## SOLUTIONS OF PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH SUPPORT ON LEAVES OF ASSOCIATED FOLIATIONS

BY

## E. C. ZACHMANOGLOU(1)

ABSTRACT. Suppose that the linear partial differential operator P(x, D) has analytic coefficients and that it can be written in the form P(x, D) = R(x, D)S(x, D) where S(x, D) is a polynomial in the homogeneous first order operators  $A_1(x, D), \dots, A_r(x, D)$ . Then in a neighborhood of any point  $x^0$  at which the principal part of S(x, D) does not vanish identically, there is a solution of P(x, D)u = 0 with support the leaf through  $x^0$  of the foliation induced by the Lie algebra generated by  $A_1(x, D), \dots, A_r(x, D)$ . This result yields necessary conditions for hypoellipticity and uniqueness in the Cauchy problem. An application to second order degenerate elliptic operators is also given.

1. Introduction. Let P(x, D) be a linear partial differential operator of order m with complex valued coefficients defined and analytic in an open connected set  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,

(1) 
$$P(x, D) = \sum_{|\alpha| < m} a^{\alpha}(x)D^{\alpha}$$

where  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  is an *n*-tuple of nonnegative integers with  $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$  and  $D^{\alpha} = D_1^{\alpha_1} + \dots + D_n^{\alpha_n}$  with  $D_j = \partial/\partial x_j$ . The principal part  $P_m(x, D)$  is the homogeneous part of P(x, D) of order m.

In this note we suppose that in  $\Omega$  the partial differential operator P(x, D) can be written in the form

(2) 
$$P(x, D) = R(x, D)S(x, D),$$

$$S(x, D) = Q(x; A_1(x, D), \dots, A_r(x, D))$$

where R(x, D) and S(x, D) are linear operators of order m-l and l respectively  $(0 \le l \le m)$  and  $Q(x; \eta_1, \dots, \eta_r)$  is a polynomial of order l in  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_r$  with coefficients which are complex valued and analytic functions of x in  $\Omega$ , and

Received by the editors August 24, 1972.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 35A05; Secondary 58A30.

Key words and phrases. Support of solution, foliation, hypoellipticity, uniqueness in the Cauchy problem, second order degenerate elliptic operators.

<sup>(1)</sup> Research supported by NSF Grant GP-20547A1.

(3) 
$$A_{j}(x, D) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{j}^{i}(x)D_{i}, \quad j = 1, \dots, r,$$

where  $a_j^i(x)$   $(i=1,\dots,n; j=1,\dots,r)$  are real valued and analytic functions of x in  $\Omega$ .

We denote by  $\mathfrak{L}(A_1, \cdots, A_r)$  the Lie algebra generated by the vector fields  $A_1, \cdots, A_r$ , i.e. the smallest set of analytic vector fields in  $\Omega$  which is closed under the operations of taking brackets and linear combinations with analytic coefficients. According to a theorem of Nagano [3], which is an extension of the classical theorem of Frobenius, the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{L}(A_1, \cdots, A_r)$  defines a unique partition of  $\Omega$  into maximal integral manifolds of  $\mathfrak{L}(A_1, \cdots, A_r)$ , that is,  $\Omega$  is the disjoint union of maximal integral manifolds of  $\mathfrak{L}(A_1, \cdots, A_r)$ . This partition is called a foliation and each maximal integral manifold is called a leaf of the foliation.

The main result of this note is that if P(x, D) can be written in the form (2) in  $\Omega$ , and if  $x^0$  is any point of  $\Omega$  such that  $S_l(x^0, \xi) \neq 0$  for some  $\xi \in R^n$ , then there is a neighborhood  $U \subseteq \Omega$  of  $x^0$  and a distribution u in U such that P(x, D)u = 0 in U and the support of u is equal to  $L^{x^0}(A_1, \dots, A_r) \cap U$ , where  $L^{x^0}(A_1, \dots, A_r)$  is the leaf through  $x^0$  of the foliation of  $\Omega$  induced by  $\mathfrak{L}(A_1, \dots, A_r)$ . Moreover if, in addition,  $\dim \mathfrak{L}(A_1, \dots, A_r)(x^0) = \dim L^{x^0}(A_1, \dots, A_r) < n$ , then the singular support of u is equal to its support and, as a corollary, P(x, D) is not hypoelliptic in  $\Omega$ . Another corollary gives a necessary condition for uniqueness in the Cauchy problem for P(x, D) (see Corollary 2).

Clearly, every linear partial differential operator can be written in the form (2). Indeed, we can simply take r=n and  $A_1=D_1,\cdots,A_n=D_n$ , but for this representation of P(x,D) the result of this paper is nothing more than an immediate application of the Cauchy-Kovalevsky theorem since  $\dim \mathcal{Q}(D_1,\cdots,D_n)=n$  everywhere and the foliation induced by  $\mathcal{Q}(D_1,\cdots,D_n)$  consists of the single leaf  $\Omega$ . The result of this paper is interesting only when P(x,D) can be written in the form (2) with  $A_1,\cdots,A_r$  such that the foliation of  $\Omega$  induced by  $\mathcal{Q}(A_1,\cdots,A_r)$  is finer than  $\Omega$ . Among the more well-known operators for which this is possible are first order operators

(4) 
$$P(x, D) = A_1(x, D) + iA_2(x, D) + c(x) \qquad (i = \sqrt{-1}),$$

and second order degenerate elliptic operators of the form

(5) 
$$P(x, D) = A_1^2(x, D) + \dots + A_r^2(x, D) + A_0(x, D) + c(x)$$

where  $A_0(x, D)$  is also of the form (3).

The result of this paper is a generalization of the result obtained in [4] for first order operators (4). In [4] we also obtained the result that for the first order

operator (4), if u is a solution of P(x, D)u = 0 in  $\Omega$  and if  $x^0 \in \Omega$  belongs to the support of u, then the leaf  $L^{x^0}(A_1, A_2)$  also belongs to the support of u. This result was generalized in [5] as follows: Suppose the operator P(x, D) has analytic coefficients in  $\Omega$  and suppose there are r analytic vector fields  $A_1, \dots, A_r$  as given by (3), such that for every  $x \in \Omega$ ,

(6) 
$$P_m(x, \xi) = 0, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n a_j^i(x)\xi_i = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, r.$$

Then if u is a solution of P(x, D)u = 0 in  $\Omega$  and if  $x^0 \in \Omega$  belongs to the support of u then the leaf  $L^{x^0}(A_1, \dots, A_r)$  also belongs to the support of u. Note that in geometrical language, condition (6) means that at every point of  $\Omega$  the characteristic cone of P(x, D) is orthogonal to the vector fields  $A_1, \dots, A_r$ .

At the end of  $\S$  3 we illustrate the results of [5] and of the present paper, by applying them to the question of uniqueness in the Cauchy problem for the second order degenerate elliptic operators (5).

2. Some results on foliations. Let A and B be two vector fields,  $A = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a^{i}D_{i}$ ,  $B = \sum_{i=1}^{n} b^{i}D_{i}$ . The bracket [A, B] is the commutator

$$[A, B] = AB - BA = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{n} a^{k}(x) D_{k} b^{i}(x) - \sum_{k=1}^{n} b^{k}(x) D_{k} a^{i}(x) \right] D_{i}.$$

If A and B have analytic coefficients in  $\Omega$ , then [A,B] is also a vector field with analytic coefficients in  $\Omega$ . The (real) vector space of all real analytic vector fields in  $\Omega$  equipped with the bracket operation is a Lie algebra denoted by  $\mathcal{Q}(\Omega)$ . It is also a module over the ring of real analytic functions in  $\Omega$ . A vector subspace of  $\mathcal{Q}(\Omega)$  which is closed under the bracket operation is a Lie subalgebra of  $\mathcal{Q}(\Omega)$ .  $\mathcal{Q}(A_1, \dots, A_r)$  is the smallest  $\Omega$ -submodule and Lie subalgebra of  $\mathcal{Q}(\Omega)$  containing  $A_1, \dots, A_r$ .

Let  $\mathcal L$  be a vector subspace of  $\mathcal L(\Omega)$ . For any  $x \in \Omega$  we set  $\mathcal L(x) = \{A(x): A \in \mathcal L\}$ .  $\mathcal L(x)$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb R^n$  and is called the integral element of  $\mathcal L$  at x. An integral manifold N of  $\mathcal L$  is a connected submanifold of  $\Omega$  such that for every  $x \in N$ , the tangent space to N at x is equal to  $\mathcal L(x)$ .

Theorem 1. If  $\mathcal L$  is a Lie subalgebra of  $\mathcal L(\Omega)$ , then through every point  $x \in \Omega$  passes a maximal integral manifold  $L^x$  of  $\mathcal L$ . Any integral manifold of  $\mathcal L$  containing x is an open submanifold of  $L^x$ .

According to Theorem 1,  $\mathcal L$  defines a unique partition of  $\Omega$  by integral manifolds of  $\mathcal L$  (that is,  $\Omega$  is the disjoint union of maximal integral manifolds of  $\mathcal L$ ). This partition of  $\Omega$  will be called the foliation defined by  $\mathcal L$  and each maximal

integral manifold will be called a leaf of the foliation. Note that for every  $x \in \Omega$ , the dimension of the leaf  $L^x$  containing x is equal to the dimension of the integral element  $\mathfrak{L}(x)$ .

With the additional assumption that  $\dim \mathcal{Q}(x)$  is constant in  $\Omega$ , Theorem 1 is the classical theorem of Frobenius (see Chevalley [2]). However, the Frobenius theorem is also valid in the  $C^{\infty}$  case. Theorem 1 was proved by Nagano [3] and it is not generally valid in the  $C^{\infty}$  case.

We will apply Theorem 1 to the Lie subalgebra  $\mathfrak{L}(A_1, \dots, A_r)$ . The leaf of its foliation through x will be denoted by  $L^x(A_1, \dots, A_r)$ .

## 3. Statement of results and an application.

Theorem 2. Suppose that P(x, D) can be written in the form (2) in  $\Omega$  and that  $x^0$  is any point of  $\Omega$  such that  $S_l(x^0, \xi) \neq 0$  for some  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then there is a neighborhood  $U \subset \Omega$  of  $x^0$  and a distribution u in U such that

$$P(x, D)u = 0$$
 in  $U$ 

and

$$\operatorname{supp} u = L^{x^0}(A_1, \dots, A_r) \cap U.$$

The proof of Theorem 2 is presented in  $\S 4$ . The solution of P(x, D)u = 0 constructed in this proof is such that if  $\dim \mathcal{Q}(A_1, \dots, A_r)(x^0) < n'$  then sing supp u = supp u. This leads immediately to the following corollary.

Corollary 1. Suppose that P(x, D) can be written in the form (2) in  $\Omega$  and that, for some  $x^0 \in \Omega$ ,  $S_l(x^0, \xi) \neq 0$  for some  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\dim \mathfrak{L}(A_1, \dots, A_r)(x^0) < n$ . Then P(x, D) is not hypoelliptic in  $\Omega$ .

Recall that the operator P(x, D) is said to be hypoelliptic in  $\Omega$  if for any open subset U of  $\Omega$ ,  $P(x, D)u \in C^{\infty}(U)$  implies that  $u \in C^{\infty}(U)$ .

Another immediate application of Theorem 2 is on the question of uniqueness in the Cauchy problem.

**Definition 1.** Let P(x, D) be a partial differential operator defined in an open set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $x^0 \in \Omega$  be a boundary point of a closed subset F of  $\Omega$ . We say that there is uniqueness in the Cauchy problem for the system  $(P, x^0, F)$  if for every open neighborhood  $U \subset \Omega$  of  $x^0$  there is an open neighborhood  $V \subset U$  of  $x^0$  such that for every distribution U in U,

$$P(x, D)u = 0 \text{ in } U$$

$$\sup_{u \in F} u \in F \cap U$$
  $\Longrightarrow u = 0 \text{ in } V.$ 

Corollary 2. Let P(x, D),  $x^0$  and F be as in Definition 1 and suppose that P(x, D) has analytic coefficients and it can be written in the form (2) in  $\Omega$ . Suppose also that  $S_l(x^0, \xi) \neq 0$  for some  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . If for some open neighborhood  $U \subseteq \Omega$  of  $x^0$ ,

$$L^{x^0}(A_1,\dots,A_r)\cap (U\sim F)=\emptyset,$$

then there is no uniqueness in the Cauchy problem for the system  $(P, x^0, F)$ .

We conclude this section with an application to the second order degenerate elliptic operator (5). For this operator and for the vector fields  $A_1, \dots, A_r$ , condition (6) is satisfied. According to the result of [5] mentioned at the end of the introduction, if u is a solution of P(x, D)u = 0 and if  $x^0 \in \text{supp } u$  then  $L^{x^0}(A_1, \dots, A_r) \subset \text{supp } u$ . Therefore a sufficient condition for uniqueness in the Cauchy problem for the system  $(P, x^0, F)$  is

(7) For every open neighborhood 
$$U \subseteq \Omega$$
 of  $x^0$ , 
$$L^{x^0}(A_1, \dots, A_r) \cap (U \sim F) \neq \emptyset.$$

On the other hand, operator (5) is in the form (2), i.e. it is a polynomial in the operators  $A_1, \dots, A_r, A_0$ . Under the additional assumption that not all of the  $A_1, \dots, A_r$  vanish at  $x^0$  it follows from Corollary 2 that a necessary condition for uniqueness in the Cauchy problem for the system  $(P, x^0, F)$  is

(8) For every open neighborhood 
$$U \subseteq \Omega$$
 of  $x^0$ ,  $L^{x^0}(A_1, \dots, A_r, A_0) \cap (U \sim F) \neq \emptyset$ .

Thus if not all of the  $A_1, \dots, A_r$  vanish at  $x^0$  and if  $L^{x^0}(A_1, \dots, A_r) = L^{x^0}(A_1, \dots, A_r, A_0)$ , condition (7) or (8) is necessary and sufficient for uniqueness in the Cauchy problem of the system  $(P, x^0, F)$ . If  $\dim \mathcal{L}(A_1, \dots, A_r)(x^0) = n$  then  $L^{x^0}(A_1, \dots, A_r) = \Omega$  and there is always uniqueness in the Cauchy problem for the system  $(P, x^0, F)$  for any closed set F. This last result was first obtained by Bony [1].

4. Proof of Theorem 2. We may take  $x^0 = 0$ . Let  $\dim L^0(A_1, \dots, A_r) = k$ . In a neighborhood of 0 we introduce new coordinates  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  such that the leaf  $L^0(A_1, \dots, A_r)$  is given by the equations

$$x_{k+1} = \cdots = x_n = 0.$$

Clearly this change in coordinates is analytic. For convenience, let

$$x = (y, z),$$
  $y = (x_1, \dots, x_k),$   $z = (x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n)$ 

so that  $L^0(A_1, \dots, A_r)$  is given by z = 0. In the new coordinates, the operators  $A_i(x, D)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , have the form

(9) 
$$A_i(y, z; D) = B_i(y, z; D_y) + C_i(y, z; D_z)$$

where

(10) 
$$B_{i}(y, z; D_{y}) = a_{i}^{1}(y, z)D_{1} + \cdots + a_{i}^{k}(y, z)D_{k},$$

(11) 
$$C_{i}(y, z; D_{z}) = a_{i}^{k+1}(y, z)D_{k+1} + \cdots + a_{i}^{n}(y, z)D_{n},$$

and, on the leaf  $L^0(A_1, \dots, A_r)$ ,

(12) 
$$C_i(y, 0; D_z) = 0$$

so that

(13) 
$$A_{i}(y, 0; D) = B_{i}(y, 0; D_{y}).$$

We look for the required solution of P(x, D)u = 0 in the form

(14) 
$$u(x) = v(y)\delta(z)$$

where v(y) is a nonvanishing analytic function of y and  $\delta(z) = \delta(x_{n+1}) \cdots \delta(x_n)$ . Now, for every  $j = 1, \cdots r$  and for every u of the form (14), we have

$$A_{i}(y, z; D)u(x) = [B_{i}(y, z; D_{y})v(y)]\delta(z) + v(y)[C_{i}(y, z; D_{z})\delta(z)].$$

In view of (12), a simple computation shows that  $C_j(y, z; D_z)\delta(z) = a_j(y)\delta(z)$  where  $a_j(y) = -(\partial a_j^{k+1}/\partial x_{k+1})(y, 0) - \cdots - (\partial a_j^n/\partial x_n)(y, 0)$ . Moreover, if c(x) = c(y, z) is any analytic function of x,

$$c(x)u(x) = c(y, 0)v(y)\delta(z).$$

Hence

(16) 
$$A_{i}(y, z; D)u(x) = \{ [B_{i}(y, 0; D_{y}) + a_{i}(y)]v(y) \} \delta(z).$$

Now, using (2), (15) and (16) it is easy to see that if u(x) is of the form (14),

(17) 
$$P(x, D)u(x) = R(x, D)[\widetilde{S}(y, D_{y})v(y)]\delta(z)$$

where  $\widetilde{S}(y, D_y)$  is a partial differential operator of order l of the form

(18) 
$$\widetilde{S}(y, D_y) = Q(y, 0; B_1(y, 0; D_y), \dots, B_r(y, 0; D_y)) + Q'(y; B_1(y, 0; D_y), \dots, B_r(y, 0; D_y))$$

where  $Q'(y; \eta_1, \dots, \eta_r)$  is a polynomial of degree l in  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_r$  with analytic coefficients in y. It follows from (17) that in order to show the existence

of a solution u of P(x, D)u = 0 as asserted by the theorem, it is enough to show that in a neighborhood of the origin of the y-space, there is a nonvanishing analytic solution v(y) of the equation

(19) 
$$\widetilde{S}(y, D_{y})\nu(y) = 0.$$

That such a v(y) exists would follow from the Cauchy-Kovalevsky theorem provided we can show that there exists a  $\xi_y \in R^k$  such that  $\mathfrak{F}_l(0, \xi_y) \neq 0$ . For this, we use the assumption of the theorem that there is a  $\xi \in R^n$  such that  $S_l(0, \xi) \neq 0$ . Let  $\xi = (\xi_y, \xi_z)$  where  $\xi_y = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k)$  and  $\xi_z = (\xi_{k+1}, \dots, \xi_n)$ . Then, if  $Q_l$  is the homogeneous part of Q consisting of terms of order l, we have

$$0 \neq S_{l}(0, \xi) = Q_{l}(0; A_{1}(0, \xi), \dots, A_{r}(0, \xi))$$
  
=  $Q_{l}(0; B_{1}(0, \xi_{y}), \dots, B_{r}(0, \xi_{y})) = \widetilde{S}_{l}(0, \xi_{y}).$ 

The proof of the theorem is now complete.

Added in proof. Theorem 1 was first stated by R. Hermann (On the accessibility problem in control theory, Internat. Sympos. Nonlinear Differential Equations and Nonlinear Mechanics, Academic Press, New York, 1963, pp. 325-332. MR 26 #6891). The definitive results in this area have been recently announced by H. J. Sussmann (Orbits of families of vector fields and integrability of systems with singularities, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 79 (1973), 197-199). Sussmann gives the details in a paper which is to appear in the Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Jean-Michel Bony, Principe du maximum et inégalité de Harnack pour les opérateurs elliptiques dégénérés, Séminaire de Théorie du Potentiel, dirigé par M. Brelot, G. Choquet et J. Deny: 1967/68, Exposé 10, Secrétariat mathématique, Paris, 1969. MR 42 #3412.
- 2. C. Chevalley, *Theory of Lie groups*. Vol. 1, Princeton Math. Series, vol. 8, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1946. MR 7, 412.
- 3. T. Nagano, Linear differential systems with singularities and an application to transitive Lie algebras, J. Math. Soc. Japan 18 (1966), 398-404. MR 33 #8005.
- 4. E. C. Zachmanoglou, Propagation of zeroes and uniqueness in the Cauchy problem for first order partial differential equations, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 38 (1970), 178-188.
- 5. \_\_\_\_, Foliations and the propagation of zeroes of solutions of partial differential equations, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 78 (1972), 835-839.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, LAFAYETTE, INDIANA 47907