

ADDENDUM TO "CONVERSE THEOREMS AND EXTENSIONS IN CHEBYSHEV RATIONAL APPROXIMATION TO CERTAIN ENTIRE FUNCTIONS IN $[0, +\infty)$ "

BY

A. R. REDDY

Recently (Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 170 (1972), 171–185) we have proved among other results the following theorem. The notation and numbering are the same as in [1].

Theorem 7 [1, p. 183]. Let $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ be an entire function of order $\rho = 0$ with $a_0 > 0$ and $a_k \geq 0$ for all $k \geq 1$ such that there exist finite numbers

$$1 < \rho_l = \Lambda + 1 \equiv \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M_f(r)}{\log \log r},$$

and $0 < b_l \leq B_l$ such that

$$(3.11) \quad \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_f(r)}{(\log r)^{\Lambda+1}} = B_l, \quad \underline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_f(r)}{(\log r)^{\Lambda+1}} = b_l.$$

Then, there exists a sequence of real polynomials $\{P_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ with $P_n \in \pi_n$ for each $n \geq 0$ such that

$$(3.12) \quad \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \left\| \frac{1}{P_n(x)} - \frac{1}{f(x)} \right\|_{L_{\infty}[0, \infty)} \right\}^{1/n} = 0.$$

Our aim in writing this addendum is to present under the assumptions of Theorem 7, a much sharper result than (3.12), by modifying slightly the proof of Theorem 7.

Lemma [2, Lemma 7]. If $f(z)$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 7, then

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^{\Lambda+1}}{[\log |1/a_n|]^{\Lambda}} = \frac{(\Lambda+1)^{(\Lambda+1)}}{\Lambda^{\Lambda}} B_l$$

Remark. The notation used here is slightly different from the one used in [2].

Theorem 7*. Under the assumptions of Theorem 7, we get

Received by the editors July 27, 1973.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 41A20.

Key words and phrases. Rational approximation, approximation of an entire function.
Copyright © 1974, American Mathematical Society

$$(3.12^*) \quad \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \left\| \frac{1}{P_n(x)} - \frac{1}{f(x)} \right\|_{L_\infty[0, \infty)} \right\}^{1/n \log n} < e^{-1/\Delta}.$$

Proof. We have from [1, p. 181],

$$(3.5') \quad \left| \frac{1}{f(x)} - \frac{1}{P_n(x; r)} \right| \leq \frac{2\delta_n(r)}{f^2(0)}, \quad x \in [0, r],$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad \left| \frac{1}{f(x)} - \frac{1}{P_n(x; r)} \right| \leq \frac{2}{f(r)}, \quad x \geq r.$$

From [1, (3.4)] we get

$$(3.4') \quad \delta_n(r) \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k r^k.$$

Hence, from (3.4') and (3.5'), we obtain

$$(3.16) \quad \left| \frac{1}{f(x)} - \frac{1}{P_n(x; r)} \right| \leq \frac{2 \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k r^k}{a_0^2}.$$

Now from Lemma 1, we have for all $k \geq k_0(\epsilon)$

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} |a_k| r^k &\leq \left[\exp \left\{ \frac{-k\Lambda}{(\Lambda+1)} \left(\frac{k}{(\Lambda+1)(B_l + \epsilon)} \right)^{1/\Delta} \right\} \right] r^k, \\ &\leq \exp \left\{ \log r - \frac{\Lambda}{(\Lambda+1)} \left(\frac{k}{(\Lambda+1)(B_l + \epsilon)} \right)^{1/\Delta} \right\} k. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$(3.18) \quad \log r = \frac{\Lambda}{(\Lambda+1)} \left(\frac{(n+1)}{(\Lambda+1)(B_l + \epsilon)} \right)^{1/\Delta} - \frac{\log(n+1)}{\Lambda}.$$

A simple calculation based on (3.17) and (3.18) gives us for all $n \geq n_0$

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k r^k &\leq \left[\exp \left(\frac{-(n+1) \log(n+1)}{\Lambda} \right) \right] \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{k/\Delta}} \right) \\ &\leq \left[\exp \left[\frac{-(n+1) \log(n+1)}{\Lambda} \right] \right] \left(\frac{n^{1/\Delta}}{n^{1/\Delta} - 1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we get from (3.6) and (3.11) for all $r \geq r_0(\epsilon_1)$

$$(3.20) \quad M_f(r) \geq \exp [(\log r)^{\Lambda+1} (b_l - \epsilon_1)].$$

(3.18) and (3.20) give us for all $n \geq n_0$

$$(3.21) \quad \begin{aligned} M_f(r) &\geq \exp \left\{ \left[\frac{\Lambda}{(\Lambda+1)} \left(\frac{(n+1)}{(\Lambda+1)(B_l + \epsilon)} \right)^{1/\Lambda} - \frac{\log(n+1)}{\Lambda} \right]^{(\Lambda+1)} (b_l - \epsilon_1) \right\} \\ &\geq \exp \left[c_1 n^{(\Lambda+1)/\Lambda} \left(1 - c_2 \frac{\log(n+1)}{\Lambda(n+1)^{1/\Lambda}} \right)^{\Lambda+1} \right] \end{aligned}$$

where

$$c_1 = \left(\frac{\Lambda}{(\Lambda+1)} \right)^{\Lambda+1} (b_l - \epsilon_1) \left(\frac{1}{(\Lambda+1)(B_l + \epsilon)} \right)^{(\Lambda+1)/\Lambda}, \quad c_2 = \frac{(\Lambda+1)(\Lambda+1)(B_l + \epsilon)^{1/\Lambda}}{\Lambda}.$$

Now we get from (3.16) and (3.19) for $x \in [0, r]$

$$(3.22) \quad \left\| \frac{1}{f(x)} - \frac{1}{P_n(x; r)} \right\|_{[0, r]}^{1/n \log n} \leq \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\Lambda} \right).$$

On the other hand from (3.6), (3.20) and (3.21) we get

$$(3.23) \quad \left\| \frac{1}{f(x)} - \frac{1}{P_n(x; r)} \right\|^{1/n \log n} = 0, \quad \text{for all } x \geq r.$$

Hence from (3.22) and (3.23) by setting (cf. [1, p. 182]) $P_n(x) \equiv P_n(x; r(n))$, we get (3.12*), i.e.

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{f(x)} - \frac{1}{P_n(x)} \right\|_{L_\infty[0, \infty)}^{1/n \log n} \leq \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\Lambda} \right).$$

Remarks. There exist transcendental entire functions of order zero which fail to satisfy the assumptions of the Theorem 7* but satisfy

$$(3.24) \quad \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{f(x)} - \frac{1}{P_n(x)} \right\|^{1/n \log n} < 1.$$

For example the function

$$f(x) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{2^{\log 2} 3^{\log 3} 4^{\log 4} \dots n^{\log n}}$$

is of order zero with $\Lambda = \infty$ and satisfies (3.24).

Added on August 22, 1973:

By choosing

$$\log r = \frac{\Lambda}{2(\Lambda + 1)} \left(\frac{(n+1)}{(\Lambda + 1)(B_l + \epsilon)} \right)^{1/\Lambda}$$

instead of (3.18) and adopting the same technique we get instead of (3.12*) the following

$$(3.25) \quad \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\left\| \frac{1}{p_n(x)} - \frac{1}{f(x)} \right\|_{L_\infty[0, \infty)} \right)^{1/n^{1+1/\Lambda}} < 1.$$

The result (3.25) is the best possible in the sense that Λ cannot be replaced by $(\Lambda - \epsilon)$ for any $\epsilon > 0$ for all entire functions satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 7*. The details of this will appear separately. In view of (3.25) the following example may be of some interest.

Let $f(x) = \sum_0^\infty x^n / e^{2^n}$. For this function $\Lambda = 0$ and

$$(3.26) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\lambda_{0,n})^{1/2^{(n+1)}} = \frac{1}{e}.$$

The proof of this is very lengthy. The details of this also will appear separately.

REFERENCES

1. G. Meinardus, A. R. Reddy, G. D. Taylor and R. S. Varga, *Converse theorems and extensions in Chebyshev rational approximation to certain entire functions in $[0, \infty)$* . Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 170 (1972), 171-185.
2. A. R. Reddy, *Approximation of an entire function*, J. Approximation Theory 3 (1970), 128-137. MR 41 #4091.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO, TOLEDO, OHIO 43606