

## CONDITIONS FOR THE ABSOLUTE CONTINUITY OF TWO DIFFUSIONS

BY

STEVEN OREY

**ABSTRACT.** Consider two diffusion processes on the line. For each starting point  $x$  and each finite time  $t$ , consider the measures these processes induce in the space of continuous functions on  $[0, t]$ . Necessary and sufficient conditions on the generators are found for the induced measures to be mutually absolutely continuous for each  $x$  and  $t$ . If the first process is Brownian motion, the second one must be Brownian motion with drift  $b(x)$ , where  $b(x)$  is locally in  $L_2$  and satisfies a certain growth condition at  $\pm\infty$ .

**0. Introduction.** Our concern is with diffusion processes on an open one-dimensional interval  $I$ , having homogeneous transition probabilities, and possessing no singular points. We do not allow curtailment of life time (killing), and the end points of  $I$  must be inaccessible. This class of diffusions will be denoted by  $\mathcal{D}$ , or  $\mathcal{D}(I)$  if the dependence on  $I$  needs to be indicated. A standard way of realizing such a diffusion is via coordinate space:  $C$  is to be the class of all continuous functions from  $[0, \infty)$  into  $I$ , and for  $\omega \in C$  let  $X_t(\omega) = \omega(t)$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}_t$  be the  $\sigma$ -field generated by  $\{X_s : s \leq t\}$ , and  $\mathcal{C}$  the least  $\sigma$ -field including all the  $\mathcal{C}_t$ ,  $0 \leq t < \infty$ . A diffusion in  $\mathcal{D}$  is then given by a collection  $P = (P_x)$ ,  $x \in I$ , of probability measures on  $(C, \mathcal{C})$ ; (for details see [7, p. 84], or [4, p. 102]). We let  $P_x|_t$  be the restriction of  $P_x$  to  $\mathcal{C}_t$ . Given two diffusions  $P^1$  and  $P^2$  in  $\mathcal{D}$ ,  $P^1 < P^2$  is to mean that  $P_x^1|_t \ll P_x^2|_t$  for each  $x \in I$ ,  $0 \leq t < \infty$ , where  $\ll$  means "is absolutely continuous with respect to". Now each  $P \in \mathcal{D}$  is determined by a scale function  $p$  and a speed measure  $m$ ; we write  $P \sim (p, m)$ . In §2 we give necessary and sufficient conditions for  $P^1 < P^2$  in terms of the associated scales and speed measures. The special case  $I = (-\infty, \infty)$  and  $P^2$  Wiener measure is discussed in §1. It turns out that in this case  $P^1$  must correspond to Brownian motion with a suitable drift: the condition on the drift coefficient  $b(x)$  is that it is locally square integrable and satisfies a certain growth condition at  $\pm\infty$ ; the growth condition is simply the one dictated by the inaccessibility of the end points. It is also shown that the conditions on  $b(x)$  are necessary and sufficient conditions

---

Received by the editors October 4, 1973.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 60J60, 28A40.

Copyright © 1974, American Mathematical Society

for the process  $\exp[\int_0^t b(X_u) dX_u - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t b^2(X_u) du]$  to be a martingale under  $P^2$ , i.e. Wiener measure.

We proceed to some notational points and details. In place of the speed measure we will usually deal with the associated distribution function:  $m(x) = m((-\infty, x])$ . If  $P \sim (p, m)$  then also  $P \sim (p^*, m^*)$ , where  $p^*(x) = ap(x) + b$ ,  $m^*(x) = a^{-1}m(x) + c$ , where  $a$  is a positive number,  $b$  and  $c$  arbitrary; but, except for this trivial kind of nonuniqueness,  $(p, m)$  is determined by  $P$ . Conversely  $(p, m)$  determines  $P$ . We recall that any continuous strictly increasing function  $p(x)$  can serve as a scale, while the speed measure is a positive measure, finite on compact sets, strictly positive on open sets. The assumption that the end points are inaccessible imposes an additional condition. For the case  $I = (-\infty, \infty)$  this is

$$(0.1) \quad \int_c^\infty (p(\infty) - p(y))m(dy) = \int_{-\infty}^c (p(y) - p(-\infty))m(dy) = \infty, \quad -\infty < c < \infty,$$

where  $p(\infty)$  and  $p(-\infty)$  denote the obvious limits. The condition is due to Feller [3]; in his terminology  $+\infty$  and  $-\infty$  are not *exit boundaries*. For details consult [1] or [7].

On the space  $C$  shift operators  $\theta_t$  are defined in the natural way:  $(\theta_t \omega)(s) = \omega(t + s)$ . If  $X$  is a diffusion in  $\mathcal{D}_I$ ,  $B$  a Borel subset of  $I$ , we write  $\mu(t, B; X) = \int_0^t \chi_B(X_s) ds$ . Thus  $\mu(t, B; X)$  is the sojourn time of  $X$  in  $B$  up to time  $t$ . For fixed  $t$  this is a measure on the Borel sets of  $I$ . It is known to be absolutely continuous with respect to the speed measure  $m$  of  $X$ , and there exists a nice version of the Radon-Nikodym derivative, known as the *local time*: thus  $\mu(t, B; X) = \int_B L(t, x; X) m(dx)$ . Here for fixed  $t$  and  $x$ ,  $L(t, x; X)$  is a random variable; and for fixed  $\omega$ ,  $(t, x) \rightarrow L(t, x; X)$  is, with probability one, continuous. Further details and references about this and other matters needed in the body of the paper are collected in the appendix.

Whenever dealing with  $P$ , possibly with affixes, we will use  $E$  with the same affixes to denote the expectation operator corresponding to the probability measure denoted by  $P$ .

1. **Brownian motion with drift.** Throughout this section  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_{(-\infty, \infty)}$ , and  $P^0 = (P_x^0)$ ,  $-\infty < x < \infty$ , is the element of  $\mathcal{D}$  corresponding to the Wiener distribution; so the coordinate process  $(X_t)$  is Brownian motion under  $P^0$ . Somewhat more generally, if  $Z = (Z_t)$ ,  $0 \leq t < \infty$ , is a real-valued stochastic process on  $C$ , and  $(P_x) \in \mathcal{D}$ , and (a)  $Z_t$  is  $\mathcal{C}_t$ -measurable for each  $t$ , (b) the finite-dimensional distributions of the  $Z$  process under  $P_x$  agree with the finite-dimensional distributions of the coordinate process  $X$  under  $P_x^0$  for each  $x$ , (c)  $E[Z_t | \mathcal{C}_s] = Z_s$

$P_x$ -a.s. for  $0 \leq s < t$ ,  $-\infty < x < \infty$ , then  $Z$  will be said to be a *Brownian motion* with respect to  $(P_x)$ .

Suppose  $P' \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $P' \prec P^0$ . For each  $x$  and  $t$  let  $L_t^{(x)}$  be the Radon-Nikodym derivative of  $P'_x|_t$  with respect to  $P_x^0|_t$ . Then, as discussed in III of the appendix,  $(L_t^{(x)}, \mathcal{C}_t, t \geq 0)$  is a martingale under  $P_x^0$ , and we can choose a right continuous version. Note that  $L_0^{(x)} = 1$   $P_x^0$ -a.s. by the zero-one law.  $L_t^{(x)}$  is Borel measurable in  $x$ ; this can be seen by expressing the Radon-Nikodym derivative explicitly as a limit of difference quotients. We may then define:  $L_t = L_t^{(X_0)}$ . Thus

$$(1.1) \quad dP'_x|_t / dP_x^0|_t = L_t, \quad 0 \leq t < \infty, \quad -\infty < x < \infty.$$

By  $L_{\text{loc}}^1$  ( $L_{\text{loc}}^2$ ) we mean the class of Borel measurable functions  $b(x)$  defined on  $(-\infty, \infty)$  which are integrable (square integrable) over compact intervals. We will use the notation

$$(1.2) \quad L_t[b] = \exp \left\{ \int_0^t b(X_u) dX_u - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t b^2(X_u) du \right\}, \quad 0 \leq t < \infty, \quad b \in L_{\text{loc}}^2;$$

here  $X$  will be coordinate process under Wiener measure. For the existence of the integrals see Appendix (I.C). We also will use

$$(1.3) \quad Y_t[b] = X_t - \int_0^t b(X_u) du, \quad 0 \leq t < \infty, \quad b \in L_{\text{loc}}^1.$$

We write  $L[b]$  or  $Y[b]$  for the process  $(L_t[b], \mathcal{C}_t, t \geq 0)$ , respectively  $(Y_t[b], \mathcal{C}_t, t \geq 0)$ .

Our first proposition is a Markov process variant of a result of Kailath and Zakai [10]; parts of the argument trace back to Hitsuda [6].

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $P' \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $P' \prec P^0$ . Then there exists  $b \in L_{\text{loc}}^2$  such that*

$$(1.4) \quad dP'_x|_t / dP_x^0|_t = L_t[b], \quad 0 \leq t < \infty, \quad -\infty < x < \infty.$$

*It follows that*

$$(1.5) \quad E_x L_t[b] = 1, \quad 0 \leq t < \infty, \quad -\infty < x < \infty.$$

$$(1.6) \quad Y[b] \text{ is a Brownian motion under } P'.$$

**Remark 1.** For any  $P' \in \mathcal{D}$  condition (1.6) can be satisfied for at most one function  $b$ , where we identify two functions which are equal a.e. For otherwise, one would obtain the difference of two Brownian motions, i.e. two continuous martingales, represented as a function of bounded variation, which is impossible

except in the trivial case where the function of bounded variation vanishes identically.

**Proof.** Obtain a right continuous martingale  $(L_t)$  satisfying (1.1) as above. It must be shown that  $(L_t)$  is a multiplicative functional of Brownian motion. Let  $H$  be a bounded  $\mathcal{C}_t$ -measurable random variable. One obtains easily (this is an instance of (3.1)) that

$$(1.7) \quad E'_x[H \circ \theta_s | \mathcal{C}_s] = E_x[(H \circ \theta_s \cdot L_{t+s}/L_s) | \mathcal{C}_s], \quad P'_x\text{-a.s.}$$

Also, using the Markov property of  $P^0$ ,

$$(1.8) \quad E'_{X_s}[H] = E^0_{X_s}[HL_t] = E_x[H \circ \theta_s \cdot L_t \circ \theta_s | \mathcal{C}_s], \quad P^0_x\text{-a.s.}$$

By the Markov property of  $P'$ , the first members of (1.7) and (1.8) agree  $P'_x$ -a.s. Since  $P' < P^0$  we can conclude that the last members of (1.7) and (1.8) agree  $P'_x$ -a.s.; and the exceptional set  $\Lambda$  on which agreement fails belongs to  $\mathcal{C}_s$ ,  $P'_x[\Lambda] = 0$ . Throughout this discussion  $x$  is arbitrary but fixed. Keeping (1.1) in mind, we may infer that  $P'_x[\Lambda \cap \{L_s > 0\}] = 0$ . Since every  $\mathcal{C}_{s+t}$ -measurable random variable is of the form  $H \circ \theta_s$  for some  $\mathcal{C}_t$ -measurable  $H$ , it follows that  $L_{t+s} = L_s \cdot L_t \circ \theta_s$   $P^0_x$ -a.s., for, by what has been said already, the equality holds  $P^0_x$ -a.s. on the set  $\{L_s > 0\}$ ; and, as already remarked, if  $L_s = 0$ , then  $P^0_x$ -a.s. also  $L_{t+s} = 0$ . So  $(L_t)$  is a multiplicative functional of Brownian motion. As already noted,  $L_0 = 1$ , and  $(L_t)$  is a martingale with respect to the  $\sigma$ -fields  $\mathcal{C}_t$  generated by our Brownian motion (coordinate process). It follows that one has a representation  $L_t - 1 = \int_0^t H_u dX_u$  where  $H_u$  is  $\mathcal{C}_u$  measurable and  $\int_0^t H_u^2 du < \infty$   $P^0_x$ -a.s. Indeed, if  $L_t$  is square integrable, such a representation is known to hold with  $E[\int_0^t H_u^2 du] < \infty$  (see Kunita-Watanabe [11] or Meyer [12]) and, as pointed out by Hitsuda [6], an easy argument using stopping times gives the result needed here. In particular, then,  $L_t$  is continuous. Let  $A_t = -\log L_t$ . This gives rise to an additive functional, with  $A_0 = 0$   $P_x$ -a.s. The values of  $A_t$  lie in  $(-\infty, \infty]$ , but  $A_t$  is continuous in the topology of the extended line. It follows (see Appendix II) that  $A$  must actually be finite valued. That is,  $L_t > 0$  and we may apply Ito's formula to obtain

$$\log L_t = \int_0^t \frac{1}{L_s} dL_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{H_s^2}{L_s^2} ds.$$

The first term on the right is a continuous local martingale; it is also an additive functional, because  $(L_t)$  is a multiplicative functional. We now apply Tanaka's representation theorem to this term (see Appendix II), obtaining

$$(1.9) \quad \int_0^t \frac{1}{L_s} dL_s = \int_0^t k(X_s) dX_s + g(X_t) - g(X_0)$$

with  $k \in L_{loc}^2$ ,  $g$  a continuous function. According to Tanaka, if  $J$  is any compact interval,  $\tau = \inf\{t: X_t \notin J\}$ , each of the terms of (1.9) when evaluated at  $t \wedge \tau$  has finite moments of all orders. Therefore, the two stochastic integrals evaluated at  $t \wedge \tau$  define martingales; then  $g(X_{t \wedge \tau})$  is a martingale. As a consequence (see Dynkin [2, Theorem 13.10])  $g$  is harmonic, i.e.  $g(x) = ax + c$ . Obviously we may set  $c = 0$ , and letting  $b(x) = k(x) + a$  gives  $\int_0^t (1/L_s) dL_s = \int_0^t b(X_s) dX_s$ . Let  $M_t$  denote the first term of (1.9). The continuous local martingale  $(M_t)$  has an associated continuous increasing process  $\langle M, M \rangle$  (notation as in [11] or [12]) satisfying

$$\langle M, M \rangle_t = \int_0^t \frac{H_s^2}{L_s^2} ds = \int_0^t b^2(X_s) ds$$

and (1.4) is established. Thus  $L_t = L_t[b] P_x^0$ -a.s., and (1.5) follows immediately. Finally (1.6) is an instance of Girsanov's theorem (see Appendix III).

For a diffusion belonging to  $\mathcal{D}$  with differential generator  $\frac{1}{2}(d^2/dx^2) + db(x)/dx$  with  $b$  bounded and continuous, one checks easily that the scale and speed are given by

$$p_b(x) = \int_0^x \exp\left\{-2 \int_0^y b(z) dz\right\} dy, \quad m_b(x) = 2 \int_0^x \exp\left\{2 \int_0^y b(z) dz\right\} dy.$$

These expressions make sense whenever  $b \in L_{loc}^1$ . For  $(p_b, m_b)$  to correspond to some diffusion in  $\mathcal{D}$  one needs, in addition, the inaccessibility condition (0.1), which now takes the form

$$(1.10) \quad \int_c^\infty \left( \frac{1}{\beta(y)} \int_y^\infty \beta(u) du \right) dy = \int_{-\infty}^c \left( \frac{1}{\beta(y)} \int_{-\infty}^y \beta(u) du \right) dy = \infty, \quad -\infty < c < \infty,$$

where  $\beta(y) = \exp\{-2 \int_0^y b(z) dz\}$ .

**Proposition 2.** Let  $P' \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $P' \sim (p_b, m_b)$ , where  $b \in L_{loc}^1$ . Then (1.6) holds.

**Proof.** If  $b$  is bounded and Lipschitz continuous this is known. Indeed  $P'$  is then determined by its differential generator  $d^2/dx^2 + db(x)/dx$ . On the other hand, a diffusion with this differential generator can be obtained as a solution of the Ito stochastic integral equation  $Z_t = X_t + \int_0^t b(Z_u) du$ ,  $P_x^0$ -a.s. and (1.6) follows.

In the general case choose a sequence  $b_n$  of bounded Lipschitz continuous functions converging to  $b$  in the  $L^1$ -sense on compact intervals. Write  $b_\infty$  for  $b$ , and  $p_n, m_n$  for  $p_{b_n}, m_{b_n}$  respectively,  $n = 1, 2, \dots, \infty$ . Now, under  $P^0$ ,  $(X_t)$  is

Brownian motion, and diffusions  $Z^{(n)}$  with scale  $p_n$  and speed  $m_n$  can be realized (see Appendix I) as

$$Z_t^{(n)} = p_n^{-1}(X_{A_t^{(n)}}), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \infty,$$

where  $p_n^{-1}$  is the inverse function of  $p_n$ , and  $A_t^{(n)}$ , as a function of  $t$ , is the inverse of  $r_t^{(n)}$ , where  $r_t^{(n)} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} L(t, x; X) m_n(dx)$ . Writing  $Y_t^{(n)} = Z_t^{(n)} - \int_0^t b_n(Z_s^{(n)}) ds$ , we know  $(Y_t^{(n)})$  is Brownian motion under  $P^0$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . We wish to prove the same assertion for  $n = \infty$  by a limiting argument. Indeed  $Y_t^{(n)}$  approaches  $Y_t^{(\infty)}$  in a very strong sense: With probability one convergence holds for all  $t$ , uniformly for  $t$  in any compact interval. To see this recall some properties of  $L(t, x; X)$ : It is continuous in  $(t, x)$ , nondecreasing in  $t$ , and, for fixed  $t$ , vanishes outside some finite  $x$ -interval. One then verifies easily that a.s.  $A_t^{(n)}$  converges to  $A_t^{(\infty)}$  for all  $t$ , uniformly for  $t$  in any compact set. Also  $p_n^{-1}(x)$  converges to  $p_{\infty}^{-1}(x)$  uniformly for  $x$  in any compact subset of  $(p(-\infty), p(\infty))$ . Therefore a.s.  $Z_t^{(n)}$  converges to  $Z_t^{(\infty)}$ , uniformly for  $t$  in any compact interval. One also obtains (see Appendix (I.C)) that a.s.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t b_n(Z_s^{(n)}) ds &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} L(A_t^{(n)}, p_n^{-1}(x); X) b_n(x) m_n(dx) \\ &\rightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} L(A_t, p^{-1}(x); X) b(x) m(dx) = \int_0^t b(Z_s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

the convergence being uniform for  $t$  in any compact interval.

**Proposition 3.** Let  $P' \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $P' \sim (p_b, m_b)$  for some  $b \in L_{loc}^2$ . Then  $P' < P^0$  and (1.4) holds.

**Proof.** By Proposition 2, (1.6) holds. So under  $P'$ ,  $X_t$  differs from the Brownian motion  $Y_t[b]$  by  $\int_0^t b(X_u) du$ . So  $P' < P^0$  follows as soon as  $\int_0^t b^2(X_u) du < \infty$   $P'_x$ -a.s. is established (see Appendix III, Corollary). The fact that the integral is finite becomes obvious on writing

$$\int_0^t b^2(X_u) du = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} L(t, x; X) b^2(x) m_b(dx)$$

(see Appendix (I.C)), remembering the nature of  $L(t, x; X)$ ,  $m_b(dx)$ , and that  $b \in L_{loc}^2$ . So  $P' < P^0$  is established. Finally (1.4) follows from applying Proposition 1; the fact that the  $b$  supplied by that proposition agrees with the one we started out with here is an immediate consequence of the uniqueness assertion contained in Remark 1.

**Proposition 4.** Let  $P' \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $P' < P^0$ . Then there exists a  $b \in L_{loc}^2$  with  $P' \sim (p_b, m_b)$ .

**Proof.** Proposition 1 applies and supplies a unique  $b \in L^2_{\text{loc}}$ . We wish to conclude that  $b$  satisfies the inaccessibility condition (1.10). Let  $\tau_n = \inf\{t: |X_t| > n\}$  and let  $b_n(x) = b(x)$  for  $|x| \leq n+1$ ,  $b_n(x) = 0$  for  $|x| > n+1$ . Then  $b_n \in L^2_{\text{loc}}$  and satisfies (1.10). So there exists  $P^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $P^{(n)} \sim (p_{b_n}, m_{b_n})$ . Now  $P^{(n)} < P^{(0)}$  and, using Proposition 1, we find

$$dP^{(n)}_x|_{\tau_n}/dP^0_x|_{\tau_n} = dP'_x|_{\tau_n}/dP^0_x|_{\tau_n} = L_{\tau_n}[b].$$

So  $P' \sim (p, m)$  with  $p$  and  $m$  agreeing with  $p_n$  and  $m_n$ , respectively, on  $[-n, n]$ . Since  $n$  is arbitrary  $b$  must satisfy (1.10), for otherwise  $P'_x[\sup_{s \leq t} |X_s| = \infty] > 0$  for some finite  $t$ , contradicting  $P' < P^0$ . In fact then  $P' \sim (p_b, m_b)$ .

**Theorem 1.** For  $P' \in \mathcal{D}$  the following conditions are equivalent.

- (a)  $P' < P^0$ .
- (b)  $P' \sim (p_b, m_b)$  for some  $b \in L^2_{\text{loc}}$ .
- (c)  $Y[b]$  is Brownian motion under  $P'$  for some  $b \in L^2_{\text{loc}}$ .
- (d)  $P' \sim (p, m)$ , where  $p$  has an absolutely continuous, strictly positive derivative  $p'$ ,  $m$  has a derivative  $m'$  satisfying  $\frac{1}{2}p'(x)m'(x) \equiv 1$ , and  $p'' \in L^2_{\text{loc}}$ .

**Proof.** The equivalence of (a)–(c) follows from Propositions 1–4. Assuming (b), (d) follows at once; note that  $b(x) = -\frac{1}{2}p''(x)/p'(x)$ , and, since  $p'$  is strictly positive and continuous, the assumption  $b \in L^2_{\text{loc}}$  gives  $p'' \in L^2_{\text{loc}}$ . Similarly one can go from (d) to (b).

**Corollary.**  $P' < P^0$  implies  $P^0 < P'$ .

**Proof.** Note that the Radon-Nikodym derivative given in (1.4) is positive.

**Remark 2.** Also  $P^0 < P'$  implies  $P' < P^0$ ; this follows from the result in §2.

Here is an interesting consequence of Theorem 1. For  $b \in L^2_{\text{loc}}$  and any  $x$ ,  $L_t[b]$  is always a supermartingale; it is a martingale if and only if  $E_x L_t[b] = 1$  for all  $t$  (see Appendix III). We now have necessary and sufficient conditions for this.

**Corollary 2.** Let  $b \in L^2_{\text{loc}}$ . If  $b$  satisfies (1.10),  $E_x^0[L_t[b]] = 1$  for all  $x$  and  $t$ . Conversely, if for some  $x$ ,  $E_x^0[L_t[b]] = 1$  for all  $t$ , then  $b$  satisfies (1.10).

**Proof.** If  $b$  satisfies (1.10), let  $P' \sim (p_b, m_b)$  and use (1.5) of Proposition 1. Suppose now that, for some  $x$ ,  $E_x^0[L_t[b]] = 1$  for all  $t$ ; a simple stopping time argument shows that this relation must then hold for all  $x$ , and we may use relation (1.4) to define the measures  $P'_x$ . It follows easily (see Appendix III, transformation theorem) that  $P' = (P'_x) \in \mathcal{D}$ . By Propositions 4, 2, 1 and Remark 1,  $P' \sim (p_b, m_b)$ , which means that  $b$  must satisfy (1.10).

2. The general case. Let  $X^i = (X_t, \mathcal{C}_t, t \geq 0, P^i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , be the function space representation of two diffusions in  $\mathcal{D}_{(-\infty, \infty)}$ , with  $P^i = (P_x^i)$ . Let  $P^i \sim (p_i, m_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Applying  $p_1$  to the coordinate process we obtain two new processes. Say  $X^i = (Y_t, \mathcal{C}_t^I, t \geq 0, P^i)$ ,  $i = 3, 4$ , where  $Y_t = p_1(X_t)$ , so that  $(Y_t)$  is the coordinate process on the space  $\mathcal{C}^I$  of continuous functions with values in  $I = (p_1(-\infty), p_1(\infty))$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_t^I$  is the  $\sigma$ -field generated by  $\{Y_s: 0 \leq s \leq t\}$ , and  $P^3$  and  $P^4$  are the measures on  $\mathcal{C}^I$  that are induced from  $P^1$  and  $P^2$ , respectively, by the mapping  $p_1$ . Of course  $X^3$  and  $X^4$  are just  $X^1$  and  $X^2$  with the state space reparametrized, and the condition  $P^1 \prec P^2$  ( $P^2 \prec P^1$ ) is equivalent to  $P^3 \prec P^4$  ( $P^4 \prec P^3$ ).

Let  $q$  be the inverse function of  $p_1$ . Then  $P^3 \sim (p_3, m_3) = (p_1 \circ q, m_3 \circ q)$ ,  $P^4 \sim (p_4, m_4) = (p_2 \circ q, m_3 \circ q)$ . Note  $X^3$  has Lebesgue scale on its interval of definition  $I$ .

We now make a time change  $\beta(t)$  such that under  $P^3$   $Y_{\beta(t)}$  is a Brownian motion, defined up to first exit from  $I$ . Such a  $\beta(t)$  is the inverse of the following additive functional on  $X^3$  (see Appendix (I.D)),

$$(2.1) \quad \alpha(t) = \int_I L(t, x; X^3) 2dx,$$

since  $2dx$  is the speed measure of Brownian motion.

Now we observe that if  $P^4 \prec P^3$  then  $m_4$  and  $m_3$  must be equivalent, that is, have the same null sets. Indeed one sees easily that  $P_x^i[\mu(t, B; X^i) > 0] = 0$  for every  $t$  if and only if  $m_i(B) = 0$  (see Appendix I). So, if  $P^4 \prec P^3$  and  $m_3(B) = 0$ , then also  $m_4(B) = 0$ . For the converse implication, suppose  $m_3(B) > 0$ . Then  $P_x^3[\mu(t, B; X^3) > 0]$  is positive for some  $x$  and  $t$ . Also, for each  $x$ ,  $P_x^3[\mu(t, B; X^3) > 0 \text{ for all } t]$  must equal 0 or 1 by the zero-one law. By considering  $T = \inf\{t: \mu(t, B; X^3) > 0\}$  and using the strong Markov property we obtain the existence of some  $x$  with  $P_x^3[\mu(t, B; X^3) > 0 \text{ for all } t] = 1$ . Then  $P^4 \prec P^3$  implies also  $m_4(B) > 0$ .

The transformation taking  $Y_t$  into  $Y_{\beta(t)}$  transformed  $X^3$  into Brownian motion defined up to leaving  $I$ . What process is obtained by applying the same transformation to  $X^4$ ? To see this, recall the definition of local time to write

$$\alpha(t) = \int_I \frac{d\mu(t, \cdot; X^3)}{dm_3}(x) \cdot 2dx.$$

Interpret the indicated derivative as the limit superior of the difference quotients ordinarily defining a derivative. Because of the continuity of local time we know that  $P_x^3$ -a.s., for any  $x'$ , this limit superior will actually be a limit for all  $t$  and



$x$ . Assume now that  $m_3$  and  $m_4$  are equivalent. To consider  $\alpha$  as a functional on  $X^4$  write

$$\alpha(t) = \int_I \frac{d\mu(t, \cdot; X^4)}{dm_3}(x) \cdot 2dx = \int_I \frac{d\mu(t, \cdot; X^4)}{dm_4}(x) \frac{dm_4}{dm_3}(x) \cdot 2dx.$$

(Note that both  $X^3$  and  $X^4$  are coordinate processes, so  $\mu(t, B; X^3)$  and  $\mu(t, B; X^4)$  are two names for the same quantity.) If  $t > 0$ , the derivative in the first integrand will exist as a limit of difference quotients and be positive  $P_x^3$ -a.s.; the same applies to the first derivative in the second integrand  $P_x^4$ -a.s. So, unless  $P_x^3$  and  $P_x^4$  are singular, the second derivative in the second integrand, which is not random, must also exist as a limit of difference quotients. This will be true always if  $P^3 \prec P^4$  or  $P^4 \prec P^3$ . Then we may write

$$\alpha(t) = \int_I L(t, x; X^4) \frac{dm_4}{dm_3}(x) \cdot 2dx.$$

Now let

$$X^5 = (Y_{\beta(t)}, \mathcal{C}_{\beta(t)}^I, 0 \leq t < \alpha(\infty); P^3) \quad \text{and} \quad X^6 = (Y_{\beta(t)}, \mathcal{C}_{\beta(t)}^I, 0 \leq t < \alpha(\infty), P^4).$$

We know already that  $X^5$  is a diffusion on  $I$ , defined up to the first exit time from  $I$ , with scale  $x$  and speed  $2dx$ . From the final form of  $\alpha(t)$  we learn that (see Appendix (I.D))  $X^6$  corresponds to a diffusion with scale  $p_6$  and speed  $m_6$  given by

$$p_6(x) = p_4(x), \quad m_6(dx) = 2 \frac{dm_4}{dm_3}(x) dx$$

defined on  $I$ . Since  $X^5$  and  $X^6$  have life times  $\alpha(\infty)$  which need not be infinite they do not necessarily belong to  $\mathcal{D}_I$ , strictly speaking. However,  $X^5$  and  $X^6$  induce measures  $P^5 = (P_x^5)$  and  $P^6 = (P_x^6)$  on the space of all continuous functions from  $[0, \infty)$  into  $I$ , defined up to the first time that the function approaches a boundary point of  $I$ . The measures  $P^5, P^6$  come from the original measures  $P^3, P^4$  via the map taking  $\omega$  into  $\beta[\omega]$ , where  $\beta[\omega](t) = \omega(\beta(t, \omega))$ . If  $\eta = \beta[\omega]$ ,  $\omega(t) = \eta(\alpha(t, \omega))$ , since  $\alpha$  is the inverse of  $\beta$ . However,  $\alpha$  can be considered as a function of  $\eta$ , because

$$\int L(t, x; X^5) m^3(dx) = \int L(\beta(t), x; X^3) m^3(dx) = \beta(t)$$

(see Appendix (I.C)), so that on a set having  $P_x^3$ -measure one for all  $x$ , the map  $\omega$  into  $\beta[\omega]$  is invertible. Observe now that for  $P^i$  and  $P^j \in \mathcal{D}_I$ ,  $P^i \prec P^j$  if and only if  $P_x^i|_r \ll P_x^j|_r$  for every  $x \in I$ , and every  $r$  which is the first exit time from a

compact subinterval of  $I$ , where  $|_r$  denotes restriction to  $\mathcal{C}_r$ . Similarly we can define  $P^6 < P^5$  ( $P^5 < P^6$ ) to hold if the measures are absolutely continuous when restricted up to the first exit time from any compact subinterval of  $I$ . From what we have said it follows that  $P^4 < P^3$  if and only if  $P^6 < P^5$ , and both  $P^4 < P^3$  and  $P^3 < P^4$  if and only if both  $P^6 < P^5$  and  $P^5 < P^6$ . Since  $P^5$  is Brownian motion, defined up to the first exit time from  $I$ , we can use the work of §1.

**Theorem 2.**  $P^2 < P^1$  implies  $P^1 < P^2$ . Necessary and sufficient conditions for  $P^2 < P^1$  are as follows:

- (i) the derivative  $dp_2(x)/dp_1$  exists everywhere and defines a positive function absolutely continuous with respect to  $p_1$ ;
- (ii)  $dm_2(x)/dm_1$  exists everywhere and satisfies  $dm_2(x)/dm_1 \cdot dp_2(x)/dp_1 = 1$ ;
- (iii) the second derivative  $d^2p_2(x)/dp_1^2$ , defined  $dp_1$ -a.e. belongs to  $L^2_{\text{loc}}(dp_1)$ .

**3. Appendix.** We organize some known results, occasionally with trivial variations, for easy reference.

**I. Diffusion local time.** All the basic facts we need are in [7]. As a reference for our purposes here the more leisurely [4] suffices and might be found more convenient.

(A) *Brownian local time.* Let  $X = (X_t, \mathcal{C}_t, 0 \leq t < \infty, (P_x))$  be coordinate representation of Brownian motion on function space  $C$ . The associated scale and speed are  $x$  and  $2dx$ .

**Trotter's Theorem** [14]. For each  $t \geq 0$  and  $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$  there exists a random variable  $L(t, x; X)$  such that for all  $\omega$  in  $C$  outside some fixed set  $\Lambda$  with  $P_x[\Lambda] = 0$  for all  $x$ , the following two conditions hold:  $(t, x) \rightarrow L(t, x; X)(\omega)$  is continuous, and  $\mu(t, B; X) = \int_B L(t, x; X) 2dx$ ,  $B$  a Borel set of  $R^1$ ,  $t \geq 0$ .  $L(t, x; X)$  is called *Brownian local time*.

(B) *Ito-McKean representation.* Let  $P' = (P'_x)$ ,  $x \in I$ , be a diffusion in  $\mathcal{D}_I$ , where  $I$  is an open interval. Say  $P' \sim (p, m)$ . A diffusion  $Z$  corresponding to  $P'$  is constructed from Brownian motion  $X$  in two steps. Let  $P^* \sim (p^*, m^*) = (p \circ q, m \circ q)$ , where  $q$  is the inverse function of  $p$ .  $P^* \in \mathcal{D}_{p(I)}$ . Then  $Z^* = (Z_t^*)$  is obtained as  $Z_t^* = X_{\beta(t)}$ ,  $\beta(t) (= \beta(t, \omega))$  being the inverse of  $\alpha(t) (= \alpha(t, \omega))$  defined by

$$\alpha(t) = \int_{p(I)} L(t, y; X) m^*(dy), \quad t < \tau = \inf\{s: X_s \notin p(I)\}.$$

Finally  $Z_t = q(Z_t^*)$ . Note that as  $t$  increases to  $\tau$ ,  $\alpha(t)$  approaches infinity. Both  $\alpha(t)$  and  $\beta(t)$  are continuous, strictly increasing.

(C) *Diffusion local time*. Keeping the notations of (A) and (B),  $L(t, x; Z) = L(\beta(t), q(x); X)$  defines the *local time* of  $Z$ . Then  $\mu(t, B; Z) = \int_B L(t, x; Z) m(dx)$ ,  $B$  a Borel set of  $I$ ,  $0 \leq t < \infty$ , and  $(t, x) \rightarrow L(t, x; Z)$  is continuous, both assertions again holding outside the exceptional null set  $\Lambda$ . The last formula allows an obvious extension:

$$\int_0^t f(Z_u) du = \int_I L(t, x; Z) f(x) m(dx), \quad f \text{ Borel measurable, } \int |f| dm < \infty.$$

So in particular, for the case of Brownian motion,  $g \in L^2_{\text{loc}}$  is necessary and sufficient for  $\int_0^t g^2(X_u) du < \infty$ ,  $P_x$ -a.s. for all  $t < \infty$ ,  $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$ .

(D) *Change of time scale*. We continue with the notations introduced. Let  $n$  be a positive measure on  $I$ , finite on compact sets, assigning strictly positive weight to every open interval. Let  $\gamma(t) = \int_I L(t, y; Z) n(dy)$  and let  $\delta(t)$  be the inverse function of  $\gamma(t)$ . The situation is similar to (B) above, but as  $t$  tends to infinity  $\gamma(t)$  tends to a limit  $\gamma(\infty)$  which need not be infinite. So  $\delta(t)$  is defined only for  $0 \leq t < \gamma(\infty)$ . The same considerations as in (B) show that  $(Z_{\delta(t)}, 0 \leq t < \gamma(\infty))$  is a diffusion on  $I$ , defined up to the first exit time from  $I$ , and governed in the interior of  $I$  by the scale  $p$  and speed  $n$ .

II. Additive functionals of Brownian motion. Again  $X = (X_t, \mathcal{C}_t, 0 \leq t < \infty, (P_x))$  is coordinate representation of Brownian motion,  $(\theta_t)$  are the associated shift operators. A stochastic process  $(A_t)$  is called an *additive functional* of Brownian motion if  $A_t$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable,  $A_t$  assumes values in  $(-\infty, \infty]$  and, for each pair of nonnegative numbers  $s, t$ ,  $A_{t+s} = A_s + A_t \circ \theta_s$ ,  $P_x$ -a.s.,  $-\infty < x < \infty$ . The following result is also given in Ventcel [15].

*Tanaka's representation* [13]. If  $(A_t)$  is a finite-valued, continuous additive functional of Brownian motion, then there exists a continuous function  $g$ , and a function  $k \in L^2_{\text{loc}}$  such that  $A_t = g(X_t) - g(X_0) + \int_0^t k(X_s) dX_s$ . We will require the following lemma.

**Lemma.** Let  $(A_t)$  be an additive functional of Brownian motion with values in  $(-\infty, \infty]$ , continuous in the topology of the extended real line, with  $A_0 = 0$   $P_x$ -a.s. for all  $x$ . Then  $(A_t)$  is finite valued.

**Proof.** For every  $x$  and every positive  $\delta$  there exists a positive  $\epsilon$  and a positive finite  $M$  such that, with  $\tau$  the first exit time of Brownian motion from  $[x - \epsilon, x + \epsilon]$ ,  $P_x[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau} |A_t| > M] < \delta$ . Now one can repeat, word for word, the argument of Tanaka [13, Theorem 1], to conclude that there exist positive constants  $c$  and  $\rho$ , with  $\rho < 1$ , such that

$$P_x \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau} |A_t| > \lambda \right] \leq c \rho^\lambda, \quad \lambda \geq 0.$$

In particular

$$P_x \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |A_t| < \infty \right] = 1.$$

An easy covering argument concludes the proof.

**III. Absolute continuity.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  be a measurable space,  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$  an increasing family of sub- $\sigma$ -fields of  $\mathcal{F}$ . A stochastic process  $X = (X_t, \mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0)$  is adapted if each  $X_t$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable. Given a probability measure  $P$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ , a continuous adapted process  $X = (X_t, \mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0)$  is said to be a *Brownian motion under  $P$*  (the last phrase can be omitted if it is understood that a fixed  $P$  is used) if  $X$  is a martingale under  $P$ -measure with finite dimensional distributions as given by Wiener measure.

If  $P$  is a probability measure on  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $P|_t$  is the restriction of  $P$  to  $\mathcal{F}_t$ . If  $P'$  is another such measure, with  $P'|_t \ll P|_t$  for each  $t$ , there exists a Radon-Nikodym derivative  $L_t$  such that  $P'(\Lambda) = \int_{\Lambda} L_t dP$ ,  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}_t$ , and  $(L_t, \mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0)$  must be a nonnegative martingale with respect to  $P$ . We can choose a right continuous version. If  $T_0 = \inf\{t: L_t = 0\} \leq \infty$ , then  $L_t = 0$  for  $t \geq T_0$ . One verifies at once that if  $S$  and  $T$  are two bounded stopping times with  $S \leq T$  and  $H$  is an  $\mathcal{F}_T$ -measurable  $P'$ -integrable random variable then

$$(3.1) \quad E'[H|\mathcal{F}_S] = E[H(L_T/L_S)|\mathcal{F}_S] \quad P'\text{-a.s.}$$

where the possible vanishing of  $L_S$  causes no problem since  $P'[L_S = 0] = 0$ .

Let  $M = (M_t, \mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0, P)$  be a continuous local martingale. Let  $A_t = \langle M, M \rangle_t$  be the associated increasing process, where we use the bracket notation of Meyer [12]. One defines a new process  $X = \text{Exp}[M]$  by  $X_t = \exp[M_t - \frac{1}{2}A_t]$ . By Ito's formula this is again a continuous increasing process with  $dX_t = X_t dM_t$ . Since  $X$  is in fact a positive continuous local martingale an easy limiting argument using Fatou's lemma shows it is a supermartingale. Evidently  $X$  will be a martingale if and only if  $EX_t \equiv 1$ .

Conversely if  $(Z_t)$  is a continuous adapted process such that  $X_t = \exp[Z_t]$  is a continuous local martingale one sees, by applying Ito's formula to  $\log X_t$ , that  $Z_t = M_t - \frac{1}{2}\langle M, M \rangle_t$  for some continuous local martingale  $M$ . In particular

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} (M_t, \mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0) &\text{ is Brownian motion if and only if} \\ (\exp(M_t - \tfrac{1}{2}t), \mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0) &\text{ is a continuous local martingale.} \end{aligned}$$

It is also immediate that for two continuous local martingales  $M$  and  $N$

$$(3.3) \quad \text{Exp}[M + N] = \text{Exp}[M] \cdot \text{Exp}[N] \cdot \exp(-\langle M, N \rangle).$$

**Girsanov theorem [5].** Let  $W = (W_t, \mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0)$  be Brownian motion under  $P$ . Let  $H = (H_t, \mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0)$  be a previsible process with  $\int_0^t H_u^2 du < \infty$   $P$ -a.s. Let  $V = W - \int_0^\cdot H_u du$  (i.e.  $V_t = W_t - \int_0^t H_u du, t \geq 0$ ) and set  $L = \text{Exp}[\int_0^\cdot H_u dW_u]$ .

If  $L = (L_t, \mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0)$  is a martingale and  $P'$  is determined by  $P'(\Lambda) = \int_\Lambda L_t dP, \Lambda \in \mathcal{F}_t$ , then with respect to  $P', (V_t, \mathcal{F}_t)$  is Brownian motion.

**Proof.** By (3.2) it must be proved that  $(\exp(V_t - \frac{1}{2}t), \mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0)$  is a local martingale with respect to  $P'$ . Writing out what this means, using (3.1) and (3.3) this follows at once.

**Corollary (Kailath-Zakai [10]; with different proof Kadota-Shepp [8]).** Let  $W, H, V$  be as in the statement of Girsanov's theorem. (No hypothesis on  $L$  is made now.) Let  $P^0$  and  $P'$  be the measures induced in function space  $(C, \mathcal{C})$  by  $W$  and  $V$  respectively. Then  $P'_t \ll P_t^0$  for all  $t$ .

**Proof.** If  $L$ , defined as in Girsanov's theorem, is a martingale, the conclusion follows from Girsanov's theorem. In the general case there exist stopping times  $T_n \uparrow \infty$  such that  $L^{(n)}$ , with  $L_t^{(n)} = L_t \wedge T_n$ , is a martingale for each  $n$ . Let  $H_t^{(n)} = H_t \cdot \chi_{t \leq T_n}$ . Then  $L^{(n)} = \text{Exp} H^{(n)}$  and, setting  $V^{(n)} = W - \int_0^\cdot H_u^{(n)} du$ , we find that the measures  $P^{(n)}$  induced in function space by  $V^{(n)}$  satisfy  $P_t^{(n)} \ll P_t^0$ . Since for every  $K \in \mathcal{C}_t$ ,  $P^{(n)}(K)$  converges to  $P'(K)$  as  $n$  goes to infinity  $P'_t \ll P_t^0$  follows.

The following is a variation of Dynkin [2, Theorem 10.4]. The notation  $L_t[b]$  is defined in (1.2).

**Transformation theorem.** Let  $(P_x)$  be a diffusion in  $\mathcal{D}_{(-\infty, \infty)}$ ,  $b \in L_{\text{loc}}^1$ , and  $E_x[L_t[b]] \equiv 1, -\infty < x < \infty$ . Determine  $P'_x$  on  $(C, \mathcal{C})$  by  $P'_x(\Lambda) = \int_\Lambda L_t dP, \Lambda \in \mathcal{C}_t$ . Then  $(P'_x) \in \mathcal{D}_{(-\infty, \infty)}$ .

**Proof.** The existence of the  $P'_x$  is evident. In order to prove that  $(P'_x)$  is a strong Markov process consider a bounded Markov time  $T$  (the unbounded case is handled by a limiting argument). Let  $Y$  be a bounded,  $\mathcal{C}_s$ -measurable random variable. Using (3.1), the fact that  $(L_t)$  is a multiplicative functional, and the strong Markov property of  $(P_x)$

$$\begin{aligned} E'_x[Y \circ \theta_T | \mathcal{F}_T] &= E_x[Y \circ \theta_T \cdot (L_{T+s} / L_T) | \mathcal{F}_T] \\ &= E_x[Y \circ \theta_T \cdot L_s \circ \theta_T | \mathcal{F}_T] = E_{X_T}[L_s Y] = E'_{X_T}[Y]. \end{aligned}$$

A monotone class argument extends this to all bounded  $\mathcal{C}$ -measurable  $Y$ .

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. L. Breiman, *Probability*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1968. MR 37 #4841.

2. E. B. Dynkin, *Markov processes*, Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1963; English transl., Vols. I, II, *Die Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften*, Bände 121, 122, Academic Press, New York; Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1965. MR 33 #1886; #1887.
3. W. Feller, *The parabolic differential equations and the associated semi-groups of transformations*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* 55 (1952), 468–519. MR 13, 948.
4. D. Freedman, *Brownian motion and diffusion*, Holden-Day, San Francisco, Calif., 1971. MR 45 #6074.
5. I. V. Girsanov, *On transforming a class of stochastic processes by absolutely continuous substitution of measures*, *Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen.* 5 (1960), 314–330 = *Theor. Probability Appl.* 5 (1960), 285–301. MR 24 #A2986.
6. M. Hitsuda, *Representations of Gaussian processes equivalent to a Wiener process*, *Osaka J. Math.* 5 (1968), 299–312. MR 39 #4935.
7. K. Ito and H. P. McKean, Jr., *Diffusion processes and their sample paths*, *Die Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften*, Band 125, Academic Press, New York; Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1965. MR 33 #8031.
8. T. T. Kadota and L. A. Shepp, *Conditions for absolute continuity between a certain pair of probability measures*, *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete* 16 (1970), 250–260. MR 43 #4074.
9. T. Kailath, *The structure of Radon-Nikodým derivatives with respect to Wiener and related measures*, *Ann. Math. Statist.* 42 (1971), 1054–1067. MR 43 #6997.
10. T. Kailath and M. Zakai, *Absolute continuity and Radon-Nikodým derivatives for certain measures relative to Wiener measure*, *Ann. Math. Statist.* 42 (1971), 130–140. MR 43 #5608.
11. H. Kunita and S. Watanabe, *On square integrable martingales*, *Nagoya Math. J.* 30 (1967), 209–245. MR 36 #945.
12. P. A. Meyer, *Intégrales stochastiques*. I, II, III, IV, *Séminaire de Probabilités* (Univ. Strasbourg, Strasbourg, 1966/67), Vol. I, Springer, Berlin, 1967, pp. 72–162. MR 37 #7000.
13. H. Tanaka, *Note on continuous additive functionals of the 1-dimensional Brownian path*, *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete* 1 (1962/63), 251–257. MR 29 #6559.
14. H. F. Trotter, *A property of Brownian motion paths*, *Illinois J. Math.* 2 (1958), 425–433. MR 20 #2795.
15. A. D. Ventcel', *On continuous additive functionals of a multidimensional Wiener process*, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* 142 (1962), 1223–1226 = *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 3 (1962), 264–266. MR 27 #4265.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, MINNEAPOLIS, MINNE-  
SOTA 55455