof.** Since the sets  $x + U_{\alpha(x)}$ ,  $x \in K$ , form an open covering of  $K$ , there exists a finite set  $F \subset K$ , such that the sets  $y + U_{\alpha(y)}$ ,  $y \in F$ , also cover  $K$ . Choose  $x_1$  in  $F$  so that  $\alpha(x_1) = \max\{\alpha(y) : y \in F\}$ . If  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  have been chosen, we consider the set

$$A_n = K \cap \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^n (x_i + V_{\alpha(x_i)}) \right)^c$$

where  $V_\alpha = U_\alpha - U_\alpha + U_\alpha$  and the upper  $c$  denotes complement. If  $A_n = \emptyset$  we stop; otherwise we choose a point  $x_{n+1}$  in the set

$$B_n = \{y \in F : (y + U_{\alpha(y)}) \cap A_n \neq \emptyset\}$$

so that  $\alpha(x_{n+1}) = \max\{\alpha(y) : y \in B_n\}$ . Obviously  $x_{n+1}$  is different from all the preceding points. Since the sequence of sets  $A_n$  is decreasing, so is the sequence of numbers  $\alpha(x_n)$ . The set  $F$  being finite, we must have  $A_n = \emptyset$  for some  $n$  and the process stops there.

To prove the lemma, it will suffice to show that the sets  $x_i + U_{\alpha(x_i)}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) are disjoint. Assume on the contrary that  $(x_i + U_{\alpha(x_i)}) \cap (x_j + U_{\alpha(x_j)}) \neq \emptyset$ , with  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ , say. Then there are points  $u$  in  $U_{\alpha(x_i)}$  and  $v$  in  $U_{\alpha(x_j)}$  such that  $x_i + u = x_j + v$ . Since  $x_j \in B_{j-1}$  there is a point  $w$  in  $U_{\alpha(x_j)}$  such that the point  $z = x_j + w$  is in  $A_{j-1}$ . Since  $A_{j-1} \subset A_i$  and  $U_{\alpha(x_j)} \subset U_{\alpha(x_i)}$  it follows that  $z = x_i + u - v + w$  is in  $A_i$  and also in  $x_i + V_{\alpha(x_i)}$ , but this is a contradiction.

**Corollary.** If the family of sets  $(U_\alpha, \alpha > 0)$  satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 1 and for every  $g(x)$  in  $L^1(R^k)$  we define the "maximal function"

$$Sg(x) = \sup_{\alpha > 0} \frac{1}{|U_\alpha|} \int_{x + U_\alpha} |g(y)| dy,$$

then  $S$  is of weak type  $(1, 1)$ .

In fact, let  $K$  be an arbitrary compact subset of  $E = \{x: Sg(x) > \lambda\}$ . For every  $x$  in  $K$  there is a positive number  $\alpha(x)$  such that

$$\int_{x+U_{\alpha(x)}} |g(y)| dy > \lambda |U_{\alpha(x)}|.$$

By virtue of the preceding lemma there exists a finite sequence  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  of points in  $K$  such that the sets  $x_i + U_{\alpha(x_i)}$  are disjoint and

$$|K| \leq C \sum_{i=1}^n |U_{\alpha(x_i)}| \leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{x_i+U_{\alpha(x_i)}} |g(y)| dy \leq C \|g\|_1 / \lambda.$$

**Remarks.** (i) Condition 2 of Lemma 1 is satisfied with the same constant  $C$  for all one-parameter families of open cells containing the origin (by a cell we mean the cartesian product of  $k$  linear intervals). As a consequence, the statement of the corollary remains true if the sets  $U_\alpha$  are replaced by a one-parameter family  $(P_\alpha, \alpha > 0)$  of closed cells containing the origin. To see this we construct for each  $\alpha$  a decreasing sequence  $U_\alpha^{(n)}$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) of open cells whose intersection is  $P_\alpha$ . If we denote by  $\tilde{S}$  the maximal operator associated with the cells  $P_\alpha$ ,

$$\tilde{S}g(x) = \sup_{\alpha > 0} \frac{1}{|P_\alpha|} \int_{x+P_\alpha} |g(y)| dy,$$

while  $S_n$  is the maximal operator associated with the family of open cells  $U_\alpha^{(n)}$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ , then we have

$$\{x: \tilde{S}g(x) > \lambda\} \subset \liminf \{x: S_n g(x) > \lambda\}$$

from which we deduce

$$|\{x: \tilde{S}g(x) > \lambda\}| \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\{x: S_n g(x) > \lambda\}| \leq C \|g\|_1 / \lambda.$$

(ii) The statement of the corollary still remains true if the sets  $U_\alpha$  are replaced by a one-parameter family of regions  $D_\alpha$  containing the origin, provided that these regions satisfy the following hypothesis, which we shall assume to hold throughout the sequel.

(A) There exists a one-parameter family of closed cells  $P_\alpha$  such that, for each  $\alpha$ ,  $P_\alpha \supset D_\alpha$  and  $|D_\alpha| \geq C |P_\alpha|$ , where  $C$  is a constant. In fact, if  $S$  is the maximal operator associated with the family of regions  $(D_\alpha, \alpha > 0)$ , while  $\tilde{S}$  is defined as in the previous remark, then  $Sg(x) \leq \text{const } \tilde{S}g(x)$ .

2. **The maximal ergodic inequality.** Let  $(D_\alpha, \alpha > 0)$  be an increasing family of regions in  $T$ , depending on the real parameter  $\alpha$  and subject to hypothesis (A) of the preceding section. For each function  $f(x)$  in  $L^1(X)$  we define the maximal ergodic operator  $M$  by the formula

$$Mf(x) = \sup_{\alpha > 0} \frac{1}{|D_\alpha|} \int_{D_\alpha} |f(\theta_t x)| dt.$$

Following A. P. Calderón we prove

**Theorem 1.** *The maximal ergodic operator  $M$  is of weak type  $(1, 1)$ .*

**Proof.** For any function  $g(t)$  integrable over the parameter set  $T$  in  $R^k$  and for each positive integer  $N$ , we write

$$S_N g(t) = \sup_{\delta(D_\alpha) < N} \frac{1}{|D_\alpha|} \int_{D_\alpha} |g(t+s)| ds$$

if  $|t| \leq N$ ;  $S_N g(t) = 0$  otherwise, where  $\delta(D_\alpha)$  denotes the diameter of  $D_\alpha$ , while as before

$$Sg(t) = \sup_{\alpha > 0} \frac{1}{|D_\alpha|} \int_{D_\alpha} |g(t+s)| ds,$$

so that  $S_N g(t) \leq Sg(t)$  and  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} S_N g(t) = Sg(t)$ . From the preceding section we derive the inequalities

$$|\{t: S_N g(t) > \lambda\}| \leq |\{t: Sg(t) > \lambda\}| \leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \int_T |g(t)| dt.$$

Let us define the function  $F(t, x) = f(\theta_t x)$  if  $|t| \leq 2N$ ;  $F(t, x) = 0$  otherwise. It follows from Fubini's theorem that  $F(t, x)$  is an integrable function of  $t$  for almost all  $x$ . For a given  $\lambda > 0$  consider the set  $E$  of all pairs  $(t, x)$  such that  $S_N F(t, x) > \lambda$  and its sections  $E_t = \{x: (t, x) \in E\}$ ,  $E^x = \{t: (t, x) \in E\}$ .

We observe that for  $|t| \leq N$ ,  $S_N F(t, x) = S_N F(0, \theta_t x)$ , and therefore  $E_t = \theta_t^{-1}(E_0)$  for  $|t| \leq N$  while  $E_t = \emptyset$  if  $|t| > N$ . If we denote by  $\rho$  the product of Lebesgue measure with the measure on  $X$ , then

$$\rho(E) = \int_T \text{meas}(E_t) dt = \int_{|t| \leq N} \text{meas}(E_t) dt = w_k N^k \text{meas}(E_0),$$

where  $w_k$  is the measure of  $T$  intersected with the unit ball in  $R^k$ .

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(E) &= \int_X |E^x| dx \leq \int_X dx \frac{C}{\lambda} \int_{|t| \leq 2N} |f(\theta_t x)| dt \\ &= \frac{C}{\lambda} \int_{|t| \leq 2N} dt \int_X |f(\theta_t x)| dx = \frac{C w_k (2N)^k}{\lambda} \|f\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\text{meas}(E_0) \leq 2^k C \|f\|_1 / \lambda$$

and Theorem 1 follows from the last inequality by letting  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Corollary.** *Since  $M$  does not increase the  $L^\infty$ -norm of any function, it follows that  $M$  is of strong type  $(p, p)$  for any  $p > 1$ .*

**3. A pointwise ergodic theorem.** In this section we shall assume that in addition to hypothesis (A) of the first section, the family of sets  $D_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ , and the semigroup  $(\theta_t, t \in T)$  satisfy the following assumptions:

(B) For any  $t$  in  $R^k$

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|(t + D_\alpha) \Delta D_\alpha|}{|D_\alpha|} = 0,$$

where  $\Delta$  denotes the symmetric difference.

(C) If  $B_{K, \alpha}$  denotes the set of all points  $t$  in  $R^k$  such that  $t + D_\alpha$  intersects the compact set  $K$  without covering it, then

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|B_{K, \alpha}|}{|D_\alpha|} = 0.$$

(D) For any  $f(x)$  in  $L^p(X)$  and any  $g(x)$  in  $L^q(X)$ , where  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ ,

$$\int_X f(\theta_t x) g(x) dx$$

is a continuous function of  $t$ .

We can now state the following:

**Theorem 2.** *If the family of regions  $D_\alpha$  and the semigroup  $\theta_t$  satisfy the preceding conditions, then for any  $f$  in  $L^1(X)$  the averages*

$$A_\alpha f(x) = \frac{1}{|D_\alpha|} \int_{D_\alpha} f(\theta_t x) dt$$

converge almost everywhere in  $X$  as  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Proof.** Let us consider the set of all functions  $b(x)$  which can be represented in the form

$$(1) \quad b(x) = \int_T f(\theta_t x) \phi(t) dt$$

where  $f$  is a bounded function having support of finite measure and  $\phi(t)$  is infinitely differentiable with compact support in  $T$  and vanishing integral. For any

function  $b$  of this form we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_\alpha b(x) &= \frac{1}{|D_\alpha|} \int_{D_\alpha} b(\theta_u x) du = \frac{1}{|D_\alpha|} \int_{D_\alpha} du \int_T f(\theta_{s+u} x) \phi(s) ds \\ &= \int_T dt f(\theta_t x) \frac{1}{|D_\alpha|} \int_{D_\alpha} \phi(t-u) du = \int_T dt f(\theta_t x) \frac{1}{|D_\alpha|} \int_{t-D_\alpha} \phi(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

If we call  $B_\alpha$  the set of points  $t$  such that  $t - D_\alpha$  intersects the support of  $\phi$  without covering it, we can estimate the  $L^1$ -norm of the expression depending on  $\alpha$  in the last integral as follows:

$$\frac{1}{|D_\alpha|} \int_T dt \left| \int_{t-D_\alpha} \phi(s) ds \right| \leq \|\phi\|_1 \frac{|B_\alpha|}{|D_\alpha|},$$

which tends to zero as  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$  by virtue of (C). Since  $f(\theta_t x)$  is a bounded function of  $t$  for almost all  $x$ , we see at once that  $A_\alpha b(x)$  tends to zero for almost all  $x$  as  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ .

We will say that a function  $l(x)$  in  $L^p(X)$  is invariant if for every  $t$ ,  $l(\theta_t x) = l(x)$  for almost all  $x$ . If  $l(x)$  is an invariant function, for almost all  $x$  we have  $l(\theta_t x) = l(x)$  for almost all  $t$ . Therefore

$$-\frac{1}{|D_\alpha|} \int_{D_\alpha} l(\theta_t x) dt = l(x)$$

for all  $\alpha$  almost everywhere in  $X$ .

We conclude that the averages  $A_\alpha f(x)$  converge almost everywhere if  $f$  is in the linear span of the functions  $b$  and  $l$ . Theorem 2 will follow by a standard argument if we prove that this linear span is dense in  $L^p(X)$ . In fact, it is enough to recall that  $M$  is of weak type  $(p, p)$  for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . For this purpose, let us assume that a certain function  $g_0(x)$  in the dual space  $L^q(X)$ , where  $p > 1$  and  $1/q = 1 - 1/p$ , is orthogonal to all functions  $b$  and  $l$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_X b(x) g_0(x) dx = \int_X dx g_0(x) \int_T f(\theta_t x) \phi(t) dt \\ &= \int_T dt \phi(t) \int_X f(\theta_t x) g_0(x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

for any infinitely differentiable function  $\phi(t)$  with compact support in  $T$  and vanishing integral. This implies that the integral

$$(2) \quad \int_X f(\theta_t x) g_0(x) dx$$

is equal to a certain constant  $a$  for almost all  $t$ , in fact, for all  $t$  by virtue of (D). In order to prove that this constant  $a$  is actually equal to zero we consider

the sequence

$$f_n(x) = \frac{1}{|D_n|} \int_{D_n} f(\theta_s x) ds.$$

This sequence is bounded in  $L^p$  and consequently, it contains a subsequence which converges weakly to a certain function  $l(x)$ . For simplicity of notation we assume that the whole sequence  $f_n$  converges weakly to  $l$ . Then for every  $t$  the sequence of functions  $f_n(\theta_t x)$  converges weakly to  $l(\theta_t x)$ . It will follow that the limit function  $l(x)$  is an invariant function if we show that the difference  $f_n(\theta_t x) - f_n(x)$  converges weakly to zero.

Let  $g(x)$  be any function in  $L^q(X)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_X (f_n(\theta_t x) - f_n(x)) g(x) dx \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{|D_n|} \int_X dx g(x) \left( \int_{D_n} f(\theta_{t+s} x) ds - \int_{D_n} f(\theta_s x) ds \right) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{|D_n|} \left| \left( \int_{t+D_n} - \int_{D_n} \right) ds \int_X f(\theta_s x) g(x) dx \right| \\ &\leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q |(t + D_n) \Delta D_n| / |D_n|, \end{aligned}$$

and the last expression tends to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , by virtue of (B). Since  $g_0(x)$  is orthogonal to all invariant functions the sequence

$$(3) \quad \int_X f_n(x) g_0(x) dx$$

tends to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . A simple computation shows that each member of this sequence is equal to  $a$ , so that  $a = 0$ . Making  $t = 0$  in (2) we see that  $\int_X f(x) g_0(x) dx = 0$  for any bounded function  $f(x)$  with support of finite measure. Then  $g_0(x) = 0$  for almost all  $x$ , which proves the density in  $L^p(X)$  of the linear span of the functions  $b$  and  $l$  and thus concludes the proof of Theorem 2.

One final remark is in order with regard to the assumptions we made on the family of regions  $D_\alpha$ . Let us denote by  $K_\alpha^u$  the set of all points in  $R^k$  whose distance to the complement of  $D_\alpha$  is not less than the positive number  $u$ . We wish to show that the family of regions  $D_\alpha$  satisfies condition (B) provided that the following holds.

$$(B') \quad \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|K_\alpha^u|}{|D_\alpha|} = 1 \quad \text{for every positive number } u.$$

The proof is simple. Given  $t$  in  $R^k$  let us choose a number  $u$  which exceeds the length of the vector  $t$ . Then  $K_\alpha^u$  is contained both in  $D_\alpha$  and  $t + D_\alpha$ . If we denote by  $A \setminus B$  the points in  $A$  not in  $B$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|D_\alpha \Delta(t + D_\alpha)|}{|D_\alpha|} &= \frac{|D_\alpha \setminus (t + D_\alpha)| + |(t + D_\alpha) \setminus D_\alpha|}{|D_\alpha|} \\ &\leq \frac{|D_\alpha \setminus K_\alpha^u| + |(t + D_\alpha) \setminus K_\alpha^u|}{|D_\alpha|} = 2 \left( 1 - \frac{|K_\alpha^u|}{|D_\alpha|} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Condition (B') is readily verified in the case of most of the familiar figures of geometry. In fact, Professor N. M. Rivière has proved that (B') holds if the regions  $D_\alpha$  are convex.

Let now  $D_\alpha^u$  be the set of points in  $R^k$  whose distance to  $D_\alpha$  does not exceed  $u$ . We claim that both hypotheses (B) and (C) can be replaced by a single one, namely

$$(S) \quad \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|K_\alpha^u|}{|D_\alpha^u|} = 1 \quad \text{for every positive number } u.$$

On the one hand (S) clearly implies (B'). Since  $|B_{K,\alpha}| \leq |D_\alpha^u| - |K_\alpha^u|$  with  $u =$  diameter of  $K$ , it also implies (C).

**Acknowledgement.** The author would like to thank Professor N. M. Rivière for many stimulating conversations concerning the subject of this paper.

#### REFERENCES

1. A. P. Calderón, *Ergodic theory and translation-invariant operators*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **59** (1968), 349-353. MR **37** #2939.
2. M. Cotlar, *A unified theory of Hilbert transforms and ergodic theorems*, Rev. Mat. Cuyana **1** (1955), 105-167. MR **18**, 893.
3. N. A. Fava, *Weak type inequalities for product operators*, Studia Math. **42** (1972), 271-288.
4. N. M. Rivière, *On singular integrals*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **75** (1969), 843-847. MR **39** #4711.
5. A. Zygmund, *Trigonometrical series*, 2nd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 1959. MR **21** #2554.

FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS EXACTAS Y NATURALES, UNIVERSIDAD DE BUENOS AIRES,  
BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA

*Current address:* Rivera Indarte 168, Buenos Aires, Argentina