THE CONSTRAINED COEFFICIENT PROBLEM FOR TYPICALLY REAL FUNCTIONS (1)

BY

GEORGE B. LEEMAN, JR.

ABSTRACT. Let $-2 \le c \le 2$. In this paper we find the precise upper and lower bounds on the *n*th Taylor coefficient a_n of functions $f(z) = z + cz^2 + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ typically real in the unit disk for $n = 3, 4, \cdots$. In addition all the extremal functions are identified.

Let $|c| \le 2$, and denote by S(c) the collection of all functions $f(z) = z + cz^2 + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ analytic and univalent in the unit disk $D = \{z \mid |z| < 1\}$. This class has been studied by Gronwall [9], [10], Nevanlinna [15], Lebedev and Milin [13], Goluzin [7], and Jenkins [11]. More recently Jenkins [1, pp. 159-174] solved the problem of maximizing $|a_n|$, where $f(z) = z + cz^2 + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in S(c)$, for the case n = 3. This problem has not been solved for any $n \ge 4$.

The purpose of this paper is to give a complete solution to the analogous constrained coefficient problem for a much simpler class of functions, namely, the typically real functions.

Definition 1. A function $f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}a_kz^k$ analytic in the unit disk D is said to be typically real provided f(z) is real if and only if z is real. The class of typically real functions will be denoted by T, and for each c, $-2 \le c \le 2$, we call T(c) the collection of all functions $f(z)=z+cz^2+\sum_{k=3}^{\infty}a_kz^k\in T$.

Rogosinski [18], [19] introduced the class T and established many of its important properties. He showed that if $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in T$, then $|a_n| \le n$, $n = 2, 3, \cdots$. For other proofs of this theorem see [4] and [21]. Robertson [17] used Rogosinski's results to show that each function in T can be represented in the form

(1)
$$f(z) = \int_0^{\pi} \frac{z}{1 - 2z \cos \theta + z^2} d\alpha(\theta),$$

where α is nondecreasing in $[0, \pi]$, and $\alpha(0) = 0$, $\alpha(\pi) = 1$.

Received by the editors July 26, 1972 and, in revised form, February 28, 1973.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 30 A40; Secondary 30 A34.

Key words and phrases. Typically real functions, coefficient bounds, constrained extremal problems.

⁽¹⁾ This paper describes a portion of the author's doctoral thesis written under the direction of Professor Peter L. Duren at the University of Michigan.

The class T(c) was first studied by Jenkins [12], who found the domain of variability of f(z) and f'(z) when $f \in T(c)$ and z is real. Later Bielecki, Krzyż, and Lewandowski [3] generalized the result for arbitrary z; Alenicyn [2] solved the same problem for f(z) by a different method. Using the results of Alenicyn, Goluzina [8] obtained sharp bounds for |f(z)|, arg f(z), Re f(z), and Im f(z) when $f \in T(c)$.

The next result we shall need appears in [3] and [14].

Theorem 1. Let Ψ be real-valued and continuous on $[0, \pi]$. Then the functional Φ defined on (1) by

$$\Phi(f) = \int_0^{\pi} \Psi(\theta) \, d\alpha(\theta)$$

assumes its minimum and maximum values in T(c) for a function of the form

(2)
$$f(z) = \frac{c-t}{s-t} \frac{z}{1-sz+z^2} + \frac{s-c}{s-t} \frac{z}{1-tz+z^2},$$

where $-2 \le s \le c \le t \le 2$. If s = t = c we interpret (2) to mean $f(z) = z/(1 - cz + z^2)$.

A computation shows that if $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in T(c)$ is given by (1), then

(3)
$$a_n = \int_0^{\pi} \frac{\sin n\theta}{\sin \theta} d\alpha(\theta), \quad n = 3, 4, \cdots$$

Hence we will study a collection of polynomials that are geometrically similar to the functions $\theta \to \sin n\theta/\sin \theta$. Our determination of the best upper and lower bounds for a_n , n=3, 4, ..., will be divided into four parts:

- I. Discussion of the geometrical properties of our collection of polynomials;
- II. Solution of the problem when |c| is small;
- III. Solution of the problem when |c| is near 2;
- IV. Uniqueness of the extremal functions.

Part I. Polynomial geometry. The so-called Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind, denoted by $u_m(x)$, $m=1, 2, \cdots$, satisfy $u_m(\theta) = (\sin{(m+1)\theta})/\sin{\theta}$ for each real θ ; for several properties of these polynomials, see [22]. We shall deal with a similar collection of monic polynomials.

Definition 2. For each n, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, set

$$r = \left[\frac{n-1}{2}\right], \quad P_n(t) = \sum_{k=0}^r (-1)^k \binom{n-k-1}{k} t^{n-2k-1},$$

where t is real.

Definition 3. Denote by c_n the largest critical point of $P_n(t)$, $n = 1, 2, \cdots$

The next lemma establishes several useful characteristics of these polynomials.

- Lemma 1. The $\{P_n(t)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ have the following properties: (i) P_n is $\{\substack{even \\ odd}\}$ if n is $\{\substack{odd \\ even}\}$, $n=1, 2, \cdots$. (ii) $P_n(2\cos\theta) = (\sin n\theta)/\sin\theta$ for each $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$, $n=1, 2, \cdots$.
- (iii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \{P_n(t)\}z^n = z/(1-tz+z^2)$ for all $z \in D$, $t \in [-2, 2]$.
- (iv) If c and d are critical points of $P_n(t)$ in $[0, \infty)$ and c < d, then $|P_n(0)|$ $<|P_n(c)|<|P_n(d)|< P_n(2)=n, n=4, 5, \cdots$
- (v) $P_n(c_n) = \min_{t \in [0,2]} P_n(t)$, and P_n is concave upward in $[c_n, \infty)$, n =3, 4,
- (vi) If $n \ge 4$ is even, then $|P_n(t)| < \frac{1}{2}n|t|$ for all $t \in [-2, 2]$. Equality holds only for t = 0, $t = \pm 2$.

Proof. Part (i) is trivial. Next,

$$P_1(t) = 1$$
, $P_2(t) - tP_1(t) = 0$, $P_n(t) = tP_{n-1}(t) - P_{n-2}(t)$, $n = 3, 4, ...$; thence the identity $\sin n\theta = 2\cos\theta \sin(n-1)\theta - \sin(n-2)\theta$ proves part (ii) by induction. Note that $\sin n\theta/\sin\theta = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{i(2k-n+1)\theta}$, hence

 $|(\sin n\theta)/\sin \theta| < n$, equality if and only if $\theta = k\pi$ for some integer k. (4)

Hence $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n(t)z^n$ converges absolutely in D for each fixed $t \in [-2, 2]$. Thus $(1 - tz + z^2) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n(t) z^n = z$, whence part (iii) follows. Parts (iv) and (v) follow from part (ii) and the properties of the functions $\theta \to (\sin n\theta)/\sin \theta$ [in particular it should be observed that all critical points of P_n lie in the open interval (-2, 2)]. Finally, part (vi) is an easy consequence of (4), therefore Lemma 1 is proven.

The constant concavity of P_n in $[c_n, \infty)$ shall be used in conjunction with the following geometrical result, which can be easily proven analytically: If y = f(x) is a nonlinear polynomial in a neighborhood of [a, b], and if the line through the points (a, f(a)) and (b, f(b)) is tangent to y = f(x) at x = a, then f(a)cannot have constant concavity in (a, b).

We can now apply Theorem 1 to the constrained coefficient problem. Set

$$H_n(s, t) = \frac{c-t}{s-t} P_n(s) + \frac{s-c}{s-t} P_n(t), -2 \le s \le c \le t \le 2,$$

where c is fixed. We agree to write $H_n(c, c) = P_n(c)$.

Lemma 2. If $f(z) = z + cz^2 + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in T(c)$, then a_n satisfies the sharp inequality $\min_{(s,t)} H_n(s,t) \leq a_n \leq \max_{(s,t)} H_n(s,t), n = 3, 4, \cdots$

Proof. In Theorem 1, set $\Psi(\theta) = (\sin n\theta)/\sin \theta$; then by (3) we see that the extremal a_n occurs for a function of the form (2). However, the *n*th coefficient

of the function in (2) is clearly $H_n(s, t)$, by Lemma 1. Consequently Lemma 2 follows.

In theory, Lemma 2 allows us to find the exact bounds on a_n for each n. In practice, however, determination of the minimum and maximum values of $H_n(s,t)$ is a nontrivial task. It turns out that when the value |c| is sufficiently small we can solve our problem by exploiting the geometric properties of the polynomials $P_n(t)$ in Lemma 1; we can thus avoid working with $H_n(s,t)$ in this case. However, when |c| is near 2, we will be forced to appeal to Lemma 2, which necessitates computation of the absolute minimum and maximum of $H_n(s,t)$ in the rectangle $-2 \le s < c < t < 2$.

Part II. Solution for small |c|. We turn first to the odd coefficients.

Lemma 3. Let $n \ge 3$ be odd and $f(z) = z + cz^2 + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in T(c)$.

(i) We have $a_n \leq n$, with equality if and only if

$$f(z) = \frac{2+c}{4} \frac{z}{(1-z)^2} + \frac{2-c}{4} \frac{z}{(1+z)^2}.$$

(ii) If in addition $|c| \le c_n$, then $a_n \ge P_n(c_n)$. Equality holds if and only if

(5)
$$f(z) = \frac{c_n + c}{2c_n} \frac{z}{1 - c_n z + z^2} + \frac{c_n - c}{2c_n} \frac{z}{1 + c_n z + z^2}.$$

Before proving this lemma we note that (5) can be written as

$$f(z) = \frac{z(1+cz+z^2)}{(1-\dot{c}_nz+z^2)(1+c_nz+z^2)};$$

hence if $c_n = 0$, we interpret (5) to mean that $f(z) = z/(1 + z^2)$.

Proof. Choose α so that (1) holds. Then (3) and (4) show that $a_n \leq n$ for any function $f \in T$, with equality only for $f(z) = \lambda z/(1-z)^2 + (1-\lambda)z/(1+z)^2$, where $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. In our case we must have $a_2 = 2\lambda - 2(1-\lambda) = c$, and part (i) follows. Next, by Lemma 1, $a_n = \int_0^n P_n(2\cos\theta) d\alpha(\theta) \geq P_n(\pm c_n) = P_n(c_n)$, with equality only when α is concentrated at $\pm c_n$. That is, if $\theta_n = \cos^{-1}(c_n/2)$, then

$$\alpha(\theta) = 0 \quad \text{if } 0 \le \theta < \theta_n,$$

$$= \lambda_n \quad \text{if } \theta_n < \theta < \pi - \theta_n,$$

$$= 1 \quad \text{if } \pi - \theta_n < \theta \le \pi,$$

where λ_n is some constant. The assumption $|c| \le c_n$ guarantees that $0 \le \lambda_n \le 1$, and the function in (5) clearly results. We have now completed the proof of Lemma 3.

The proof of part (i) can be generalized to show the following result: If $m \ge 2$ is even, $|c| \le m$, and $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in T$ with $a_m = c$, then $a_n \le n$, $n = 3, 5, \cdots$. Equality holds if and only if

$$f(z) = \frac{m+c}{2m} \frac{z}{(1-z)^2} + \frac{m-c}{2m} \frac{z}{(1+z)^2}.$$

Hence if n is odd, the maximum value of the nth coefficient is not affected by the behavior of any single even coefficient!

The study of even coefficients for fixed a_2 is more complicated.

Definition 4. If $n \ge 4$ is even, put $F_n(t) = (P_n(t) + n)/(t + 2)$, $t \in [0, 2]$.

By part (v) of Lemma 1, we are able to conclude that $F_n(t)$ attains its minimum in [0, 2] at one point only.

Definition 5. We call r_n the unique number in [0, 2] which satisfies $F_n(r_n) = \min_{t \in [0,2]} F_n(t)$.

It easily follows that $c_n < r_n < 2$. Furthermore, if we denote by L the collection of all lines tangent to the curve $y = P_n(t)$ which pass through the point (-2, -n), then the line through the points (-2, -n) and $(r_n, P_n(r_n))$ is the element of L with minimal slope.

We can now partially solve our problem for even coefficients. In doing so we shall motivate the two definitions above.

Lemma 4. Let $n \ge 4$ be even and $f(z) = z + cz^2 + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in T(c)$. Then $(c+2)F_n(r_n) - n \le a_n \le (c-2)F_n(r_n) + n$.

Equality holds on the left if and only if $-2 \le c \le r_n$ and

$$f(z) = \frac{r_n - c}{r_n + 2} \frac{z}{(1+z)^2} + \frac{c+2}{r_n + 2} \frac{z}{1 - r_n z + z^2},$$

while equality holds on the right if and only if $-r_n \le c \le 2$ and

$$f(z) = \frac{r_n + c}{r_n + 2} \frac{z}{(1 - z)^2} + \frac{2 - c}{r_n + 2} \frac{z}{1 + r z + z^2}.$$

Proof. Define a function g on [0, 2] by $g(t) = P_n(t) - F_n(r_n)t$. By computing $F_n(t)$, we see that $g'(r_n) = 0$. Furthermore, part (v) of Lemma 1 guarantees that g'(t) is increasing in $[c_n, 2]$ and that $\min_{t \in [0, 2]} g(t) = \min_{t \in [c_n, 2]} g(t)$. Hence by definition of $F_n(t)$,

(6)
$$\min_{t \in [0, 2]} P_n(t) - F_n(r_n)t = -n + 2F_n(r_n),$$

and equality holds only for $t = r_n$. In particular, we see that $n - 2F_n(r_n) > 0$; thus part (vi) of Lemma 1 yields

(7)
$$\max_{t \in [0,2]} P_n(t) - F_n(r_n)t \le \max_{t \in [0,2]} \frac{1}{2} nt - F_n(r_n)t = n - 2F_n(r_n),$$

with equality only for t = 2. Combining (6) and (7), we arrive at the inequality

(8)
$$\max_{t \in [0,2]} |P_n(t) - F_n(r_n)t| \le n - 2F_n(r_n).$$

Now choose α to represent f as in (1). Then

$$a_n = \int_0^{\pi} (P_n(2\cos\theta) - 2F_n(r_n)\cos\theta) d\alpha(\theta) + F_n(r_n)c,$$

because $f \in T(c)$. Now $P_n(t)$ is odd, hence (8) yields

(9)
$$|a_n - F_n(r_n)c| \le n - 2F_n(r_n),$$

which is the desired inequality. The maximum in (8) is assumed only at $t = \pm r_n$ and $t = \pm 2$. More explicitly,

$$P_{n}(-r_{n}) + F_{n}(r_{n})r_{n} = P_{n}(2) - 2F_{n}(r_{n}) = n - 2F_{n}(r_{n}),$$

$$P_{n}(r_{n}) - F_{n}(r_{n})r_{n} = P_{n}(-2) + 2F_{n}(r_{n}) = 2F_{n}(r_{n}) - n.$$

Thus we concentrate α at $+r_n$ and -2, or at $-r_n$ and +2, to achieve equality on the left side or right side of (9), respectively. The indicated extremal functions are clearly the result (note as in Lemma 3 the restrictions on c are necessary to insure that these functions are actually typically real); hence the proof of Lemma 4 is now finished.

A slight modification of an argument due to Schur [20, pp. 130-132] shows that the sequence $\{P_n(c_n)/n\}_{n=3}^{\infty}$ is strictly increasing, and $\lim_{n\to\infty} P_n(c_n)/n = \cos u_0 = -0.217 \cdots$, where u_0 is the unique solution to the equation $u = \tan u$ in $(\pi, 2\pi)$.

Hence

(10)
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{F_{2n}(r_{2n})}{2n} = \frac{1+\cos u_0}{4} = 0.196 \cdots,$$

which yields an asymptotic estimate for the magnitude of $F_{2n}(r_{2n})$.

This quantity can be determined explicitly by digital computer programs, and the following table results.

Table 1

Numerical values of $F_n(r_n)$, correct to three decimal places

n	$F_n(r_n)$	n	$F_n(r_n)$	n	$F_n(r_n)$
2	1.000	12	2.416	22	4.342
4	1.000	14	2.797	24	4.730
6	1.313	16	3.182	26	5.119
8	1.668	18	3.567	28	5.508
10	2.038	20	3.954	30	5.898

Part III. Solution for |c| near 2. We have not solved our problem for two cases: n odd, $|c| > c_n$; n even, $|c| > r_n$. Our aim is to show that in these cases the only extremal function is $f(z) = z/(1-cz+z^2) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_k(c)z^k$. We shall show that $H_n(s, t)$ has no absolute minimum in the interior of the rectangle $-2 \le s \le c \le t \le 2$. The point s = -2, t = +2 will then be eliminated as a possible minimum point. All other points on the rectangle's boundary correspond to the function given above.

Our first result will apply to both even and odd coefficients.

Lemma 5. Suppose $n \ge 3$, $c_n < c < 2$, $0 < \lambda < 1$, $-2 \le t_1 < c < t_2 \le 2$, and $P_n(t_1) \ge P_n(c_n)$. If

(11)
$$g(z) = \lambda \frac{z}{1 - t_1 z + z^2} + (1 - \lambda) \frac{z}{1 - t_2 z + z^2} = z + cz^2 + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} b_k z^k$$

and

(12)
$$M_n = \min \left\{ a_n | f(z) = z + cz^2 + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in T(c) \right\},$$
then $b_n > M_n$.

Proof. Assume equality holds instead. We easily conclude from parts (iv) and (v) of Lemma 1 that $P_n(t_1) < P_n(t_2)$. Next we claim that $c_n \le t_1 < c$. For if $t_1 < c_n$, then we could find $s_1 > 0$ so that $P_n(t_1) \ge P_n(t_1 + s_1)$, $c_n \le t_1 + s_1 < c < t_2$ and $\epsilon > 0$ so that $(1 - \lambda)\epsilon - \lambda s_1 < 0$, $t_1 + s_1 - t_2 + \epsilon < 0$, $P_n(t_2) \ge P_n(t_2 - \epsilon) > P_n(t_1)$, $t_2 - \epsilon \ge c$. But the *n*th coefficient of the function

$$f_1(z) = \frac{\lambda(t_1 - t_2) + \epsilon}{t_1 + s_1 - t_2 + \epsilon} \frac{z}{1 - (t_1 + s_1)z + z^2} + \frac{s_1 + (1 - \lambda)(t_1 - t_2)}{t_1 + s_1 - t_2 + \epsilon} \frac{z}{1 - (t_2 - \epsilon)z + z^2}$$

is smaller than b_n , a contradiction. Consequently

$$(13) c_n \le t_1 < c < t_2 \le 2,$$

as claimed. Now set $b(x) = H_n(x, t_2)$, -2 < x < c. By assumption $x = t_1$ is a local minimum of b, thus $P_n'(t_1) = (P_n(t_2) - P_n(t_1))/(t_2 - t_1)$. From the remarks following the proof of Lemma 1, we conclude that $P_n(t)$ cannot have constant concavity in the interval (t_1, t_2) . This fact contradicts (13) and part (v) of Lemma 1, so that the proof is complete.

Corollary 1. If $n \ge 3$ is odd, $c_n < c < 2$, $0 < \lambda < 1$, $-2 \le t_1 < c < t_2 \le 2$, and g(z) is given by (11), then $b_n > M_n$, where M_n is as in (12).

Proof. By part (i) of Lemma 1, $P_n(t)$ is even; hence the hypotheses of Lemma 5 are satisfied.

The case of even coefficients is more difficult because of the complicated nature of r_n :

Lemma 6. If $n \ge 4$ is even, $r_n < c < 2$, $0 < \lambda < 1$, $-2 \le t_1 < c < t_2 \le 2$, and g(z) and M_n are given by (11) and (12), respectively, then $b_n > M_n$.

Proof. Assume the assertion is false. In view of Lemma 5, we must have $P_n(t_1) < P_n(c_n)$; hence

$$(14) t_1 < -c_n.$$

We now consider three cases.

Case I. $t_1 = -2$, $t_2 \le 2$. The point r_n is a local minimum of $F_n(t)$, and $P'_n(t)$ is strictly increasing in (r_n, ∞) ; hence it easily follows that the *n*th coefficient of

$$f_1(z) = \frac{r_n - c}{r_n + 2} \frac{z}{(1+z)^2} + \frac{c+2}{r_n + 2} \frac{z}{1-r_n z + z^2} \in T(c)$$

is smaller than b_n , a contradiction.

Case II. $t_1 > -2$, $t_2 = 2$. By using (14) and Lemma 1, we see that for an appropriate choice of ϵ , the *n*th coefficient of

$$f_2(z) = \frac{2-c}{2-t_1-\epsilon} \frac{z}{1-(t_1+\epsilon)z+z^2} + \frac{c-t_1-\epsilon}{2-t_1-\epsilon} \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}$$

will be smaller than b_n , another contradiction.

Case III. $t_1 > -2$, $t_2 < 2$. The point (t_1, t_2) is a relative minimum of H_n ; thus $P_n'(t_1) = (P_n(t_2) - P_n(t_1))/(t_2 - t_1) = P_n'(t_2)$. Hence we must have $t_2 = -t_1$. We employ part (vi) of Lemma 1 to deduce that

$$P'_n(t_2) = \frac{P_n(t_2)}{t_2} \le \frac{P_n(r_n) + n}{r_n + 2} = P'_n(r_n).$$

This result is a contradiction, since $t_2 > c > r_n$, whereas $P'_n(t)$ is strictly increasing in (r_n, ∞) . The proof is now complete.

We can now finish solving our problem, except for the identification of all extremal functions.

Lemma 7. Let $f(z) = z + cz^2 + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in T(c)$ be represented by α in (1). If n is odd and $|c| > |c_n|$, then $a_n \ge P_n(c)$. If n is even, then we have $a_n \ge P_n(c)$ if $c > r_n$, $a_n \le P_n(c)$ if $c < -r_n$. If α is a step function, then equality holds in any of the three inequalities above if and only if $f(z) = z/(1 - cz + z^2)$.

Proof. First suppose

$$c > c_n$$
 if n is odd,
 $> r_n$ if n is even.

By Corollary 1 and Lemma 6, the absolute minimum of $H_n(s, t)$ is not assumed when $-2 \le s < c < t \le 2$. Since $H_n(c, t) = H_n(s, c) = P_n(c)$ for all s and t, the inequality $a_n \ge P_n(c)$ follows from Lemma 2.

Next assume α is a step function. If α has at most two discontinuities, then we can have $a_n = P_n(c)$ if and only if $f(z) = z/(1-cz+z^2)$. If α has more than two discontinuities, we write $A_{-1} = 0$ and

$$\alpha(\theta) = A_0 = 0 \quad \text{if } 0 \le \theta \le \theta_1,$$

$$= A_k \quad \text{if } \theta_k < \theta < \theta_{k+1}, k = 1, \dots, m-1,$$

$$= A_m = 1 \quad \text{if } \theta_m \le \theta \le \pi.$$

By setting $A_{l-1} = A_l$ if necessary, we can assume that the number $\theta_l = \cos^{-1}(c/2)$ occurs among the θ_k , $k = 1, \dots, m$. Then

$$f(z) = (A_l - A_{l-1})z/(1 - cz + z^2) + (1 - A_l + A_{l-1})b(z),$$

where

$$b(z) = \int_0^{\pi} \frac{z}{1 - 2z \cos \theta + z^2} d\beta(\theta) \in T(c)$$

and β has no discontinuity at θ_l . It is now possible (see [14, Theorem 1]) to find constants $d_1, \dots, d_{m-2} \geq 0$ and nondecreasing step functions $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{m-2}$ such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m-2} d_k = 1, \quad \int_0^{\pi} \cos \theta \, d\beta_k(\theta) = c/2, \quad k = 1, \dots, m-2,$$

and

$$\beta(\theta) = \sum_{k=1}^{m-2} d_k \beta_k(\theta)$$

for all but finitely many θ in $[0, \pi]$; each β_k has at most two discontinuities. If $a_n = P_n(c)$, then the *n*th coefficient of b must also be $P_n(c)$; hence $b(z) = z/(1-cz+z^2) = f(z)$.

We have now completely proven the lemma for c > 0. If c < 0, we set g(z) = -f(-z) and apply what we have just shown to g. The desired inequalities follow from part (i) of Lemma 1.

Part IV. Uniqueness of extremal functions. We now state our complete solution to the constrained coefficient problem.

Theorem 2. Suppose $f(z) = z + cz^2 + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in T(c)$.

1. If $n \ge 3$ is odd, then

(15)
$$P_n(c_n) \le a_n \le n \quad \text{if } |c| \le c_n,$$

(16)
$$P_n(c) \le a_n \le n \quad \text{if } |c| \ge c_n.$$

2. If n > 4 is even, then

(17)
$$(c+2)F_n(r_n) - n \le a_n \le P_n(c)$$
 if $-2 \le c \le -r_n$,

(18)
$$(c+2)F_n(r_n) - n \le a_n \le (c-2)F_n(r_n) + n \quad if \ |c| \le r_n,$$

(19)
$$P_{n}(c) \leq a_{n} \leq (c-2)F_{n}(r_{n}) + n \quad if \ r_{n} \leq c \leq 2.$$

Equality holds on the left-hand sides only for

$$f(z) = \frac{c_n + c}{2c_n} \frac{z}{1 - c_n z + z^2} \frac{c_n - c}{2c_n} \frac{z}{1 + c_n z + z^2} \quad in (15);$$

$$f(z) = \frac{r_n - c}{r_n + 2} \frac{z}{(1+z)^2} + \frac{c+2}{r_n + 2} \frac{z}{1-r_n z + z^2} \quad in (17), (18);$$

$$f(z) = \frac{z}{1 - cz + z^2}$$
 in (16), (19).

Equality holds on the right-hand sides only for

$$f(z) = \frac{2+c}{4} \frac{z}{(1-z)^2} + \frac{2-c}{4} \frac{z}{(1+z)^2} \quad in (15), (16);$$

$$f(z) = \frac{z}{1-cz+z^2} \quad in (17);$$

$$f(z) = \frac{r_n+c}{r_n+2} \frac{z}{(1-z)^2} + \frac{2-c}{r_n+2} \frac{z}{1+rz+z^2} \quad in (18), (19).$$

Proof. In view of Lemmas 3, 4, and 7, we need only show that if $f(z) = z + cz^2 + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ is extremal for our problem, and α represents f as in (1), then α must be a step function. To do this, let $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_r$ be all the zeros of $((\sin n\theta)/\sin \theta)'$ in $(0, \pi)$, where $0 = \theta_0 < \theta_1 < \dots < \theta_r < \theta_{r+1} = \pi$. Suppose there exists a point θ' in some interval (θ_l, θ_{l+1}) such that α is not constant in any neighborhood of θ' . Using the variational method of Pfaltzgraff and Pinchuk [16, Theorem 4.2], we obtain a function $f_* \in T(c)$ of the form

$$f_*(z) = f(z) - \epsilon \int_e \left[\frac{2z^2 \sin \theta}{(1 - 2z \cos \theta + z^2)^2} + \lambda \sin \theta \right] |\alpha(\theta) - x| d\theta + O(\epsilon^2),$$

where $e \subseteq (\theta_l, \theta_{l+1})$ is a closed interval about θ' , λ and x are constants, and the error term $O(\epsilon^2)$ is uniform on compact subsets of the unit disk. The quantity ϵ can be positive or negative, provided $|\epsilon|$ is sufficiently small. The *n*th coefficient a_{n*} of f_* is given by

$$a_{n*} = a_n + \epsilon \int_{e} \left(\frac{\sin n\theta}{\sin \theta} \right)' |\alpha(\theta) - x| d\theta + O(\epsilon^2);$$

hence we conclude that $\int_{e} ((\sin n\theta)/\sin \theta)' |\alpha(\theta) - x| d\theta = 0$, a contradiction. Consequently, α is constant on $(\theta_{k}, \theta_{k+1})$, $k = 0, \dots, r$, and the proof is complete.

It should be pointed out that the variational method was used only to show the uniqueness of the extremal function in the case $a_n = P_n(c)$, $f(z) = z/(1-cz+z^2)$. The rest of the problem was solved on the elementary level.

Theorem 2 yields the following result on odd typically real functions: if $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{2n+1} z^{2n+1} \in T$, then $P_{2n+1}(c_{2n+1}) \le a_{2n+1} \le 2n+1$, $n=1, 2, \ldots$ Equality holds on the left or right side only for

$$f(z) = \frac{z(1+z^2)}{(1+z^2)^2 - c_x z^2}$$
 or $f(z) = z \frac{1+z^2}{(1-z^2)^2}$,

respectively. This assertion of course holds under the weaker hypothesis that f''(0) = 0. (A similar phenomenon occurs in the class S^* of normalized starlike univalent functions. Goluzin [5] shows that if $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in S^*$ then $|a_k| \le 1$, k = 3, 5, 7, ..., if f is odd. Later [6] he shows that $|a_k| \le 1$, k = 3, 4, 5, ..., provided only $a_2 = 0$.)

If $f(z) = z + cz^2 + a_3z^3 + \cdots \in T(c)$, then $c^2 - 1 \le a_3 \le 3$. The left-hand side easily follows from the Schwarz inequality, but tracing the cases of equality is cumbersome. Note also that if we represent f by (1) and set $\beta(t) = \alpha[\cos^{-1}(-t/2)]$, then

$$-a_2 = \int_{-2}^2 t \, d\beta(t) = -c, \qquad a_3 + 1 - c^2 = \int_{-2}^2 t^2 \, d\beta(t) - c^2.$$

Thus finding the best bounds on a_3 amounts to minimizing and maximizing the variance of a mass (in fact, probability) distribution when the mean is given. No such interpretation appears possible for higher coefficients a_n , $n \ge 4$.

This work represents part of the author's doctoral dissertation written at the University of Michigan. I would like to thank my thesis advisor Professor Peter L. Duren for his assistance in this project, my wife Virginia for her writing of the computer program that produced Table 1, and the referee for several helpful comments.

REFERENCES

- 1. L. V. Ahlfors et al., Analytic functions, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1960.
- 2. Ju. E. Alenicyn, On the ranges of systems of coefficients of functions representable as a sum of Stieltjes integrals, Vestnik Leningrad Univ. 17 (1962), no. 7, 25-41. (Russian) MR 25 #2179.
- 3. A. Bielecki, J. Krzyż and Z. Lewandowski, On typically-real functions with a preassigned second coefficient, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 10 (1962), 205-208. MR 25 #218 2.
- 4. J. Dieudonné, Sur les fonctions univalentes, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B 192 (1931), 1148-1150.
- 5. G. M. Goluzin, On some estimates for functions which map the circle conformally and univalently, Mat. Sb. 36 (1929), 152-172. (Russian).
- 6. ——, On certain coefficient estimates for schlicht functions, Mat. Sb. 3 (1938), 321-330. (Russian).
- 7. ———, On the theory of univalent functions, Mat. Sb. 28 (70)(1951), 351-358. (Russian) MR 13, 639.
- 8. E. G. Goluzina, On typically-real functions with fixed second coefficient, Vestnik Leningrad Univ. 17 (1962), no. 7, 62-70. (Russian) MR 25 #2180.
- 9. T. H. Gronwall, Sur la déformation dans la représentation conforme sous des conditions restrictives, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér A-B 162 (1916), 316-318.
- 10. On the distortion in conformal mapping when the second coefficient in the mapping function has an assigned value, Nat. Acad. Proc. 6 (1920), 300-302.
- 11. J. A. Jenkins, On a problem of Gronwall, Ann. of Math. (2) 59 (1954), 490-504. MR 15, 786.
- 12. ———, Some problems for typically real functions, Canad. J. Math. 13 (1961), 299-304. MR 22 #12227.
- 13. N. A. Lebedev and I. M. Milin, On the coefficients of certain classes of analytic functions, Mat. Sb. 28 (70) (1951), 359-400. (Russian) MR 13, 640.
- 14. G. B. Leeman, Constrained extremal problems for families of Stieltjes integrals, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. (to appear).
- 15. R. Nevanlinna, Über die konforme Abbildung von Sternegebieten, Finska Vetenskaps-Soc. Förh. 63 (1920/21), Sect. A, no. 6.
- 16. J. Pfaltzgraff and B. Pinchuk, A variational method for classes of meromorphic functions, J. Analyse Math. 24 (1971), 101-150. MR 43 #7613.

- 17. M. S. Robertson, On the coefficients of a typically-real function, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 41 (1935), 565-572.
- 18. W. Rogosinski, Über positive harmonische Sinusentwicklungen, Jber. Deutsch. Math.-Verein. 40 (1931), 33-35.
- 19. ——, Über positive harmonische Entwicklungen und typisch-reelle Potenzreihen, Math. Z. 35 (1932), 93-121.
- 20. I. Schur, Über die Koeffizientensummen einer Potenzreihe mit positivem reellem Teil, Arch. Math. Phys. 27 (1918), 126-135.
- 21. O. Szász, Über Funktionen die den Einheitskreis schlicht abbilden, Jber. Deutsch. Math.-Verein. 42 (1932), 73-75.
- 22. G. Szegő, Orthogonal polynomials, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 23, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1939. MR 1, 14.

IBM THOMAS J. WATSON RESEARCH CENTER, P.O. BOX 218, YORKTOWN HEIGHTS, NEW YORK 10598